

Paul V. Sheridan

---

**From:** Paul V Sheridan <pvsheridan@protonmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 02, 2022 2:29 PM  
**To:** John P Moore  
**Cc:** styagi; grw7; amc2056@med.cornell.edu  
**Subject:** FW: The COVID-19 Vaccine, Explained by Cornell Virologists (Article)  
**Attachments:** NatrassMoore - Smerconish. We Fought Against AIDS Misinformation. This is How to Fight Against COVID Conspiracies.pdf; MooreBorio - Pro vaccines and mandates Daily Caller.pdf; Moore - Vaccine polarization Daily Caller.pdf

Mr. Moore:

First, as already stated, I will be calling you early this week.

Second, **you did not answer any of my three simple questions; questions that are evoked by the article that you and Mr. Wittaker are quoted in.** None of the articles you attached are relevant/responsive. In fact, none are even timed to the article in question (i.e. the contemporaneous issues of 2020).

Third, please forward to me immediately, all links to websites that you claim to have reviewed, that suggest in the slightest that I am, using your email words, *"a member of the ultra-right, anti-vaccine, "stop the steal" (sic) movement."*

I have done searches on my name in several search engines, and in several name formats, and can find no such suggestion or direct accusation of the sort you, as a first, have alleged. I am not a member of ANY political group, I am not "anti-vaccine." And I am certainly not part or privy to anything associated with a "stop the steal movement." We will discuss that part of your email very soon.

Again, I will be calling you early this week.

Paul V. Sheridan

Sent with [ProtonMail](#) Secure Email.

----- Original Message -----

On Sunday, January 2, 2022 2:06 PM, Paul V. Sheridan <[pvsheridan@wowway.com](mailto:pvsheridan@wowway.com)> wrote:

---

**From:** John P Moore [<mailto:jpm2003@med.cornell.edu>]  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 02, 2022 1:56 PM  
**To:** Paul V. Sheridan  
**Cc:** grw7; styagi  
**Subject:** The COVID-19 Vaccine, Explained by Cornell Virologists (Article)

Mr. Sheridan,

A quick Google search shows you to be a member of the ultra-right, anti-vaccine, "stop the steal" (sic) movement that has caused so much damage to our nation during the pandemic, not least by causing so many unnecessary COVID-19 deaths via the spread of lethal disinformation. I have attached three articles that you should read as you clearly need exposure to fact-based information. But as I have no interest in a discussion with someone who is so entrenched in dangerously anti-social activities I have blocked your email address - so there is no point to your replying to my email.

John Moore

On Jan 2, 2022, at 12:17 AM, Paul V. Sheridan <[pvsheridan@wowway.com](mailto:pvsheridan@wowway.com)> wrote:

<https://vivo.weill.cornell.edu/display/cwid-jpm2003>

<https://www.vet.cornell.edu/gary-whittaker-phd>

<https://cornellsun.com/2020/12/17/the-covid-19-vaccine-explained-by-cornell-virologists/>

Mr. Moore:

In the subject article you are quoted as follows, emphasis on three samples, and three questions (Q 1, 2 &3) :

(1) "With that in mind, what the vaccine designers do is present a protein to the immune system in a way that does not cause virus infection. The vaccines are harmless from that perspective. But the [spike] protein is presented to the immune system in a way that the immune system raises antibodies against it."

**(Q-1) In the context of the the Pfizer version, are you saying that the inoculation involves injection of spike protein into the bloodstream?**

(2) "While some basic experiments were being done in animals, the best estimate of the optimal design was being produced under these large scale, ultra high quality conditions. So then, you get into a position where you're able to do a phase one clinical trial."

**(Q-2) In the context of COVID-19 what precisely were the "basic experiments ... being done in animals"? What animals were used, and when/where were these experiments conducted?**

Article lead-in: Moore explained that current vaccine candidates have had promising results from Phase 3 clinical trials — especially in preventing severe disease and death.

(3) “These severe disease cases have almost all been in the placebo group, including at least one death in the placebo group. There’s fewer numbers here, but the data is suggesting that the vaccines are also protecting against severe disease and death, which would obviously be a good thing.”

You will note that the linked/subject article was published six days *after* the FDA/CDC granted their Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) of 11 December 2020 for Pfizer.

**(Q-3) As you are fully aware, at the time of your quote #3, the Pfizer Phase 3 trials were no longer blind. At the time of the EUA, for how long *prior* were the Phase 3 trials no longer blind; at what point/date did Pfizer *un-blind* Phase 3? Are your allusions to "95% efficacy" based on the Phase 3 trial data supplied by Pfizer?**

Thank you for your time, I will telephone you early next week.

Paul V. Sheridan

<https://pvsheridan.com/BusinessCard.pdf>