



FedEx Ground
P.O. Box 108
Pittsburgh, PA 15230-0108

July 2, 2010

Dear Customer:

The following is the proof-of-delivery for tracking number **128318100000314**.

Delivery Information:

Status:	Delivered	Delivery location:	6330 COLUMBIA ROAD Hyattsville, MD 20785
Signed for by:	PSOBSEY	Delivery date:	Jun 30, 2010 09:44
Service type:	FedEx Ground-U.S.		

P.SOBSEY
#3, 09:44, 5 Del, 0 NonDel

Shipping Information:

Tracking number:	128318100000314	Ship date:	Jun 28, 2010
		Weight:	0.2 lbs/0.1 kg

Recipient:
6330 COLUMBIA ROAD
Hyattsville, MD 20785 US

Shipper:
PAUL SHERIDAN
SHERIDAN, PAUL V
22357 COLUMBIA ST
DEARBORN, MI 481243431 US

Thank you for choosing FedEx Ground.

FedEx Worldwide Customer Service
1.800.GoFedEx 1.800.463.3339

To: Senator Carl Levin
269 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510-2202
202-224-6221

Date: 26 June 2010 VIA EMAIL AND FEDEX 1283181-00000314

From: Mr. Paul V. Sheridan
22357 Columbia Street
Dearborn, MI 48124-3431
313-277-5095
pvs6@Cornell.edu

Subject 1: President Obama Supreme Court Nominee Elena Kagan
Subject 2: Loyalty to America and the U.S. Constitution

Courtesy Copy List

Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr.
Supreme Court of the United States
One First Street N.E.
Washington, DC 20543
202-479-3211

Solicitor General Elena Kagan
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20530-0001
202-514-2203

Senator Debbie Stabenow
133 Hart Senate Office Building
District of Columbia 20510-2204
202-224-4822

Mr. Howard Kohr, Executive Director
AIPAC
616 Water Street
Baltimore, MD 21202-4067
410-223-4190 / 202-639-5200

The American Trial Lawyers Association
111 East Main Street
Dothan, AL 36301
866-665-2852

Rabbi Yehuda Levin
Rabbinical Alliance of America
305 Church Avenue
Brooklyn, New York 11218
718-871-4543

Ms. Susan N. Herman
ACLU - 18th Floor
125 Broad Street
New York NY 10004
212-549-2666

Senator Patrick Leahy
433 Russell Senate Bldg
Washington, DC 20510
202-224-4242

Mr. Jonathan Wolman, Editor
The Detroit News
615 W. Lafayette Blvd.
Detroit, MI 48226
313-222-2110

Dean Stewart J. Schwab
Cornell Law School
263 Myron Taylor Hall
Ithaca, NY 14853-4901
607-255-3527

* Available with hyperlinks here: <http://links.veronicachapman.com/Levin-Kagan-1.pdf>

22357 Columbia Street
Dearborn, MI 48124
313-277-5095
pvs6@Cornell.edu

26 June 2010

Senator Carl Levin
269 Russell Senate Office Bldg
Washington, D.C. 20510-2202
202-224-6221

Subject 1: President Obama Supreme Court Nominee Elena Kagan
Subject 2: Loyalty to America and the U.S. Constitution

Dear Senator Levin:

This is another communication from a constituent that your senior staff will characterize as:

“The type of letter the senator does not respond to.”

Your biases and non-responsiveness are well-known to your Michigan constituency. This is especially apparent when you have supported an agenda that subverts your implicit and narrowly defined role of public service to Americans. For example, when I repeatedly wrote and telephoned you seeking assistance with an abjectly ignorant, if not out-rightly treasonous statement by-then President Bush Supreme Court nominee [John Roberts](#), your staff made the outrageous claim:

“Congress is not here to second guess the other branches.” ([Attachment 1](#))

Yes you are. But, from Supreme Court judges to unresponsive senators and their sycophantic staffs, we constituents are expected to submit to agendas rather than have expectations of public service. Not this time.

Once again [you and I collide](#) regarding the Supreme Court and the U.S. Constitution. Your personal support of Kagan is not related to the wishes of your Michigan constituency, but may shed light on an agenda that is, once again, aimed at subverting the U.S. Constitution. It is well-known that Kagan supports revision of the United States from a Constitutional Republic to some form of “judicial fascism.” Demonstrated with many references, and consonant with the [agenda of AIPAC](#), it is Kagan’s worship of [radical Israeli Judge Aharon Barak](#) that is the most revealing:

“I want to repeat in public that he (Israeli Judge Barak) is my judicial hero!” ([Attachment 2](#))

Note, I have no interest in Kagan’s religion or sexual orientation. I am concerned with what is revealed, however tacit, by her proclamations that confirm her intent to subvert the Constitution. The selection of some Israeli ¹ as her “judicial hero” is inconsistent with the most basic qualification a Supreme Court nominee should possess:

Being patriotic-to and possessing allegiance-to the flag of United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands. ²

¹ As opposed to Americans such as Thomas Jefferson, Thomas Paine, Benjamin Franklin, etc.

² Please instruct Chief Justice Roberts that the U.S. is not a “Constitutional democracy” ([Attachment 1](#))

In stark contrast to my indifference to Kagan's religion or sexual orientation, is the focus of the [Rabbinical Alliance of America](#).³ On Thursday 24 June 2010, Rabbi Yehuda Levin [declared](#):

“Elena Kagan is not kosher. She is not fit to sit on this Court or any court.

We feel that Elena Kagan turns traditional Judaism on its head--from a concept of a nation of priests and holy people, she is turning it into, ‘Let’s homosexualize every segment of society. And by the way, partial-birth babies have no right to be delivered . . .

It is clear from Ms. Kagan's record on issues such as abortion-on-demand, partial-birth-abortion, the radical homosexual and lesbian agenda, the 'supremacy' of the anti-family panoply over religious liberties of biblical adherents, et al., that she will function as a flame-throwing radical, hastening society's already steep decline into Sodom and Gomorrah. ” ([Attachment 3](#))

Relevantly and narrowly, what this rabbi fails to uphold is the rudimentary fact that the United States was founded on the concept of a Creator, but it was very specifically not founded on the basis of a particular religion. Even from within this obtuse if not surreptitious context, Levin arrives at the correct conclusion: Elena Kagan is woefully unfit to serve as a judge let-alone a Supreme Court Justice. Given his simple conclusion, your support for Kagan, as someone that claims to be a knowledgeable, experienced and ethical senior senator, is made even more questionable.

Conclusions

(1) Prior to your vote against her, inquire with Kagan how it is she intended to uphold the U.S. Constitution in light of her adulation-of and ‘entangling alliance’ with a judge from a foreign land that purposely has no constitution.

(2) Ignoring for the moment your characterization that this is *“the type of letter the senator does not respond to”* or the claim that *“Congress is not here to second guess the other branches,”* I am instructing you to vote against this President Obama nominee to the Supreme Court.

Note, I am not asking you; I am telling you: You are to vote against Elena Kagan.

Cordially,

Paul V. Sheridan
[Civil Justice Foundation – National Champion](#)

Attachments

³ As of the date above, neither AIPAC, the ADL or the ACLU has not-so-much as [proclaimed disapproval](#) of (what they have openly defined in prior circumstances as) this Rabbi's “intolerance” and/or “hate speech.”

List of Attachments

Attachment 1	<i>The Moneychangers versus the U.S. Constitution</i>	The Ithaca Journal Opinion Editorial	July 17, 2008
Attachment 2	<i>Kagan Calls Israeli Activist Judge "My Hero"</i>	US News & World Report	June 23, 2010
Attachment 3	<i>Jewish Clergy Group: Elena Kagan Isn't Kosher to Serve on Supreme Court</i>	CNSNews.com	June 25, 2010

List of Hyperlinks

Paul V. Sheridan letter to
Chief Justice John Roberts,
Subject: A Simple Question,
April 2, 2007

<http://links.veronicachapman.com/RobertsComplete.pdf>

The Moneychangers versus the U.S. Constitution, Ithaca
Journal Opinion Editorial,
July 17, 2008

<http://links.veronicachapman.com/MoneychangersversusUSConstitution-ph.pdf>

Paul V. Sheridan letter to
Senator Carl Levin,
April 7, 2008

<http://links.veronicachapman.com/SenatorLevinCoverRequestLetter-2.pdf>

AIPAC

http://www.aipac.org/Planned_Giving/3795.asp

YouTube Video:
"The Other Barak"

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SZNQ7qVqcQ4>

US News & World Report :
Kagan Calls Israeli Activist
Judge 'My Hero'
June 23, 2010

<http://politics.usnews.com/news/blogs/washington-whispers/2010/06/23/kagan-calls-israeli-activist-judge-my-hero.html>

Rabbinical Alliance of America

<http://www.rabbinicalalliance.org/>

Jewish Clergy Group:
Elena Kagan Isn't Kosher to
Serve on Supreme Court

<http://cnsnews.com/news/article/68444>

National Champion Award

<http://links.veronicachapman.com/SheridanSafetyLeadershipAward.pdf>

Welcome to the ACLU
online Pressroom

<http://www.aclu.org/pressroom>

Attachment 1

July 17, 2008

The moneychangers versus the U.S. Constitution

Paul V. Sheridan / Guest Column

On June 12, the Supreme Court rescinded Senate Bill 3930, the Military Commissions Act, which had denied the human rights of “enemy combatants.” The justices managed to ascend to their primary duty to protect the Constitution “against all enemies, foreign and domestic.”

The most insidious enemy of the Constitution is the private moneychanger and its misuse of our security assets, military and police agencies. Never have we witnessed such blatant and relentless assaults on our Constitution, and the moneychangers are central to those assaults. The first major congressional assault was the secret Christmas holiday passage of the Federal Reserve Act of 1913. These assaults are directed to serve private interests, not the common citizen.

The U.S./Israel/U.K. concept of “enemy combatants” is another ruse where the so-called “war on terror” is used as a diversion to dismantle the Constitution. But for the moneychangers the priority issue is the currency: who controls it and who uses it. This is not to say that material wealth, such as Middle Eastern oil, is not in play; it is. But all geopolitical roads lead to the esoteric goal: domination of the global currency markets, the mechanism by which material wealth is negotiated. You needn't know Valerie Plame to recognize that the Cheney proclamations about Iraq's WMDs were outright lies. But beyond adolescent partisan politics we find tactics that citizens need to be aware of: The moneychangers have and will continue to plunge us into war over private control of the currency.

The threat posed by Saddam Hussein was his intention to trade Iraq's oil, not in petrodollars, but in Eurodollars. This threat was the real justification to deploy our military. Sales pitches such as “spreading democracy” and the security of Israel were widely promoted by the private news media, but Saddam's Eurodollar threat was the clincher. Similarly, that same media is now claiming that Iran is working on WMDs and poses a threat to nuclear-armed Israel. But also not widely publicized is the Iranian oil trades in Eurodollars. Reduction of the hegemony of the Federal Reserve and petrodollars is regarded as the true threat. Is there a pattern here?

My letters to Congress expose assaults on our Constitution by the moneychanger's recent hire, Chief Justice John Roberts. Specifically, you cannot be a law school graduate, a member of the Bar Association, a judge and a Supreme Court justice but somehow mistakenly claim that the U.S. is a “constitutional democracy.” But that is the exact phrase Roberts spewed as he accepted Bush's nomination. It was no innocent misstatement; Chief Justice Roberts was consciously catering to private interests.

Unlike Roberts, grammar school children know that America is a constitutional republic, not a constitutional democracy. The reason was simple and central to the framers of our Constitutional Republic: No one is above the law! However, in a constitutional democracy the opinion of the masses prevails. In this scenario, the private elite controls the currency but owns the news media. America is very close to that political retrograde wherein the privately owned news media forms opinion and then

opinion polls are deployed to override the rule of law. The sociopolitical advantage for the power brokers is that citizens believe the illusion of meaningful participation. When brainwashed into fear of “enemy combatants” the common citizen will protect powerful criminals from prosecution, accepting the lie that the elite are above the law. The lawlessness of torture at Guantanamo is a symptom of a constitutional democracy, having no direct connection to national security. In a sinister way national security is at risk but through destruction of our constitutional republic and our currency.

Losses in market share to the Eurodollar are to be expected, but the American dollar in freefall is no accident. The moneychangers of the Federal Reserve have orchestrated that freefall to justify their secret plan to subvert Canada, Mexico and the U.S. into their greedy brainchild: the North American Union. Intrinsic to the North American Union is total control of a new proposed currency, the amero. It is well-known in Congress that the amero printing dies are ready. To implement the North American Union, the moneychangers need to dismantle the Constitution, assisted by the Chief Justice Roberts' lie that the U.S. is a constitutional democracy.

I have written to Sen. Carl Levin, D-Michigan, six times about the “constitutional democracy” ploy of Chief Justice Roberts. I have called Levin's office three times. **Recently a Levin senior staffer blurted, “Congress is not here to second guess the other branches.”** Perhaps this mindless outburst provides insight into the Executive Branch's unhindered, illegal invasion of Iraq. Although Senate 3930 has now been second-guessed, Levin still lacks the courage and integrity to confront the moneychangers — the very traitors who have relentlessly promoted the ruse of “enemy combatants,” that Valerie Plame was expendable, that the Iraq war was justified, and the treasonous lie that America is a constitutional democracy.

Rather than mindlessly submitting to the North American Union and the amero, it is time once again for the common citizen to overturn the tables of the moneychangers.

Attachment 2



Washington Whispers

Kagan Calls Israeli Activist Judge 'My Hero'

By [PAUL BEDARD](#)

Posted: June 23, 2010

New [video of Supreme Court nominee Elena Kagan](#) praising an activist Israeli Supreme court judge as "my judicial hero" has [Republican](#) senators and conservative groups doubting administration claims that the former Clinton aide has an open mind and isn't interested in changing the Constitution. [[Read 10 Things You Didn't Know about Kagan.](#)]

Coming on the eve of [Senate Judiciary Committee](#) confirmation hearings, the video provided to Whispers by Americans United for Life shows Kagan in 2006 praising retired Judge Aharon Barak during a ceremony at Harvard when she was dean of the Harvard Law School. At the event, he was presented the Peter Gruber Foundation 2006 Justice Prize. According to the group, the \$500,000 award "acknowledges individual efforts and encourages further advancements toward bringing about a fundamentally just world." [[See a slide show of the members of the Supreme Court.](#)]

In a call with reporters today, failed Reagan court pick Robert Bork called Barak dangerous to those who are worried about activist [judges](#). "Barak may be the worst judge on the planet," said Bork. Barak's court, added Bork, was "the most activist court I have ever seen."

Republican Senate aides say Kagan's praise for the self-described activist judge will be one of two key issues the GOP will press Kagan on. The top Republican on the Judiciary Committee, Sen. [Jeff Sessions](#), has told conservatives that he will focus on Kagan's support for Barak and her efforts to bar military [recruiters](#) from Harvard Law. [[See who contributes to Sessions's campaigns.](#)]

Constitutionalists shudder at Barak's view of the law. According to Americans United, in his 2006 book *The Judge in a Democracy*, Barak wrote that "a good judge is a judge who, within the bounds of legitimate possibilities, makes law that, more than other law he is authorized to make, best bridges the gap between law and society and best protects the constitution and its values. He also says that judges should go "beyond actually deciding the dispute."

The issue of Kagan's praise for Barak received some push back from [Democrats](#) who noted that three of Bork's former law clerks have endorsed Kagan. They are also providing praise for Barak from the court's most conservative member, Antonin Scalia. At a 2007 event for Barak, Scalia said he had disagreements with Barak but also had a "profound respect for the man, one that trumped their fundamental philosophical, legal, and constitutional disagreements," according to the *Jewish Daily Forward*.

Americans United for Life is pushing the Barak issue hard. Besides compiling the video, they have also sent memos to supporters about Barak's positions and plan to ask senators to press Kagan on her view of his judicial philosophy.

Attachment 3

Jewish Clergy Group: Elena Kagan Isn't 'Kosher' to Serve on Supreme Court

Friday, June 25, 2010

By Pete Winn, Senior Writer/Editor

(CNSNews.com) – Supreme Court nominee Elena Kagan is “not kosher” -- meaning she is not fit to serve on the court -- according to more than 850 Orthodox members of the Rabbinical Alliance of America. That's the term the rabbis used about Kagan in a press release issued Thursday, saying "Elena Kagan is not kosher. She is not fit to sit on this Court -- or any court."

Rabbi Yehuda Levin, spokesman for the alliance, told CNSNews.com on Thursday that "a great deal has been made about the fact that she would be the second Jewish woman on the court, and we want to signal to people across the country that we take no pride in this."

Levin said most people are happy when "one of their own" is nominated to such a high position. But, he added, "We feel that Elena Kagan turns traditional Judaism on its head – from a concept of a nation of priests and holy people, she is turning it into, 'Let's homosexualize every segment of society. And by the way, partial-birth babies have no right to be delivered.'"

In a statement issued Thursday, the rabbinical alliance called on the Senate Judiciary Committee to refuse to confirm Kagan to succeed the outgoing Justice John Paul Stevens. "It is clear from Ms. Kagan's record on issues such as abortion-on-demand, partial-birth-abortion, the radical homosexual and lesbian agenda, the "supremacy" of the anti-family panoply over religious liberties of biblical adherents, et. al., that she will function as a flame-throwing radical, hastening society's already steep decline into Sodom and Gomorrah," the rabbis said in the statement.

Levin told CNSNews.com that his fellow rabbis – and hundreds of thousands of Orthodox and traditional Jews – are puzzled at the president's choice of Kagan. "What exactly was Obama thinking, President Obama thinking, when he nominated Kagan? Because eventually, down the road, someone -- or some group -- is going to 'take the hit' for the crazy decisions that Kagan is bound to make. So we would have much preferred if President Obama had given this 'distinction' to another minority group, instead of singling out the Jews."

Barring a rebuff from the Senate Judiciary Committee, Levin told CNSNews.com that the rabbis want someone in the Senate to launch a filibuster to stop Kagan's nomination from coming to a vote.

'We're waiting for the more courageous, decent senators – whether it's a (Sen.) Jim DeMint (R-S.C.) or a (Sen.) Tom Coburn (R-Okla.) or a (Sen.) Jeff Sessions (R-Ala.) – we're looking for them to stand up and filibuster this embarrassing endangerment of a nomination,' Levin said.

Confirmation hearings for Kagan begin Monday at the Senate Judiciary Committee. Neither Sen. Dianne Feinstein (D-Calif.) nor Sen. Russ Feingold (D-Wis.) -- both members of the committee, known Kagan supporters and top Jewish members of the Senate -- responded to calls for comment on this story.

End of Document