FedEx

September 01, 2021

Dear Customer,

The following is the proof-of-delivery for tracking number: 774692191462

**Delivery Information:**

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Status:** | Delivered | **Delivered To:** | Receptionist/Front Desk |
| **Signed for by:** | K.BAUSCH | **Delivery Location:** | 9000 ROCKVILLE PIKE |
| **Service type:** | FedEx Standard Overnight | | |
| **Special Handling:** | Deliver Weekday | | ROCKVILLE, MD, 20852 |
| | | **Delivery date:** | Sep 1, 2021 16:13 |

**Shipping Information:**

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Tracking number:** | 774692191462 | **Ship Date:** | Aug 31, 2021 |
| | | **Weight:** | 3.0 LB/1.36 KG |

**Recipient:**
Dr. Anthony S. Fauci, NIAID
31 Center Drive
NIAID Central Drop-off
ROCKVILLE, MD, US, 20852

**Shipper:**
Paul V. Sheridan, DDM Consulting
22357 Columbia Street
DDM Consulting
Dearborn, MI, US, 48124

**Reference** Joint Pollack/Fauci Ltr - 1


Thank you for choosing FedEx

September 01, 2021

Dear Customer,

The following is the proof-of-delivery for tracking number: 774692152281

**Delivery Information:**

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Status:** | Delivered | **Delivered To:** | |
| **Signed for by:** | Signature release on file | **Delivery Location:** | 300 DAY HALL |
| **Service type:** | FedEx Standard Overnight | | |
| **Special Handling:** | Deliver Weekday | | ITHACA, NY, 14853 |
| | | **Delivery date:** | Sep 1, 2021 12:16 |

**Shipping Information:**

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Tracking number:** | 774692152281 | **Ship Date:** | Aug 31, 2021 |
| | | **Weight:** | 3.0 LB/1.36 KG |

**Recipient:**
Ms. Martha Pollack, Cornell University
300 Day Hall
Office of the President
ITHACA, NY, US, 14853

**Shipper:**
Paul V. Sheridan, DDM Consulting
22357 Columbia Street
DDM Consulting
Dearborn, MI, US, 48124

**Reference** Joint Pollack/Fauci Ltr - 1

Proof-of-delivery details appear below; however, no signature is available for this FedEx Express shipment because a signature was not required.

Thank you for choosing FedEx

22357 Columbia Street
Dearborn, MI 48124-3431
313-277-5095
pvs6@cornell.edu

27 August 2021 VIA FEDEX AIRBILLS 774692152281 / 774692191462

Ms. Martha E. Pollack
Cornell University
300 Day Hall
Ithaca, NY 14853
607-255-5201 / president@cornell.edu

Mr. Anthony S. Fauci
NIAID
5601 Fishers Lane
Rockville, MD 20852
301-496-2263 / anthony.fauci@nih.gov

**Subjects :** **Ongoing Global Criminal Participations / Promotions of "SARS-CoV-2" :**

**(1) The Fraudulent 'Emergency Use Authorization' (EUA)**
**(2) Pfizer mRNA Inoculation Induced Severe Injury and Death**
**(3) Connections to Nursing Home Deaths**
**(4) Connections to Suicide Deaths – American K-12 Students**

**Reference 1:** **Mr. Albert Bourla Severe Injury Assault of Ms. Jummai Nache**
**Reference 2:** **Martha Pollack Collaborations – Pfizer / NY Forward Reopening Advisory Board**

**Characterization 1:** **Show Me the Company You *Keep*, and I Will Tell You *What You Are***
**Characterization 2:** **Show Me the Company You Do *Not Keep*, and I Will Tell You *What You Are Not***

Dear Ms. Pollack / Mr. Fauci:

Connecting you to the Subjects is not tentative; the facts are overwhelming:

**PREAMBLE**

We review the Subjects and Characterizations in a context which affirms that current events are foreseeable, but merely symptomatic of our epoch. In terms of human affairs at the macro level, and your participations at the micro level, no image is more representative or comprehensive than the following:

**Preamble – con't**



The above is not offered as religious overture, but as an epochal event. [A] Regarding *your* 'thirty pieces of silver' and *your* blatant betrayal of trust, I welcome your diatribe. **But even if you incorrectly allege abuse, know that I have been thoroughly pre-empted and have already presented that pre-emption:**

[A] Betrayal of the Nazarene Jesus, by the Judæan Judas Iscariot; painting by Mr. Ary Scheffer (1795 – 1858).

**Preamble – Conclusion**

## NIH director: We asked God for help with COVID-19, and vaccines are the 'answer to that prayer'

*'This is about saving lives,' NIH Director Francis Collins told RNS.*

National Institutes of Health Director Dr. Francis Collins speaks during a Senate Health, Education, Labor and Pensions Committee hearing on new coronavirus tests on Capitol Hill in Washington on May 7, 2020. (AP Photo/Andrew Harnik, Pool)

I assure humanity that Jesus did not hear, nor respond to the "prayer" of the charlatan Francis Collins. His fraud on COVID "vaccines" confirms *his* betrayal on many levels; let us expose two :

(1) The needle deployed against Cornell University is filled with an mRNA concoction that is **not** a vaccine. Known to Fauci, as we already reminded him, his patent application of 2003 was **rejected** by the US Patent office on that basis; the generic mRNA concoction was **not** and is still **not** a vaccine. I stated in July 2021:

> "Its content, delivery and true purpose does not meet the most loosely defined medical, legal, moral . . . or even patent office criteria . . . and Fauci knows it!" [B]

(2) Collins, Donald Trump and you two, will proclaim that your COVID concoction resulted from *recent* "rigorous effort" by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and New York based Pfizer; that your all-new "vaccine" emerged initially from 'Operation Warp Speed,' conducted in the context of a no-alternatives emergency during 2020. **All bold-faced lies!** [C]

---

[B] See Page 7 of Exhibit ( or https://pvsheridan.com/sheridan2wilson-1-19july2021.pdf )

[C] The "rigorous effort" involves banning of truth by your comrades in Big Tech. The patent history of SARS viruses, "vaccines," test kits, etc., are rigorously censored by your colleagues at Facebook, YouTube, Instagram, Twitter, WordPress, LinkedIn, etc. One prominent example of such is preserved here (See Page 15 below):

http://pvsheridan.com/Dr-Fuellmich_Dr-Martin_July-2021-Corona-Investigative-Committee.mp4

**REFERENCE 1 : Mr. Albert Bourla Severe Injury Assault of Ms. Jummai Nache**

Before I review the two Characterizations:

> Show Me the Company You Keep, and I Will Tell You *What You Are*,
> Show Me the Company You Do Not Keep, and I Will Tell You *What You Are Not*,

I present a criminal and *one* of his victims. We return to the Ms. Nache horror in the Conclusion.

Mr. Albert Bourla was inserted into Pfizer in early 2019 in preparation for the revised timetable of COVID-17, from the original schedule of the "SARS-CoV-2" outbreak. Bourla is a friend of Mr. Fauci and a colleague of Ms. Martha Pollack. An advocate of Klaus Schwab and *The Great Reset*, Bourla immediately directed Pfizer to **drop all off-patent**, safe & proven, low cost/price/profit **medicines** from the Pfizer product line: [D]



**As you know, Bourla enjoys taxpayer-funded "sales" of his mRNA concoction that is immensely profitable, and exempt from civil liabilities; the latter, liability immunity, resulted from a RICO scheme pre-arranged by Mr. Anthony Fauci.**

Similar to the 'mandatory vaccine' enforced by Ms. Pollack upon Cornell University, a dedicated nurse **Ms. Jummai Nache was coerced** by the so-called "medical profession" into a needle filled with a known-to-be-deadly mRNA concoction from Pfizer / Bourla:

The horror that happened to Jummai, and your connections to it, are discussed below.

[D] Shocking, but expected Pollack / Bourla collaboration, and its connection to Jummai and the Subjects are discussed in the Reference 2 (Pages 20 – 22 below).

**CHARACTERIZATION 1 : Show Me the Company You Keep, and I Will Tell You *What You Are***

This list is so long and sullied that it renders the undersigned deeply grieved; especially regarding but not limited to the fate of my alma mater, Cornell University. I have decided to restrict the 'Company' of this section to only Subject-relevant persons…such as your close personal friend Andrew Cuomo:

**If left to you Ms. Pollack, or you Mr. Fauci, the lady being sexually assaulted by your COVID colleague Andrew Cuomo; that lady will *also* suffer the horror you have inflicted upon Ms. Jummai Nache . . . or worse.**

Review of connected headlines will affirm that prognostication . . .

**CHARACTERIZATION 1 : Show Me the Company You Keep, and I Will Tell You *What You Are – con't***

NASSAU DAILY VOICE

Nassau Daily Voice serves Glen Cove, Hempstead, Long Beach, North Hempstead & Oyster Bay SEE NEARBY TOWNS

NEWS

## COVID-19: Fauci Praises Cuomo, New York's Response To Pandemic

Zak Failla 12/08/2020 7:30 a.m.

Infectious disease expert Dr. Anthony Fauci had nothing but praise for New York's handling of the COVID-19 pandemic and the state's plan going forward during a surprise virtual appearance on Monday morning.

Fauci was a special guest speaker during New York Gov. Andrew Cuomo's COVID-19 briefing on Monday, Dec. 7 in Manhattan, where he sounded off on the state's progress and offered his advice for handling the pandemic, which he says will peak in mid-to-late January.

"(New York's plan) seems really sound," said Fauci, appearing by video from Washington, DC. "There's a lot of backup contingencies which I like ... New York isn't going to get caught short-handed on this, that I'm certain of.

**A mere three days after this "surprise," FDA comrades awarded Mr. Bourla his requested Emergency Use Authorization (EAU) on December 11 2020; an mRNA monopoly guarantying BILLIONS for Pfizer. The EUA depended upon conspiratorial censorship of safe, non-vaccine treatment protocols, and smear campaigns against the MDs who saved COVID patients worldwide. The EUA racketeering was a follow-up to Fauci's liability immunity. Marketing schemes involving "variants," and of course "booster shots," are all pre-planned RICO crimes on a global scale.** [E]

[E] Some of the MDs directly and indirectly, or implicitly, slandered and libeled by Fauci/Pollack are presented in the section, *'Show Me the Company You Do Not Keep, and I Will Tell You What You Are Not'* (Page 16 -19 below).

**CHARACTERIZATION 1 : Show Me the Company You Keep, and I Will Tell You *What You Are***
***– con't***

"Surprise virtual appearance"? **Another example of manipulations and bold-faced lies.** The upcoming EUA was known to Fauci and Cuomo prior to their "surprise" of December 8 2020. Headlines *pre-date* their adolescent stunt, wherein the **"pathological liar"** is quoted. These post 2020-election news reports were *also* Fauci's ploy to disconnect his needles from the anti-Trump rhetoric of Joe Biden: [F]


Regarding these connected headlines, justification of the EUA was criminal, and at least three-fold:

(1) The 'Public Readiness and Emergency Preparedness Act' evoked by HHS Secretary Alex Azar in February 2020, and the cheer-leading by President Trump about Operation Warp Speed, amounted to an open declaration by COVID vested interests that the citizenry and Congress were all 'born yesterday.' These and other criminal deceptions to establish the EUA are detailed below (Intermission 1, Page 15).

(2) Fauci, Collins (and CDC Director Rochelle Walensky) deployed a coordinated censorship against early non-vaccine COVID treatment protocols. The Fauci/Collins/Walensky lie that *"no adequate, approved, and available alternatives"* existed, and therefore Bourla's mRNA needle was *"the only path forward,"* **are bold-faced lies which (purposely) ensured the horrors in the New York nursing homes, etc.**

(3) The EUA required death statistics that frightened the public, and overwhelmed the twits in The Swamp and the Cuomo suck-ups in Albany, New York. By endorsing the banning of early non-vaccine treatments you two participated in the crimes of gross criminal negligence and **depraved indifference** . . . for starters.

Fauci / Pollack, you are aware that the nursing home deaths were <u>avoidable</u>. You participated in lies (2) and (3) to assist the EUA and Cornell mandatory "vaccinations" respectively. In so-doing you accommodated The Great Reset, and the profiteering of Pfizer CEO Mr. Bourla. *That* was a major priority.

---

[F] Mr. Fauci, your reputation as a **"pathological liar"** includes a source a short walk from Ms. Pollack's 300 Day Hall office. Unlike the 'liability immunity' that you orchestrated for Big Pharma, the Cornell University official who described you in the public domain as a **"pathological liar,"** unlike your needles, does not need liability immunity, and indeed he/she might welcome your legal claims for libel/slander.

**CHARACTERIZATION 1: Show Me the Company You Keep, and I Will Tell You *What You Are***
***– con't***

In December 2020, prior to the Fauci-emails release, the news outlets served as Pfizer public relations. None reported on the true causes of **the agonizing deaths in New York nursing homes.** Earlier, while Governor Cuomo was assaulting people, and threatening those who exposed him, this photograph was taken at a Manhattan nursing home:

**Ms. Pollack:** No Cornell news outlet prior-to or after your Stay-Homecoming 2020 (which was re-purposed as a 'Mandatory Vaccination' precursor) . . . not the Cornell Chronicle, not the Cornell Daily Sun, not your "New Normal" website; none reported **on the causes of tens-of-thousands of nursing home deaths**. Weill-Cornell Medical College is located in Manhattan, where your COVID comrades Cuomo and Fauci promenaded their "surprise" December 7, 2020 marketing stunt, a precursor to the Pfizer/EUA gala: [G]

[G] Ms. Pollack, your "contribution," subverting the Cornell Homecoming 2020 for the 'Mandatory Vaccination' purpose, speaks volumes about *what* you are, and The Company You Keep (Mr. Albert Bourla and Cuomo's New York Forward Reopening Advisory Board). Regarding Homecoming 2020, you and Fauci received my June 9 2021 letter; see Pages 5 - 13 : https://pvsheridan.com/sheridan2fauci-4-9june2021.pdf

**CHARACTERIZATION 1: Show Me the Company You Keep, and I Will Tell You *What You Are* – *con't***

'Company' is restricted to Subjects-relevant persons, such as Fauci's close friend, the bribery-philanthropist charlatan Bill Gates:

**Is there is any person more hated than you Mr. Fauci?** Bill Gates perhaps? A person that is allegedly banned from 38 countries? The lack of integrity you share with Mr. Collins serves the needs of the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation. Cloaked behind philanthropy, the Foundation was reinvigorated by your revised "SARS-CoV-2" / COVID breakout *to* late 2019.

THE | DIPLOMAT
READ THE DIPLOMAT, KNOW THE ASIA-PACIFIC

THE PULSE | SOCIETY | SOUTH ASIA

## Why Are Indians So Angry at Bill Gates?

The latest backlash against the Gates Foundation in India is the result of years' worth of concerns raised by human rights activists and civil society.

By **Akshay Tarfe**
June 15, 2021

Soon similar headlines will emerge on the world scene for both Mr. Fauci and Ms. Pollack. But in addition to **#ArrestBillGates** (which exists), we will soon have **#ArrestAlbertBourla,** and **#ArrestAndrewCuomo**, and **#ArrestFrancisCollins**, and **#ArrestTonyFauci**, and **#ArrestMarthaPollack**, and . . .

**CHARACTERIZATION 1 : Show Me the Company You Keep, and I Will Tell You *What You Are – con't***

Whether the context is NIH/NIAID or Cornell University, Bill Gates has long been the focus of criminal investigations regarding his marketing-of and profiteering from known-to-be-*un*safe vaccines.

His criminality is manifold. But regarding his fraudulent promotions of vaccine safety, and the original 2017 schedule of "SARS-CoV-2," **the best evidence of criminality is Gates' mouth:**


*"So the second time I saw him (President Trump) was the March after that, and so March 2017 in the White House. In both of those two meetings he asked me if vaccines weren't a bad thing, because he was considering a commission to look into, uh, ill effects of vaccines, and somebody, I think his name was Robert Kennedy Jr., was advising him that vaccines were causing bad things. And I said, '**No, that's a dead end. That would be a bad thing, don't do that.' "***

Perhaps you two, and your colleague Mr. Gates, will be in-attendance with Mr. Philip Nache . . . at the anticipated funeral of his lovely wife Jummai; **a coerced recipient of the Mr. Albert Bourla needle:**



**CHARACTERIZATION 1 : Show Me the Company You Keep, and I Will Tell You *What* You Are – *con't***

Mr. Robert Harrison was appointed as Chief Executive Officer of the Clinton Global Initiative (CGI) in 2006. He was appointed to chair the Cornell University Board of Trustees (BOT) in March 11, 2011.


That he chose to associate his person with lying, cheating, fornicating, adulterous self-absorbed people is indicative, and his personal right. But by serving Bill Clinton (and his baggage), and by chairmanship of the BOT at my alma mater, Harrison is now *my* business, especially if he is connectable to the Subjects.

With Yale Law graduate Robert Harrison as conduit, the connection of the Clintons to Cornell, implicitly includes persons of notoriously questionable or criminal character:

This Harrison/Clinton conduit entangles Cornell with globally based crimes against humanity. An indication includes deployment of Ms. Chelsea Clinton as CGI ambassador combatting **"vaccine hesitancy."**

**CHARACTERIZATION 1 : Show Me the Company You Keep, and I Will Tell You *What* You Are – *con't***

Prior to an escalator ride in New York, **Mr. Fauci, while serving as the highest paid member of the Executive Branch, sent improper intragovernmental emails;** several revealed your bias regarding the 2016 presidential election. Your emails regarding "Candidate H' were part of a tacit conveyance to your global COVID / RICO colleagues that everything was on schedule:

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05797268 Date: 12/31/2015

RELEASE IN FULL

**From:** Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 23, 2013 6:21 PM
**To:** H
**Subject:** FW: Today's performance

From your doctor admirer

---

**From:** Fauci, Anthony (NIH/NIAID) [E] [mailto:AFAUCI@niaid.nih.gov]
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 23, 2013 6:10 PM
**To:** Mills, Cheryl D
**Subject:** Today's performance

Cheryl:
Anyone who had any doubts about the Secretary's stamina and capability following her illness had those doubts washed away by today's performance before the Senate and the House. She faced extremely difficult circumstances at the Hearings and still she hit it right out of the park. Please tell her that we all love her and are very proud to know her.
Warm regards,
Tony

**Anthony S. Fauci, MD**
**Director**
**National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases**
**Building 31, Room 7A-03**
**31 Center Drive, MSC 2520**
**National Institutes of Health**
**Bethesda, MD 20892-2520**
**Phone: (301) 496-2263**
**FAX: (301) 496-4409**
**E-mail: afauci**

**The information in this e-mail and any of its attachments is confidential and may contain sensitive information. It should not be used by anyone who is not the original intended recipient. If you have received this e-mail in error please inform the sender and delete it from your mailbox or any other storage devices. The National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) shall not accept liability for any statements made that are the sender's own and not expressly made on behalf of the NIAID by one of its representatives.**

Your 2016 election expectations included accommodation of COVID-2017. While your heart-throb was in play **(the person you had been referring to as "Candidate H,' doing so two-years before Ms. Hillary Rodham-Clinton had announced)**, massive effort was being expended to usher-in what was codified by Mr. Klaus Schwab as *The Great Reset*. [H]

---

[H] Mr. Fauci, your assessment of Candidate H is representative of your stupidity and lack-of-concern for humanity. You were aware that the Secretary Clinton hearings of 2013 investigated **murder of Americans in Benghazi Libya**. Candidate H testified, quote:

> *"The fact is we had four dead Americans. Was it because of a protest or was it because of guys out for a walk one night who decided that they'd go kill some Americans?* ***What difference at this point does it make?!"***

"Hit it right out of the park"?! "Very proud"?! And your comments regarding Mr. Bourla versus Ms. Jummai Nache?

**CHARACTERIZATION 1 : Show Me the Company You Keep, and I Will Tell You *What* You Are – *con't***

In the context of COVID-2017, the escalator gala by Melania and Donald Trump on June 15, 2015, and


the Trump victory on November 8 2016, explain in-part the vile anti-Trump comments, tacitly endorsed by Mr. Fauci and Ms. Pollack. COVID-2017 as an operative of *The Great Reset* explains **the massive relentless hate campaign** that the Trump family endured, including young Barron, subsequent to the 45th presidential inauguration on January 21 2016.

On January 10, 2017, mere days prior to inauguration of President Donald Trump, the errand boy to *The Great Reset*, "America's Doctor," was compelled to announce postponement of COVID-2017:

"There will be a challenge (for) the coming Administration in the arena of infectious diseases, both chronic infectious diseases in the sense of already ongoing disease, and we have certainly a large burden of that, but also there will be a surprise outbreak."

It is likely that the March 2017 White House meetings (detailed by Bill Gates on Page 10 above) were originally planned for COVID-2017 . . . **but those meetings were supposed to involve the complicity, if not outright participations of your "Candidate H."**

**<u>CHARACTERIZATION 1 : Show Me the Company You Keep, and I Will Tell You *What* You Are</u>**
**– Conclusion**

Just prior to the 2016 election, *The Great Reset* and its NIAID COVID-2017 errand boy were confronted by diametrically opposed headlines; Donald Trump versus “Candidate H”:



FIRST OPINION

## Say what you will about Donald Trump. He’s right about drug companies

By Charles D. Rosen July 22, 2016

JOE RAEDLE/GETTY IMAGES

With Donald Trump finally ensconced as the Republican nominee for president, it’s high time to applaud his spot-on positions on the pharmaceutical industry.

As a physician, I believe that Trump is absolutely right about allowing cheaper pharmaceutical drugs manufactured abroad to be sold in the United States. He is right that the pharmaceutical companies essentially sell their products to the federal government via Medicare and Medicaid without competitive bidding. In other areas of the budget, such as defense, federal laws require competitive bidding. It is outrageous this doesn’t occur with drugs and devices, especially since the health care budget is right behind defense in terms of expense.


In ‘CHARACTERIZATION 2 : Show Me the Company You Do Not Keep, and I Will Tell You *What You Are Not*,’ we further substantiate the relevance of these headlines to COVID-2017.

**INTERMISSION 1 : The Coronavirus Investigation Committee**

Evidence asserting global conspiratorial COVID criminality is presented in a 70-minute interview of Dr. David Martin by Dr. Reiner Füllmich; July 2021 meeting of The Coronavirus Investigation Committee:

With no-need to reference the *self-inculpatory* emails of Fauci to Peter Daszak,
**Dr. Martin testified as follows**; testimony which implies the veracity of COVID-2017:

"Somebody knew something in 2015 and 2016 which gave rise to my favorite quote of this entire pandemic. And by that, I am not being cute. My favorite quote of this pandemic was a statement made in 2015 by Peter Daszak. The statement that was made by Peter Daszak, reported in the National Academy of Press Publications in February 12, 2016; and I am quoting,

**'We need to increase public understanding of the need for medical countermeasures such as a pan-corona-virus vaccine. A key driver is the media, and the economics will follow the hype. We need to use that hype to our advantage to get to the real issues. Investors will respond if they see profits at the end of the process.'"**


SHARE

LIFE & ARTS | IDEAS | THE SATURDAY ESSAY

**Bill Gates: The Best Investment I've Ever Made**

Global health groups that buy and distribute medicines are a sure bet for saving lives, but their government funding is now in danger, and even the biggest philanthropies can't fill the gap

By Bill Gates
Jan. 16, 2019 7:01 pm ET

In the context of the Subjects, the notion held by Ms. Martha Pollack, that Gates Hall on the Cornell campus is the result of "philanthropy," is not merely ignorant, it is at-best complicity, but most likely, in view of University Development Office prospecting, co-conspiracy. [I]

[I] See Reference 2 discussion of similar prospect, Mr. Albert Bourla, Pages 21-22 below.

**CHARACTERIZATION 2 : Show Me the Company You Do *Not* Keep, and I Will Tell You *What You Are Not***

Footnote G, Page 8 above, introduces the little-known *'New York Forward Reopening Advisory Board.'* That COVID-2019 farce is presented in the Reference 2 (Pages 20-22 below).

As Ms. Pollack, and her Cornell Homecoming 2020 cohort Anthony Fauci are fully aware, the people discussed in this section were not only *not*-invited to that New York Forward Reopening Advisory Board, they were actively shunned . . . **in stark contrast to Pfizer CEO Mr. Albert Bourla**.

Ms. Pollack, Mr. Fauci . . . you two are definitely not of the same character, integrity and competence of Dr. Vladimir Zelenko. He represents, as a matter of history, 'Company You Do **Not** Keep.'


Located in Monroe, New York (where I spent a large part of my life), Dr. Zelenko has treated innumerable patients that present COVID-like symptoms . . . all have survived and returned to normal life:

Guess how many patients under Dr. Zelenko's care were subjected to the fraudulent RT-PCR "test for COVID-19," and therefore were cannon fodder for CDC statistics that were used to justify the Fauci EUA?

Guess how many were hospitalized, and had a ventilator shoved into their face, which ensured death?

Guess how many times Dr. Zelenko was invited by State of New York "health authorities" (the buffoons that Ms. Pollack has relied upon for "guidance"), either to testify in Albany, or as a visiting physician to alleviate the Cuomo/Fauci nursing home deaths?

*Instead*, guess how many of Dr. Zelenko's patients were treated with COMPLETE SUCCESS with **hydroxychloroquine**, an off-patent inexpensive proven-safe medicine (that Mr. Fauci declared caused "adverse events," as he lied about "data" developed by his colleagues at Surgisphere) ?

Guess how many patients under Dr. Zelenko's care have been victimized by **"breakthrough"** events that resulted from use of hydroxychloroquine, and had to reinitiate medical care to survive COVID? [J]

Guess how many patients under Dr. Zelenko's care have been listed under the fraudulent Centers for Disease Control (CDC) Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System (VAERS)?

**Guess how many patients under Dr. Zelenko's care were injected with the same Pfizer/Bourla needle that was used on Ms. Jummai Nache?**

---

[J] Both of you received my July 21 2020 letter which discusses this Fauci fraud against **hydroxychloroquine** (a fraud deployed to bolster meetings held by Cuomo, **and attended by Pollack as a member** of Cuomo's New York Forward Reopening Advisory Board). See Pages 4-8 here http://pvsheridan.com/sheridan2fauci-1-21july2020.pdf

**CHARACTERIZATION 2 : Show Me the Company You Do *Not* Keep, and I Will Tell You *What You Are Not***

Ms. Pollack, Mr. Fauci . . . you two are definitely not of the same character, integrity and competence of Dr. Pierre Kory. He represents, as a matter of history, 'Company You Do **Not** Keep.'


Also with offices in New York, Dr. Kory has treated patients WORLDWIDE that present COVID-like symptoms . . . all have survived and returned to normal life:

Guess how many patients under Dr. Kory's care were specimens of the RT-PCR "test for COVID-19" fraud, and therefore used as cannon fodder for the World Health Organization (WHO) statistics that were used to justify global injection of humanity with Mr. Albert Bourla's needle?

Guess how many times Dr. Kory was invited by State of New York "health authorities," either to testify in Albany, or as a visiting physician to alleviate the Cuomo/Fauci nursing home deaths?

*Instead*, guess how many patients under Dr. Kory's care were treated with COMPLETE SUCCESS with **IVERMECTIN**, an off-patent inexpensive proven-safe medicine (that Fauci declared an "animal drug")?

Guess how many patients under Dr. Kory's care have been victimized by **"breakthrough"** events that resulted from use of ivermectin, and had to reinitiate medical care for COVID?

Guess how many patients under Dr. Kory's care have been listed under the (under-counting) CDC VAERS *after* use of ivermectin?

Guess how many patients under Dr. Kory's care have been listed under the World Health Organization (WHO) Coronavirus COVID-19 Global Dashboard, after use of ivermectin? [K]

**Guess how many patients under Dr. Kory's care were injected with the same Pfizer/Bourla needle that was used on Ms. Jummai Nache?**

---

[K] Both of you received my December 21 2020 letter which discusses the suicide deaths of our K-12 children, but also discusses the vilification of Dr. Kory by US Senator Gary Peters (D-MI) during the Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of 8 December 8 2020, This coordinated slandering, endorsed by Fauci, targeted the off-patent ivermectin. See Page 3 here http://pvsheridan.com/sheridan2fauci-2-21december2020.pdf

**CHARACTERIZATION 2 : Show Me the Company You Do *Not* Keep, and I Will Tell You *What You Are Not***

Ms. Pollack, Mr. Fauci . . . you two are definitely not of the same character, integrity and competence of Dr. Richard Bartlett. He represents, as a matter of history, 'Company You Do **Not** Keep.'


Although not located in New York, Dr. Bartlett was among the first to treat innumerable patients in Texas that presented COVID-like symptoms . . . all have survived and returned to normal life:

Guess how many patients under Dr. Bartlett's care were specimens of the RT-PCR "test for COVID-19" fraud, and spewed as cannon fodder for the **Texas** Department of State Health Services statistics; the latter used to justify tyrannical state-wide lockdowns and "mask mandates"?

Guess how many times Dr. Bartlett was invited by *any* state "health authority," either to testify, or as a visiting physician to alleviate nursing home horrors in New York, New Jersey, Michigan, Pennsylvania?

*Instead*, guess how many patients under Dr. Bartlett's care were treated with COMPLETE SUCCESS with a **nebulized Budesonide protocol**, which involves off-patent inexpensive proven-safe medicines?

Guess how many patients under Dr. Bartlett's care have been victimized by **"breakthrough"** events that resulted from use of nebulized Budesonide, and had to reinitiate medical care for COVID?

Guess how many patients under Dr. Bartlett's care have been listed under the fraudulent CDC VAERS, *after* use of nebulized Budesonide?

**Guess how many patients under Dr. Bartlett's care were injected with the same Pfizer/Bourla needle that was used on Ms. Jummai Nache?**

**CHARACTERIZATION 2 : Show Me the Company You Do *Not* Keep, and I Will Tell You *What You Are Not* – Conclusion**

Both of you are recipients of my April 12 2021 letter which discusses the Nuremberg Code, Medicalization, The Impossibility of Informed Consent, Connections of Dr. Anthony Fauci to the Nursing Homes Deaths, and the crime of 'Depraved Indifference.'

In addition to the MDs discussed above (Zelenko, Pierre, and Bartlett), I also presented in April 12 2021 the renowned Yale University epidemiologist Dr. Harvey Risch, director and founder of America's Frontline Doctors Dr. Simone Gold, and recent appointee to direct the Idaho Central District Health Dr. Ryan Cole.

Pictured on the left, Risch, Gold and Cole are also examples of The Company you do *NOT k*eep:


At-right is The Company you *DO* keep . . . a 'vested interest,' who ensured that off-patent medicines **were <u>NOT MENTIONED</u> as "advise," that <u>Ms. Pollack assisted with</u> as member of the NY Forward Reopening Advisory Board . . . during the time <u>she was aware</u> that thousands were dying in the nursing homes . . . due to <u>censorship of available, safe and 99% effective non-vaccine treatments</u>.**

**REFERENCE 2 : Martha Pollack Collaborations – Pfizer / NY Forward Reopening Advisory Board**

Receiving scant media attention throughout 2020, ex-Governor Cuomo accommodated the needs of not merely The Great Reset, but also the central ploy of that cult which demands the addiction of humanity to an mRNA gene modification injection that the inventor declared *"too dangerous to use on humans."*

The surreptitious method that Cuomo used was formed in March 2020, called the "New York Reopening Advisory Board." The first meeting of this board occurred all the way back in April 2020:


It is no-surprise that Cuomo's Board website includes a "Pfizer Only" promotion:




**The question: Who were <u>not</u> key members of this "New York Forward Reopening Advisory Board, and who were, *and why* . . .**

**REFERENCE 2 : Martha Pollack Collaborations – Pfizer / NY Forward Reopening Advisory Board**
***– con't***

First we ask :

**Of the hundreds on Cuomo's New York Forward Reopening Advisory Board, were practicing medical doctors, located in New York, who had successfully treated patients, by the thousands, and were known to have done so without resorting to a Pfizer mRNA needle, doing so during the time that TENS-OF-THOUSANDS of elderly were dying in the nursing homes . . . were any invited to advise Cuomo's board?**

**Was New York Dr. Vladimir Zelenko invited ?**

**Was New York Dr. Pierre Kory invited ?**

In the alternative we ask:

**Was a person whose goal was the maximizing of corporate profits, who had previously banned all low-cost off-patent medicines from his drug portfolio to ensure those profits, who had knowledge of the COVID success of the off-patent medicines worldwide, but whose priority was instead the marketing of an mRNA concoction as a "vaccine," that was known to be dangerous but was shielded from all civil product liability . . . a person now pushing "variants," and "booster shots" . . . was *that* person invited to Cuomo's New York Forward Reopening Advisory Board?**

**Of course! He is CEO of Pfizer . . . his name is Mr. Albert Bourla.**

**REFERENCE 2 : Martha Pollack Collaborations – Pfizer / NY Forward Reopening Advisory Board – Conclusion**

But we must address a most insidious question:

**Is Cornell University in any way connectable to coordinated disinformation and subversion of known-to-be-successful non-vaccine COVID treatments that would have saved millions worldwide, had already done so in the great nation of India, but could also have saved tens-of-thousands in the New York nursing homes ?**

**And *if* the answer is 'Yes' . . . *then* is there any person who is responsible for the overall COVID conduct of Cornell University, and implicitly that 'Yes' answer?**

**Was there a member on the NY Forward Reopening Advisory Board from Big Academia, such as my alma mater Cornell University?**

**Her name is Ms. Martha Pollack, the current President of Cornell University:**

**Ms. Pollack, seated next to you** during the 2020 NY Forward Reopening Advisory Board meetings, during the time that body bags were scarce, being filled with former nursing home residents, **in a demonstration of your abject incompetence (at best),** you associated Cornell University, *not* with practices and persons that ensured the well-being of humanity, but instead *with the exact opposite*; sampled by the following: [L]



[L] 'Body bags' is discussed with President Trump in September 18 2020, see Page 2: http://pvsheridan.com/sheridan2trump-6-18september2020.pdf

**INTERMISSION 2 : The RICO Crimes of Liability Immunity – Paul Sheridan versus Fauci / Bourla**

A staunch advocate of transportation safety, Mr. Lee Iacocca, Chairman of Chrysler Corporation, nevertheless recognized that with respect to safety his organization had fundamental problems.

Inside Chrysler, in the 1992 timeframe, it was well-known that he was not pleased when he was compelled to ask the following not-so-rhetorical question:

**"Who is going to fix safety in my company?!"**

Of the ten-of-thousands of personnel to choose from, he chose Paul Sheridan, the undersigned.

Upon being chosen as Chairman of the Chrysler 'Safety Leadership Team' (SLT) I was immediately **inundated** with requests that the work and efforts of the SLT to protect Chrysler customers be, not merely circumspect, but secret! The primary source of that criminal request was the defense lawyers, and their corporate Defense Bar.

For two years, as chairman of the SLT, my primary burden was **not** correction or improvement of Chrysler product safety at the technical, engineering or manufacturing level . . . *not even close*. My primary burden was dealing with the deceit, the lies and the outright existing criminality of the corporate Defense Bar and their internal top executive clients. As a result of my Cornell MBA education, and corporate experience, and assertions of professional integrity, I deployed the following adage as a comprehensive rebuttal to the vileness that had characterized "safety," not merely in the automotive business, but in all product and service enterprises; the overleaf of my business card declares my *modus operandi*:




As a result of my work, after over-a- decade of effort, I was nominated by the American Bar Association for the much-heralded Civil Justice Foundation 'National Champion Award.' From over-1400 nominations I was chosen, and remain the first and only person to win the award for transportation safety.

From announcements in many business and legal journals, Cornell University Law School Dean Stewart Schwab sent a much appreciated hand-written note congratulating me as follows:

**" . . . an alumnus of Cornell University gets the recognition they richly deserve."**

**INTERMISSION 2 : The RICO Crimes of Liability Immunity – Paul Sheridan versus Fauci / Bourla – *con't***



Cornell Law School

Stewart J. Schwab
*The Allan R. Tessler Dean and Professor of Law*

June 22, 2005

Dear Paul,

I was delighted to see that you are to be honored as a Community Champion by the Civil Justice Foundation in Toronto next month. Congratulations!

We are always pleased when an alumnus of Cornell University gets the recognition they richly deserve.

I hope you enjoy the occasion, & I wish you success in your future endeavors.

Sincerely,

Stewart Schwab

263 Myron Taylor Hall, Ithaca, NY 14853-4901•tel:(607)255-3527•fax:(607)255-7193
e-mail:sjs15@cornell.edu

Of the millions of words, and thousands of images, and hundreds of hyperlinks that you (and Provost Michael Kotlikoff) have deployed on the Cornell "COVID-19 WEBSITE," not once do we find forthright disclosure regarding the legal/medical fraud imposed upon University students and staff by :

## "Liability Immunity."

**Why is that Ms. Pollack?**

**In stark contrast to your pusillanimity,** how many times do you think I proposed "liability immunity' as *key* to a competent, ethical and moral approach to transportation safety? How many times did I propose 'liability immunity' when assisting the Department of Transportation (DOT) with corrections to the Federal Registry?

**How acceptable would your 'liability immunity' approach have been to accident victims?**

**How acceptable would your coercions and "mandatory vaccinations" have been to Cornell University Founder Mr. Ezra Cornell?**

**INTERMISSION 2 : The RICO Crimes of Liability Immunity – Paul Sheridan versus Fauci / Bourla – *Conclusion***

**Summary : Mr. Anthony Fauci**

In your interview with the Financial Times of London of July 10, 2020, you spewed the following self-absorbed protestation:

> *" I have a reputation, as you probably have figured out, of speaking the truth at all times and not sugar-coating things. And that may be one of the reasons why I haven't been on television very much lately."*

Your sputum occurred at the time that mass graves were being filled with New York nursing home corpses, and **frantic but secret emails** were sent between you and criminals such as Mr. Peter Daszak. [M]

In another example of self-absorbed vehemence, one year later on July 20 2021, but now **after** the frantic and secret but heavily redacted emails had been released; before the US Senate on Health, Education, Labor and Pensions Committee, you declared that you are in no-way connected to *any* gain-of-function research, at the Wuhan Laboratory of Virology, or anywhere else:




In a vile but revealing **demonstration of your true person**, you began putting your fingers into the faces of the Senate, in **a threatening and violent manner**. If your proximity was closer, and took place on campus, your shouting and physical actions would have been interpreted by any reasonable person as imminent physical danger; **your arrest by the Cornell University Police would have occurred / been justified**. [N]

---

[M] See INTERMISSION : The Coronavirus Investigation Committee, Page 15 above.

[N] Personal observation: Your behavior is not unfamiliar to me; it portends a person whose position is increasingly tenuous compared to the associates you thought were going to 'have your back.'

**Summary : Mr. Anthony Fauci – Conclusion**

As you are fully aware, by virtue of being an open recipient, in the just-filed lawsuit of *Mr. Ravi Batra versus Mr. Peter C. Daszak, Janet D. Cottingham, EcoHealth Alliance, Incorporated,* your testimony and supporting unredacted documents, emails, etc., will be part of extensive discovery. None of the legal process, in my hard won experiences of over thirty years, will accommodate your history of violence, retaliation, threatening outbursts, or "sugarcoating" in behalf of your vested interests comrades.

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 08/17/2021 03:06 PM INDEX NO. 157709/2021
NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/19/2021

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

RAVI BATRA,

*Plaintiff,*

- against -

PETER C. DASZAK, JANET D. COTTINGHAM a/k/a JANET DASZAK, and ECOHEALTH ALLIANCE INC.,

*Defendants.*

**(NYSCEF CASE)**

**SUMMONS**

**THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANTS ARE HEREBY SUMMONED**, to answer the complaint in this action and to serve a copy of your answer, or, if the complaint is not served with this summons, to serve a notice of appearance, on the Attorneys for Plaintiff within twenty (20) days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service or within thirty (30) days after service is complete if this summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York; and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.

*COUNTY DESIGNATED AS THE PLACE OF TRIAL*: NEW YORK COUNTY

*BASIS OF VENUE*: CPLR 503(a); New York County is the county in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred.

DATED: August 17, 2021

The Law Firm of Ravi Batra, P.C.
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

By: Ravi Batra, Esq.

[ ] The Batra Building
142 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10016

[X] Westchester Office - Echo Law
11 Echo Bay Drive
New Rochelle, NY 10805

212-545-1993
E-Mail: ravi@ravibatralaw.com

I am requesting that plaintiff extend discovery to the RICO scheme of **'liability immunity,'** enacted by you in-behalf of Mr. Albert Bourla, Pfizer Corporation, etc., and how your **'liability immunity'** scheme was endemic to your true role in the global COVID-19 pandemic; that of the defendants, PLA comrades at the Wuhan Laboratory of Virology, Mr. Bill Gates, Mr. Francis Collins, Mr. Christian Drosten, former New York Governor Andrew Cuomo, former presidential candidate Ms. Hillary Rodham-Clinton, etc.

**Summary : Ms. Martha Pollack**

Your comrade, the movie star, the person you declared a source of "guidance" in your alleged battle against "SARS-CoV-2," is the defrocked and disgraced Andrew Cuomo:

On March 25, 2020, Governor Cuomo, contrary to all common sense and well-known medical practices, directed by Executive Order (EO) that "COVID positive" people be forcefully and indiscriminately inserted into *all* New York nursing homes. In that EO your friend Cuomo ordered that **"SARS-CoV-2 testing" of new residents be banned**; your "source of guidance" outlawed testing that was previously standard practice for any new resident, for even the flu! [o]

> But you and Cornell University Provost Michael Kotlikoff said nothing in protest of such torrid corruption; *why is that Ms. Pollack?*

A person close to me commented: **"This is too stupid to be stupid!"** That was very insightful, and absolutely true. There was nothing "stupid" about Cuomo's nursing home EO. It was purposeful and provably conspiratorial . . . and you, Ms. Pollack, were/are directly connectable to all of this.

**Cuomo loses Emmy following scandal, resignation**

By **NICK NIEDZWIADEK** | 08/24/2021 01:29 PM EDT

Regarding The Company You Keep, a mere three days ago, Tuesday August 24, 2021, the news media and their headlines continue to divert from real priorities; the relevant facts and criminality of COVID-19, **and the tens-of-thousands of avoidable deaths in the New York nursing homes** . . . and the conspiratorial inspiration, The Great Reset, codified by your comrade Mr. Klaus Schwab.

---

[o] Contrary to the testimonial ***crap*** from Dr. Howard Zucker, there was nothing "inadvertent" about the true purpose of the Cuomo Executive Order which forced COVID patients into the nursing homes. If this "doctor" still claims *'There is much to learn about this virus,'* I suggest he seek grammar school level tutoring from Dr. David Martin and Dr. Reiner Füllmich (Page 15 above). Alternatively, I can assure Zucker that very little is unknown about 'liability immunity.'

**Summary : Ms. Martha Pollack – Con't**

In the original reports of November 2020, International Academy President and CEO Mr. Bruce Paisner, declared that Governor Cuomo was being given an Emmy Award:

**" . . . because he effectively created television shows, with characters, plot lines, and stories of success and failure."**

None of these farcical Emmy Award news reports covered the horrors of the New York nursing homes; not even in the context of "failure." Now, just three days ago, your comrade Mr. Paisner is spewing:

STATEMENT FROM THE INTERNATIONAL ACADEMY OF TELEVISION ARTS & SCIENCES

August 24, 2021

The International Academy announced today that in light of the New York Attorney General's report, and Andrew Cuomo's subsequent resignation as Governor, it is rescinding his special 2020 International Emmy® Award. His name and any reference to his receiving the award will be eliminated from International Academy materials going forward.

Do you see any mention of, or any update regarding Cuomo's murderous COVID "stories of failure" in New York in general, **or the nursing homes in particular?** Wednesday offered the following:

New York Governor Kathy Hochul promised more government transparency on her first day in office, and by day's end her administration had quietly acknowledged nearly 12,000 more deaths in the state from COVID-19 than had been publicized by her predecessor, Andrew Cuomo.

New York now reports nearly 55,400 people have died of COVID-19 in New York, based on death certificate data submitted to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, up from about 43,400 that Cuomo had reported to the public as of Monday, his last day in office.

"We're now releasing more data than had been released before publicly, so people know the nursing home deaths and the hospital deaths are consistent with what's being displayed by the CDC," Hochul said Wednesday on MSNBC. "There's a lot of things that weren't happening and I'm going to make them happen. Transparency will be the hallmark of my administration."

Whether in November 2020 when the farcical Emmy was awarded, or in August 2021 when the farcical Emmy is rescinded, your media friend Mr. Paisner never connects his rescinding to murder in the nursing homes . . . **nor did you as President of Cornell University, a life sciences institution.** [P]

[P] Governor Hochul is a courtesy copy of this letter; I assure you, and her, that I will be one of many testing her trendy claims of "transparency" in the not-too-distant future.

**Summary : Ms. Martha Pollack – Con't**

Shortly after the Cuomo EO that forced diseased residents into the nursing homes, you began your service to Pfizer CEO Mr. Albert Bourla on the New York Forward Reopening Advisory Board. Immediately you subverted the Cornell home page for an exploitive, commercially-premised scare campaign:




**As was well-known to you**, Provost Michael Kotlikoff and Cornell Counsel Ms. Madelyn Wessel, the term 'New Normal' was codified for the purpose of marketing . . . vaccines! Merck Corporation deployed The New Normal as part of their roll-out promotions at the January 6 2004 conference entitled:

**"SARS and Bioterrorism: Bioterrorism and Emerging Infectious Diseases, Antimicrobials, Therapeutics and Immune Modulators"**

As you three were *also* fully aware, "New Normal," which you dutifully regurgitated, was a term then embraced as a lockdown branding campaign, fully endorsed and adopted by:

World Health Organization
The Global Preparedness Monitoring Board
People's Republic of China Center for Disease Control
The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation
Coalition for Epidemic Preparedness Innovations
Mr. Anthony Fauci (NIAID) and Mr. Francis Collins (NIH) . . . *to name a few.*

"At this time, Cornell is not requiring our employees or students to be vaccinated; however, we strongly encourage each of you to be vaccinated when you become eligible. Vaccination is key to the resolution of this global pandemic, and we hope that you all take this opportunity to protect yourselves, as well as our community".

If recollection serves, the above "vaccine" roll-out was displayed at your 'COVID-19 WEBSITE' **prior** to the EUA of December 11, 2020. The site was updated as you served (1) the NY Forward Reopening Advisory Board and (2) Pfizer CEO Mr. Albert Bourla . . . the other "philanthropist" was also on-cue:

***"The only vaccine, that if everything went perfectly, might seek the emergency use license by the end of October, would be Pfizer."*** Mr. Bill Gates, September 15, 2020.

October?! You too were on-cue while subverting the campus to your "vaccine" agenda. In a grotesque demonstration of inveracity, you orchestrated another "surprise" involving "America's Doctor" during Homecoming 2020 . . . in October. [Q]

[Q] You received my June 9 2021 letter to Mr. Fauci wherein I detail his and your fraudulent misuse of the campus for your joint agenda (it was not *your* first time). Pages 5 - 13: http://pvsheridan.com/sheridan2fauci-4-9june2021.pdf

**Summary : Ms. Martha Pollack – Con't**

While Mr. Gates *continues* to lie about the Pfizer needle as a "vaccine," parroting the fairy tale that it resulted from Year 2020 Operation Warp Speed, and censoring the truth that in-fact mRNA-based needles and associated patents date to not-later-than 2003; he is also aware that the great nation of India is a market where his person and his "vaccine" profiteering were, and remain **not welcome**. [R]

INDIA FEBRUARY 5, 2021 / 12:30 AM

**Pfizer drops India vaccine application after regulator seeks local trial**

By Krishna N. Das

Like Fauci, Collins, Bourla, Cuomo, Walensky, Daszak, and Susan Wojcicki (YouTube), and Dr. Augustine Choi (Director of Weill-Cornell Medical), and Dr. Soumya Swaminathan (Chief Scientist of the World Health Organization). . . . **you Ms. Pollack** also distort the truth about off-patent COVID treatments; that are not experimental and **do require liability immunity** . . . while defiling Cornell University with these distortions, tens-of-thousands of elderly were left to die, in isolation, in the New York nursing homes.

Your connections to COVID distortions **and outright lies** are well-documented, ranging from your membership on the NY Forward Reopening Advisory Board to ongoing Cornell University website postings. An example of a bold-faced lie, connectable to your person as current President of Cornell University; one among hundreds from today's CornellHealth webpage (screenshot):

**Is the vaccine safe?**

All data currently available indicate that the vaccines are safe. Thus far, no serious long-term side effects have occurred. Some individuals do experience minor side effects that reflect the body's immune response beginning; a tiny number of individuals have experienced allergic reactions and have required immediate and successful treatment.

*All current data? No serious long-term side effects? Minor side effects?! A tiny number!?* As you are fully aware, your so-called "approved vaccines," that you have injected into the arms of captured, unsuspecting but **coerced** Cornell students and staff, have killed and horribly maimed more human beings in the first six months of deployment (post the fraudulent December 11 2020 EUA), than all true vaccines combined during the previous twenty years! **Let us try that again, in large font:**

> Your so-called "approved vaccines," that you have injected into the arms of unsuspecting but coerced Cornell students and staff, have killed and horribly maimed more human beings in the first six months of deployment **than all vaccines combined during the previous twenty years!**

This "knowledge" is well-known . . . on the next page we review a recent Cornell home page.

---

[R] On Page 7 of Exhibit 1 you will find the US Patent Office rejection verbiage against the profiteering attempts of the **"pathological liar"** Mr. Fauci versus his mRNA concoction applications dating to 2003. See Item (1) Page 3 above.

**Summary : Ms. Martha Pollack – *Con't***


Lindsay France/Cornell University

President Martha E. Pollack welcomes incoming freshman, transfer students and returning sophomores gathered at Schoellkopf Field for New Student Convocation Aug. 25.

**Pollack to students: Respect knowledge and be kind**

Respect knowledge? Be kind? In case you, and Provost Kotlikoff, and Pfizer CEO Albert Bourla ***forgot***, there is nothing kind about the underbelly that motivates your violation of the Nuremberg Code; its letter or spirit. We share more "knowledge" with you. In the Exhibit, I declare on Page 4 (screenshot):

**Preview of the 13 July 2021 Headlines – Everything becomes Nothing ?**

At the beginning of the Fauci Pandemic, ***everything*** **is COVID**, and the death statistics are exaggerated.

At the end of the Fauci Pandemic, ***nothing*** **is "vaccine,"** and the death statistics are subverted.

From beginning to end . . . one bold-faced lie after another . . . all leading to the following headline:

The world has become *increasingly* aware that promotion of the Dr. Christen Drosten perversion of the RT-PCR protocol as a "test" for "SARS-CoV-2" is an abject fraud:

**CDC withdraws fraudulent PCR testing protocol that was used to falsify covid "positives" to push the plandemic**

Sunday, July 25, 2021 by: Mike Adams
*Tags: badhealth, badmedicine, badscience, CDC, coronavirus, COVID, hoax, junk science, pandemic, PCR, Plandemic, science fraud*

It was well-known ***from the very beginning***, the "test" that you deployed against Cornell students and staff can *not*, and never will be able to distinguish between SARS-causing viruses versus, for example, the flu! Without being **clade specific**, it certainly cannot detect the recently deployed "SARS-CoV-2." In other words, the essence if not the totality of your 'COVID-19 WEBSITE' is not merely incompetent, mistaken, or merely outdated. **You and that website (and what has resulted from it) constitute fraud.** [S]

[S] You received my July 21 20*20* letter to Mr. Fauci; on Pages 10-11 I requested his "knowledge" regarding the rt-PCR fraud; he never responded with integrity. If *you* need "knowledge" on my use of the phrase "recently uploaded variant 'SARS-CoV-2,'" have Mr. Fauci explain it to you. As he is aware, the explanation also applies to the recent diversionary sputum from Walensky and Bourla about the "Delta variant," its connection to patent # 7279327, the GISAID database, and on and on and on.

**INTERMISSION 3 : The CornellHealth COVID "Vaccine" Fraud**

We review another lie from Ms. Martha Pollack, and contrast that lie with very recent headlines from Japan. We contextualize with screenshots of typical searches recently conducted at the CornellHealth website:















**INTERMISSION 3 : The CornellHealth COVID "Vaccine" Fraud – *con't***

My 'paulvsheridan' YouTube account enjoyed years of postings that involved geology to history. That account had a million hits, and hundreds of 'thumbs up.' Last year I uploaded **"The Ivermectin Story."** Within hours Ms. Susan Wojcicki, a colleague to Ms. Martha Pollack, did not merely delete the Ivermectin videos, ***she terminated my entire paulvsheridan account.***

Contrary to the motivations and perversions of CornellHealth, and the decrepit news media, **The Ivermectin Story** is not only utterly factual and truthful; in deep irony, its broad censorship by social media confirms that status! Protecting the profiteering of the Pfizer mRNA needles is *also* confirmed, the real perversion; the underbelly of this RICO.

That my alma mater, an institution famed for its good works in life sciences, would openly endorse, by their actions and words, profit over health; this will not be tolerated.

CornellHealth relies on "guidance" from the Acting Commissioner of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), Dr. Janet Woodcock. From her notorious 'opioid epidemic' failures, to the recent true status of non approval of the deployed mRNA Pfizer needle, **her reputation for double-talk and lying is consistent with the unofficial 'job description' of her FDA position.**



The FDA tweet is typical of the "guidance" which assaults the Cornell and Ithaca NY communities; CornellHealth deploys the murderous Pfizer needle while lying to those communities about the many alternatives, such as the globally recognized COVID record of the lost-cost **off-patent** drug Ivermectin. [T]

[T] **Ms. Pollack**, perhaps you would remind Dr. Woodcock and FDA sycophants that the renowned Cornell University College of Veterinary Medicine is fully versed in the successful uses and deployments of Ivermectin, in humans and animals that spans over **four decades worldwide**. Perhaps Provost Michael Kotlikoff would offer that update to the world given his previous role as Dean of the Cornell University College of Veterinary Medicine!

**INTERMISSION 3 : The CornellHealth COVID "Vaccine" Fraud – *Conclusion***

As an alternative to the charlatans of Ms. Susan Wojcicki, Ms. Martha Pollack, Dr. Janet Woodcock, and CornellHealth . . . we have the serious gentleman, Dr. Haruo Ozaki.


Dr. Ozaki is Chairman of the Tokyo Metropolitan Medical Association. In **a news conference streamed on 12 August 2021,** he declared that Japan was already a "country of use" regarding Ivermectin, but the reason for low-use was not lack of known effectiveness among his medical profession, but a lack of availability!

The cause of that Ivermectin shortfall?

Dr. Ozaki points to the company that coined and promoted the pro-vaccine vernacular **"New Normal.'** Dr. Ozaki stated:

*"Even if a doctor writes a prescription for Ivermectin, there is no drug in the pharmacy. This (prescription) is virtually unusable. But (Merck) says that Ivermectin does not work, so there should not be any need to limit supply. If it does not work, there is no demand. I believe it works, so block supply. It looks like you are."*

Contrary to the Tweet sputum from the FDA about horses, Ivermectin use in humans has zero side-effects and an overwhelmingly positive track record versus "SARS-CoV-2." Dr. Ozaki stated on August 12, 2021:

*"I am aware that there are many papers that Ivermectin is effective in the prevention and treatment of corona, mainly in Central and South America and Asia. In Africa, if we compare countries distributing Ivermectin once a year with countries which do not give Ivermectin, I mean they do not give Ivermectin to prevent COVID, but to prevent parasitic diseases…but anyway, if we look at COVID numbers in countries that give Ivermectin, the number of cases is 134.4 per 100,000, and the number of deaths is 2.2 in 100,000.*

*"Now, African countries which do not distribute Ivermectin: 950.6 cases per 100,000 and 29.3 deaths per 100,000. I believe the difference is clear."*

The papers discussed by Dr. Ozaki, regarding use of Ivermectin in Central America, South America, Asia and Africa, were written *a posteriori.*

That is, human use of Ivermectin in those areas is historical, but <u>not</u> for "SARS-CoV-2." Data tabulated for these papers is **after-the-fact;** and as-such is skewed <u>against</u> Ivermectin. Helping CornellHealth with arithmetic, the Africa data suggests that COVID cases drop 86%, and the deaths drop by 92%!

**Still going slow for CornellHealth . . . a drug that has been off-patent since 1996, dispensed for humans <u>for decades</u> but for non-COVID uses, that has nonetheless shown miraculous positive effect versus COVID, that costs $10, is banned and censored from the Cornell campus?**

Contrary to the Tweet sputum from the FDA about cows, the dispensing of Ivermectin for human use involves proper dosage amounts and **covers four decades worldwide!**, Woodcock and CornellHealth might benefit from The Ivermectin Story documentary, banned by YouTube, but preserved here:

https://pvsheridan.com/Ivermectin-Story_Part-1.mp4

https://pvsheridan.com/Ivermectin-Story_Part-2.mp4

**Summary : Ms. Martha Pollack – *Conclusion (from Page 32)***

At the CornellHealth website we find the following **bold-face lie**:

> **How effective is the vaccine?**
>
> Pfizer reports that the vaccine is 95% effective. Moderna reports that their vaccine is 94% effective.




There are so many **bold-faced** lies spewed by the CornellHealth and main Cornell webpages; so many spewed by you, Provost Kotlikoff, and your StayHomecoming cohort Fauci, so many by Weill-Cornell Medical College regarding "SARS-CoV-2" that this letter could easily go to 10,000 pages. As could the book **COVID-19: The Global Predators: We Are the Prey!**

In contrast to The Company *YOU* Keep, experts that you and your cohorts Andrew Cuomo and Albert Bourla did ***not*** invite to the NY Forward Reopening Advisory Board, these Harvard University authors ***did*** invite practicing physicians; true health authorities such as Dr. Peter McCullough, Dr. Elizabeth Vliet and New York Dr. Vladimir Zelenko.

But, regarding your bold-faced lie above, one that characteristically involves *'lies by commission'* and '*lies by omission,'* we ask simple questions that are never addressed by your servitude to "The Vaccine King," Mr. Albert Bourla:

**"95% effective" at what?!**

(a) Is The Vaccine King's needle 95% effective at preventing viral transmissibility, and therefore the lunatic mandates of 'social distancing' and 'masks' can be relaxed <u>on that basis</u>?

(b) Is The Vaccine King's needle 95% effective at preventing reinfection of the alleged original cause of COVID, the "SARS-CoV-2"?

(c) Is The Vaccine King's needle 95% effective at preventing infection by Bourla's follow-up marketing schemes; the alleged "variants," such as the brand name "Delta variant" ?

(d) Is The Vaccine King's needle 95% effective at preventing infection in the nose and nasopharynx; the exact locations of the fraudulent "COVID test," the basis of your vile COVID-19 Response?

(e) Is The Vaccine King's needle 95% effective at preventing future infection from the common cold or flu, either in the short term or the long term?

**OF COURSE NOT, AND ON ALL ACCOUNTS! The "95% effective" verbiage connects to no such claim; indeed, very recent studies indicate that Bourla's needle <u>REDUCES</u> immune response to the flu . . . and you are fully aware of these facts . . . Ms. Pollack.**

One of the most indicative of your exploitations involves black people. The "95% effective" CornellHealth *lie-by-omission* is well-known <u>as such</u> to black people. Therefore, I ask you Ms. Pollack:

(1) Is that reality going to increase your use of the label "anti-vaxxer" against them?

(2) Is that reality going to further accredit their <u>refusal to be injected</u> with Bourla's mRNA needle?

(3) If the answer to question (2) is yes, **are you going to preside over <u>the non-admission of black people</u>, hiding behind your dystopian "Consequences of inaction" coercion threats?**

Before you assert your personal angelology, I demand that you review Page 25 above.

**Personal Notes : Summary of the Attached Exhibit**

The memorial gala of my Cornell President Dr. Frank H. T. Rhodes is scheduled for October 23, 2021. I first met President Rhodes, by accident, in the "green" elevator of Day Hall in 1979. My minor knowledge of his expertise (geology) was a fun introduction. We became, if I may be so bold, friends. Characteristically, of the 15-odd letters I wrote post-graduation, guess how many President Rhodes did *not* respond to ? [U]

The attached Exhibit is a 'thank you' to Oral Robert University President Dr. William M. Wilson. His gracious note to me is under Tab 1.

Unlike the dystopian **crap** you are inflicting upon the world, **and by extension Ms. Jummai Nache**, the path of President Wilson is truthful, fruitful and righteous. As you will see, I had shared the following June 2021 CDC VAERS chart with President Wilson:




My letter to President Wilson was widely distributed. Shortly thereafter, CDC Director Rochelle Walensky scrubbed the above type of reporting/charting from her website.

With this is mind, please know that President Wilson and Oral Robert University are **not** participating, at any level, in the factual declaration found at the bottom of Page 31.

[U] The same number Fauci and Pollack *have* responded to.

**Conclusion**

During the time that the servility of Ms. Pollack was serving his profiteering needs as a co-member of the New York Forward Reopening Advisory Board, and the criminality of Mr. Fauci was serving his needs with everything from 'liability immunity' to the fraudulent RICO-based Emergency Use Authorization, the CEO of Pfizer Mr. Albert Bourla was threatening the health and well-being of humanity on a global scale, not the least of which included outright blackmailing of entire nations, especially in Latin and South America:



Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health
Global Health NOW

CORONAVIRUSES MATERNAL HEALTH RACISM AND PUBLIC HEALTH GLOBAL HEALTH

VACCINES | CORONAVIRUSES | GLOBAL HEALTH | HEALTH SYSTEMS | INFECTIOUS DISEASES | RESEARCH

# Latin America Calls out Pfizer's 'High-Level Bullying'

February 23, 2021

Latin American governments are accusing Pfizer of "bullying" in COVID-19 vaccine negotiations—saying it is insisting upon extreme guarantees against future legal cases like using embassies and military bases as collateral.

Protections for vaccine manufacturers in case of adverse effects are not unusual, especially in a pandemic. But Pfizer's demands amount to an "abuse of power," according to legal experts.

Pfizer's "take it or leave it" approach leaves Argentine citizens without access to its vaccine, for example.

**Conclusion**

But the bullying by Mr. Bourla did not begin in the New York nursing homes, or end in Latin and South America. A key operative was the continuous, coordinated coercion of the medical, hospital and nursing staffs . . . **not the least of which is Ms. Jummai Nache:**

In a lengthy conversation with husband, Mr. Philip Nache, he explained that prior to the 'vaccine mandate' inflicted upon her, **"Jummai was never hospitalized. She was the healthiest of our family!"**

Meanwhile, vested interests like you two, Ms. Pollack and Mr. Fauci, were vigorously manipulating facts, conspiring from behind closed doors, and boldly doing so in plain view; jointly from the bully pulpit of my beloved alma mater Cornell University during Homecoming 2020, an utterly despicable display of arrogance and implicit inveracity!

In the final assessment, lest your tendency for self-indulgence and raw egotism overwhelms you, you two amount to, at most, *symptoms* of the current epoch; one that is characterized by the betrayal depicted on Page 2 above.

Like spiritual chaff, the status of Judæan Judas Iscariot, your chances of passing through the proverbial keyhole are diminishing with every human soul that suffers as horribly as Jummai. Such are connectable to your promotions and deeds, prior to and ongoing with COVID.

It is only matter of time, under the edict 'Follow the Science,' and your **dystopian crap**, that similar outcomes to that of Ms. Jummai Nache will befall many more; not the least of which is the **coerced** Cornell University students and staffs also afflicted by bullying by your comrade Mr. Albert Bourla.

Please know that the above is highly thrifted, and I took no pleasure in its authorship. However, I will leave Ms. Pollack with one assertion: **In the context of Page 2 above**, had Ms. Jummai Nache been enrolled at Cornell, but decided through true 'informed consent' to reject the Bourla needle; you would have voided her matriculation ***without hesitation.***

Sincerely,

Paul V. Sheridan

Attachment

# Statement on the Recent Killings of Black People in America

*May 29, 2020*

Dear Cornellians,

I am heartbroken, angry and frankly sickened by the recent killing of George Floyd, and before him, Ahmaud Arbery, Breonna Taylor and others whose deaths are less well publicized.

The amount of pain in the Black community is unfathomable, especially as these are occurring in the midst of a pandemic that is having such a disproportionate impact on communities of color.

Decent people and institutions cannot stand silent while such violence against our fellow citizens continues.

I want to make clear, both personally and on behalf of Cornell, that we will do all we can as a university to address this scourge of racism. We will address it directly in our educational programs, in our research and in our engagement and related activities, working through the ways we know best to push for a world that is equitable and kind; where people do not have to fear for their lives because of the color of their skin; and where everyone has the same opportunities to grow, thrive and enjoy their lives.

My heart goes out to everyone who is feeling the pain of these recent incidents.

Sincerely,

Martha

# Addendum to Abridged Hard Copy Version

The complete letter of 27 August 2021, including attached Exhibit, up-to-date SPODs, and hyperlinks is available here:

https://pvsheridan.com/sheridan2pollack-fauci-1-21august2021.pdf

The attached Exhibit to the 27 August 2021 letter, as a separate document, is available here:

https://pvsheridan.com/sheridan2wilson-1-19july2021.pdf

The complete video of the Dr. David Martin interview by Dr. Reiner Füllmich of the July 2021 meeting of The Coronavirus Investigation Committee is here:

https://pvsheridan.com/Dr-Fuellmich_Dr-Martin_July-2021-Corona-Investigative-Committee.mp4

This interview is featured on Page 15.

The complete (current) court file of the litigation of:

Mr. Ravi Batra versus Mr. Peter C. Daszak, Janet D. Cottingham, EcoHealth Alliance, Inc.

is available here: http://pvsheridan.com/Batra_versus_Daszak/

This court file is introduced on Page 27.

A recent interview of Dr. Vladimir Zelenko on "SARS-CoV-2" is available here:

https://pvsheridan.com/Dr-Vladimir-Zelenko_Exposes-Global-Genocide.mp4

Dr. Zelenko is discussed on Pages 16, 19, 21 and 36.

Background and availability information on the Dr. Peter Breggin / Ms. Ginger Ross-Breggin book, **COVID-19: The Global Predators: We Are the Prey!** is available here:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rXE-f_HDLTc

This book is discussed on Page 36

A historical sampling of the Paul V. Sheridan letters on COVID is available here:

http://pvsheridan.com/paulvsheridan-SARS-CoV-2-Letters-Directory/

# E X H I B I T

27 August 2021


Ms. Martha E. Pollack
Cornell University
300 Day Hall
Ithaca, NY 14853
607-255-5201 / president@cornell.edu

Mr. Anthony S. Fauci
NIAID
5601 Fishers Lane
Rockville, MD 20852
301-496-2263 / anthony.fauci@nih.gov


**Subjects :** **Ongoing Global Criminal Participations / Promotions of "SARS-CoV-2" :**

**(1) The Fraudulent 'Emergency Use Authorization' (EUA)**
**(2) Pfizer mRNA Inoculation Induced Severe Injury and Death**
**(3) Connections to Nursing Home Deaths**
**(4) Connections to Suicide Deaths – American K-12 Students**

**Reference 1:** **Mr. Albert Bourla Severe Injury Assault of Ms. Jummai Nache**
**Reference 2:** **Martha Pollack Collaborations – Pfizer / NY Forward Reopening Advisory Board**

**Characterization 1:** **Show Me the Company You *Keep*, and I Will Tell You *What You Are***
**Characterization 2:** **Show Me the Company You Do *Not Keep*, and I Will Tell You *What You Are Not***

July 20, 2021

Dear Customer,

The following is the proof-of-delivery for tracking number: 774299080065

**Delivery Information:**

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Status:** | Delivered | **Delivered To:** | Shipping/Receiving |
| **Signed for by:** | D.DAVIS | **Delivery Location:** | 7777 S LEWIS AVE |
| **Service type:** | FedEx First Overnight | | |
| **Special Handling:** | Deliver Weekday | | TULSA, OK, 74171 |
| | | **Delivery date:** | Jul 20, 2021 09:07 |

**Shipping Information:**

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Tracking number:** | 774299080065 | **Ship Date:** | Jul 19, 2021 |
| | | **Weight:** | 6.1 LB/2.77 KG |

**Recipient:**
President Dr. William Wilson, Oral Roberts University
7777 South Lewis Avenue
TULSA, OK, US, 74171

**Shipper:**
Paul V. Sheridan, DDM Consulting
22357 Columbia Street
DDM Consulting
Dearborn, MI, US, 48124

**Reference** Ltr to Pres Wilson (ORU)

Thank you for choosing FedEx

19 July 2021

President Dr. William Wilson
Oral Roberts University
7777 South Lewis Avenue
Tulsa, OK 74171
918-495-6161
Shipper tracking 7742-9908-0065

**Subject 1:** **mRNA "vaccine" as Ongoing Cause of Death (COD)**
**Subject 2:** **Fraudulent Promotions of "COVID vaccine" and "Delta Variant"**
**Subject 3:** **Fox News Interview of Pastor Robert Jeffress (15 July 2021)**

**Reference 1:** **My Letter to the Presidents of the Ivy League (6 March 2021)**
**Reference 2:** **My Letter to Anthony Fauci and Ivy League Law School Deans (12 April 2021)**
**Reference 3:** **My Letter to Governor DeSantis / Governor Noem (23 April 2021)**
**Reference 4:** **My Letter to Fox News CEO Mr. Jack Abernethy (24 June 2021)**
**Reference 5:** **Dr. Reiner Füllmich Interview of Dr. David Martin of July 2021: The Coronavirus Investigation Committee (Enclosed USB Drive)**

Courtesy Copy List

Pastor Bruce Wesley
Clear Creek Community Church
999 North Egret Bay Blvd.
League City, TX 77573
281-338-5433
Shipper tracking 774309405970

Dr. Robert Jeffress, Pastor
First Baptist Church Dallas
1707 San Jacinto
Dallas, TX 75201
214-969-0111
Shipper tracking 774426320712

Congressman Jim Jordan
United States Congress
2056 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515
202-225-2676
Shipper tracking 774309258409

Senator Rand Paul
United States Senate
167 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington DC, 20510
202-224-4343
Shipper tracking 774309210632

Congressman Mark Green
United States Congress
2446 Rayburn HOB
Washington, DC 20515
202-225-2811
Shipper tracking 774320542652

Congressman James Comer
United States Congress
2410 Rayburn HOB
Washington, DC 20515
202-225-3115
Shipper tracking 774320500965

Senator Ron Johnson
United States Senate
328 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, DC 20510
202-224-5323
Shipper tracking 774309312042

Mr. Tucker Carlson
Fox News Washington
400 North Capitol St NW
Washington, DC 20001
202-824-6300
Shipper tracking 774309446558

Dr. Anthony S. Fauci, Director
NIAID
5601 Fishers Lane
Rockville, MD 20852
301-496-2263 / anthony.fauci@nih.gov
Shipper tracking 774692191462

Ms. Martha E. Pollack
Office of the President
Cornell University - 300 Day Hall
Ithaca, NY 14853
607-255-5201 / president@cornell.edu
Shipper tracking 774692152281

22357 Columbia Street
Dearborn, MI 48124-3431
313-277-5095
pvs6@cornell.edu

19 July 2021 VIA FEDEX AIRBILL 7742-9908-0065

President Dr. William Wilson
Oral Roberts University
7777 South Lewis Avenue
Tulsa, OK 74171

| | |
|---|---|
| **Subject 1:** | **mRNA "vaccine" as Ongoing Cause of Death (COD)** |
| **Subject 2:** | **Fraudulent Promotions of "COVID vaccine" and "Delta Variant"** |
| **Subject 3:** | **Fox News Interview of Pastor Robert Jeffress (15 July 2021)** |
| **Reference 1:** | **My Letter to the Presidents of the Ivy League (6 March 2021)** |
| **Reference 2:** | **My Letter to Anthony Fauci and Ivy League Law School Deans (12 April 2021)** |
| **Reference 3:** | **My Letter to Governor DeSantis / Governor Noem (23 April 2021)** |
| **Reference 4:** | **My Letter to Fox News CEO Mr. Jack Abernethy (24 June 2021)** |
| **Reference 5:** | **Dr. Reiner Fuellmich Interview of Dr. David Martin of July 2021: The Coronavirus Investigation Committee (Enclosed USB Drive)** |

Dear President Wilson:

Thank you sincerely for your letter of 27 June 2021. Anticipating such courtesy, from a person and an institution (ORU) that has a demonstrated track record of true-caring, bravery, intelligence and integrity; I am grateful to have made our acquaintance (Attachment 1). [1]

## Context

When discussing current affairs, I sometimes refer to The Big Five (in approximate historical order):

Big Religion

Big Government

Big Corporate

Big Media

Big Academia

None are problematic *per se*. But in our time it is clear that all have been infiltrated, corrupted, and diminished in grace and purpose. If major revisions in behavior/priority are not enacted by The Big Five, individually and in unison, then their collective fate as irredeemable is assured.

In my hard-won experience, Big Academia is the most insidious. Big Academia does the "best job" of promoting itself as pure, as intelligent, as moral/ethical. It is Big Academia that the other four (1) look to for realization, (2) rely upon for longevity and (3) literally employ for justification (research?).

I can assure The Big Five that their wares & ways are not new to The World, and that the latter has a long history of enforcing . . . *course correction.* But our issue is the toll in human suffering, the demise of the innocent; **both of which are avoidable by leaders making a proper interpretation of Hosea 4:6.**

[1] Alternatively, I correctly anticipated not receiving similar courtesy from *any* member of the *many* other recipients of the References/telephone calls, including non-response from those at my alma mater, Cornell University.

**Subject 1 : mRNA "vaccine" as Ongoing Cause of Death (COD)** [2]

In Reference 2 (Page 3), and on Page 19 in my letter of 9 June 2021, I directed the following historical reality at Anthony Fauci (screenshot):

> It is your well-documented historical practice of deriding and discarding, at every opportunity, the merits of non-vaccine based treatments and cures for a variety of health issues. You have dictated that "vaccination is key" to disease mitigation. Vaccination is Fauci's priority; especially the experimental. You have a long record of discrediting and subverting the use of now-inexpensive, proven/safe treatments, and health/immune system enhancement protocols. You have a long record of orchestrating **investment-intensive, taxpayer-funded,** corporate pharmaceutical, shareholder promoted, university Development Office prospect endorsed, globally-scaled **vaccine** development and deployment. Those that question your methods are ridiculed, their employment terminated, and reputations publically tarnished.

On Page 6 of my letter of 2 July 2021 to US Michigan Senators Debbie Stabenow and Gary Peters, I offered the May 2021 summary chart of the Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System (VAERS). The fraudulent **VAERS was once again underreporting: Since the Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) of the mRNA "vaccine" in December 2020, 5,888 Americans had already lost their lives to what Fauci and Cornell University forcefully declare as "safe & effective."** [3]

**By June 2021, the VAERS tally skyrocketed by an <u>additional</u> 3,160 to 9,048!!!**




[2] Please note that the key Subject 1 word is within quotation marks; please see Page 7 below.

[3] VAERS is run by two of the most unreliable and distrusted organizations in history: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Repeated requests for system accuracy updates have been ignored. Experts <u>conservatively</u> correct the VAERS COVID-19 death data by 40x, and the injury data by 100x. Above I emphasize 'Americans.' It is well-known that thousands have suffered worse mRNA inoculation fates *outside the USA;* data which are strenuously avoided by CDC/FDA and their media mouthpieces.

**Subject 1 : mRNA "vaccine" as Ongoing Cause of Death (COD) – con't**

Context is needed to truly comprehend a 13 July 2021 headline (Please see Page 5 below).

A. At the beginning of this so-called pandemic, hospitals and doctors and nurses **worldwide** were coerced into recording *any* new death as "COVID-19" on the death certificate. A motorcycle accident death in Florida was caused by blunt-force-trauma. But that true causation was deemed inconsequential versus COVID-19. This COD **farce** caught the attention of Governor Ron DeSantis.

Over a year ago, Page 17 of 36 in my 21 July 2020 letter to Fauci, I displayed (screenshot) :

Should "COVID-19" be reported on the death certificate only with a confirmed test?

COVID-19 should be reported on the death certificate for all decedents where the disease caused **or is assumed to have caused or contributed to death**. Certifiers should include as much detail as possible based on their knowledge of the case, medical records, laboratory testing, etc. If the decedent had other chronic conditions such as COPD or asthma that may have also contributed, these conditions can be reported in Part II. (See attached Guidance for Certifying COVID-19 Deaths)

That the lead-in question is posed at-all confirms how deeply corrupted the so-called COVID-19 pandemic truly is. This document, its enforcement, and implicit fraud was exposed very early by Montana physician Dr. Annie Bukacek. I presented her in my letter to President Trump. In an interview, "Montana physician Dr. Annie Bukacek discusses how COVID 19 death certificates are being manipulated," she reviews her 30+ years of experience with death certificates:

She poses the central question, one we reviewed in the section above, *"SARS-CoV-2 Tests, Confirmed COVID-19 Cases, and the So-Called Second Wave."* Dr. Bukacek asks:

> "I am going to talk about death certificates today. The decision for unprecedented government mandated lockdowns has been based on the alleged death rates of COVID-19. But are these death rates based on truth? . . . Are the reported deaths from COVID-19, truly deaths from COVID-19?"

As you are fully aware Dr. Fauci, the answer, on both questions is a resounding, **"NO!"**

The first MD to expose this fraud, Dr. Annie Bukacek was vilified by "health authorities" and their media mouthpieces. She received zero blessings and support from various "religious leaders" (See page 9 below).

**Subject 1 : mRNA "vaccine" as Ongoing Cause of Death (COD) – con't**

B. A model of physical health & condition, Mr. Hank Aaron was *specifically chosen* to rectify "vaccine hesitancy" among Black people. Certainly the geniuses that comprise CDC/FDA, and their suitors in Big Pharma, would not deploy a person that was so frail, so tentative that their death was imminent. Such would subvert their schemes. Aaron's longevity status was well-known; ***THAT*** pre-condition was ***WHY*** he was chosen . . . and that is why his death was anything but "natural."

As his tragic destiny attests, within a short time after being inoculated with Fauci's mRNA "vaccine," we all lost a beloved hero. **An even shorter time later,** the 'damage control' headlines began spewing from the vested-interests of both media and hospital: [4]

'A boomerang effect': Hank Aaron's death is falsely linked to Covid vaccine

The Hall of Famer died of natural causes, the medical examiner said. That hasn't stopped people from linking his death to the Covid-19 vaccine.

Baseball Hall of Famer Hank Aaron sits for a portrait after receiving his Covid-19 vaccination on Jan. 5 at the Morehouse School of Medicine in Atlanta.

## Preview of the 13 July 2021 Headlines – Everything becomes Nothing ?

At the beginning of the Fauci Pandemic, ***everything*** **is COVID**, and the death statistics are exaggerated.

At the end of the Fauci Pandemic, ***nothing*** **is "vaccine,"** and the death statistics are subverted.

From beginning to end . . . one bold-faced lie after another . . . all leading to the following headline:

[4] Obviously, Mr. Hank Aaron is not listed in the VAERS data base . . . his COD was listed as "natural."

**<u>Subject 1 : mRNA "vaccine" as Ongoing Cause of Death (COD)</u> – Conclusion**

GATEWAY PUNDIT
We report the truth — and leave the Russia-Collusion fairy tale to the Conspiracy media

## SHOCK REPORT: There Were More COVID-19 Vaccine Deaths Last Week in US than COVID-19 Deaths

By Jim Hoft
Published July 13, 2021 at 7:30am
904 Comments

Share (5.1k) | Tweet | Share to Gab | Telegram | Share | Email

**There are now 9,125 reported deaths from the COVID-19 vaccinations across the United States this year.**

**Shock? For whom? Certainly not the undersigned. And certainly not the "humanitarians" here:**

SHARE

LIFE & ARTS | IDEAS | THE SATURDAY ESSAY

## Bill Gates: The Best Investment I've Ever Made

Global health groups that buy and distribute medicines are a sure bet for saving lives, but their government funding is now in danger, and even the biggest philanthropies can't fill the gap

*By* Bill Gates
Jan. 16, 2019 7:01 pm ET

**Subject 2 : Fraudulent Promotions of "COVID vaccine" and "Delta Variant"**

Written during the final, but revised-timing of the COVID plan, the Wall Street Journal marketing hype above, which masquerades as news, begs elaboration.[5] Medicines, in the Bill Gates byline, especially the off-patent medicines, are not moneymakers. **The profit margins, required by New World Order criminals such as Gates, are to be found, historically speaking, in patented vaccines.**

Unknown to most, the Global Alliance for Vaccines and Immunizations (GAVI) was founded in 1999 with $750,000,000 of bribery/seed money from . . . Bill Gates. In 2010 GAVI announced, at its founder's behest, that 2010 through 2020 be declared **'The Decade of the Vaccine.'**


My "introduction" to Anthony Fauci occurred in the early 1980s during his 'HIV = AIDS' charade. My mentors were Dr. Terrance Gordon, Dr. Gary Null, and Dr. Kary Mullis; among others. Of the four letters I wrote to Fauci about his charade, he responded to none. My primary theme was outpatient treatments.

During his 'HIV = AIDS' storyline, Fauci attempted to patent an early version of the mRNA contraption. This "vaccine" targeted (what has *still* not been identified as a "novel") Human Immuno-Deficiency Virus (HIV).

SPEAKING OUT, ACTING UP: AIDS activists from around the country came together to "Storm the NIH" on May 21, 1990, setting off colored smoke bombs en route to buildings where NIH and NIAID directors had their offices. The demonstration "made a huge statement" about activists' demands for increased patient access to clinical trial decisions, says activist Peter Staley. "The people whose minds were ultimately changed: this action made very clear to them how important this goal was to us."

[5] I am drafting material that will qualify/quantify the 'revised-timing of the COVID plan' verbiage. An important portion of the associated facts will be drawn from Reference 5, please see Page 12 below.

**Subject 2 : Fraudulent Promotions of "COVID vaccine" and "Delta Variant" – Conclusion**

Motivated by historical reality (screenshot, Page 2 above), Fauci sought to gorge himself on profits derived from (1) The death of AIDS victims and (2) simultaneous denial of inexpensive non-vaccine off-patent treatments. The outrage directed at Cornell graduate Fauci is depicted in the 1990 photo (Page 6).
**But the response to Fauci from the US Patent and Trademarks Office?** [6]



Application/Control Number: 09/869,003 Page 5
Art Unit: 1648

These arguments are persuasive to the extent that an antigenic peptide stimulates an immune response that may produce antibodies that bind to a specific peptide or protein but is not persuasive in regards to a vaccine. The immune response produced by a vaccine must be more than merely some immune response but must be protective. As noted in the previous Office Action, the art recognizes the term "vaccine" to be a compound which prevents infection. Applicant has not demonstrated that the instantly claimed vaccine meets even the lower standard set forth in the specification, let alone the standard art definition, for being operative in this regards. Therefore, claims 5, 7, and 9 are not operative as an anti-HIV-1 vaccine and therefore lack patentable utility.

With a documented priority of "career success" rather than service-to-others, Fauci failed to deliver an "AIDS vaccine." During his time as errand boy for AIDS profiteers, Fauci denied approval of off-patent treatments (such as sulfamethoxazole Bactrim™). On Page 6 in my letter to Fauci of 21 December 2020, I quoted Yale Professor Dr. Harvey Risch regarding the AIDS death toll attributed to "America's Doctor" :

**"Seventeen-thousand people died because of Dr. Fauci's insistence on not allowing even a statement supporting consideration of the use (of Bactrim)."** [7]

Again, the sub context of Subject 2 is the Page 2 screenshot. Regarding COVID-19, the mRNA inoculation being mandated is also **not** a vaccine . . . its content, delivery and true purpose does not meet the most loosely defined medical, legal, moral . . . or even patent office criteria . . . and Fauci knows it! Hence the use of quotation marks is not picayune, but is meant to expose ***another fraud.*** [8]

I will discuss a similar, but even more dangerous fraud labeled as *"Delta variant,"* in the Conclusion. That discussion will rely on Reference 5.

---

[6] Please see Reference 2, Tab 10, Page 6 (Many thanks to Dr. David E. Martin).

[7] For additional discussion see Reference 2, Page 16 of 26.

[8] As such, this may require an update to Attachment 1, your Page 2 verbiage.

**I N T E R M I S S I O N**

NIH director: We asked God for help with COVID-19, and vaccines are the 'answer to that prayer'

*'This is about saving lives,' NIH Director Francis Collins told RNS.*

National Institutes of Health Director Dr. Francis Collins speaks during a Senate Health, Education, Labor and Pensions Committee hearing on new coronavirus tests on Capitol Hill in Washington on May 7, 2020. (AP Photo/Andrew Harnik, Pool)


NATURAL NEWS
Defending Health, Life and Liberty

EXPLORE MORE: NEWSTARGET.COM BRIGHTEON.COM CENSORED.NEWS BRIGHTEON.SOCIAL AUDIO BOOKS STORE

Most Viewed Articles

Today | Week | Month | Year

ALERT: Doctor says mRNA vaccines "will kill most people" through heart failure, 62% of vaccinated people already show microscopic blood clots - NaturalNews.com

## Miscarriages skyrocket 366% in six weeks due to Covid vaccines

Monday, March 29, 2021 by: Ethan Huff

*Tags: abortions, AstraZeneca, badhealth, badmedicine, badscience, BioNTech, coronavirus, COVID, covid-19, death, depopulation, genocide, infanticide, miscarriage, Moderna, Pfizer, pregnancy, Skyrocket, vaccination, vaccines, women's health*

**Subject 3 : Fox News Interview of Pastor Robert Jeffress (15 July 2021) – Introduction**

In the epic movie *Excalibur,* film genius Director John Boorman scripted a key scene where the Boy King is perplexed by doubts presented by his Knights about the spiritual condition of the kingdom. At the Round Table, King Arthur poses the question to his mentor and life-long friend, Merlin the Magician:

| | |
|---|---|
| King Arthur | **Where hides evil then, in my kingdom?** |
| Merlin the Magician | **Always where you never expect it . . . *ALWAYS!*** |

**Subject 3 : Fox News Interview of Pastor Robert Jeffress – *"Thou Shall Be No Priest to Me"***

Two weeks prior to the Fox News interview with Pastor Robert Jeffress, the VAERS data shown on Page 2 above was published.

Two days prior to the Fox News interview with Pastor Jeffress, the "shock" headlines sampled on Page 5 were published . . . as of this letter, 19 July 2021, **that VAERS death toll is now over 11,000 !!**

Months prior to the Fox News interview with Pastor Jeffress, the headlines on the bottom of Page 8 above were published **and known to Jeffress** and the general public :


***My people are destroyed for lack of knowledge: because thou hast rejected knowledge, I will also reject thee, that thou shalt be no priest to me: seeing thou hast forgotten the law of thy God, I will also forget thy children.*** **Hosea 4:6**

**Subject 3 : Fox News Interview of Pastor Robert Jeffress – "*Thou Shall Be No Priest to Me*"**

Big Media anchors like Shannon Bream are known quantities. But where *"we least expect it"* is twofold:

(1) The Kingdom never expected evil of such magnitude to emerge from those swearing to protect us under the Hippocratic Oath, the medical, pharmaceutical and hospital professions.

(2) But the Kingdom never, never, expects that evil hides in plain sight at the religious bully pulpit.

But the "knowledge" referred to by Lord Jesus had/has ***nothing*** to do with that lauded by Big Academia, and their clients in Big Religion, Big Government, Big Corporate, and Big Media.

**Praying alongside common criminals like Francis Collins (Page 8 above),** Pastor Jeffress openly declared that the mRNA inoculation is from God (!?); while conflating everything from the *'sanctity of life'* to *'my body my choice'* (in the polemical sense), to the *'attitude that is in Christ Jesus.'*

**Working in lockstep** with Anthony Fauci, Francis Collins, Bill Gates, Klaus Schwab. Joe Biden, and the entire anti-Jesus New World Order demons, **Pastor Jeffress** never offered the mountains of **worldly** knowledge regarding the known fraud of rt-PCR "testing," a fraud deployed from the very beginning of the "pandemic," but of late specifically targeting the Christian churches for pre-planned headlines: [9]

**Pastor begs God for 'mercy' as COVID-19 sweeps megachurch: 'Lord we didn't want to be in the news'**

By Leonardo Blair, Christian Post Reporter

Bruce Wesley, lead pastor of the multisite Clear Creek Community Church in League City, Texas, prays against COVID-19 infections on Sunday July 11, 2021. | YouTube/Clear Creek Community Church

At no time did Pastor Jeffress protest the ***"Vacina Salva!"*** crap spattered upon "Christ the Redeemer" in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil; **quite the contrary, he endorsed it !** (Please see Page 8 above.)

[9] For introduction to the rt-PCR fraud, see Pages 10/11: http://pvsheridan.com/sheridan2fauci-1-21july2020.pdf

**Subject 3 : Fox News Interview of Pastor Robert Jeffress – CONCLUSION**

Since Pastor Robert Jeffress apparently missed a major detail, let us go real slow for him and his ilk.


The Lord Jesus said that, upon His return, He would address **"the nations."**

Jesus never said that He would speak at a one-world government forum that was orchestrated by a New World Order, regardless of the "Great Reset" machinations to inflict such upon His earthy Kingdom.

For viewing of the 15 July 2021 Fox News segment between Shannon Bream and Pastor Robert Jeffress:

http://pvsheridan.com/jeffress-foxnews-15july2021.m4v (no spaces)

**Reference 5: Dr. Reiner Fuellmich Interview of Dr. David Martin of July 2021: The Coronavirus Investigation Committee (Enclosed USB Drive)**

In regard to the crimes and the criminals that led to COVID-19, great incrementality is presented by the works of Dr. David E. Martin and Dr. Reiner Fuellmich:

If you do nothing else, with the materials I have forwarded to you, I ask that at the very least you view the 70-minute interview by Dr. Fuellmich of Dr. Martin; **that video is offered in the enclosed USB drive.**

Everything you think you know about COVID-19 will be revised or, at the very least, re-contextualized. One of the more sinister sales & marketing frauds exposed by Reference 5 will be the so-called *"Delta variant."*

## CONCLUSION

We are rapidly approaching a worldwide condition where quarantine will be required of the "vaccinated."

Unlike Pastor Jeffress who, on national television, openly endorsed the 'wares & ways' of criminals such as NIH Director Dr. Francis Collins (whose direct connection to the Gain of Function [GOF] research at the Wuhan Laboratory of Virology was *further* confirmed by FOIA releases of the Fauci emails), you led Oral Roberts University on a path the endorses the true portent of Hosea 4:6. **You are to be congratulated.**

It is your decision (Page 2 of Attachment 1) that is the "answer to prayer," versus the vileness of an mRNA contraption that criminals and ignoramuses refer to as a "vaccine." Your decision and that of ORU is the anti-thesis of the vileness demonstrated on Exhibit 1 (overleaf).

Please remember, at the beginning *EVERYTHING* was COVID; at the end *NOTHING* is "vaccine."

Respectfully yours,

Paul V. Sheridan

Enclosures / attachments

**Exhibit 1**

# Anthony Fauci's new COVID-19 guidance: 'Do what you're told'

By Ebony Bowden November 13, 2020 | 1:27pm | Updated

**Memo**

During my mathematics/physics degree at Albany State, I lived with medical students at Albany Medical (Albany, New York). I am retired from nearly three decades of consultancy in Transportation Safety. The latter has involved regulatory affairs, accident reconstruction, injury and death causation, coroner's reports, autopsies, preparation-for and attendance-at depositions for attending physicians, etc. Although not a medical expert, my familiarity and periodic direct contact with the medical profession has spanned nearly 50 years. Regarding the rt-PCR testing fraud inflicted upon the world (and of-late the *Clear Creek Community Church* in League City, Texas) my knowledge of the **Nobel Prize winning work of Dr. Kary Mullis** is included throughout my COVID-19 letters. A sampling of the latter is available here:

http://pvsheridan.com/paulvsheridan-SARS-CoV-2-Letters-Directory/

For further detail / historical perspective on the rt-PCR testing fraud, please see Attachments 2 and 3.

## Attachments / Tabs to Instant Memorandum

| | |
|---|---|
| **Page 1**<br>Letter of 27 June 2021, to Paul V. Sheridan from President William Wilson of Oral Roberts University (ORU)<br>**Page 2**<br>Announcement from Oral Roberts University (ORU) President Dr. William Wilson:<br>A Return to Normal Operations at ORU:<br>Students will not be required to have a vaccination for COVID-19 in order to attend ORU this Fall.<br>We have not been requiring, nor will we require, COVID-19 vaccinations of staff or faculty in order to serve or work at this university.<br>Students will not be required to test for COVID-19 before entering the dorms.<br>Masks will be optional in all campus venues and at all campus events. They will not be required anywhere on campus. | **Tab 1** |
| | |
| Transcript testimony of Dr. Reiner Fuellmich (rt-PCR testing fraud, etc.) | **Tab 2** |
| | |
| Mandatory Reporting of COVID-19 Lab Test Results: Reporting of Cycle Threshold Values (CTV): State of Florida - December 3, 2020 | **Tab 3** |

## Addendum to Instant Memorandum

| | |
|---|---|
| Lawsuit filed 19 July 2021:<br>America's Frontline Doctors<br>*versus*<br>Health and Human Services Secretary Xavier Becerra | **Tab 4** |

# Tab 1

2 Pages

19 July 2021

President Dr. William Wilson
Oral Roberts University
7777 South Lewis Avenue
Tulsa, OK 74171
918-495-6161

| | |
|---|---|
| **Subject 1:** | **mRNA "vaccine" as Ongoing Cause of Death (COD)** |
| **Subject 2:** | **Fraudulent Promotions of "COVID vaccine" and "Delta Variant"** |
| **Subject 3:** | **Fox News Interview of Pastor Robert Jeffress (15 July 2021)** |
| **Reference 1:** | **My Letter to the Presidents of the Ivy League (6 March 2021)** |
| **Reference 2:** | **My Letter to Anthony Fauci and Ivy League Law School Deans (12 April 2021)** |
| **Reference 3:** | **My Letter to Governor DeSantis / Governor Noem (23 April 2021)** |
| **Reference 4:** | **My Letter to Fox News CEO Mr. Jack Abernethy (24 June 2021)** |
| **Reference 5:** | **Dr. Reiner Fuellmich Interview of Dr. David Martin of July 2021: The Coronavirus Investigation Committee (Enclosed USB Drive)** |

**Page 1**

Letter of 27 June 2021, to Paul V. Sheridan from President William Wilson
of Oral Roberts University (ORU)

**Page 2**

Announcement from Oral Roberts University (ORU) President Dr. William Wilson:
A Return to Normal Operations at ORU:

Students will not be required to have a vaccination for COVID-19 in order to attend ORU this Fall.

We have not been requiring, nor will we require, COVID-19 vaccinations of staff or faculty in order to serve or work at this university.

Students will not be required to test for COVID-19 before entering the dorms.

Masks will be optional in all campus venues and at all campus events. They will not be required anywhere on campus.

ORU | MAKE NO LITTLE PLANS HERE

June 27, 2021

Paul Sheridan
President
DDM Consulting
22357 Columbia Street
Dearborn, Michigan 48124-3431

Dear Mr. Sheridan,

Blessings and grace to you.

I have received your packet and auxiliary materials dated June 9, 2021. Thank you for your hard work in putting this together.

My prayers are with you and with our nation as we continue to move back to normal after the pandemic.

Sincerely,

William M. Wilson
President

Dr. William M. Wilson | President
ORAL ROBERTS UNIVERSITY
7777 South Lewis Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74171 | 918.495.6175 | www.oru.edu

# ORU HEALTH AND SAFETY INFORMATION

## ORU President Dr. William Wilson announces a return to normal operations at ORU.




- Students will not be required to have a vaccination for COVID-19 in order to attend ORU this Fall. We have not been requiring, nor will we require, COVID-19 vaccinations of staff or faculty in order to serve or work at this university.
- Students will not be required to test for COVID-19 before entering the dorms.
- Masks will be optional in all campus venues and at all campus events. They will not be required anywhere on campus.
- Our cafeteria, food outlets, Chapel, classrooms and all departments will return to normal operations without social distancing. Classroom sizes will return to normal, and we will have normal student-faculty interactions.
- There will be no temperature checks and no check-in apps when you come onto campus this Fall.
- All residential classes will continue to be taught in-person, face-to-face and virtually.
- We will maintain quarantine and isolation space should we need them.
- Testing for COVID-19 and the influenza virus will be available to staff, faculty and students free of charge, allowing anyone who is symptomatic to be tested.
- We will maintain our hand sanitizing stations on campus to ensure good hygiene.

# Tab 2

12 Pages

19 July 2021

President Dr. William Wilson
Oral Roberts University
7777 South Lewis Avenue
Tulsa, OK 74171
918-495-6161

| | |
|---|---|
| **Subject 1:** | **mRNA "vaccine" as Ongoing Cause of Death (COD)** |
| **Subject 2:** | **Fraudulent Promotions of "COVID vaccine" and "Delta Variant"** |
| **Subject 3:** | **Fox News Interview of Pastor Robert Jeffress (15 July 2021)** |
| **Reference 1:** | **My Letter to the Presidents of the Ivy League (6 March 2021)** |
| **Reference 2:** | **My Letter to Anthony Fauci and Ivy League Law School Deans (12 April 2021)** |
| **Reference 3:** | **My Letter to Governor DeSantis / Governor Noem (23 April 2021)** |
| **Reference 4:** | **My Letter to Fox News CEO Mr. Jack Abernethy (24 June 2021)** |
| **Reference 5:** | **Dr. Reiner Fuellmich Interview of Dr. David Martin of July 2021: The Coronavirus Investigation Committee (Enclosed USB Drive)** |

Transcript testimony of Dr. Reiner Fuellmich (rt-PCR testing fraud, etc.)

*Hello. I am Reiner Füllmich and I have been admitted to the Bar in Germany and in California for 26 years. I have been practicing law primarily as a trial lawyer against fraudulent corporations such as Deutsche Bank, formerly one of the world's largest and most respected banks, today one of the most toxic criminal organizations in the world; VW, one of the world's largest and most respected car manufacturers, today notorious for its giant diesel fraud; and Kuehne and Nagel, the world's largest shipping company. We're suing them in a multi-million-dollar bribery case.*

I'm also one of four members of the German Corona Investigative Committee. Since July 10, 2020, this Committee has been listening to a large number of international scientists' and experts' testimony to find answers to questions about the corona crisis, which more and more people worldwide are asking. All the above-mentioned cases of corruption and fraud committed by the German corporations pale in comparison in view of the extent of the damage that the corona crisis has caused and continues to cause.

This corona crisis, according to all we know today, must be renamed a "Corona Scandal" and those responsible for it must be criminally prosecuted and sued for civil damages. On a political level, everything must be done to make sure that no one will ever again be in a position of such power as to be able to defraud humanity or to attempt to manipulate us with their corrupt agendas. And for this reason I will now explain to you how and where an international network of lawyers will argue this biggest tort case ever, the corona fraud scandal, which has meanwhile unfolded into probably the greatest crime against humanity ever committed.

Crimes against humanity were first defined in connection with the Nuremberg trials after World War II, that is, when they dealt with the main war criminals of the Third Reich. Crimes against humanity are today regulated in section 7 of the International Criminal Code. The three major questions to be answered in the context of a judicial approach to the corona scandal are:

1. Is there a corona pandemic or is there only a PCR-test pandemic? Specifically, does a positive PCR-test result mean that the person tested is infected with Covid-19, or does it mean absolutely nothing in connection with the Covid-19 infection?
2. Do the so-called anti-corona measures, such as the lockdown, mandatory face masks, social distancing, and quarantine regulations, serve to protect the world's population from corona, or do these measures serve only to make people panic so that they believe – without asking any questions – that their lives are in danger, so that in the end the pharmaceutical and tech industries can generate huge profits from the sale of PCR tests, antigen and antibody tests and vaccines, as well as the harvesting of our genetic fingerprints?
3. Is it true that the German government was massively lobbied, more so than any other country, by the chief protagonists of this so-called corona pandemic, Mr. Drosten, virologist at charity hospital in Berlin; Mr. Wieler, veterinarian and head of the German equivalent of the CDC, the RKI; and Mr. Tedros, Head of the World Health Organization or WHO; because Germany is known as a particularly disciplined country and was therefore to become a role model for the rest of the world for its strict and, of course, successful adherence to the corona measures?

Answers to these three questions are urgently needed because the allegedly new and highly dangerous coronavirus has not caused any excess mortality anywhere in the world, and certainly not here in Germany. But the anti-corona measures, whose only basis are the PCR-test results, which are in turn all based on the German Drosten test, have, in the meantime, caused the loss of innumerable human lives and have destroyed the economic existence of countless companies and individuals worldwide. In Australia, for example, people are thrown into prison if they do not wear a mask or do not wear it properly, as deemed by the authorities. In the Philippines, people who do not wear a mask or do not wear it properly, in this sense, are getting shot in the head.

Let me first give you a summary of the facts as they present themselves today. The most important thing in a lawsuit is to establish the facts – that is, to find out what actually happened. That is because the application of the law always depends on the facts at issue. If I want to prosecute someone for fraud, I cannot do that by presenting the facts of a car accident. So what happened here regarding the alleged corona pandemic?

The facts laid out below are, to a large extent, the result of the work of the Corona Investigative Committee. This Committee was founded on July 10, 2020 by four lawyers in order to determine, through hearing expert testimony of international scientists and other experts:

1. How dangerous is the virus really?
2. What is the significance of a positive PCR test?
3. What collateral damage has been caused by the corona measures, both with respect to the world population's health, and with respect to the world's economy?

Let me start with a little bit of background information. What happened in May 2019 and then in early 2020? And what happened 12 years earlier with the swine flu, which many of you may have forgotten about? In May 2019, the stronger of the two parties which govern Germany in a grand coalition, the CDU, held a Congress on Global Health, apparently at the instigation of important players from the pharmaceutical industry and the tech industry. At this Congress, the usual suspects, you might say, gave their speeches. Angela Merkel was there, and the German Secretary of Health, Jens Spahn. But, some other people, whom one would not necessarily expect to be present at such a gathering, were also there: Professor Drosten, virologist from the Charite hospital in Berlin; Professor Wieler, veterinarian and Head of the RKI, the German equivalent of the CDC; as well as Mr. Tedros, philosopher and Head of the World Health Organization (WHO). They all gave speeches there. Also present and giving speeches were the chief lobbyists of the world's two largest health funds, namely the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and the Wellcome Trust. Less than a year later, these very people called the shots in the proclamation of the worldwide corona pandemic, made sure that mass PCR tests were used to prove mass infections with Covid-19 all over the world, and are now pushing for vaccines to be invented and sold worldwide.

**These infections, or rather the positive test results that the PCR tests delivered, in turn became the justification for worldwide lockdowns, social**

**distancing and mandatory face masks.** It is important to note at this point that the definition of a pandemic was changed 12 years earlier. Until then, a pandemic was considered to be a disease that spread worldwide and which led to many serious illnesses and deaths. Suddenly, and for reasons never explained, it was supposed to be a *worldwide disease only*. Many serious illnesses and many deaths were not required any more to announce a pandemic. Due to this change, the WHO, which is closely intertwined with the global pharmaceutical industry, was able to declare the swine flu pandemic in 2009, with the result that vaccines were produced and sold worldwide on the basis of contracts that have been kept secret until today. These vaccines proved to be completely unnecessary because the swine flu eventually turned out to be a mild flu, and never became the horrific plague that the pharmaceutical industry and its affiliated universities kept announcing it would turn into, with millions of deaths certain to happen if people didn't get vaccinated. These vaccines also led to serious health problems. About 700 children in Europe fell incurably ill with narcolepsy and are now forever severely disabled. The vaccines bought with millions of taxpayers' money had to be destroyed with even more taxpayers' money. Already then, during the swine flu, the German virologist Drosten was one of those who stirred up panic in the population, repeating over and over again that the swine flu would claim many hundreds of thousands, even millions of deaths all over the world. In the end, it was mainly thanks to Dr. Wolfgang Wodarg and his efforts as a member of the German Bundestag, and also a member of the Council of Europe, that this hoax was brought to an end before it would lead to even more serious consequences.

Fast forward to March of 2020, when the German Bundestag announced an Epidemic Situation of National Importance, which is the German equivalent of a pandemic in March of 2020 and, based on this, the lockdown with the suspension of all essential constitutional rights for an unforeseeable time, there was only one single opinion on which the Federal Government in Germany based its decision. In an outrageous violation of the universally accepted principle "*audiatur et altera pars*", which means that one must also hear the other side, the only person they listened to was Mr. Drosten.

That is the very person whose horrific, panic-inducing prognoses had proved to be catastrophically false 12 years earlier. We know this because a whistleblower named David Sieber, a member of the Green Party, told us about it. He did so first on August 29, 2020 in Berlin, in the context of an event at which Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. also took part, and at which both men gave speeches. And he did so afterwards in one of the sessions of our Corona Committee.

The reason he did this is that he had become increasingly sceptical about the official narrative propagated by politicians and the mainstream media. He had therefore undertaken an effort to find out about other scientists' opinions and had found them on the Internet. There, he realized that there were a number of highly renowned scientists who held a completely different opinion, which contradicted the horrific prognoses of Mr. Drosten. They assumed – and still do assume – that there was no disease that went beyond the gravity of the seasonal flu, that the population had already acquired cross- or T-cell immunity against this allegedly new virus, and that there was therefore no reason for any special measures, and certainly not for vaccinations.

These scientists include **Professor John Ioannidis** of Stanford University in California, a specialist in statistics and epidemiology, as well as public health, and at the same time the most quoted scientist in the world; **Professor Michael Levitt,** Nobel prize-winner for chemistry and also a biophysicist at Stanford University; the German professors **Kary Mölling, Sucharit Bhakti, Klud Wittkowski,** as well as **Stefan Homburg;** and now many, many more scientists and doctors worldwide, including Dr. Mike Yeadon. Dr. Mike Yeadon is the former Vice-President and Scientific Director of Pfizer, one of the largest pharmaceutical companies in the world. I will talk some more about him a little later.

At the end of March, beginning of April of 2020, Mr. Sieber turned to the leadership of his Green Party with the knowledge he had accumulated, and suggested that they present these other scientific opinions to the public and explain that, contrary to Mr. Drosten's doomsday prophecies, there was no reason for the public to panic. Incidentally, Lord Sumption, who served as a judge at the British supreme court from 2012 to 2018, had done the very same thing at the very same time and had come to the very same conclusion: that there was no factual basis for panic and no legal basis for the corona measures. Likewise, the former President of the German federal constitutional court expressed – albeit more cautiously – serious doubts that the corona measures were constitutional. But instead of taking note of these other opinions and discussing them with David Sieber, the Green Party leadership declared that Mr. Drosten's panic messages were good enough for the Green Party. Remember, they're not a member of the ruling coalition; they're the opposition. Still, that was enough for them, just as it had been good enough for the Federal Government as a basis for its lockdown decision, they said. They subsequently, the Green Party leadership called David Sieber a conspiracy theorist, without ever having considered the content of his information, and then stripped him of his mandates. Now let's take a look at the current actual situation regarding the virus's danger, the complete uselessness of PCR tests for the detection of infections, and the lockdowns based on non-existent infections. In the meantime, we know that the health care systems were never in danger of becoming overwhelmed by Covid-19. On the contrary, many hospitals remain empty to this day and some are now facing bankruptcy. The hospital ship *Comfort*, which anchored in New York at the time, and could have accommodated a thousand patients, never accommodated more than some 20 patients. Nowhere was there any excess mortality. Studies carried out by Professor Ioannidis and others have shown that the mortality of corona is equivalent to that of the seasonal flu. Even the pictures from Bergamo and New York that were used to demonstrate to the world that panic was in order proved to be deliberately misleading.

Then, the so-called "Panic Paper" was leaked, which was written by the German Department of the Interior. Its classified content shows beyond a shadow of a doubt that, in fact, the population was deliberately driven to panic by politicians and mainstream media. The accompanying irresponsible statements of the Head of the RKI – remember the [German] CDC – Mr. Wieler, who repeatedly and excitedly announced that the corona measures must be followed unconditionally by the population without them asking any question, shows that that he followed the script verbatim. In his public statements, he kept announcing that the situation was very grave and threatening, although the figures compiled by his own Institute proved the exact opposite.

Among other things, the “Panic Paper” calls for children to be made to feel responsible – and I quote – “for the painful tortured death of their parents and grandparents if they do not follow the corona rules”, that is, if they do not wash their hands constantly and don’t stay away from their grandparents. A word of clarification: in Bergamo, the vast majority of deaths, 94% to be exact, turned out to be the result not of Covid-19, but rather the consequence of the government deciding to transfer sick patients, sick with probably the cold or seasonal flu, from hospitals to nursing homes in order to make room at the hospitals for all the Covid patients, who ultimately never arrived. There, at the nursing homes, they then infected old people with a severely weakened immune system, usually as a result of pre-existing medical conditions. In addition, a flu vaccination, which had previously been administered, had further weakened the immune systems of the people in the nursing homes. In New York, only some, but by far not all hospitals were overwhelmed. Many people, most of whom were again elderly and had serious pre-existing medical conditions, and most of whom, had it not been for the panic-mongering, would have just stayed at home to recover, raced to the hospitals. There, many of them fell victim to healthcare-associated infections (or nosocomial infections) on the one hand, and incidents of malpractice on the other hand, for example, by being put on a respirator rather than receiving oxygen through an oxygen mask. Again, to clarify: Covid-19, this is the current state of affairs, is a dangerous disease, just like the seasonal flu is a dangerous disease. And of course, Covid-19, just like the seasonal flu, may sometimes take take a severe clinical course and will sometimes kill patients. However, as autopsies have shown, which were carried out in Germany in particular, by the forensic scientist Professor Klaus Püschel in Hamburg, the fatalities he examined had almost all been caused by serious pre-existing conditions, and almost all of the people who had died had died at the very at a very old age, just like in Italy, meaning they had lived beyond their average life expectancy.

In this context, the following should also be mentioned: the German RKI – that is, again the equivalent of the CDC – had initially, strangely enough, recommended that no autopsies be performed. And there are numerous credible reports that doctors and hospitals worldwide had been paid money for declaring a deceased person a victim of Covid-19 rather than writing down the true cause of death on the death certificate, for example a heart attack or a gunshot wound. Without the autopsies, we would never know that the overwhelming majority of the alleged Covid-19 victims had died of completely different diseases, but not of Covid-19. The assertion that the lockdown was necessary because there were so many different infections with SARS-COV-2, and because the healthcare systems would be overwhelmed is wrong for three reasons, as we have learned from the hearings we conducted with the Corona Committee, and from other data that has become available in the meantime:
A. The lockdown was imposed when the virus was already retreating. By the time the lockdown was imposed, the alleged infection rates were already dropping again.
B. There’s already protection from the virus because of cross- or T-cell immunity. Apart from the above mentioned lockdown being imposed when the infection rates were already dropping, there is also cross- or T-cell immunity in the general population against the corona viruses contained in every flu or influenza wave. This is true, even if this time around, a slightly different strain of the coronavirus was at work. And that is because the body’s own immune system remembers every virus it has ever battled in the past, and from this experience, it also recognizes a supposedly new, but still similar, strain of the virus from the corona family. Incidentally, that’s how

the PCR test for the detection of an infection was invented by now infamous Professor Drosten.

At the beginning of January of 2020, based on this very basic knowledge, Mr. Drosten developed his PCR test, which supposedly detects an infection with SARS-COV-2, without ever having seen the real Wuhan virus from China, only having learned from social media reports that there was something going on in Wuhan, he started tinkering on his computer with what would become *his* corona PCR test. For this, he used an old SARS virus, hoping it would be sufficiently similar to the allegedly new strain of the coronavirus found in Wuhan. Then, he sent the result of his computer tinkering to China to determine whether the victims of the alleged new coronavirus tested positive. They did.

And that was enough for the World Health Organization to sound the pandemic alarm and to recommend the worldwide use of the Drosten PCR test for the detection of infections with the virus now called SARS-COV-2. Drosten's opinion and advice was – this must be emphasized once again – the only source for the German government when it announced the lockdown as well as the rules for social distancing and the mandatory wearing of masks. And – this must also be emphasized once again – Germany apparently became the center of especially massive lobbying by the pharmaceutical and tech industry because the world, with reference to the allegedly disciplined Germans, should do as the Germans do in order to survive the pandemic.
C. And this is the most important part of our fact-finding: **the PCR test is being used on the basis of false statements, NOT based on scientific facts with respect to infections**. In the meantime, we have learned that these PCR tests, contrary to the assertions of Messrs. Drosten, Wieler and the WHO, do NOT give any indication of an infection with any virus, let alone an infection with SARS-COV-2. Not only are PCR tests expressly not approved for diagnostic purposes, as is correctly noted on leaflets coming with these tests, and as the inventor of the PCR test, Kary Mullis, has repeatedly emphasized. Instead, they're simply incapable of diagnosing any disease. That is: contrary to the assertions of Drosten, Wieler and the WHO, which they have been making since the proclamation of the pandemic, a positive PCR-test result does not mean that an infection is present. If someone tests positive, it does NOT mean that they're infected with anything, let alone with the contagious SARS-COV-2 virus. Even the United States CDC, even this institution agrees with this, and I quote directly from page 38 of one of its publications on the coronavirus and the PCR tests, dated July 13, 2020. First bullet point says:

"*Detection of viral RNA may not indicate the presence of infectious virus or that 2019 nCOV* [novel coronavirus*] is the causative agent for clinical symptoms*."
Second bullet point says:
"*The performance of this test has not been established for monitoring treatment of 2019 nCOV infection*." Third bullet point says: "*This test cannot rule out diseases caused by other bacterial or viral pathogens*."

**It is still not clear whether there has ever been a scientifically correct isolation of the Wuhan virus**, so that nobody knows exactly what we're looking for when we test, especially since this virus, just like the flu viruses, mutates quickly. **The PCR swabs take one or two sequences of a molecule that are invisible to the human eye and therefore need to be amplified in many cycles to make it visible**.

Everything over 35 cycles is – as reported by the *New York Times* and others – considered completely unreliable and scientifically unjustifiable. However, **the Drosten test, as well as the WHO-recommended tests that followed his example, are set to 45 cycles.** Can that be because of the desire to produce as many positive results as possible and thereby provide the basis for the false assumption that a large number of infections have been detected?

The test cannot distinguish inactive and reproductive matter. **That means that a positive result may happen because the test detects, for example, a piece of debris, a fragment of a molecule, which may signal nothing else than that the immune system of the person tested won a battle with a common cold in the past.** Even Drosten himself declared in an interview with a German business magazine in 2014, at that time concerning the alleged detection of an infection with the MERS virus, allegedly with the help of the PCR test, that these PCR tests are so highly sensitive that even very healthy and non-infectious people *may test positive*. At that time, he also became very much aware of the powerful role of a panic and fear-mongering media, as you'll see at the end of the following quote. He said then, in this interview: "*If, for example, such a pathogen scurries over the nasal mucosa of a nurse for a day or so without her getting sick or noticing anything, then she's suddenly a MERS case. This could also explain the explosion of case numbers in Saudi Arabia. In addition, the media there have made this into an incredible sensation*."

Has he forgotten this? Or is he deliberately concealing this in the corona context because corona is a very lucrative business opportunity for the pharmaceutical industry as a whole? And for Mr. Alford Lund, his co-author in many studies and also a PCR-test producer. In my view, it is completely implausible that he forgot in 2020 what he knew about the PCR tests and told the business magazine in 2014.
In short, this test cannot detect any infection, contrary to all false claims stating that it can. An infection, a so-called "hot" infection, requires that the virus, or rather a fragment of a molecule which may be a virus, is not just found somewhere, for example, in the throat of a person without causing any damage – that would be a "cold" infection. Rather, a "hot" infection requires that the virus penetrates into the cells, replicates there and causes symptoms such as headaches or a sore throat. Only then is a person really infected in the sense of a "hot" infection, because only then is a person contagious, that is, able to infect others. Until then, it is completely harmless for both the host and all other people that the host comes into contact with. **Once again, this means that positive test results, contrary to all other claims by Drosten, Wieler, or the WHO**, mean nothing with respect to infections, as even the CDC knows, as quoted above.

Meanwhile, a number of highly respected scientists worldwide assume that there has never been a corona pandemic, but only **a PCR-test pandemic**. This is the conclusion reached by many German scientists, such as professors Bhakti, Reiss, Mölling, Hockertz, Walach and many others, including the above-mentioned Professor John Ioannidis, and the Nobel laureate, Professor Michael Levitt from Stanford University.

The most recent such opinion is that of the aforementioned **Dr. Mike Yeadon**, a former Vice-President and Chief Science Officer at Pfizer, who held this position for

16 years. He and his co-authors, all well-known scientists, published a scientific paper in September of 2020 and he wrote a corresponding magazine article on September 20, 2020. Among other things, he and they state – and I quote:
"*We're basing our government policy, our economic policy, and the policy of restricting fundamental rights, presumably on completely wrong data and assumptions about the coronavirus. If it weren't for the test results that are constantly reported in the media, the pandemic would be over because nothing really happened. Of course, there are some serious individual cases of illness, but there are also some in every flu epidemic. There was a real wave of disease in March and April, but since then, everything has gone back to normal. Only the positive results rise and sink wildly again and again, depending on how many tests are carried out. But the real cases of illnesses are over. There can be no talk of a second wave. The allegedly new strain of the coronavirus is …*"

– Dr. Yeadon continues –
"… *only new in that it is a new type of the long-known corona virus. There are at least four coronaviruses that are endemic and cause some of the common colds we experience, especially in winter. They all have a striking sequence similarity to the coronavirus, and because the human immune system recognizes the similarity to the virus that has now allegedly been newly discovered, a T-cell immunity has long existed in this respect. 30 per cent of the population had this before the allegedly new virus even appeared. Therefore, it is sufficient for the so-called herd immunity that 15 to 25 per cent of the population are infected with the allegedly new coronavirus to stop the further spread of the virus. And this has long been the case*."
Regarding the all-important PCR tests, Yeadon writes, in a piece called "*Lies, Damned Lies and Health Statistics: The Deadly Danger of False Positives*", dated September 20, 2020, and I quote
"*The likelihood of an apparently positive case being a false positive is between 89 to 94 per cent, or near certainty*."

Dr. Yeadon, in agreement with the professors of immunology Kamera from Germany, Kappel from the Netherlands, and Cahill from Ireland, as well as the microbiologist Dr. Arve from Austria, all of whom testified before the German Corona Committee, explicitly points out that a positive test does not mean that an intact virus has been found.

The authors explain that what the PCR test actually measures is – and I quote:
"*Simply the presence of partial RNA sequences present in the intact virus, which could be a piece of dead virus, which cannot make the subject sick, and cannot be transmitted, and cannot make anyone else sick*."

Because of the complete unsuitability of the test for the detection of infectious diseases – tested positive in goats, sheep, papayas and even chicken wings – Oxford Professor Carl Heneghan, Director of the Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine, writes that the Covid virus would never disappear if this test practice were to be continued, but would always be falsely detected in much of what is tested. Lockdowns, as Yeadon and his colleagues found out, do not work. Sweden, with its laissez-faire approach, and Great Britain, with its strict lockdown, for example, have completely comparable disease and mortality statistics. The same was found by US scientists concerning the different US states. It makes no difference to the incidence

of disease whether a state implements a lockdown or not.

With regard to the now infamous Imperial College of London's Professor Neil Ferguson and his completely false computer models warning of millions of deaths, he says that – and I quote: "*No serious scientist gives any validity to Ferguson's model."* He points out with thinly veiled contempt – again I quote:
"*It's important that you know, most scientists don't accept that it …"* – that is, Ferguson's model – "*was even faintly right. But the government is still wedded to the model*." Ferguson predicted 40 thousand corona deaths in Sweden by May and 100 thousand by June, but it remained at 5,800 which, according to the Swedish authorities, is equivalent to a mild flu. If the PCR tests had not been used as a diagnostic tool for corona infections, there would not be a pandemic and there would be no lockdowns, but everything would have been perceived as just a medium or light wave of influenza, these scientists conclude. Dr. Yeadon in his piece, "*Lies, Damned Lies and Health Statistics: The Deadly Danger of False Positives,* writes: "*This test is fatally flawed and must immediately be withdrawn and never used again in this setting, unless shown to be fixed*." And, towards the end of that article, "*I have explained how a hopelessly performing diagnostic test has been, and continues to be used, not for diagnosis of disease, but it seems solely to create fear*".

Now let's take a look at the current actual situation regarding the severe damage caused by the lockdowns and other measures. Another detailed paper, written by a German official in the Department of the Interior, who is responsible for risk assessment and the protection of the population against risks, was leaked recently. It is now called the "False Alarm" paper. This paper comes to the conclusion that there was that there was and is no sufficient evidence for serious health risks for the population as claimed by Drosten, Wieler and the WHO, but – the author says – there's very much evidence of the corona measures causing gigantic health and economic damage to the population, which he then describes in detail in this paper. This, he concludes, will lead to very high claims for damages, which the government will be held responsible for. This has now become reality, but the paper's author was suspended.

More and more scientists, but also lawyers, recognize that, as a result of the deliberate panic-mongering, and the corona measures enabled by this panic, democracy is in great danger of being replaced by fascist totalitarian models. As I already mentioned above, in Australia, people who do not wear the masks, which more and more studies show, are hazardous to health, or who allegedly do not wear them correctly, are arrested, handcuffed and thrown into jail. In the Philippines, they run the risk of getting shot, but even in Germany and in other previously civilized countries, children are taken away from their parents if they do not comply with quarantine regulations, distance regulations, and mask-wearing regulations. According to psychologists and psychotherapists who testified before the Corona Committee, children are traumatized en masse, with the worst psychological consequences yet to be expected in the medium- and long-term. In Germany alone, to bankruptcies are expected in the fall to strike small- and medium-sized businesses, which form the backbone of the economy. This will result in incalculable tax losses and incalculably high and long-term social security money transfers for – among other things – unemployment benefits.

Since, in the meantime, pretty much everybody is beginning to understand the full devastating impact of the completely unfounded corona measures, I will refrain from detailing this any further.

Let me now give you a summary of the legal consequences. The most difficult part of a lawyer's work is always to establish the true facts, not the application of the legal rules to these facts. Unfortunately, a German lawyer does not learn this at law school but his Anglo-American counterparts do get the necessary training for this at *their* law schools. And probably for this reason, but also because of the much more pronounced independence of the Anglo-American judiciary, the Anglo-American law of evidence is much more effective in practice than the German one. A court of law can only decide a legal dispute correctly if it has previously determined the facts correctly, which is not possible without looking at all the evidence. And that's why the law of evidence is so important. On the basis of the facts summarized above, in particular those established with the help of the work of the German Corona Committee, the legal evaluation is actually simple. It is simple for all civilized legal systems, regardless of whether these legal systems are based on civil law, which follows the Roman law more closely, or whether they are based on Anglo-American common law, which is only loosely connected to Roman law.

Let's first take a look at the unconstitutionality of the measures. A number of German law professors, including professors Kingreen, Morswig, Jungbluth and Vosgerau have stated, either in written expert opinions or in interviews, in line with the serious doubts expressed by the former president of the federal constitutional court with respect to the constitutionality of the corona measures, that these measures – the corona measures – are without a sufficient factual basis, and also without a sufficient legal basis, and are therefore unconstitutional and must be repealed immediately. Very recently, a judge, Thorsten Schleif is his name, declared publicly that the German judiciary, just like the general public, has been so panic-stricken that it was no longer able to administer justice properly. He says that the courts of law – and I quote – "have all too quickly waved through coercive measures which, for millions of people all over Germany, represent massive suspensions of their constitutional rights. He points out that German citizens – again I quote – "are currently experiencing the most serious encroachment on their constitutional rights since the founding of the federal republic of Germany in 1949". In order to contain the corona pandemic, federal and state governments have intervened, he says, massively, and in part threatening the very existence of the country as it is guaranteed by the constitutional rights of the people.

What about fraud, intentional infliction of damage and crimes against humanity? Based on the rules of criminal law, **asserting false facts concerning the PCR tests or intentional misrepresentation**, as it was committed by Messrs. Drosten, Wieler and WHO, as well as the WHO, can *only* be assessed as fraud. Based on the rules of civil tort law, this translates into intentional infliction of damage. The German professor of civil law, Martin Schwab, supports this finding in public interviews. In a comprehensive legal opinion of around 180 pages, he has familiarized himself with the subject matter like no other legal scholar has done thus far and, in particular, has provided a detailed account of the complete failure of the mainstream media to report on the true facts of this so-called pandemic. Messrs. Drosten, Wieler and Tedros of the WHO all knew, based on their own expertise or the expertise of their institutions,

that the PCR tests cannot provide any information about infections, but asserted over and over again to the general public that they can, with their counterparts all over the world repeating this. And they all knew and accepted that, on the basis of their recommendations, the governments of the world would decide on lockdowns, the rules for social distancing, and mandatory wearing of masks, the latter representing a *very serious health hazard,* as more and more independent studies and expert statements show. Under the rules of civil tort law, all those who have been harmed by these PCR-test-induced lockdowns are entitled to receive full compensation for their losses. In particular, there is a duty to compensate – that is, a duty to pay damages for the loss of profits suffered by companies and self-employed employed persons as a result of the lockdown and other measures.

In the meantime, however, the anti-corona measures have caused, and continue to cause, such devastating damage to the world population's health and economy that the crimes committed by Messrs. Drosten, Wieler and the WHO *must be legally qualified as actual crimes against humanity*, as defined in section 7 of the International Criminal Code.

How can we do something? What can we do? Well, the class action is the best route to compensatory damages and to political consequences. The so-called class action lawsuit is based on English law and exists today in the USA and in Canada. It enables a court of law to allow a complaint for damages to be tried as a class action lawsuit at the request of a plaintiff if:

1. As a result of a damage-inducing event …
2. A large number of people suffer the same type of damage.

Phrased differently, a judge can allow a class-action lawsuit to go forward if common questions of law and fact make up the vital component of the lawsuit. Here, the common questions of law and fact revolve around the worldwide PCR-test-based lockdowns and its consequences. Just like the VW diesel passenger cars were functioning products, but they were defective due to a so-called defeat device because they didn't comply with the emissions standards, so too the PCR tests – which are perfectly good products in other settings – are defective products when it comes to the diagnosis of infections. Now, if an American or Canadian company or an American or Canadian individual decides to sue these persons in the United States or Canada for damages, then the court called upon to resolve this dispute may, upon request, allow this complaint to be tried as a class action lawsuit.
If this happens, all affected parties worldwide will be informed about this through publications in the mainstream media and will thus have the opportunity to join this class action within a certain period of time, to be determined by the court. It should be emphasized that nobody *must* join the class action, but every injured party *can* join the class.

The advantage of the class action is that *only one trial is needed*, namely to try the complaint of a representative plaintiff who is affected in a manner typical of everyone else in the class. This is, firstly, cheaper, and secondly, faster than hundreds of thousands or more individual lawsuits. And thirdly, it imposes less of a burden on the courts. Fourthly, as a rule it allows a much more precise examination of the accusations than would be possible in the context of hundreds of thousands, or more

likely in this corona setting, even millions of individual lawsuits.

In particular, the well-established and proven Anglo-American law of evidence, with its pre-trial discovery, is applicable. This requires that all evidence relevant for the determination of the lawsuit is put on the table. In contrast to the typical situation in German lawsuits with structural imbalance, that is, lawsuits involving on the one hand a consumer, and on the other hand a powerful corporation, the withholding or even destruction of evidence is not without consequence; rather the party withholding or even destroying evidence loses the case under these evidence rules.

Here in Germany, a group of tort lawyers have banded together to help their clients with recovery of damages. They have provided all relevant information and forms for German plaintiffs to both estimate how much damage they have suffered and join the group or class of plaintiffs who will later join the class action when it goes forward either in Canada or the US. Initially, this group of lawyers had considered to also collect and manage the claims for damages of other, non-German plaintiffs, but this proved to be unmanageable.

However, through an international lawyers' network, which is growing larger by the day, the German group of attorneys provides to all of their colleagues in all other countries, free of charge, all relevant information, including expert opinions and testimonies of experts showing that the PCR tests cannot detect infections. And they also provide them with all relevant information as to how they can prepare and bundle the claims for damages of their clients so that, they too, can assert their clients' claims for damages, either in their home country's courts of law, or within the framework of the class action, as explained above.

These scandalous corona facts, gathered mostly by the Corona Committee and summarized above, are the very same facts that will soon be proven to be true either in one court of law, or in many courts of law all over the world.

These are the facts that will pull the masks off the faces of all those responsible for these crimes. To the politicians who believe those corrupt people, these facts are hereby offered as a lifeline that can help you readjust your course of action, and start the long overdue public scientific discussion, and not go down with those charlatans and criminals.

# Tab 3

2 Pages

19 July 2021

President Dr. William Wilson
Oral Roberts University
7777 South Lewis Avenue
Tulsa, OK 74171
918-495-6161

**Subject 1:** **mRNA "vaccine" as Ongoing Cause of Death (COD)**
**Subject 2:** **Fraudulent Promotions of "COVID vaccine" and "Delta Variant"**
**Subject 3:** **Fox News Interview of Pastor Robert Jeffress (15 July 2021)**

**Reference 1:** **My Letter to the Presidents of the Ivy League (6 March 2021)**
**Reference 2:** **My Letter to Anthony Fauci and Ivy League Law School Deans (12 April 2021)**
**Reference 3:** **My Letter to Governor DeSantis / Governor Noem (23 April 2021)**
**Reference 4:** **My Letter to Fox News CEO Mr. Jack Abernethy (24 June 2021)**

**Reference 5:** **Dr. Reiner Fuellmich Interview of Dr. David Martin of July 2021: The Coronavirus Investigation Committee (Enclosed USB Drive)**

Mandatory Reporting of COVID-19 Lab Test Results

Reporting of Cycle Threshold Values (CTV)

State of Florida - December 3, 2020

## Mandatory Reporting of COVID-19 Laboratory Test Results: Reporting of Cycle Threshold Values

December 3, 2020

Laboratories are subject to mandatory reporting to the Florida Department of Health (FDOH) under section 381.0031, Florida Statutes, and Florida Administrative Code, Chapter 64D-3.

- All positive, negative and indeterminate COVID-19 laboratory results must be reported to FDOH via electronic laboratory reporting or by fax immediately. This includes all COVID-19 test types—polymerase chain reaction (PCR), other RNA, antigen and antibody results. For a list of county health departments and their reporting contact information, please visit www.FLhealth.gov/chdepicontact.
- Cycle threshold (CT) values and their reference ranges, as applicable, must be reported by laboratories to FDOH via electronic laboratory reporting or by fax immediately.

As per Florida Administrative Code, rule 64D-3.031, laboratories must report all of the following:

- The patient's:
  - First and last name, including middle initial
  - Address (including street, city, state and ZIP code)
  - Telephone number (including area code)
  - Date of birth
  - Sex
  - Race
  - Ethnicity (Hispanic or non-Hispanic)
  - Pregnancy status, if applicable
  - Social Security number
- The laboratory:
  - Name, address and telephone number of laboratory performing test
  - Type of specimen (e.g., stool, urine, blood, mucus, etc.)
  - Date of specimen collection
  - Specimen collection site (e.g., cervix, eye) if applicable
  - Date of report
  - Type of test performed and results, including reference range, titer when quantitative procedures are performed and all available results on speciation, grouping or typing of organisms
- The submitting provider's:
  - Name
  - Address (including street, city, state and ZIP code)
  - Telephone number (including area code)
  - National provider number (NPI)

If your laboratory is not currently reporting CT values and their reference ranges, the lab should begin reporting this information to FDOH within seven days of the date of this memorandum. If your laboratory is unable to report CT values and their reference ranges, please fill out the brief questionnaire attached to this memorandum and submit by facsimile to the FDOH's Bureau of Epidemiology confidential fax line at 850-414-6894, within seven days of the date of this memorandum

**Mandatory Reporting of COVID-19 Laboratory Test Results: Reporting of Cycle Threshold Values**

Attachment

| | |
|---|---|
| Name of person completing questionnaire | |
| Name of laboratory | |
| Street address | |
| City, state and ZIP code | |

1. Is your laboratory a CLIA-certified laboratory performing diagnostic molecular testing for the detection of SARS-CoV-2?
   - ☐ Yes
   - ☐ No

2. Does your laboratory perform multiple assays for the molecular detection of SARS-CoV-2?
   - ☐ Yes
   - ☐ No

3. Please list all the platforms/assays that your laboratory uses.

   _____

   _____

   _____

4. Do the molecular assays your laboratory performs include real-time PCR with the test result being based on a CT value?
   - ☐ Yes
   - ☐ No (Your survey is complete, please fax to 850-414-6894)

5. Please select all the reason(s) why your laboratory is not able to report the CT value to FDOH.
   - ☐ Although the qualitative result is generated based on a CT value, the assay/instrument does not provide the user with the actual CT value–it only provides the qualitative result
   - ☐ The laboratory does not have a separate mechanism to report the CT value to FDOH since the CT value does not get reported to the submitting provider
   - ☐ Other (please list the reasons)

   _____

   _____

   _____

**Fax to 850-414-6894**

# A D D E N D U M

# AMERICAS FRONTLINE DOCTORS
# vs XAVIER-BECERRA

19 July 2021

President Dr. William Wilson
Oral Roberts University
7777 South Lewis Avenue
Tulsa, OK 74171
918-495-6161

| | |
|---|---|
| **Subject 1:** | **mRNA "vaccine" as Ongoing Cause of Death (COD)** |
| **Subject 2:** | **Fraudulent Promotions of "COVID vaccine" and "Delta Variant"** |
| **Subject 3:** | **Fox News Interview of Pastor Robert Jeffress (15 July 2021)** |
| **Reference 1:** | **My Letter to the Presidents of the Ivy League (6 March 2021)** |
| **Reference 2:** | **My Letter to Anthony Fauci and Ivy League Law School Deans (12 April 2021)** |
| **Reference 3:** | **My Letter to Governor DeSantis / Governor Noem (23 April 2021)** |
| **Reference 4:** | **My Letter to Fox News CEO Mr. Jack Abernethy (24 June 2021)** |
| **Reference 5:** | **Dr. Reiner Fuellmich Interview of Dr. David Martin of July 2021: The Coronavirus Investigation Committee (Enclosed USB Drive)** |

FILED
2021 Jul-19 PM 01:01
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
N.D. OF ALABAMA

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR**

**THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| AMERICA'S FRONTLINE DOCTORS, et al., | ) | |
| | ) | |
| Plaintiffs, | ) | |
| | ) | |
| vs. | ) | Civil Action No. |
| | ) | 2:21-cv-00702-CLM |
| XAVIER BECERRA, Secretary of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, et al., | ) | |
| | ) | |
| Defendants. | ) | |
| _____ | ) | |

**********

**PLAINTIFFS MOTION FOR**

**PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION**

**********

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

## I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiffs move under Rule 65, Fed.R.Civ.P., for a preliminary injunction against Defendants enjoining them from continuing to authorize the emergency use of the so-called "Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine,"[1] "Moderna COVID-19 Vaccine"[2] and the "Johnson & Johnson (Janssen) COVID-19 Vaccine"[3] (collectively, the "Vaccines")[4] pursuant to their respective EUAs, and from granting full Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") approval of the Vaccines:

(i) for the under-18 age category;

(ii) for those, regardless of age, who have been infected with SARS-CoV-2 prior to vaccination; and

(iii) until such time as the Defendants have complied with their obligation to create and maintain the requisite "conditions of authorization" under Section 546 of the Food, Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 21 U.S.C. § 360bbb–3(e), thereby enabling Vaccine candidates to give truly voluntary, informed consent.

## II. SUMMARY OF FACTS

Plaintiffs reference and incorporate herein the facts contained in their Complaint filed on June 10, 2021 (ECF 10).

### A. The Unlawful Vaccine Emergency Use Authorizations

#### (1) 21 U.S.C. § 360bbb–3(b)(1)(C): There is No Emergency

On February 4, 2020, the Department of Health and Human Services ("DHHS") Secretary declared, pursuant to § 360bbb–3(b)(1)(C), that SARS-CoV-2 created a "public health

[1] Emergency Use Authorization ("EUA") issued December 11, 2020. *See* https://www.fda.gov/emergency-preparedness-and-response/coronavirus-disease-2019-covid-19/pfizer-biontech-covid-19-vaccine.
[2] EUA issued December 18, 2020. *See* https://www.fda.gov/emergency-preparedness-and-response/coronavirus-disease-2019-covid-19/moderna-covid-19-vaccine.
[3] EUA issued February 27, 2021. *See* https://www.fda.gov/emergency-preparedness-and-response/coronavirus-disease-2019-covid-19/janssen-covid-19-vaccine.
[4] For the sake of clarity of reference, Plaintiffs are using the names given to the Pfizer and Moderna EUA medical products by their manufacturers and the Defendants. However, Plaintiffs reject the highly misleading use of the term "vaccine" to describe the Pfizer and Moderna EUA medical products, since they are not vaccines within the settled meaning of the term and instead are more precisely described as a form of genetic manipulation.

emergency." This initial emergency declaration has been renewed repeatedly and remains in force today. The emergency declaration is the necessary legal predicate for the issuance of the Vaccine EUAs, which have allowed the mass use of the Vaccines by the American public, even before the completion of the standard regimen of clinical trials and FDA approval.

The emergency declaration and its multiple renewals are illegal, since in fact there is no underlying emergency. Assuming the accuracy of Defendants' COVID-19 death data, SARS-CoV-2 has an overall survivability rate of 99.8% globally, which increases to 99.97% for persons under the age of 70, on a par with the seasonal flu. However, Defendants' data is deliberately inflated. On March 24, 2020, DHHS changed the rules applicable to coroners and others responsible for producing death certificates and making "cause of death" determinations — **exclusively for COVID-19**. The rule change states: "COVID-19 should be reported on the death certificate for all decedents where the disease caused *or is assumed to have caused or contributed* to death." In fact, DHHS statistics show that 95% of deaths classed as "COVID-19 deaths" involve an average of four additional co-morbidities. The CDC knew "…the rules for coding and selection of the underlying cause of death are expected to result in COVID-19 being the underlying cause more often than not."

Similarly, the actual number of COVID-19 "cases" is far lower than the reported number. DHHS authorized the emergency use of the polymerase chain reaction ("PCR") test as a diagnostic tool for COVID-19, with disastrous consequences. The PCR tests are themselves experimental products, authorized by the FDA under separate EUAs. PCR test manufacturers use disclaimers like this in their product manuals: "[t]he FDA has not determined that the test is safe or effective for the detection of SARS-Co-V-2." Manufacturer inserts furnished with PCR test products include disclaimers stating that the PCR tests should NOT be used to diagnose

COVID-19. This is consistent with the warning issued by the Nobel Prize winning inventor of the PCR test that such tests are not appropriate for diagnosing disease.

The way in which the PCR tests are administered guaranties an unacceptably high number of false positive results. Cycle Threshold Value ("CT value") is essentially the number of times that a sample (usually from a nasal swab) is magnified or amplified before a fragment of viral RNA is detected. The CT Value is exponential, and so a 40-cycle threshold means that the sample is magnified around a trillion times. The higher the CT Value, the less likely the detected fragment of viral RNA is intact, alive and infectious.[5]

Virtually all scientists, including Dr. Fauci, agree that any PCR test run at a CT value of 35-cycles or greater is useless. Dr. Fauci has stated (emphasis below added):

> ***What is now evolving into a bit of a standard is that if you get a cycle threshold of 35 or more that the chances of it being replication competent are miniscule****…We have patients, and it is very frustrating for the patients as well as for the physicians…somebody comes in and they repeat their PCR and it's like 37 cycle threshold…you can almost never culture virus from a 37 threshold cycle. So I think if somebody does come in with 37, 38, even 36, you gotta say, you know, it's dead nucleotides, period. In other words, it is not a COVID-19 infection.*[6]

A study funded by the French government showed that even at 35-cycles, the false positivity rate is as high as 97%. Despite this, a majority of the PCR tests for COVID-19 deployed under EUAs in the United States are run at 35-45 cycles in accordance with manufacturer instructions. Under the EUAs issued by the FDA, there is no flexibility to depart from the manufacturer's instructions and change the way in which the test is administered or interpreted. The chart below shows that all major PCR tests in use in the United States are run at cycles of up to 35 or higher.

---

[5] https://www.oralhealthgroup.com/features/the-problems-with-the-covid-19-test-a-necessary-understanding/ (last visited July 15, 2021).

[6] https://1027kearneymo.com/kpgz-news/2020/11/9/covid-tests-may-inflate-numbers-by-picking-up-dead-virus (last visited July 15, 2021).

| Manufacturer | Manufacturer's Recommended Cycle Threshold |
|---|---|
| Xiamen Zeesan SARS-CoV-2 Test Kit (Real-time PCR) | 45 cycles |
| Opti Sars CoV-2 RT-PCR Test | 45 cycles |
| Quest SARS-CoV-2rRT-PCR Test | 40 cycles |
| CDC 2019-Novel Coronavirus Real Time (RT-PCR Diagnostic Panel) Test | 40 cycles |
| Wren Labs COVID-19 PCR Test | 38 cycles |
| LabCorp COVID-19 RT-PCR Test | 35 cycles |

Further, the Defendants and their counterparts in state governments used the specter of "asymptomatic spread" — the notion that fundamentally healthy people could cause COVID-19 in others — to justify the purported emergency. But there is *no credible scientific evidence* that demonstrates that the phenomenon of "asymptomatic spread" is real. On the contrary, on June 7, 2020, Dr. Maria Von Kerkhov, head of the WHO's Emerging Diseases and Zoonosis Unit, told a press conference that from the known research, asymptomatic spread was "very rare." "From the data we have, it still seems to be rare that an asymptomatic person actually transmits onward to a secondary individual." She added for emphasis: "it's very rare." Researchers from Southern Medical University in Guangzhou, China, published a study in August 2020 concluding that asymptomatic transmission of COVID-19 is *almost non-existent*. "Asymptomatic cases were least likely to infect their close contacts," the researchers found. A more recent study involving nearly 10 million residents of Wuhan, China found that there were no — zero — positive COVID-19 tests amongst 1,174 *close contacts* of asymptomatic cases, *indicating the complete absence of asymptomatic transmission.*

On September 9, 2020, Dr. Fauci was forced to admit in an official press conference:

> *[E]ven if there is some asymptomatic transmission, in all the history of respiratory borne viruses of any type, asymptomatic transmission has never been the driver of outbreaks. The driver of outbreaks is always a symptomatic person,*

*even if there is a rare asymptomatic person that might transmit, an epidemic is not driven by asymptomatic carriers.*[7]

**(2) § 360bbb–3(c)(1): There is in Fact no Serious or Life-Threatening Disease or Condition**

Once an emergency has been declared and while it remains in force, the DHHS Secretary can issue and maintain EUAs "**only if**" (emphasis added) certain criteria are met. One of these criteria is that there is in fact (not simply perceived, projected or declared) "a serious or life threatening disease or condition." For the reasons set forth above in the prior section, SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19 do not constitute a "serious or life threatening disease or condition" within the meaning of the statute. It also bears noting that the legal purpose of an emergency declaration is to bypass checks and balances typically required under law due to a crisis and that the use of such a declaration for such an arbitrary purpose could undermine the balance of power between the various branches of government.

**(3) § 360bbb–3(c)(2)(A): The Vaccines Do Not Diagnose, Treat or Prevent SARS-CoV-2 or COVID-19**

The DHHS Secretary can issue and maintain the Vaccine EUAs "**only if**" they are "effective" in diagnosing, treating or preventing a disease or condition.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention ("CDC") data shows that the Vaccines are not effective in treating or preventing SARS-CoV-2 or COVID-19. Deaths from COVID-19 in those who have received the recommended dosages of the Vaccines increased from 160 as of April 30, 2021 to 535 as of June 1, 2021. Further, a total of 10,262 SARS-CoV-2 "breakthrough infections" of those who have already received the full recommended dosage of the Vaccines

[7] https://www.statnews.com/2021/01/23/asymptomatic-infection-blunder-covid-19-spin-out-of-control/ (last visited July 15, 2021).

were reported to the CDC from 46 states and territories between January 1, 2021 and April 30, 2021.

In studying the effectiveness of a medical intervention in randomized controlled trials (often called the gold standard of study design), the most useful way to present results is in terms of Absolute Risk Reduction ("ARR"). ARR compares the impact of treatment by comparing the outcomes of the treated group and the untreated group. In other words, if 20 out of 100 untreated individuals had a negative outcome, and 10 out of 100 treated individuals had a negative outcome, the ARR would be 10% (20 - 10 = 10). **According to a study published by the NIH, the ARR for the Pfizer Vaccine is a mere 0.7%, and the ARR for the Moderna Vaccine is only 1.1%.**

From the ARR, one can calculate the Number Needed to Vaccinate ("NNV"), which signifies the number of people that must be injected before even one person benefits from the vaccine. The NNV for the Pfizer Vaccine is 119, meaning that 119 people must be injected in order to observe the reduction of a COVID-19 case in one person. The reputed journal the *Lancet* reports data indicating that the NNV may be as high as 217.

There are several factors that reduce any purported benefit of the COVID-19 Vaccines. First, it is important to note that the Vaccines were only shown to reduce symptoms – not block transmission. For over a year now, these Defendants and state-level public health authorities have told the American public that SARS-CoV-2 can be spread by people who have none of the symptoms of COVID-19, therefore Americans must mask themselves, and submit to innumerable lockdowns and restrictions, even though they are not manifestly sick. If that is the case, and these officials were not lying to the public, and asymptomatic spread is real, then what is the benefit of a vaccine that merely reduces symptoms? There isn't any.

Secondly, it appears that these Defendants either did lie about asymptomatic spread, or were simply wrong about the science. The theory of asymptomatic transmission — used as the justification for the lockdown and masking of the healthy — was based *solely* upon mathematical modeling. This theory had no actual study participants, and no peer review. The authors made the unfounded assumption that asymptomatic persons were "75% as infectious" as symptomatic persons. But in the real world, healthy false positives turned out to be merely healthy, and were never shown to be "asymptomatic" carriers of anything. Studies have shown that PCR test-positive asymptomatic individuals do not induce clinical COVID-19 disease, not even in a family member with whom they share a home and extended proximity. An enormous study of nearly ten million people in Wuhan, China showed that asymptomatic individuals testing positive for COVID-19 **never** infected others. Since asymptomatic individuals do not spread COVID-19, they do not need to be vaccinated.

**(4) § 360bbb–3(c)(2)(B): The Known and Potential Risks of the Vaccine Outweigh their Known and Potential Benefits**

The DHHS Secretary can issue and maintain the Vaccine EUAs "**only if**" (emphasis added) the known and potential risks of each Vaccine are outweighed by its known and potential benefits.

The typical vaccine development process takes between 10 and 15 years, and consists of the following sequential stages: research and discovery (2 to 10 years), pre-clinical animal studies (1 to 5 years), clinical human trials in four phases (typically 5 years). Phase 1 of the clinical human trials consists of healthy individuals and is focused on safety. Phase 2 consists of additional safety and dose-ranging in healthy volunteers, with the addition of a control group. Phase 3 evaluates efficacy, safety and immune response in a larger volunteer group, and requires two sequential randomized controlled trials. Phase 4 is a larger scale investigation into longer-

term safety. Vaccine developers must follow this process in order to be able to generate the data the FDA needs in order to assess the safety and effectiveness of a vaccine candidate.

This 10-15 year testing process has been abandoned for purposes of the Vaccines. The first human-to-human transmission of the SARS-CoV-2 virus was not confirmed until January 20, 2020, and less than a year later both mRNA Vaccines had EUAs and for the first time in history this novel mRNA technology was being injected into millions of human beings. As of June 7, 2021, 138 million Americans, representing 42% of the population, have been fully vaccinated.

All of the stages of testing have been compressed in time, abbreviated in substance, and are overlapping, which dramatically increases the risks of the Vaccines. Plaintiffs' investigation indicates that Moderna and Pfizer designed their Vaccines in only two days. It appears that pharmaceutical companies did not independently verify the genome sequence that China released on January 11, 2020. It appears that the Vaccines were studied for only 56 days in macaques, and 28 days in mice, and then animal studies were halted. It appears that the pharmaceutical companies discarded their control groups receiving placebos, squandering the opportunity to learn about the rate of long-term complications, how long protection against the disease lasts and how well the Vaccines inhibit transmission. A number of studies were deemed unnecessary and not performed prior to administration in human subjects, including single dose toxicity, toxicokinetic, genotoxicity, carcinogenicity, prenatal and postnatal development, offspring, local tolerance, teratogenic and postnatal toxicity and fertility. The American public has not been properly informed of these dramatic departures from the standard testing process, and the risks they generate.

Plaintiff America's Frontline Doctors' ("AFLDS") medico-legal researchers have analyzed the accumulated COVID-19 Vaccine risk data, and report as follows:

Migration of the SARS-CoV-2 "Spike Protein" in the Body

The SARS-CoV-2 has a spike protein on its surface. The spike protein is what allows the virus to infect other bodies. It is clear that the spike protein is not a simple, passive structure. The spike protein is a "pathogenic protein" and a toxin that causes damage. The spike protein is itself biologically active, even without the virus. It is "fusogenic" and consequently binds more tightly to our cells, causing harm. If the purified spike protein is injected into the blood of research animals, it causes profound damage to their cardiovascular system, and crosses the blood-brain barrier to cause neurological damage. If the Vaccines were like traditional *bona fide* vaccines, and did not leave the immediate site of vaccination, typically the shoulder muscle, beyond the local draining lymph node, then the damage that the spike protein could cause might be limited.

However, the Vaccines were authorized without any studies demonstrating where the spike proteins traveled in the body following vaccination, how long they remain active and what effect they have. A group of international scientists has recently obtained the "biodistribution study" for the mRNA Vaccines from Japanese regulators. The study reveals that unlike traditional vaccines, this spike protein enters the bloodstream and circulates throughout the body over several days post-vaccination. It accumulates in a number of tissues, such as the spleen, bone marrow, liver, adrenal glands and ovaries. It fuses with receptors on our blood platelets, and also with cells lining our blood vessels. It can cause platelets to clump leading to clotting, bleeding and heart inflammation. It can also cross the blood-brain barrier and cause brain damage. It can be transferred to infants through breast milk. The VAERS system includes reports of infants suckling from vaccinated mothers experiencing bleeding disorders in the gastrointestinal tract.

Increased Risk of Death from Vaccines

The government operated VAERS database is intended to function as an "early warning" system for potential health risks caused by vaccines. It is broadcasting a red alert. Of the 262,000 total accumulated reports in VAERS, only 1772 are not related to COVID-19. The database indicates that the total reported vaccine deaths in the first quarter of 2021 represents a 12,000% to 25,000% increase in vaccine deaths, year-on-year. In ten years (2009-2019) there were 1529 vaccine deaths, whereas in the first quarter of 2021 there have been over 4,000. Further, 99% of all reported vaccine deaths in 2021 are caused by the COVID-19 Vaccines, only 1% being caused by the numerous other vaccines reported in the system. It is estimated that VAERS only captures 1% to at best 10% of all vaccine adverse events.

Reproductive Health

The mRNA Vaccines induce our cells to manufacture (virus-free) "spike proteins." The "spike proteins" are in the same family as the naturally occurring syncytin-1 and syncytin-2 reproductive proteins in sperm, ova and placenta. Antibodies raised against the spike protein might interact with the naturally occurring syncytin proteins, adversely affecting multiple steps in human reproduction. The manufacturers did not provide data on this subject despite knowing about the spike protein's similarity to syncytin proteins for more than one year. There are now a very high number of pregnancy losses in VAERS. A study recently published in the New England Journal of Medicine, "Preliminary Findings of mRNA COVID-19 Vaccine Safety in Pregnant Persons," exposes that pregnant women receiving Vaccines during their first or second trimesters suffer an 82% spontaneous abortion rate, killing 4 out of 5 unborn babies. There are worldwide reports of irregular vaginal bleeding without clear explanation. Scientists are concerned that the Vaccines pose a substantial risk to a woman's reproductive system. This increased risk of sterility stems from an increased concentration of the spike proteins in various

parts of the reproductive system after vaccination. Not enough is known to determine the risk of sterility, but it is beyond question that the risk is increased.

A leaked Pfizer document (excerpted below) exposes that Pfizer Vaccine nanoparticles accumulate in the ovaries at an extraordinarily high rate, in concentrations orders of magnitude higher than in other tissues. Billions of aggressive spike proteins are accumulating in very delicate ovarian tissues, the one place in the human body where females carry a finite number of fertile eggs.

SARS-CoV-2 mRNA Vaccine (BNT162, PF-07302048)
2.6.5 薬物動態試験の概要表

**2.6.5.5B. PHARMACOKINETICS: ORGAN DISTRIBUTION CONTINUED** **Test Article: [**

| Sample | Total Lipid concentration (µg lipid equivalent/g [or mL]) (males and females combined) | | | | | | | % |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 0.25 h | 1 h | 2 h | 4 h | 8 h | 24 h | 48 h | 0.25 h |
| Lymph node (mandibular) | 0.064 | 0.189 | 0.290 | 0.408 | 0.534 | 0.554 | 0.727 | -- |
| Lymph node (mesenteric) | 0.050 | 0.146 | 0.530 | 0.489 | 0.689 | 0.985 | 1.37 | -- |
| Muscle | 0.021 | 0.061 | 0.084 | 0.103 | 0.096 | 0.095 | 0.192 | -- |
| Ovaries (females) | 0.104 | 1.34 | 1.64 | 2.34 | 3.09 | 5.24 | 12.3 | 0.001 |
| Pancreas | 0.081 | 0.207 | 0.414 | 0.380 | 0.294 | 0.358 | 0.599 | 0.003 |
| Pituitary gland | 0.339 | 0.645 | 0.868 | 0.854 | 0.405 | 0.478 | 0.694 | 0.000 |
| Prostate (males) | 0.061 | 0.091 | 0.128 | 0.157 | 0.150 | 0.183 | 0.170 | 0.001 |
| Salivary glands | 0.084 | 0.193 | 0.255 | 0.220 | 0.135 | 0.170 | 0.264 | 0.003 |
| Skin | 0.013 | 0.208 | 0.159 | 0.145 | 0.119 | 0.157 | 0.253 | -- |
| Small intestine | 0.030 | 0.221 | 0.476 | 0.879 | 1.28 | 1.30 | 1.47 | 0.024 |
| Spinal cord | 0.043 | 0.097 | 0.169 | 0.250 | 0.106 | 0.085 | 0.112 | 0.001 |
| Spleen | 0.334 | 2.47 | 7.73 | 10.3 | 22.1 | 20.1 | 23.4 | 0.013 |
| Stomach | 0.017 | 0.065 | 0.115 | 0.144 | 0.268 | 0.152 | 0.215 | 0.006 |
| Testes (males) | 0.031 | 0.042 | 0.079 | 0.129 | 0.146 | 0.304 | 0.320 | 0.007 |
| Thymus | 0.088 | 0.243 | 0.340 | 0.335 | 0.196 | 0.207 | 0.331 | 0.004 |
| Thyroid | 0.155 | 0.536 | 0.842 | 0.851 | 0.544 | 0.578 | 1.00 | 0.000 |
| Uterus (females) | 0.043 | 0.203 | 0.305 | 0.140 | 0.287 | 0.289 | 0.456 | 0.002 |
| Whole blood | 1.97 | 4.37 | 5.40 | 3.05 | 1.31 | 0.909 | 0.420 | -- |
| Plasma | 3.97 | 8.13 | 8.90 | 6.50 | 2.36 | 1.78 | 0.805 | -- |
| Blood:Plasma ratio[a] | 0.815 | 0.515 | 0.550 | 0.510 | 0.555 | 0.530 | 0.540 | -- |

PFIZER CONFIDENTIAL
Page 7

Each baby girl is born with the total number of eggs she will ever have in her entire life. Those eggs are stored in the ovaries, and one egg is released each month of a normal menstrual cycle. When there are no more eggs, a woman stops menstruating. The reproductive system is

arguably the most delicate hormonal and organ balance of all our systems. The slightest deviation in any direction results in infertility. Even in 2021, doctors and scientists do not know all the variables that cause infertility.

There is evidence to support that the Vaccines could cause permanent autoimmune rejection of the placenta. Placental inflammation resulting in stillbirths mid-pregnancy (second trimester) is seen with COVID-19 and with other similar coronaviruses. There is a case report of a woman with a normally developing pregnancy who lost the otherwise healthy baby at five months during acute COVID-19. The mother's side of the placenta was very inflamed. This "infection of the maternal side of the placenta inducing acute or chronic placental insufficiency resulting in miscarriage or fetal growth restriction was observed in 40% of pregnant women with similar coronaviruses." The mRNA Vaccines may instigate a similar reaction as the SARS-CoV-2 virus. There is a component in the vaccine that could cause the same autoimmune rejection of the placenta, but indefinitely. Getting COVID-19 has been associated with a high risk of mid-pregnancy miscarriage because the placenta fails. The mRNA Vaccines may have precisely the same effect, however, not for just the few weeks of being sick, but forever. Repeated pregnancies would keep failing in mid-pregnancy.

On December 1, 2020, a former Pfizer Vice President and allergy and respiratory researcher, Dr. Michael Yeadon, filed an application with the European Medicines Agency, responsible for approving drugs in the European Union, seeking the immediate suspension of all SARS-CoV-2 Vaccines, citing *inter alia* the risk to pregnancies. As of April 26, 2021, the VAERS database contains over 3,000 reports of failed pregnancies associated with the Vaccines.

Vascular Disease

Salk Institute for Biological Studies researchers in collaboration with the University of San Diego, published in the journal *Circulation Research* that the spike proteins themselves

damage vascular cells, causing strokes and many other vascular problems. All of the Vaccines are causing clotting disorders (coagulopathy) in all ages. The spike proteins are known to cause clotting that the body cannot fix, such as brain thrombosis and thrombocytopenia.

None of these risks has been adequately studied in trials, or properly disclosed to healthcare professionals or Vaccine subjects.

Autoimmune Disease

The spike proteins are perceived to be foreign by the human immune system, initiating an immune response to fight them. While that is the intended therapeutic principle, it is also the case that any cell expressing spike proteins becomes a target for destruction by our own immune system. This is an autoimmune disorder and can affect virtually any organ in the body. It is likely that some proportion of spike protein will become permanently fused to long-lived human proteins and this will prime the body for prolonged autoimmune diseases. Autoimmune diseases can take years to show symptoms and many scientists are alarmed at giving young people such a trigger for possible autoimmune disease.

Neurological Damage

The brain is completely unique in structure and function, and therefore it requires an environment that is insulated against the rest of the body's functioning. The blood-brain-barrier exists so the brain can function without disruption from the rest of the body. This is a complex, multi-layered system, using several mechanisms that keep nearly all bodily functions away from the brain. Three such systems include: very tight junctions between the cells lining the blood vessels, very specific proteins that go between, and unique enzymes that alter substances that do go through the cells. Working together, the blood-brain-barrier prevents almost everything from getting in. Breaching it is generally incompatible with life.

Most unfortunately, the COVID-19 Vaccines — unlike any other vaccine ever deployed — are able to breach this barrier through various routes, including through the nerve structure in the nasal passages and through the blood vessel walls. The resulting damage begins in the arterial wall, extends to the supporting tissue outside the arteries in the brain, and from there to the actual brain nerve cells inside. The Vaccines are programmed to produce the S1 subunit of the spike protein in every cell in every Vaccine recipient, but it is this subunit that causes the brain damage and neurologic symptoms. Elderly persons are at increased risk for this brain damage.

COVID-19 patients typically have neurological symptoms including headache and loss of smell and taste, as well as brain fog, impaired consciousness, and stroke. Researchers have published a paper in the *Journal of Neurological Sciences* correlating the severity of the pulmonary distress in COVID-19 with viral spread to the brain stem, suggesting direct brain damage, not just a secondary cytokine effect. It has been shown recently by Dr. William Banks, professor of Internal Medicine at University of Washington School of Medicine, that the S1 subunit of the spike protein — the part of the SARS-CoV-2 virus that produces the COVID-19 disease and is in the Vaccines — can cross the blood brain barrier. This is even more concerning, given the high number of ACE2 receptors in the brain (the ACE2 receptor is that portion of the cell that allows the spike protein to connect to human tissue). Mice injected with the S1 subunit of the spike protein developed direct damage to the perivascular tissue. In humans, viral spike protein was detected in the brain tissues of COVID-19 patients, but not in the brain tissues of the controls. Spike protein produces endothelial damage.

There are an excessive number of brain hemorrhages associated with COVID-19, and the mechanism suggests that it is the spike protein that is responsible. The federal government's VAERS database shows a dramatic increase in adverse event reporting of neurological damage following injection with the Vaccine.

| Year | **Dementia** (reports following injection with Vaccine) | **Brain Bleeding** (reports following injection with Vaccine) |
|---|---|---|
| 2000 | 4 | 7 |
| 2010 | 0 | 17 |
| 2015 | 0 | 17 |
| 2018 | 21 | 31 |
| 2019 | 11 | 17 |
| 2020 | 12 → (43) | 4 → (11) |
| 2021 | 17 → (251) | 0 → (258) |

While the full impact of these Vaccines crossing the blood-brain barrier is unknown, they clearly put vaccinated individuals at a substantially increased risk of hemorrhage, neurological damage, and brain damage as demonstrated by the increased instances of such reporting in the VAERS system.

Effect on the Young

The Vaccines are more deadly or harmful to the young than the virus, and that is excluding the unknown future effects on fertility, clotting, and autoimmune disease. Those under the age of 18 face statistically zero chance of death from SARS-CoV-2 according to data published by the CDC, but there are reports of heart inflammation — both myocarditis (inflammation of the heart muscle) and pericarditis (inflammation of the lining outside the heart) — in young men, and at least one documented fatal heart attack of a healthy 15-year old boy in Colorado two days after receiving the Pfizer Vaccine.[8] The CDC has admitted that "[s]ince April 2021, increased cases of myocarditis and pericarditis have been reported in the United States after the mRNA COVID-19 vaccination (Pfizer-BioNTech and Mederna), particularly in adolescents and young adults."

[8] https://archive.is/mEBcV (last visited July 15, 2021).

The Vaccines induce the cells of the recipient to manufacture trillions of spike proteins with the pathology described above. Because immune responses in the young and healthy are more vigorous than those in the old, paradoxically, the vaccines may thereby induce, in the very people least in need of assistance, a very strong immune response, including those which can damage their own cells and tissues, including by stimulating blood coagulation.

*See also infra* Section II.B.

Chronic Disease

Healthy children whose birthright is decades of healthy life will instead face premature death or decades of chronic disease. We cannot say what percentage will be affected with antibody dependent enhancement, neurological disorders, autoimmune disease and reproductive problems, but it is a virtual certainty that this will occur.

Antibody Dependent Enhancement

Antibody Dependent Enhancement ("ADE") occurs when SARS-CoV-2 antibodies, created by a Vaccine, instead of protecting the vaccinated person, cause a more severe or lethal case of the COVID-19 disease when the person is later exposed to SARS-CoV-2 in the wild.[9] The vaccine *amplifies* the infection rather than *preventing* damage. It may only be seen after months or years of use in populations around the world.

This paradoxical reaction has been seen in other vaccines and animal trials. One well-documented example is with the Dengue fever vaccine, which resulted in avoidable deaths. Dengue fever has caused 100-400 million infections, 500,000 hospitalizations, and a 2.5% fatality rate annually worldwide. It is a leading cause of death in children in Asian and Latin American countries. Despite over 50 years of active research, a Dengue vaccine still has not

[9] https://www.nature.com/articles/s41564-020-00789-5 (last visited July 15, 2021).

gained widespread approval in large part due to the phenomenon of ADE. Vaccine manufacturer Sanofi Pharmaceutical spent 20 years and nearly $2 billion to develop the Dengue vaccine and published their results in the *New England Journal of Medicine*, which was quickly endorsed by the World Health Organization. Vigilant scientists clearly warned about the danger from ADE, which the Philippines ignored when it administered the vaccine to hundreds of thousands of children in 2016. Later, when these children were exposed in the wild, many became severely ill and 600 children died. The former head of the Dengue department of the Research Institute for Tropical Medicine (RITM) was indicted in 2019 by the Phillipines Department of Justice for "reckless imprudence resulting [in] homicide," because he "facilitated, with undue haste," Dengvaxia's approval and its rollout among Philippine schoolchildren.[10]

ADE has been observed in the coronavirus setting. The original SARS-CoV-1 caused an epidemic in 2003. This virus is a coronavirus that is reported to be 78% similar to the current SARS-CoV-2 virus that causes the disease COVID-19. Scientists attempted to create a vaccine. Of approximately 35 vaccine candidates, the best four were trialed in ferrets. The vaccines appeared to work in the ferrets. However, when those vaccinated ferrets were challenged by SARS-CoV-1 in the wild, they became very ill and died due to what we would term a sudden severe cytokine storm. The reputed journals *Science*, *Nature* and *Journal of Infectious Diseases* have all documented ADE risks in relation to the development of experimental COVID-19 vaccines. The application filed by Dr. Yeadon with the European Medicines Agency on December 1, 2020 also mentioned the risk from ADE. ADE is discovered during long-term animal studies, to which the Vaccines have not been subjected.

[10] https://trialsitenews.com/philippine-dengue-vaccine-criminal-indictments-includes-president-of-sanofi-pasteur-their-fda (last visited July 15, 2021).

Vaccine-Driven Disease Enhancement in the Previously Infected

*See infra* section II. C.

More Virulent Strains

Scientists are concerned that universal inoculation may create more virulent strains. This has been observed with Marek's Disease in chickens.[11] A large number of chickens not at risk of death were vaccinated, and now all chickens must be vaccinated or they will die from a virus that was nonlethal prior to widespread vaccination. The current policy to pursue universal vaccination regardless of risk may exert the same evolutionary pressure toward more highly virulent strains.

Blood Supply

Presently, the vaccinated are permitted to donate their spike protein laden blood into the blood supply, which projects all of the risks discussed *supra* onto the general population of unvaccinated blood donees.

Scientists and healthcare professionals all over the world are sounding the alarm and frantically appealing to the FDA to halt the Vaccines. They have made innumerable public statements. Fifty-seven top scientists and doctors from Central and South America are calling for an immediate end to all Vaccine COVID-19 programs. Other physician-scientist groups have made similar calls, among them: Canadian Physicians, Israeli People's Committee, Frontline COVID-19 Critical Care Alliance, World Doctors Alliance, Doctors 4 Covid Ethics, and Plaintiff America's Frontline Doctors. These are healthcare professionals in the field who are seeing the catastrophic and deadly results of the rushed Vaccines, and reputed professors of science and medicine, including the physician with the greatest number of COVID-19 scientific citations

[11] https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Marek%27s_disease (last visited July 15, 2021).

worldwide. They accuse the government of deviating from long-standing policy to protect the public. In the past, government has halted vaccine trials based on a tiny fraction — far less than 1% — of the number of unexplained deaths already recorded. The scientists all agree that the spike protein (produced by the Vaccines) *causes disease even without the virus*, which has motivated them to lend their imprimatur to, and risk their reputation and standing on, these public objections.

**(5) § 360bbb–3(c)(3): There Are Adequate, Approved and Available Alternatives to the Vaccines**

The DHHS Secretary can issue and maintain the Vaccine EUAs "**only if**" (emphasis added) there is no adequate, approved and available alternative to the Vaccines.

There are numerous alternative safe and effective treatments for COVID-19. These alternatives are supported by over 300 studies, including randomized controlled studies. Tens of thousands of physicians have publicly attested, and many have testified under oath, as to the safety and efficacy of the alternatives. Globally and in the United States, treatments such as Ivermectin, Budesonide, Dexamethasone, convalescent plasma and monoclonal antibodies, Vitamin D, Zinc, Azithromycin, Hydroxychloroquine, Colchicine and Remdesivir are being used to great effect, and they are far safer than the COVID-19 Vaccines.[12]

Doctors from the Smith Center for Infectious Diseases and Urban Health and the Saint Barnabas Medical Center have published an *Observational Study on 255 Mechanically Ventilated COVID Patients at the Beginning of the USA Pandemic*, which states: "Causal modeling establishes that weight-adjusted HCQ [Hydroxychloroquine] and AZM [Azithromycin] therapy improves survival by over 100%."[13]

[12] Numerous studies can be reviewed here: https://c19early.com (last visited June 7, 2021).
[13] https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.05.28.21258012v1 (last visited July 15, 2021).

Observational studies in Delhi and Mexico City show dramatic reductions in COVID-19 case and death counts following the mass distribution of Ivermectin. These results align with those of a study in Argentina, in which 800 healthcare professionals received Ivermectin, while another 400 did not. Of the 800, not a single person contracted COVID-19, while more than half of the control group did contract it. Dr. Pierre Kory, a lung specialist who has treated more COVID-19 patients than most doctors, representing a group of some of the most highly published physicians in the world, with over 2,000 peer reviewed publications among them, testified before the U.S. Senate in December 2020.[14] He testified that based on 9 months of review of scientific data from 30 studies, Ivermectin obliterates transmission of the SARS-CoV-2 virus and is a powerful prophylactic (if you take it, you will not contract COVID-19). Four large randomized controlled trials totaling over 1500 patients demonstrate that Ivermectin is safe and effective as a prophylaxis. In early outpatient treatment, three randomized controlled trials and multiple observational studies show that Ivermectin reduces the need for hospitalization and death in statistically significant numbers. In inpatient treatment, four randomized controlled trials show that Ivermectin prevents death in a statistically significant, large magnitude. Ivermectin won the Nobel Prize in Medicine in 2015 for its impacts on global health.[15]

Inexplicably, the Defendants never formed or assigned a task force to research and review existing alternatives for preventing and treating COVID-19. Instead, the Defendants and others set about censoring both concerns about the Vaccines, and information about safe and effective alternatives.

---

[14] https://www.google.com/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=&ved=2ahUKEwji38elkuPxAhWeAp0JHZhzAeMQFnoECAIQAA&url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.hsgac.senate.gov%2Fdownload%2Fkory12-08-2020&usg=AOvVaw3z2a7PpDLWgyfSrp3miF1y (last visited July 15, 2021).

[15] https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4692067/ (last visited July 15, 2021).

**(6) § 360bbb–3(e)(1)(A)(i) and (ii): Healthcare Professionals and Vaccine Candidates are Not Adequately Informed**

Once an EUA has been issued, § 360bbb–3(e) mandates that the DHHS Secretary "shall [ ] establish" conditions "designed to ensure" that both healthcare professionals and Vaccine candidates receive certain minimum required information that is necessary in order to make voluntary, informed consent possible. The required disclosures that the DHHS Secretary are designed to ensure include inter alia (i) that the Vaccines are only authorized for emergency use and not FDA approved, (ii) the significant known and potential risks of the Vaccines, (iii) available alternatives to the Vaccines, (iv) the option to accept or refuse the Vaccines.

The Vaccines are Not Approved by the FDA, but Merely Authorized for Emergency Use

Defendants have failed to educate the American public that the FDA has not actually "approved" the Vaccines, and that the DHHS Secretary has *not* in fact determined that the Vaccines are "safe and effective," and on the contrary has merely determined, in accordance with the proverbial "weasel language" of the EUA statute, that "**it is reasonable to believe**" that the Vaccines "**may be**" effective and that the benefits outweigh the risks. Instead of being so educated, the public is barraged with unqualified "safe and effective" messaging from all levels of federal and state government, the private sector and the media. They hear from no higher authority than the President himself that: "The bottom line is this: I promise you they are safe. They are safe. And even more importantly, they're extremely effective. If you're vaccinated, you are protected."

The public are also unaware of the serious financial conflicts-of-interest that burden Dr. Fauci, the National Institute of Allergies and Infectious Diseases, and the Vaccines and Related Biological Products Advisory Committee which advises and consults Defendants with respect to the Vaccine EUAs, as outlined in the Complaint (ECF 10, ¶¶ 250-256). Without the information

regarding conflicts-of interest, the public cannot assess for themselves the reliability and objectivity of the analysis underpinning the EUAs.

The Significant Known and Potential Risks of the Vaccines

Perhaps the first step in understanding the potential risks of the Vaccines is to understand exactly what they are, and what they are not. The CDC defines a "vaccine" as: "A product that stimulates a person's immune system to produce immunity to a specific disease, protecting the person from that disease. Vaccines are usually administered through needle injections, but can also be administered by mouth or sprayed into the nose."[16] The CDC defines "immunity" as: "Protection from an infectious disease. If you are immune to a disease, you can be exposed to it without becoming infected."[17]

However, the "Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine" and the "Moderna COVID-19 Vaccine" do not meet the CDC's own definitions. They do not stimulate the body to produce immunity from a disease. They are a synthetic fragment of nucleic acid embedded in a fat carrier that is introduced into human cells, not for the purpose of inducing immunity from infection with the SARS-CoV-2 virus, and not to block further transmission of the virus, but in order to lessen the symptoms of COVID-19. No published, peer-reviewed studies prove that the "Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine" and the "Moderna COVID-19 Vaccine" confer immunity or stop transmission.

Further, the "Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine" and the "Moderna COVID-19 Vaccine" are not "vaccines" within the common, lay understanding of the public. Since vaccines were first discovered in 1796 by Dr. Edward Jenner, who used cowpox to inoculate humans against smallpox, and called the process "vaccination" (from the Latin term *vaca* for cow), the

[16] *See* https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/vac-gen/imz-basics.htm (last visited July 9, 2021).
[17] Id.

public has had an entrenched understanding that a vaccine is a microorganism, either alive but weakened, or dead, that is introduced into the human body in order to trigger the production of antibodies that confer immunity from the targeted disease, and also prevent its transmission to others. The public are accustomed to these traditional vaccines and understand them.

The public are fundamentally uninformed about the gene therapy technology behind the "Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine" and the "Moderna COVID-19 Vaccine." Referring to the "mRNA technology" in its Vaccine, Moderna admits the "novel and unprecedented nature of this new class of medicines" in its Securities and Exchange Commission filings.[18] Further, it admits that the FDA classes its Vaccine as a form of "gene therapy." No dead or attenuated virus is used in the "Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine" and the "Moderna COVID-19 Vaccine." Rather, instructions, via a piece of lab-created genetic code (the mRNA) are injected into your body that tell your body how to make a certain "spike protein" that is purportedly useful in attacking the SARS-CoV-2 virus.

By referring to the "Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine" and the "Moderna COVID-19 Vaccine" as "vaccines," and by allowing others to do the same, the Defendants knowingly seduce and mislead the public, short-circuit independent, critical evaluation and decision-making by the consumers of these products, and vitiate their informed consent to this novel technology which is being deployed in the unsuspecting human population for the first time in history.

Meanwhile, the federal government is orchestrating a nationwide media campaign funded with $1 billion — not to ensure that the Defendants meet their statutory disclosure obligations, but solely to promote the purported benefits of the Vaccines. Simultaneously, the Associated Press, Agence France Press, British Broadcasting Corporation, CBC/Radio-Canada, European

[18] *See* www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1682852/000168285220000017/mrna-20200630.htm (last visited July 6, 2021).

Broadcasting Union (EBU), Facebook, Financial Times, First Draft, Google/YouTube, The Hindu Times, Microsoft, Reuters, Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism, Twitter, The Washington Post and The New York Times all participate in the "Trusted News Initiative" which has agreed to not allow any news critical of the Vaccines.

Individual physicians are being censored on social media platforms (e.g., Twitter, Facebook, Instagram, TikTok), the modern day "public square." Plaintiff AFLDS has recorded innumerable instances of social media deleting scientific content posted by AFLDS members that runs counter to the prevailing Vaccine narrative, and then banning them from the platform altogether as users. Facebook has blocked the streaming of entire events at which AFLDS Founder Dr. Simone Gold has been an invited guest, prior to her uttering a word. Other doctors have been banned for posting or tweeting screenshots of government database VAERS.

The censorship also extends to medical journals. In an unprecedented move, the four founding topic editors for the *Frontiers in Pharmacology* journal all resigned together due to their collective inability to publish peer reviewed scientific data on various drugs for prophylaxis and treatment of COVID-19.

Dr. Philippe Douste-Blazy, a cardiology physician, former France Health Minister, 2017 candidate for Director of the WHO and former Under-Secretary-General of the United Nations, described the censorship in chilling detail:

> *The Lancet boss said "Now we are not going to be able to, basically, if this continues, publish any more clinical research data, because the pharmaceutical companies are so financially powerful today and are able to use such methodologies, as to have us accept papers which are apparently, methodologically perfect but in reality, which manage to conclude what they want to conclude." … one of the greatest subjects never anyone could have believed … I have been doing research for 20 years in my life. I never thought the boss of The Lancet could say that. And the boss of the New England Journal of Medicine too. He even said it was "criminal" — the word was used by him. That is, if you will, when there is an outbreak like the COVID-19, in reality, there are people … us,*

> *we see "mortality" when you are a doctor or yourself, you see "suffering." And there are people who see "dollars" — that's it.*

In many instances, highly publicized attacks on early treatment alternatives seem to be done in bad faith. For example, one study on Hydroxychloroquine overdosed study participants by administering a multiple of the standard prescribed dose, and then reported the resulting deaths as though they were not a result of the overdose, but from the medication itself administered in the proper dosages. The twenty-seven physician-scientist authors of the study were civilly indicted and criminally investigated, and still the Journal of the American Medical Association has not retracted the article.[19]

The Available Alternatives to the Vaccines

Information regarding available alternatives to the Vaccines has been suppressed and censored equally with information regarding the risks of the Vaccines, as aforesaid.

The Option to Accept or Refuse the Vaccines

The idea of using fear to manipulate the public is not new, and is a strategy frequently deployed in public health. In June 2020, three American public health professionals, concerned about the psychological effects of the continued use of fear-based appeals to the public in order to motivate compliance with extreme COVID-19 countermeasures, authored a piece for the journal *Health Education and Behavior* calling for an end to the fear-mongering. In doing so, they acknowledged that fear has become an accepted public health strategy, and that it is being deployed aggressively in the United States in response to COVID-19:

> *"… behavior change can result by increasing people's perceived severity and perceived susceptibility of a health issue through heightened risk appraisal coupled by raising their self-efficacy and response-efficacy*

[19] https://www.medrxiv.org/content/medrxiv/early/2020/04/16/2020.04.07.20056424.full.pdf (last visited July 15, 2021).

*about a behavioral solution. In this model, fear is used as the trigger to increase perceived susceptibility and severity."*

In 1956, Dr. Alfred Biderman, a research social psychologist employed by the U.S. Air Force, published his study on techniques employed by communist captors to induce individual compliance from Air Force prisoners of war during the Korean War. The study was at the time and to some extent remains the core source for capture resistance training for the armed forces. The chart below compares the techniques used by North Korean communists with the fear-based messaging and COVID-19 countermeasures to which the American population has been subjected over the last year.

**"COMMUNIST COERCIVE METHODS FOR ELICITING INDIVIDUAL COMPLIANCE".***
**The Biderman Report of 1956 and COVID-19**

| Chart of Coercion | COVID-19 |
|---|---|
| ***Isolation***<br>• Deprives individual of social support of his ability to resist<br>• Makes individual dependent upon the captor<br>• Individual develops an intense concern with self. | ***Isolation***<br>• Social distancing<br>• Isolation from loved ones, massive job loss<br>• Solitary confinement semi-isolation<br>• Quarantines, containment camps |
| ***Monopolization of Perception***<br>• Fixes all attention upon immediate predicament;<br>• Frustrates all actions not consistent with compliance<br>• Eliminates stimuli competing with those controlled by the captor | ***Monopolization of perception***<br>• Restrict movement<br>• Create monotony, boredom<br>• Prevent gathering, meetings, concerts, sports<br>• Dominate all media the 24/7, censor information |
| ***Induced Debility and Exhaustion***<br>• Weakens mental and physical ability to resist<br>• People …become worn out by tension and fear | ***Induced debility***<br>• Forced to stay at home, all media is negative<br>• not permitted to exercise or socialize |
| ***Threats***<br>• Cultivates anxiety and despair<br>• Gives demands and consequences for non compliance | ***Threats and Intimidation***<br>• Threaten to close business, levy fines<br>• Predict extension of quarantine, force vaccines<br>• Create containment camps |
| ***Occasional Indulgences***<br>• Provides motivation for compliance<br>• Hinders adjustment to deprivation.<br>• Creates hope for change, reduces resistance<br>• This keeps people unsure of what is happening. | ***Occasional Indulgences***<br>• Allow reopening of some stores, services<br>• Let restaurants open but only at a certain capacity<br>• Increase more people allowed to gather<br>• Follow concessions with tougher rules |
| ***Demonstrate Omnipotence***<br>• Demonstrates futility of resistance<br>• Shows who is in charge<br>• Provides positive motivation for compliance | ***Demonstrate Ominpotence***<br>• Shut down entire economies across the world<br>• Create money out of nowhere, force dependency<br>• Develop *total* surveillance with nanochips and 5G |
| ***Degradation***<br>• Makes resistance seem worse than compliance<br>• Creates feelings of helplessness.<br>• Creates fear of freedom, dependence upon captors | ***Humiliation or Degradation techniques***<br>• Shame people who refuse masks, don't distance<br>• Make people stand on circles and between lines<br>• Make people stand outside and wait in queues<br>• Sanitation stations in every shop |
| ***Enforcing trivial demands***<br>• Develops habit of compliance<br>• Demands made are illogical and contradictory<br>• Rules on compliance may change<br>• Reinforces who is in control | ***Enforcing trivial demands***<br>• Family members must stand apart<br>• Masks in home and even when having sex<br>• Random limits on people allowed to be together<br>• Sanitizers to be used over and over in a day |

www.beingfree.ca

**The Chart of Coercion above is drawn from the Biderman Report on communist brainwashing techniques** used by the Chinese and North Koreans on captured American servicemen to make them psychological as well as physical prisoners. Dr. Alfred D. Biderman M.A. and presented his Report at the New York Acadamy of Medicine Nov 13, 1956. Compare right column with your experience this year.

After a year of sustained psychological manipulation, the population is now weakened, frightened, desperate for a return of their freedoms, prosperity and normal lives, and especially vulnerable to pressure to take the Vaccine. The lockdowns and shutdowns, the myriad rules and regulations, the confusing and self-contradictory controls, the enforced docility, and the consequent demoralization, anxiety and helplessness are typical of authoritarian and totalitarian conditions. This degree of systemic and purposeful coercion means that Americans cannot give truly free and voluntary informed consent to the Vaccines.

At the same time, the population is being subjected to an aggressive, coordinated media campaign promoting the Vaccines funded by the federal government with $1 billion. The media campaign is reinforced by a system of coercive rewards and penalties designed to induce vaccination. The federal government is offering a range of its own incentives, including free childcare. The Ohio Governor rewarded those Ohio residents accepting the Vaccines by allowing them to enter into the "Vaxamillion" lottery with a total $5 million prize and the chance to win a fully funded college education, while barring entry for residents who decline the Vaccines. In New York, metro stations offer free passes to those receiving the Vaccine in the station. West Virginia is running a lottery exclusively for the vaccinated with free custom guns, trucks and lifetime hunting and fishing licenses, a free college education, and cash payments of $1.5 million and $600,000 as the prizes. Previously, the state offered a $100 savings bond for each injection with a Vaccine. New Mexican residents accepting the Vaccines will be entered into weekly drawings to take home a $250,000 prize, and those fully vaccinated by early August could win the grand prize of $5 million. In Oregon, the vaccinated can win $1 million, or one of 36 separate $10,000 prizes through the state's "Take Your Shot" campaign. Other state and local governments are partnering with fast food chains to offer free pizza, ice cream, hamburgers and other foods to the vaccinated. Many people are desperate following the last year of economic

destruction and deprivation of basic freedoms, and they are especially vulnerable to this coercion.

The penalties take many forms, among them:

- Using guilt and shame to make unvaccinated children and adults feel badly about themselves for refusing the Vaccines.

- Threatening the unvaccinated with false fears and anxieties about COVID-19, especially children who are at no risk statistically.

- Removing the rights of those who are unvaccinated, including:
  - Being prohibited from working
  - Being prohibited from attending school or college
  - Being limited in the ability to travel in buses, trains and planes
  - Being prohibited from traveling outside the United States
  - Being excluded from public and private events, such as performing arts venues.

Most recently, the President has announced an aggressive campaign to visit the homes of the unvaccinated, not for the purpose of ensuring that they have all of the information they might need in order to make fully informed, voluntary decisions about the Vaccines (the information required by § 360bbb–3(e)(1)(A)(i) and (ii)), but instead for the purpose of pressuring them to be injected with the Vaccine so that the Administration can reach its goal of having 70% of the American population vaccinated. He said: "Now we need to go to community by community, neighborhood by neighborhood, and oftentimes, door to door — literally knocking on doors — to get help to the remaining people protected from the virus."[20] The White House press secretary referred to the door-knockers who would enter our communities to pressure us to accept the Vaccines using the language of war, as "strike forces." Then, after Dr. Fauci stated his opinion in mainstream media news outlets that "at the local level . . . there should be more mandates,

[20] *See* "Biden admin launching door-to-door push to vaccinate Americans, sparks major backlash," https://www.foxnews.com/media/biden-admin-door-to-door-coronavirus-vaccines (last visited July 15, 2021).

there really should be", the press secretary announced that the Biden Administration would support state and local Vaccine mandates.[21]

A study recently published in the International Journal of Clinical Practice, "Informed Consent Disclosure to Vaccine Trial Subjects of Risk of COVID-19 Vaccines Worsening Clinical Disease,"[22] concludes:

> *COVID-19 vaccines designed to elicit neutralising antibodies may sensitise vaccine recipients to more severe disease than if they were not vaccinated. Vaccines for SARS, MERS and RSV have never been approved, and the data generated in the developmentand testing of these vaccines suggest a serious mechanistic concern: that vaccines designed empirically using the traditional approach (consisting of the unmodified or minimally modified coronavirus viral spike to elicit neutralising antibodies), be they composed of protein, viral vector, DNA or RNA and irrespective of delivery method, may worsen COVID-19 disease via antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE).* ***This risk is sufficiently obscured in clinical trial protocols and consent forms for ongoing COVID-19 vaccine trials that adequate patient comprehension of this risk is unlikely to occur, obviating truly informed consent by subjects in these trials.***

(emphasis added).

Plaintiffs' expert Dr. Lee Merritt is a fully licensed, board certified surgeon, and has been actively engaged in medical practice for over 35 years. As Chief of Staff, Chief of Surgery and Chief of Credentialing at a regional medical center, she participated in hospital administration and education with respect to *inter alia* informed consent. She states: "I have read the Complaint and Motion for Preliminary Injunction in the above captioned matter, specifically the allegations related to informed consent. I agree with the informed consent allegations contained in the Complaint and Motion for Preliminary Injunction" (*see* Declaration of Dr. Lee Merritt at Exhibit A). Dr. Merritt has provided an example of some of the language that she would recommend using for the purpose of obtaining voluntary, informed consent to the Vaccines.

[21] *See* "Biden will back local vaccine mandates," https://thehill.com/changing-america/well-being/prevention-cures/562622-biden-will-back-local-vaccine-mandates (last visited July 15, 2021).
[22] *See* https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1111/ijcp.13795 (last visited July 17, 2021).

The combined effect of (i) the suppression and censorship of information regarding the risks of the Vaccines, (ii) the failure to inform the public regarding the novel and experimental nature of the mRNA Vaccines, (iii) the suppression and censorship of information regarding alternative treatments, (iv) the failure to inform and properly educate the public that the Vaccines are not in fact "approved" by the FDA, (v) the failure to inform and properly educate the public that the DHHS Secretary has *not* determined that the Vaccines are "safe and effective" and on the contrary has merely determined that "it is reasonable to believe" that the Vaccines "may be effective" and that the benefits outweigh the risks, (vi) the sustained psychological manipulation of the public through official fear-based messaging regarding COVID-19, draconian countermeasures and a system of rewards and penalties, is to remove any possibility that Vaccine recipients are giving voluntary informed consent to the Vaccines. They have no real option to accept or refuse the Vaccines. They are unwitting, unwilling participants in a large scale, ongoing non-consensual human experiment.[23]

**(7) § 360bbb–3(e)(1)(A)(iii): Monitoring and Reporting of Adverse Events**

VAERS was established in 1986 in order to facilitate public access to information regarding adverse events potentially caused by vaccines. This system is inadequate to the present circumstances, for the following reasons:

- neither healthcare professionals nor Vaccine recipients are being informed by the Defendants, and conditions do not exist ensuring that others will inform them, that the DHHS Secretary "has authorized the emergency use of the [Vaccines]" since they are not being informed of the true meaning of the EUAs, specifically, that the Secretary has *not* determined that the Vaccines are "safe and effective" (notwithstanding the President's widely publicized statements to the contrary, which are amplified daily by countless other governmental and private sector statements that the Vaccines are "safe and effective"), and that instead the DHHS Secretary has only determined that he

[23] https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Unethical_human_experimentation_in_the_United_States (last visited July 15, 2021).

has "reason to believe" that the Vaccines "may be effective" in treating or preventing SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19, based on trials of the Vaccines that are not being conducted like any previous trials and are compressed, overlapping, incomplete and in many instances conducted by the Vaccine manufacturers themselves;

- neither healthcare professionals nor Vaccine recipients are being informed by the Defendants, and conditions do not exist ensuring that others will inform them, of "the significant known and potential [ ] risks" of the Vaccines, since there is a coordinated campaign funded with $1 billion to extol the virtues of the Vaccines, and a simultaneous effort to censor information about the inefficacy of the Vaccines in preventing or treating SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19, Vaccine risks, and injuries and deaths caused by the Vaccine;
- Vaccine recipients are not being informed by the Defendants, who have a financial stake in the intellectual property underlying at least one Vaccine, and who have other financial conflicts of interest, and conditions do not exist ensuring that others will inform them, that there are alternatives to the Vaccines and of their benefits;
- Vaccine recipients are not being informed by the Defendants, and conditions do not exist ensuring that others will inform them, of their "option to accept or refuse" the Vaccines, since they have been saturated with unjustified fear-messaging regarding SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19, psychologically manipulated, and coerced by a system of rewards and penalties that render the "option to [ ] refuse" meaningless; and
- Appropriate conditions do not exist for "the monitoring and reporting of adverse events" since only a fraction (as low as 1%) of adverse events are reported to VAERS by physicians fearing liability, and the Defendants have established a parallel reporting system for COVID-19 that is not accessible by Plaintiffs or the rest of the public.

A 2011 report by Harvard Pilgrim Healthcare for DHHS stated that fewer than 1% of all vaccine adverse events are reported to Defendants: "[F]ewer than 1% of vaccine adverse events are reported. Low reporting rates preclude or slow the identification of "problem" drugs and vaccines that endanger public health. New surveillance methods for drug and vaccine adverse effects are needed."[24]

To illustrate, while the CDC claims that "Anaphylaxis after COVID-19 vaccination is rare and occurred in approximately 2 to 5 people per million vaccinated in the United States

[24] Harvard Pilgrim Health Care, Inc., Electronic System for Public Health Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System, *AHRQ* 2011.

based on events reported to VAERS,"[25] a recent study by Mass General Brigham found "severe reactions consistent with anaphylaxis occurred at a rate of 2.47 per 10,000 vaccinations."[26] This is 50 to 120 times more cases than reported by VAERS and the CDC, meaning that only between 0.8% and 2% of all anaphylaxis cases are being reported by the Defendants. The underreporting is inexplicable, since it is mandatory for healthcare professionals to report this reaction to the Vaccines,[27] and the reactions typically occur within 30 minutes of vaccination.[28]

Uniquely for COVID-19, the CDC has developed a parallel system called "V-Safe." V-Safe is an app on a smart phone which people can use to report adverse events. Plaintiffs' investigation indicates that vaccine subjects who are provided with written information are given the V-Safe contact information. Plaintiffs cannot access V-Safe data, since it is controlled exclusively by the CDC. Plaintiffs are concerned that the information in V-Safe exceeds that in VAERS, in terms of volume and kind, defying Congressional intent in creating VAERS.

In summation, VAERS is inaccurate, and the federal government is failing to provide data from other sources such as V-Safe, Medicare/Medicaid, the military, etc. Informed consent cannot be given without an understanding of risk and Plaintiffs cannot help but wonder why the Defendants would fail to disclose this critical information related to risk to the public, particularly in light of the fact that they have had the time and resources to study and extend the authorizations on the Vaccines, build an enormous Vaccine marketing machine, and roll out Vaccine clinics all over the nation.

[25] *See* https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/vaccines/safety/adverse-events.html.
[26] *See* https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2777417.
[27] *See* https://www.fda.gov/media/144413/download.
[28] *See* https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/vaccines/safety/adverse-events.html.

**B. The Under-18 Age Category**

In the United States, those younger than 18 years of age accounted for just 1.7% of all COVID-19 cases.[29] Essentially no severe cases of COVID-19 were observed in those aged 10 through 18 years. This group accounted for just 1% of reported cases, almost all of which were very mild.[30] A study recently published in the British Medical Journal concludes: "In contrast to other respiratory viruses, children have less severe symptoms when infected with the novel severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)."[31] Hospitalization due to COVID-19 is incredibly rare among youth, and overstated. The American Academy of Pediatrics[32] reported:

> *…these studies underscore the importance of clearly distinguishing between children hospitalized with SARS-Co-V-2 found on universal testing versus those hospitalized for COVID-19 disease. Both demonstrate that reported hospitalization rates greatly overestimate the true burden of COVID-19 disease in children.*

Professor Hervé Seligmann, an infectious disease expert and biomedical researcher with over 100 peer-reviewed international publications, of the University of Aix-Marseille, has scrutinized the official COVID-19 statistics and figures of Israel, which has vaccinated 63% of its population, and fully vaccinated 57% of its population. Professor Seligmann sees no benefit in vaccinating those under 18, and significant risk of harm:

> *There are several theories about why the risk of death is so low in the young including that the density of the ACE2 receptors that the virus uses to gain entry into cells is lower in the tissue of immature animals and this is expected to be true also in humans. However, the vaccines induce the cells of the recipient to*

[29] Coronavirus Disease 2019 in Children - United States, February 12-April 2, 2020. *MMWR. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report* 69:422-426.
[30] Tsabouri, S. et al. (2021), Risk Factors for Severity in Children with Coronavirus Disease 2019: A Comprehensive Literature Review. *Pediatric Clinics of North America* 68:321-338.
[31] Zimmermann P, Curtis N Why is COVID-19 less severe in children? A review of the proposed mechanisms underlying the age-related difference in severity of SARS-CoV-2 infections Archives of Disease in Childhood 2021;106**:**429-439.
[32] Ioannidis, J.P.A. (2020) Infection fatality rate of COVID-19 inferred from seroprevalence data. Bull. World Health Organ. -:BLT.20.265892.

> *manufacture trillions of spike proteins with the pathology described above. Because immune responses in the young and healthy are more vigorous than those in the old, paradoxically, the vaccines may thereby induce, in the very people least in need of assistance, strong immune responses, including those which can damage their own cells and tissues as well as by stimulating blood coagulation. Experts predict that vaccination will greatly increase the very low COVID-19 risks experienced by the younger population … vaccination-associated mortality risks are expected at least 20 times greater below age 20 compared to the very low COVID19-associated risks for this age group.*[33]

CDC data indicates that children under 18 have a 99.998% COVID-19 recovery rate with no treatment. This contrasts with over 45,000 deaths (*see* below) and hundreds of thousands of adverse events reported following injection with the Vaccines. The risk of harm to children may be as high as 50 to 1. Thus, children under 18 are at no statistically significant risk of harm from SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19. Administering Vaccines to this age group knowingly and intentionally exposes them to unnecessary and unacceptable risks.

Plaintiffs' expert Dr. Angelina Farella is a fully licensed, board certified pediatrician, actively practicing for over 25 years, and has vaccinated in excess of 10,000 patients (see Declaration of Angelina Farella, MD at Exhibit B). Dr. Farella states, in her professional medical opinion: "There are 104 children age 0-17 who have died from Covid-19 and 287 from Covid + Influenza out of roughly 72 million children in America. This equals ZERO risk. There is NO public interest in subjecting children to experimental vaccination programs, to protect them from a disease that does not threaten them." Dr. Farella also opines, with respect to the lack of testing designed to ensure the safety of this subpopulation:

> *Vaccines take years to safely test. It's not only the number of people tested but the length of time that is important when creating new vaccines. Emergency Use Authorization was granted prematurely for adolescents, before ANY trials were completed. Moderna is scheduled to complete trials on October 31, 2022, and Pfizer is scheduled to complete trials on April 27, 2023. There were no trial*

[33] Seligmann, H., (2021), Expert Evaluation on Adverse Effects of the Pfizer COVID-19 Vaccination. *See* https://www.researchgate.net/publication/351441506_Expert_evaluation_on_adverse_effects_of_the_Pfizer-COVID-19_vaccination (last visited July 8, 2021).

> *patients under the age of 18. The FDA and these pharma companies are currently allowing children 12 years old to receive this shot, when they were never studied in the trials.* ***Never before in history have we given medications that were not FDA approved to people who were not initially studied in the trial.***

Section 360bbb–3(c)(2) requires the Secretary to base decisions on "data from adequate and well-controlled clinical trials". Clearly, the Secretary has exceeded his statutory authority with respect to the under-18 subpopulation.

Meanwhile, local governments are hastily passing laws eliminating the requirement for parental consent, and even parental knowledge, of medical treatments administered to children as young as 12. This is intended to pave the way for children to be vaccinated at school, without parental knowledge or consent.

Children in the 12-18 age group are not developmentally capable of giving voluntary, informed consent to the Vaccines. Their brains are rapidly changing and developing, and their actions are guided more by the emotional and reactive amygdala and less by the thoughtful, logical frontal cortex. Hormonal and body changes add to their emotional instability and erratic judgment. Children also have a well-known and scientifically studied vulnerability to pressure from peers and adults. This age group is particularly susceptible to pressure to do what others see as the right thing to do — in this case, to be injected with the Vaccine "for the sake of other people and society."

Injecting this under-18 subpopulation with the Vaccines threatens them with immediate, potentially life-threatening harm. The documented risks of injecting this subpopulation with the Vaccines far outweigh the purported benefits.

**C. Those Previously Infected with SARS-CoV-2**

Medical studies show that those with preexisting immunity have long lasting and robust natural immunity to SARS-CoV-2.[34] A recent Cleveland Clinic study[35] demonstrates that natural immunity acquired through prior infection with COVID-19 is stronger than any benefit conferred by a Vaccine, rendering vaccination unnecessary for those previously infected. A comparative study by Goldberg *et al* "questioned the need to vaccinate previously-infected individuals" and noted that previously infected individuals had 96.4% immune protection from COVID-19, versus 94.4% in those injected with the Vaccine.[36]

The Israeli Ministry of Health has released data showing that Israelis who had been previously infected with SARS-CoV-2 (and were not also vaccinated) were far less likely to become re-infected with the virus than those in the population who had been injected with the Vaccines.[37] Of the more then 7,700 new cases detected during the recent wave that commenced in May 2021, only 72, or less than 1%, were people who had previously been infected with SARS-CoV-2 and were never vaccinated. By contrast, over 3,000 cases, or 40%, were people who became infected for the first time, in spite of being vaccinated. The 72 instances of re-infection represent a mere 0.0086% of the 835,792 Israelis who are known to have recovered from the virus.

The immutable laws of immunology continue to function during COVID-19 (meaning those who are previously recovered from such an infection have acquired the ability to recognize disease and can effectively neutralize the infection before it takes hold), as evidenced by the fact

[34] *See* https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-021-01442-9, and https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet /article/PIIS0140-6736(21)00782-0/fulltext (last visited July 14, 2021).
[35] Shrestha, N., Burke, P., Nowacki, A., Terpeluk, P., Gordon, S. (2021), Necessity of COVID-19 Vaccination in Previously Infected Individuals. See https://www.medrxiv.org/content/ 10.1101/2021.06.01.21258176v2 (last visited July 8, 2021).
[36] *See* https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.04.20.21255670v1.full.pdf (last visited July 13, 2021).
[37] *See* https://www.israelnationalnews.com/News/News.aspx/309762 (last visited July 15, 2021).

that persons who have had SARS-CoV-1, a virus which is 22% dissimilar to the current strain, are still immune from SARS-CoV-2 18 years later.[38] Laypersons are misled to believe that when antibodies gradually diminish as expected, immunity is gone when in fact, immunity remains[39] quiescent deeper in the body, in the bone marrow[40], plasma, ready to be activated should the threat reemerge. This is normal immunology.

Not only is a Vaccine unnecessary in this subpopulation, it is more likely to cause harm. Scientists have observed vaccine-driven disease enhancement in the previously infected. The FDA admits that many people receiving a Vaccine either are or were previously infected with SARS-CoV-2, or have or previously had COVID-19.[41] Upon injection with the Vaccines, this population has reported serious medical harm, including death.[42] There is an immediately higher death rate worldwide upon receiving a Vaccine, generally attributed to persons having recently been infected with COVID-19. A person who previously had SARS-CoV-2, and then receives a Vaccine, mounts an antibody response to the Vaccine that is between 10 and 20 times stronger than the response of a previously uninfected person. The antibody response is far too strong and overwhelms the Vaccine subject. Medical studies show severe Vaccine side effects in persons previously infected with COVID-19.[43] A study published in the New England Journal of Medicine noted antibody titers 10-45 times higher in those with preexisting COVID-19 immunity after the first Vaccine injection, **with 89% of those seropositive reporting adverse side-effects.**[44] This substantial risk is suppressed in mainstream national news. Groups of scientists are demanding improved pre-assessment due to "Vaccine-driven disease enhancement"

[38] See https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-020-2550-z (last visited July 14, 2021).
[39] https://www.medpagetoday.com/infectiousdisease/covid19/92836 (last visited July 14, 2021).
[40] https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-021-03647-4 (last visited July 14, 2021).
[41] *See* https://www.fda.gov/media/144245/download (last visited July 13, 2021).
[42] *See* https://www.bridgemi.com/michigan-health-watch/three-michigan-people-who-died-after-vaccine-actually-had-earlier-covid; https://www.bmj.com/content/bmj/373/bmj.n1372.full.pdf (last visited July 13, 2021).
[43] See https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.01.29.21250653v1.full.pdf (last visited July 13, 2021).
[44] See https://www.nejm.org/doi/10.1056/NEJMc2101667 (last visited July 13, 2021).

in the previously infected, a subpopulation which has been excluded from clinical trials. The failure to protect a subpopulation at higher risk, such as this one, is unprecedented. Injecting this subpopulation with the Vaccines, without prescreening, threatens them with immediate, potentially life-threatening harm.

Plaintiffs' expert Dr. Richard Urso is a fully licensed, board certified, practicing medical doctor (see Declaration of Dr. Richard Urso at Exhibit C). Dr. Urso has treated over 300,000 patients in his career, including over 450 COVID-19 recovered patients. In his professional medical opinion:

> *COVID recovered patients are at extremely high risk to a vaccine. They retain an antigenic fingerprint of natural infection in their tissues. They have all the requisite components of immune memory. Vaccination may activate a hyperimmune response leading to a significant tissue injury and possibly death.*
>
> *I have read the Complaint and Motion for Preliminary Injunction in the above captioned matter, specifically the allegations related to the dangers to members of the population who have already had Covid-19. I agree with the allegations contained in the Complaint and Motion for Preliminary Injunction.*

Pre-screening can be accomplished in the traditional way by (1) obtaining relevant personal and family medical history including prior COVID-19 symptoms and test results, (2) obtaining antibody and T-Detect testing from indeterminate persons, (3) obtaining rapid PCR screening testing on all persons (using at least the standard cycle thresholds set forth *infra*). If the prescreening results are positive, the Vaccine candidate must be excluded. The documented risks of indiscriminately injecting this subpopulation with the experimental Vaccines far outweigh the purported benefits.

For additional support of the foregoing sections, and this Motion for Injunctive Relief generally, please see the duly sworn Declaration of Dr. Peter A. McCullough, attached hereto and incorporated herein with reference to Exhibit L.

**D. Whistleblower Testimony: 45,000 Deaths Caused by the Vaccines**

Plaintiffs' expert Jane Doe[45] is a computer programmer with subject matter expertise in the healthcare data analytics field, and access to Medicare and Medicaid data maintained by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) (*see* Declaration of Jane Doe at Exhibit D). Over the last 20 years, she has developed over 100 distinct healthcare fraud detection algorithms for use in the public and private sectors. In her expert opinion, VAERS under-reports deaths caused by the Vaccines by a conservative factor of at least 5. As of July 9, 2021, VAERS reported 9,048 deaths associated with the Vaccines. Jane Doe queried data from CMS medical claims, and has determined that the number of deaths occurring with 3 days of injection with the Vaccines exceeds those reported by VAERS by a factor of at least 5, indicating that **the true number of deaths caused by the Vaccines is at least 45,000.** She notes that in the 1976 Swine Flu vaccine campaign (in which 25% of the U.S. population at that time, 55 million Americans, were vaccinated), the Swine Flu vaccine was deemed dangerous and unsafe, and removed from the market, even though the vaccine resulted in only 53 deaths.

The gross and willful under-reporting of Vaccine-caused deaths, which is substantiated by Jane Doe's Declaration, and also by other independent data points considered as part of Plaintiffs' due diligence, is profoundly important on a number of levels. This evidence increases the likelihood of Plaintiffs' success on the merits by: (1) making it impossible (a) that the DHHS Secretary can reasonably conclude, as required by § 360bbb–3(c)(2)(B), that "the known and potential benefits of [the Vaccines] outweigh the known and potential risks of [the Vaccines]",

[45] Plaintiffs' expert Jane Doe is a whistleblower who fears for her personal safety and that of her family, and reprisal, including termination and exclusion from her chosen profession for the duration of her working life, for disclosing the evidence contained in her Declaration at Ex. D. Plaintiffs will present the Court with a motion for an appropriately tailored protective order seeking to preserve the confidentiality of Jane Doe's identity. In the meantime, Defendants are not prejudiced, since they can respond to the substance of Jane Doe's Declaration and challenge her expert qualification without knowing her true identity. Plaintiffs' counsel have in their possession a copy of this same Declaration of Jane Doe, signed by the witness in her actual name.

(b) that the DHHS Secretary has succeeded in creating conditions, as required by § 360bbb–3(e)(1)(A)(i)(II) and (ii)(II), that ensure that healthcare professionals and Vaccine candidates are informed of the "significant known and potential [ ] risks" of the Vaccines, and (c) that the DHHS Secretary has succeeded in creating conditions, as required by § 360bbb–3(e)(1)(A)(iii), for the monitoring and reporting of adverse events; and (2) sealing Plaintiffs' argument that the FDA's "citizen petition" process (discussed *infra* in section III(1)) is "inadequate and not efficacious" and that its pursuit by Plaintiffs would have been a "futile gesture" by showing Defendants' bad faith. The evidence makes it irrefutable that Plaintiffs and others in the public will suffer irreparable injury (discussed *infra* in section III(2)) if this Motion is denied. Finally, the evidence tilts the balance of hardships and public interest (discussed *infra* in Section III(3) decisively in favor of Plaintiffs.

## III. LAW AND ANALYSIS

In the 11th Circuit, a district court may grant preliminary injunctive relief when:

> *"a party establishes each of four separate requirements: (1) it has a substantial likelihood of success on the merits; (2) irreparable injury will be suffered unless the injunction issues; (3) the threatened injury to the movant outweighs whatever damage the proposed injunction may cause the opposing party; and (4) if issued, the injunction would not be adverse to the public interest."*

Jones v. Governor of Fla., 950 F.3d 795, 806 (11th Cir. 2020). However, the court has "considerable discretion…in determining whether the facts of a situation require it to issue an injunction." eBay, Inc. v. MercExchange, L.L.C., 547 U.S. 388, 391 (2006) (internal quotations and citations omitted).

**A. Likelihood of Success on the Merits**

As a threshold matter, parties seeking a preliminary injunction "are not required to prove their claim, but only to show that they [are] likely to succeed on the merits." Glossip v. Gross, 135 S. Ct. 2726, 2792 (2015); Winter v. Nat. Res. Def. Council, Inc., 555 U.S. 7, 22 (2008).

While the burden of persuasion remains with the Plaintiffs, the "burdens at the preliminary injunction stage track the burdens at trial." Gonzales v. O Centro Espírita Beneficente Uniã do Vegetal, 546 U.S. 418, 428–30 (2006). For the purposes of a preliminary injunction, this burden of proof can be shifted to the party opposing the injunctive relief after a *prima facie* showing, and the movant should be deemed likely to prevail if the non-movant fails to make an adequate showing. Id.

***(1) Plaintiffs Have Standing***

Plaintiffs have standing to assert these claims. They have demonstrated that they have "(1) suffered an injury in fact, (2) that is fairly traceable to the challenged conduct of the defendant, and (3) that it is likely to be redressed by a favorable decision." Lujan v. Defs. of Wildlife, 504 U.S. 555, 560-61 (1992).

Plaintiffs have alleged specific physical injuries caused by the Vaccines, death caused by the Vaccines, actual and threatened loss of employment, and violations of their constitutionally protected rights to personal autonomy, bodily integrity, and to work in a profession of their choosing, each of which constitutes "an invasion of a legally protected interest" that is "concrete," "particularized," and "actual or imminent, not conjectural or hypothetical" as required under Spokeo, Inc. v. Robins, 136 S.Ct. 1540, 1548 (2016). Their pleadings are supported by Declarations made under oath.

The participation of third parties in the chain of causation does not defeat Plaintiffs' claims or their standing, since their injuries are "fairly traceable" to the Defendants. *See* Simon

v. Eastern Kentucky Welfare Rights Org., 426 U.S. 26, 45 n.25 (1976) (noting cases providing that privately inflicted injury is traceable to government action if the injurious conduct "would have been illegal without that action"); National Wildlife Federation v. Hodel, 839 F.2d 694, 705 (D.C. Cir. 1988) ("The Supreme Court's decisions on this point show that mere indirectness of causation is no barrier to standing, and thus, an injury worked on one party by another through a third party intermediary may suffice."); Telephone and Data Systems, Inc. v. FCC, 19 F.3d 42, 47 (D.C. Cir. 1994) ("injurious private conduct is fairly traceable to the administrative action contested in the suit if that action authorized the conduct or established its legality" . . . "the relief sought would constitute a 'necessary first step on a path that could ultimately lead to relief fully redressing the injury'" . . . "the relief requested 'will produce tangible, meaningful results in the real world.'"); Motor & Equip. Mfrs. Ass'n v. Nichols, 142 F.3d 449, 457-58 (D.C. Cir. 1998) (petitioner had standing to challenge government action based on the independent conduct of third parties where evidence demonstrated that the challenged action "resulted in an almost unanimous decision" by those third parties to take action that harmed the petitioner); America's Community Bankers v. FDIC, 200 F.3d 822, 827-28 (D.C. Cir. 2000) ("an agency does not have to be the direct actor in the injurious conduct, but that indirect causation through authorization is sufficient to fulfill the causation requirement for Article III standing."); Consumer Federation of America v. F.C.C., 348 F.3d 1009, 1012 (D.C. Cir. 2003) ("When an agency order permits a third-party to engage in conduct that allegedly injures a person, the person has satisfied the causation aspect of the standing analysis.").

A favorable decision of this Court will likely redress Plaintiffs' injuries. The Vaccine-injured Plaintiffs continue to suffer the adverse effects of the Defendants' wrongdoing, and their physical injuries are still unfolding. Their personal injuries can be redressed in the usual way, by

an award of civil money damages for pain and suffering, emotional distress, economic loss and medical monitoring.

***(2) Defendants' Actions are Reviewable***

Plaintiffs have alleged that there is no real emergency as required by § 360bbb–3(b), that Defendants have willfully failed to satisfy the statutory criteria for issuing the Vaccine EUAs required by § 360bbb–3(c), and that Defendants have failed to create and maintain the conditions of authorization for the Vaccine EUAs required by § 360bbb–3(e) (Counts I, II, III and VI).

The Administrative Procedures Act ("APA") imposes four requirements that must be met before a federal court can review agency action: (1) the alleged injury must "arguably" be within the "zone of interests" protected or regulated by the statute in question, (2) no statute precludes judicial review, (3) the agency action is "final" and (4) the agency action is not "committed to agency discretion" by law.

***i. Plaintiffs' Injuries are Within the Zone of Interests***

The "zone of interests" test is "*not* 'especially demanding'" Lexmark Int'l, Inc. v. Static Control Components, Inc., 572 U.S. 118, 130 (2014) (quoting Match-E-Be-Nash-She-Wish Band of Pottawatomi Indians v. Patchak, 567 U.S. 209, 225 (2012)). The Supreme Court has "conspicuously included the word 'arguably' in the test to indicate that the benefit of any doubt goes to the plaintiff. " Id. The test "'forecloses suit only when a plaintiff's interests are so marginally related to or inconsistent with the purposes implicit in the statute that it cannot reasonably be assumed that' Congress authorized that plaintiff sue." Collins v. Mnuchin, 938 F.3d 553, 574 (5th Cir. 2019) (quoting Lexmark, 572 U.S. at 130.). The Vaccine injuries and death, and the violations of the constitutionally protected right to bodily integrity and personal autonomy that Plaintiffs assert in the Complaint, are within the zone of interests protected by these statutory provisions, the purpose of which is to tightly limit the circumstances in which

potentially harmful medical products can be placed in the stream of commerce and used by the American public prior to their full approval by the FDA.

***ii. No Statutory Preclusion***

Plaintiffs can locate no valid statute purporting to preclude judicial review of this agency action, either categorically, or prior to the exhaustion of administrative remedies.

Defendants may cite to 42 U.S.C. § 247d-6d(b)(7), a provision of the Public Readiness and Emergency Preparedness Act ("PREP Act"), which states: "No court of the United States, or of any State, shall have subject matter jurisdiction to review, whether by mandamus or otherwise, any action by the Secretary under this subsection." However, a "strong presumption in favor of judicial review of administrative action" governs the construction of potentially jurisdiction-stripping provisions like § 247d-6d(b)(7). INS v. St. Cyr, 533 U.S. 289, 298 (2001). "Even when the ultimate result is to limit judicial review, the Court cautions that as a matter of the interpretive enterprise itself, the narrower construction of a jurisdiction-stripping provision is favored over the broader one." ANA Inti'l Inc. v. Way, 393 F.3d 886, 891 (2004) (citing to Reno v. American-Arab Anti-Discrimination Committee, 525 U.S. 471, 480-482 (1999)); see also Patel v. United States AG, 917 F.3d 1319, Fn. 4 (11th Cir. 2019) ("We are also mindful that there is a strong presumption in favor of interpreting statutes to allow judicial review of administrative actions; consequently, jurisdiction stripping is construed narrowly."), (citing to Kucana v. Holder, 558 U.S. 233, 251-252 (2010).

Thus the prohibition on judicial review in § 247d-6d(b)(7) must be construed narrowly so as to apply exclusively and specifically to declarations conferring the PREP Act "immunity" described in § 247d-6d(a), which are the only declarations made by the Secretary under "this subsection." Section 247d-6d(b)(1) refers to the Secretary's having first and beforehand made a declaration that a public health emergency exists (a declaration that is made under an entirely

different statute, 21 U.S.C. § 360bbb–3(b)), and states that if such a public health emergency declaration has been made, then the Secretary may confer PREP Act immunity by publishing a notice of same in the Federal Register.

Any broader interpretation of § 247d-6d(b)(7) — and in particular, any broader interpretation that purports to categorically eliminate judicial review of actions taken under § 360bbb–3 — is an unconstitutional delegation of legislative power by Congress to the executive branch. It is unconstitutional for three reasons. First, it is unconstitutional because it is devoid of any "'intelligible principle' on which to judge the conformity of agency action to the congressional grant of power." Florida v. Becerra, 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 114297 (M.D. Fl. 2021) (quoting J.W. Hampton, Jr., & Co. v. Unitd States, 276 U.S. 394, 409 (1928)). Further, it purports to categorically exclude, rather than merely limiting, all judicial review. Finally, it is unconstitutional because it purports to eliminate judicial review in that most constitutionally perilous of situations, a state of emergency unilaterally declared and sustained by an executive branch official.

In Home Building and Loan Association v. Blaisdell, 290 U.S. 398 (1934), the U.S. Supreme Court stated: "Whether an emergency exists upon which the continued operation of the law depends is always open to judicial inquiry." 290 U.S. at 442, citing Chastleton Corp. v. Sinclair, 264 U.S. 543 (1924). In Sinclair, the Supreme Court stated: "A law depending upon the existence of emergency or other certain state of facts to uphold it may cease to operate if the emergency ceases or the facts change." 264 U.S. at 547. Both Blaisdell and Sinclair are clear authority that an emergency and the rules promulgated thereunder must end when the facts of the situation no longer support the continuation of the emergency. They also forbid this Court to merely assume the existence of a "public health crisis" based on the pronouncements of the Executive Defendants. They are clear authority that it is the duty of the court of first instance to

grapple with this question and conduct an inquiry. "[A] Court is not at liberty to shut its eyes to an obvious mistake when the validity of the law depends upon the truth of what is declared." Id. The Sinclair court instructed lower court's to inquire into the factual predicate underlying a declaration of emergency, where there appears to have been a change of circumstances: "the facts should be gathered and weighed by the court of first instance and the evidence preserved for consideration by this Court if necessary." 264 U.S. at 549.

In Sterling v. Constantin. 287 U.S. 378 (1932), the Supreme Court reviewed the actions of the Texas Governor in declaring martial law and interfering with oil well production in a manner that impaired private drilling rights. In holding that the question whether an emergency existed justifying such interference with the plaintiffs' property rights was subject to judicial inquiry and determination, the Court stated:

> *If this extreme position could be deemed to be well taken, it is manifest that the fiat of a state governor, and not the Constitution of the United States, would be the supreme law of the land; that the restrictions of the federal Constitution upon the exercise of state power would be but impotent phrases, the futility of which the state may at any time disclose by the simple process of transferring powers of legislation to the Governor to be exercised by him, beyond control, upon his assertion of necessity. Under our system of government, such a conclusion is obviously untenable. There is no such avenue of escape from the paramount authority of the federal Constitution. When there is a substantial showing that the exertion of state power has overridden private rights secured by that Constitution, the subject is necessarily one for judicial inquiry in an appropriate proceeding directed against the individuals charged with the transgression.*

287 U.S. at 397-98.

Similarly, the actions of the Secretary must be subject to judicial review. Under 21 U.S.C. § 355(q)(1)(A), the DHHS Secretary

> *shall not delay approval of a pending application [ ] because of any request to take any form of action relating to the application, either before or during consideration of the request, unless — (i) the request is in writing and is a petition submitted to the Secretary pursuant to section 10.30 or 10.35 of title 21, Code of Federal Regulations . . .*

21 C.F.R. § 10.30 in turn provides for so called "citizen petitions" which are a form of administrative redress. However, a close reading of the statutory language and due consideration of the underlying policies compel the conclusion that Congress did not intend to preclude judicial review of this particular agency action.

Section 355(q) could easily state that interested parties "shall not pursue" (or the equivalent) lawsuits prior to the completion of the citizen petition process. It does not. Instead, the only mandatory language in § 355(q) is directed at the Secretary, not at citizens, and it states that the Secretary "shall not delay". This language is intended to target the predominant, anti-competitive mischief marring the FDA approval process at the time the statute was enacted. Entrenched market participants abused the citizen petition process by soliciting citizenry to file petitions for the improper purpose of delaying applications for new drug approval submitted by new market entrants.[46] Senator Edward Kennedy explained: "The citizen petition provision is designed to address attempts to derail generic drug approvals. Those attempts, when successful, hurt consumers and the public health."[47] The statutory language should be read narrowly in accordance with that purpose, to apply only to the "approval of a pending application" which should not be delayed.

Plaintiffs here are seeking first and foremost the **revocation** or **termination** of the declared emergency and existing Vaccine EUAs, and not for anti-competitive purposes, but in order to respond to unlawful agency action driven by financial conflicts of interest, political pressure and fear, the substantial risk of widespread personal injury and death, and constitutional infractions.

---

[46] See *Citizen Petitions: An Empirical Study*, 34 Cardozo L. Rev. 249, 252 (2012) ("The study finds that brand drug companies file 68% of petitions, far more than generic firms or other parties such as universities, doctors or hospitals. Of the petitions by brand firms, more than 75% target generic entrants.").

[47] 153 Cong. Rec. 25,047 (2007).

Further, neither 21 U.S.C. § 355 nor 21 C.F.R. § 10.30 expressly references § 360bbb–3, the statute pursuant to which the emergency has been declared and the Vaccines released to the public. Conversely, § 360bbb–3 does not expressly refer to 21 U.S.C. § 355 nor 21 C.F.R. § 10.30. If Congress had intended for the citizen petition process — designed to address the specific mischief of anti-competitive behavior — to apply to the very particular and very different circumstances of an emergency use authorization of highly experimental and potentially dangerous medical interventions with the potential to rapidly injure or kill large swathes of the American populace, surely it would have said so. Plaintiffs are the current and future Vaccine-injured in a time of purported emergency, complaining of gross agency malfeasance and conflicts of interest, not profit-seeking market participants.

Neither should the judicial doctrine of "exhaustion of administrative remedies" bar judicial review. "[J]udicially created exhaustion requirements are 'subject to numerous exceptions.'" Georgia v. United States, 398 F.Supp. 1330, 1343 (S.D. Ga. 2019) (quoting Kentucky v. United States ex rel. Hagel, 759 F.3d 588, 599 (6th Cir. 2014)). In their discretion, the district courts

> *"…have recognized at least three prudential exceptions to exhaustion requirements. [ ] Exhaustion may be excused if a litigant can show: (1) that requiring exhaustion will result in irreparable harm; (2) that the administrative remedy is wholly inadequate; or (3) that the administrative body is biased, making recourse to the agency futile."*

Id. (quoting Kansas Dept. for Children and Families v. SourceAmerica, 874 F.3d 1226, 1250 (10th Cir. 2017) ("We permit district courts to excuse a failure to exhaust where '(1) the plaintiff asserts a colorable constitutional claim that is collateral to the substantive issues of the administrative proceedings, (2) exhaustion would result in irreparable harm, and (3) exhaustion would be futile.'")).

Courts have recognized exceptions to the requirement of administrative exhaustion in the specific context of the FDCA and 21 C.F.R. § 10.30. *See, e.g.*, Biotics Research Corp. v. Heckler, 710 F.2d 1375, 1378 (9th Cir. 1983) ("Biotics and Seroyal admit failing to take advantage of this available administrative remedy, but argue that the administrative remedy is 'inadequate and not efficacious' and that its pursuit would have been a 'futile gesture.' **Although we recognize an exception to the exhaustion requirement in these circumstances**, there is nothing in the record to indicate that a citizens petition to the Commissioner would have been ineffective or futile." (emphasis added)) (citing to AMP Inc. v. Gardiner, 275 F.Supp. 410 (S.D.N.Y. 1967), aff'd, 389 F.2d 825 (2d Cir. 1968), cert. denied, 393 U.S. 825 (1968); Premo Pharmaceutical Laboratories, Inc. v. United States, 629 F.2d 795, 801 (2d Cir. 1980), Natick Paperboard Corp. v. Weinberger, 498 F.2d 125, 128-29 (1st Cir. 1974).

The record in this case contains abundant evidence that the citizen petition process is both "inadequate and not efficacious". First and most importantly, the FDA need not respond to a citizen petition for 5 months, and in fact as a practical matter the "deadline" is more honored in the breach than the observance. When the FDA does respond, its response may be indeterminate. The chart below constructed from VAERS data shows that the American public cannot afford to wait for 5 months, while physical injuries and deaths due to the Vaccine skyrocket. Jane Doe's expert testimony that the true number of deaths caused by the Vaccine is in excess of 45,000 (*see* Declaration at Ex. D) renders the Defendants' likely argument that Plaintiffs must muddle through the citizen petition process before bringing this litigation not just legally absurd, but inhumane.

| VAERS DATA | | |
|---|---|---|
| **APRIL 23, 2021** | **JULY 2, 2021** | **% INCREASE** |
| 118,902 ADVERSE EVENTS | 438,441 ADVERSE EVENTS | 72.88% |
| 3,544 DEATHS | 9,048 DEATHS | 60.83% |
| 12,619 INJURIES | 41,015 INJURIES | 69.23% |

Plaintiff AFLDS' experience with the citizen petition process to date substantiates the argument. The Complaint alleges that Defendants are suppressing information regarding the availability of safe and effective alternative prophylaxis and treatments for COVID-19, including for example hydroxychloroquine (ECF 10, ¶¶ 219-228). Plaintiff AFLDS filed a citizen petition regarding hydroxychloroquine on October 12, 2020, requesting that the FDA exempt hydroxychloroquine-based drugs from prescription-dispensing requirements and make them available to the public over-the counter (*see* Citizen Petition at Exhibit E). The FDA acknowledged receipt of the petition on October 13, 2020. (*see* FDA Acknowledgment Letter at Exhibit F). Then on April 8, 2021, the FDA wrote to AFLDS to say that it "has been unable to reach a decision on your petition because it raises complex issues requiring extensive review and analysis by Agency officials." (*see* FDA Delay Letter at Exhibit G). As recently as June 21, 2021 the FDA has confirmed by email that it has no substantive response to the Citizen's Petition, responding to AFLDS' request for an update by referring back to the FDA's April 8 delay letter! The issues raised in the Complaint and in this Motion would almost certainly be claimed to be equally or more complex, and there is no reason whatsoever to believe that the FDA will respond substantively to them within the statutory deadline, or in any amount of time shorter than the 10 months that have passed since the hydroxychloroquine petition was filed. All of this is becomes

even more relevant in light of the fact that while a response to a citizen's petition is put off for many months, the vaccines were approved with no delay.

Not only is the citizen petition process fatally slow, the FDA is ultimately powerless to award civil money damages for the physical injury and death that have invaded Plaintiffs' constitutional right to personal autonomy and bodily integrity. These are irreparable injuries. Winck v. England, 327 F.3d 1296, 1304 (11th Cir. 2003) (("[exhaustion] is not required where no genuine opportunity for adequate relief exists, **irreparable injury** will result if the complaining party is compelled to pursue administrative remedies, or an administrative appeal would be futile") (emphasis added)).

The pursuit of a citizen petition is also a "futile gesture" since the FDA will not grant the relief requested by Plaintiffs. An empirical study has shown that the mean and median citizen petition grant rates fluctuated between 0% and 16% in the eight years from 2003 through 2010, and the mean and median denial rates were both 92%.[48] The real and substantial financial conflicts of interest compromising the Defendants and their key officials involved in the § 360bbb–3 process (*see* Complaint, ECF 10, ¶¶ 250-256), combined with the immense pressure[49] placed on the FDA by industry and politicians to fast track the approval process, and Jane Doe's revelation that the Defendants have intentionally concealed from the public that the true number of deaths caused by the Vaccines is at least 45,000 not the approximately 9,000 reported by VAERS (*see* Declaration at Ex. D), destroy any pretense that the FDA could adjudicate such a citizen petition with fairness and impartiality.

The policy justification traditionally cited by those courts that have required compliance with the citizen petition process do not apply here. *See, e.g.*, Garlic v. United States Food &

[48] *Citizen Petitions: An Empirical Study*, 34 Cardozo L. Rev. at 275.
[49] Gardner, L., "Calls Mount on FDA to Formally Endorse COVID Vaccines as Delta Surges" (July 8, 2021). *See* https://news.yahoo.com/calls-mount-fda-formally-endorse-182622109.html (last visited July 12, 2021).

Drug Administration, 783 F.Supp. 4, 5 (D. D.C. 1992) ("Allowing 'interested parties' to bypass the administrative remedies would undermine the entire regulatory process. Drug manufacturers could circumvent the FDA's procedures by soliciting private citizens to sue for judicial approval new medications."). Plaintiffs are not attempting to circumvent the substantive provisions of § 360bbb–3 in order to force the approval and release of a new experimental drug, rather they are trying to force the FDA, its officials riddled with serious conflicts of interests, to comply with these provisions in order prevent widespread personal injury and death and egregious violations of the constitutionally protected rights to personal autonomy and bodily integrity.

Count VI of the Complaint seeks mandamus, since there is "'practically no other remedy.'" Collin v. Berryhill, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 78222 at *9 (quoting Helstoski v. Meanor, 442 U.S. 500, 505 (1979). Courts have held that the perceived medical urgencies created by COVID-19 itself, and also those created by the decisions, orders and actions of authorities responding to COVID-19, can make it impractical and inappropriate to force a plaintiff seeking *mandamus* to wait for alternative processes to run their course:

> *Moreover, given the broader context of the COVID-19 pandemic, we agree with the Fifth Circuit that "[i]n mill-run cases, it might be a sufficient remedy to simply wait for the expiration of the TRO, and then appeal an adverse preliminary injunction. In other cases, a surety bond may ensure that a party wrongfully enjoined can be compensated for any injury caused. Those methods would be woefully inadequate here."*

In re Rutledge, 956 F.3d 1018, 1026 (8th Cir. 2020), quoting In re Abbott, 2020 U.S. App. LEXIS 10893 at *14.[50]

[50] The Supreme Court subsequently vacated the judgment in In re Abbott, and remanded to the Fifth Circuit with instructions to dismiss the case as moot, following the Texas Governor's relaxation of his order restricting abortion as a non-essential surgical procedure, however the decision did not turn on an analysis of mandamus. *See*, Planned Parenthood Ctr. for Choice v. Abbott, 2021 U.S. LEXIS 647.

***iii. The Emergency Declaration and the EUAs are "Final" Agency Action***

In order to be deemed "final", an agency action (1) "must mark the consummation of the agency's decision-making process — it must not be of a merely tentative or interlocutory nature" and (2) "must be one by which rights or obligations have been determined, or from which legal consequences will flow." United States Corps of Eng'rs v. Hawkes Co., 136 S.Ct. 1807, 1813 (2016) (quoting Bennett v. Spear, 520 U.S. 154, 177-178 (1997)).

After fact-finding and consultation, the DHHS Secretary declared, under § 360bbb–3(b), that there is an emergency. Once issued, his declaration remained valid for a period of time and was serially renewed. The declaration is not merely "advisory in nature." Id. It represents the "consummation of the decision-making process" with respect to whether or not an emergency exists. The declaration also gives rise to "'direct and appreciable legal consequences.'" Id. at 1814. The declaration paved the way for Pfizer, Moderna and Janssen to apply for EUAs for their experimental Vaccines, for the DHHS Secretary and his designee the FDA Commissioner to adjudicate and approve their EUA applications, and for the Vaccines to be released into interstate commerce and injected into millions of Americans.

The FDA Commissioner engaged in fact-finding and made vital determinations that the statutory criteria for issuing the Vaccine EUAs required by § 360bbb–3(c) were met, and that the conditions of authorization for the Vaccine EUAs required by § 360bbb–3(e) were also met. On that basis, the Vaccine EUAs were issued. The issuance of the Vaccine EUAs represents the "consummation of the decision-making process" with respect to whether or not EUAs will be granted, and also gave rise to "'direct and appreciable legal consequences'" since millions of people have been injected with these experimental Vaccines while their manufacturers have made billions of dollars in revenues under an immunity shield.

***iv. Not "Committed to Agency Discretion"***

The emergency declaration is not committed to agency discretion by law. Section 360bbb–3(b)(1) states that the DHHS Secretary "may" make a declaration, but then proceeds to enumerate in detail the limited bases upon which the declaration may be made, at least three of which prohibit unilateral declarations by the Secretary by requiring consultation with or the prior decisions of other cabinet-level executive branch officials. Section 360bbb–3(b)(3) prohibits the Secretary from unilaterally terminating the declaration. This is not a broad grant of discretion, but even if it were, "[t]he fact that a statute grants broad discretion to an agency does not render the agency's decisions completely unreviewable unless the statutory scheme, taken together with other relevant materials, provides absolutely no guidance to how that discretion is to be exercised." Louisiana v. Biden, 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 112316 * 40-41 (W. D. La. 2021).

Section 360bbb–3(b)(1)(c) is the sole ground for an emergency that does not seem to require consultation with or the prior decisions of other cabinet-level executive branch officials, and it provides guidance to the Secretary by requiring him to make a 4-pronged finding that (parsing the statute): (i) there is a "public health emergency" (ii) that "affects, or has a significant potential to affect" (iii) (a) "national security" or (b) "the health and security United States citizens living abroad", and (iv) that "involves" (a) "a biological, chemical, radiological, or nuclear agent or agents" or (b) "a disease or condition that may be attributable to such agent or agents."

Similarly, the EUAs are not committed to agency discretion by law. Under § 360bbb–3(c), the Secretary "may issue an authorization" but "only if" after consultation with three other executive branch officials, he is able to make at least four different findings. Under § 360bbb–3(e), the Secretary "shall" ensure that certain "required conditions" of authorization, set forth in detail in the statute, are met. Since the Secretary does not have unfettered discretion to issue

EUAs, he must follow detailed guidance as to how any discretion granted to him by the statute is exercised. Id.

In addition to their Counts seeking judicial review of agency action and mandamus, Plaintiffs have also alleged physical injury, death and loss of employment proximately caused, aided and abetted by Defendants' actions, justifying an award of civil money damages under Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics, 403 U.S. 388 (1971) (Count VII). By issuing and maintaining the EUAs in these circumstances, the Defendants are enabling the shipment of the Vaccines in interstate commerce, and their use by third parties who actually administer them to the public. Defendants, as joint tortfeasors, are purposefully aiding and abetting the infliction of physical injury and death on Plaintiffs and countless other Americans, all in violation of their constitutionally protected right to personal autonomy and bodily integrity.

Guertin v. Michigan, 912 F.3d 907 (6th Cir. 2019) is a case arising out of the infamous Flint Water Crisis. 912 F.3d at 907-915. The City of Flint Michigan instituted cost-saving measures, and used outdated equipment to treat water before delivering it to residents. Id. Residents consumed the water, now contaminated with lead and *e coli* bacteria. Id. Their hair fell out and they developed rashes. Id. Some died from an associated spike in Legionnaire's disease. Id. Children tested positive for dangerously high blood levels. Id.

The 6th Circuit Court of Appeals upheld the district court's denial of defendants' motion to dismiss 42 U.S.C. § 1983 substantive due process claims based on qualified immunity, because plaintiffs had plead a plausible Fourteenth Amendment violation of their right to bodily integrity, where the City's knowing decision to use outdated equipment and mislead the public about the safety of its water shocked the conscience. Id. The Court admonished:

> ***[K]nowing the Flint River water was unsafe for public use, distributing it without taking steps to counter its problems, and assuring the public in the meantime that it was safe*** *"is conduct that would alert a reasonable person to the likelihood of liability." [ ] [T]aking affirmative steps to systematically contaminate a community through its public water supply with deliberate indifference is a government invasion of the highest magnitude. Any reasonable official should have known that doing so constitutes conscience-shocking conduct prohibited by the substantive due process clause. These "actions violate the heartland of the constitutional guarantee" to the right of bodily integrity…*

Id. at 933 (emphasis added).

The language of this decision ought to send a chill through each of the individually named Defendants, for their conduct — albeit distributing dangerous experimental Vaccines, rather than contaminated water — is effectively a mirror image. This is indisputably so with respect to the under-18 age category, and those previously infected with SARS-CoV-2. Since SARS-CoV-2 / COVID-19 present no statistically significant threat to these subpopulations, the Vaccines can have no therapeutic benefits for them. At the same time, the experimental Vaccines, which have known, dangerous side effects and in some cases are even fatal, expose them to unnecessary and dangerous risks.

**B. Irreparable Injury**

The test does not require that harm actually occur, or that it be certain to occur. *See* Whitaker v. Kinosha Unified School District, 858 F.3d 1034, 1044 (7th Cir. 2017). Rather, "[w]e have indicated that the injury suffered by a plaintiff is 'irreparable only if it cannot be undone through monetary remedies.'" Siegel v. LePore, 234 F.3d 1163, 1191 at Fn. 4 (11th Cir. 2000), quoting Cunningham v. Adams, 808 F.2d 815, 821 (11th Cir. 1987).

The actual or threatened violation of core constitutional rights is presumed irreparable. Id., citing *inter alia* Deerfield Med. Ctr. v. City of Deerfield Beach, 661 F.2d 328 (5th Cir. 1981) (irreparable injury presumed based on threats to access to abortion services implicating the 14th Amendment right to privacy); Robinson v. Attorney General, 957 F.3d 1171, 1177 (11th Cir.

2020) (denying motion for stay of preliminary injunction enjoining public health order issued in response to COVID-19 pandemic because it invaded constitutionally protected 14th Amendment rights); Jolly v. Coughlin, 76 F.3d 468, 473 (2d Cir. 1996) ("In any event, it is the alleged violation of a constitutional right that triggers a finding of irreparable harm."); Mitchell v. Cuomo, 748 F.2d 804, 806 (2d Cir. 1984) ("'When an alleged deprivation of a constitutional right is involved, most courts hold that no further showing of irreparable injury is necessary.'").

In Planned Parenthood v. Casey, 505 U.S. 833, 857 (1992), the U.S. Supreme Court stated:

> *Roe, however, may be seen not only as an exemplar of Griswold liberty, but as a rule (whether or not mistaken) of personal autonomy and bodily integrity, with doctrinal affinity to cases recognizing limits on governmental power to mandate medical treatment or to bar its rejection. If so, our cases since Roe accord with Roe's view that a State's interest in the protection of life falls short of justifying any plenary override of individual liberty claims.* Cruzan v. Director, Mo. Dept. of Health, *497 U.S. 261, 278, 111 L. Ed. 2d 224, 110 S. Ct. 2841 (1990); cf., e. g.,* Riggins v. Nevada, *504 U.S. 127, 135, 118 L. Ed. 2d 479, 112 S. Ct. 1810 (1992);* Washington v. Harper, *494 U.S. 210, 108 L. Ed. 2d 178, 110 S. Ct. 1028 (1990); see also, e. g.,* Rochin v. California, *342 U.S. 165, 96 L. Ed. 183, 72 S. Ct. 205 (1952);* Jacobson v. Massachusetts, *197 U.S. 11, 24-30, 49 L. Ed. 643, 25 S. Ct. 358 (1905).*

To reiterate: "a State's interest in the protection of life falls short of justifying any plenary override of individual liberty claims." *See also* Washington v. Glucksberg, 521 U.S. 702, 720 (1997) ("the 'liberty' protected by the Due Process Clause [of the Fourteenth Amendment] includes the right[] . . . to bodily integrity"); Shillingford v. Holmes, 634 F.2d 263, 265 (5th Cir.1981) ("the right to be free of state-occasioned damage to a person's bodily integrity is protected by the fourteenth amendment guarantee of due process."); Doe v. Moore, 410 F.3d 1337, 1343 (11th Cir. 2005) ("The Supreme Court has recognized that fundamental rights include those guaranteed by the Bill of Rights as well as certain 'liberty' and privacy interests implicit in the due process clause and the penumbra of constitutional rights. These special

'liberty' interests include 'the rights to marry, to have children, to direct the education and upbringing of one's children, to marital privacy, to use contraception, to bodily integrity, and to abortion.'").

Further, the Supreme Court has stated that the protected liberty claims inherent in personal autonomy and bodily integrity include both the right *to be free from* unwanted medical intervention, and the right *to obtain* medical intervention:

> *As the joint opinion acknowledges, ante, 505 U.S. at 857, this Court has recognized the vital liberty interest of persons in refusing unwanted medical treatment. Cruzan v. Director, Mo. Dept. of Health, 497 U.S. 261, 111 L. Ed. 2d 224, 110 S. Ct. 2841 (1990). Just as the Due Process Clause protects the deeply personal decision of the individual to refuse medical treatment, it also must protect the deeply personal decision to obtain medical treatment, including a woman's decision to terminate a pregnancy.*

Casey, 505 U.S. at 927.

In the Supreme Court's seminal "right to die" case, Cruzan v. Director, Missouri Dept. of Health, 497 U.S. 261 (1990), it addressed whether an individual in a persistent vegetative state could require a hospital to withdraw life-sustaining medical care based on her right to bodily integrity. 479 U.S. at 265-69. Chief Justice Rehnquist noted that "[b]efore the turn of this century, [the Supreme Court] observed that 'no right is held more sacred, or is more carefully guarded, by the common law, than the right of every individual to the possession and control of his own person, free from all restraint or interference of others, unless by clear and unquestionable authority of law.'" Id. at 269 (quoting Union Pacific R. Co. v. Botsford, 141 U.S. 250, 251 (1891). He continued: "This notion of bodily integrity has been embodied in the requirement that informed consent is generally required for medical treatment," Id. at 269, "generally encompass[es] the right of a competent individual to refuse medical treatment," Id. at 277, and is a right that "may be inferred from [the Court's] prior decisions." Id. at 278-79 (citing Jacobson v. Massachusetts, 197 U.S. 11 (1905); Breithaupt v. Abram, 352 U.S. 432 (1957);

Washington v. Harper, 494 U.S. 210 (1990); Vitek v. Jones, 445 U.S. 480 (1980); Parham v. J.R., 442 U.S. 584 (1979).).

In Deerfield, the case relied upon by the 11th Circuit in Siegel, a medical group attempted to establish a medical facility to provide abortion services. 661 F.2d at 330-332. The city denied their application for an occupational license on various grounds. Id. The medical group sued the city alleging that the city's actions violated the "right to privacy" in the due process clause of the 14th Amendment by depriving women of access to abortion services, even though any potential constitutional violation was minimized by the presence of other abortion facilities operating in the area. Id. The medical group moved for a preliminary injunction, and the district court denied the motion. Id.

The 5th Circuit reversed, adopting an aggressive, prophylactic approach to the protection of the constitutional right to privacy. "[T]he right of privacy must be carefully guarded for once an infringement has occurred it cannot be undone by monetary relief." Id. at 338, citing to Kennan v. Nichol, 326 F. Supp. 613, 616 (W.D.Wis.1971), aff'd mem., 404 U.S. 1055, 92 S. Ct. 735, 30 L. Ed. 2d 743 (1972) ("to withhold a temporary restraining order is to permit the (constitutional right of privacy) to be lost irreparably with respect to the physician and those women for whom he would otherwise perform the operation in the meantime."). It continued: "We have already determined that the constitutional right of privacy is 'either **threatened** or in fact being impaired', and **this conclusion mandates a finding of irreparable injury**" (emphasis added). Id. at 338, citing to Elrod v. Burns, 427 U.S. 347, 373 (1976).

The Defendants are both violating, and threatening the violation of, the core constitutional right to personal autonomy and bodily integrity held by Plaintiffs and all Americans. Plaintiffs Brittany Galvin (*see* Declaration of Brittany Galvin at Exhibit J), Aubrey Boone, Snow Mills, Angelia Deselle (*see* Declaration of Angelia Deselle at Exhibit H), Kristi

Simmonds, Vidiella A/K/A Shawn Skelton (*see* Declaration of Shawn Skelton at Exhibit I) and the Estate of Dovi Sanders Kennedy have alleged that their rights to personal autonomy and bodily integrity were violated when they were subjected to Vaccines without first having given voluntary, informed consent. Plaintiffs have also attached the Declaration of Diana Hallmark, a resident of Blount County, Alabama, containing the same allegations (*see* Declaration of Diana Hallmark at Exhibit K).[51] These victims testify under penalty of perjury to their physical injuries caused by the Vaccines, and to facts and circumstances that establish that they did not give, and could not possibly have given, their voluntary, informed consent. By way of example, Plaintiff Deselle states (Ex. H):

> *No one ever provided me with any information regarding possible adverse reactions, nor did they provide me with any information regarding alternative treatments. I did not understand this was gene therapy rather than a traditional vaccine. Again, I also did not understand that the Vaccines were not "approved" by the FDA. No one told me, and I did not understand that the Vaccines were not determined to be "safe and effective" by anyone — only that it was "reasonable to believe" that they were.*

In addition to constitutional infringements, physical injury and death may constitute irreparable harm justifying preliminary injunctive relief. *See* Chastain v. Northwest Ga. Hous. Auth., 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 135712 (N.D. Ga. 2011) (possibility of worsening health following eviction from public housing); Garcia v. Google, Inc., 766 F.3d 929, (9th Cir. 2014), aff'd on rehearing en banc, 786 F.3d 733 (9th Cir. 2015) ("[I]t is not irrelevant that the harm Garcia complains of is death or serious bodily harm, which the dissent fails to mention. Death is an 'irremediable and unfathomable' harm, and bodily injury is not far behind. To the extent the irreparable harm inquiry is at all a close question, we think it best to err on the side of life."); Seniors Civil Liberties Ass'n v. Kemp, 761 F.Supp. 1528, 1537 (M.D. Fla. 1991) (possibility of

[51] Plaintiffs anticipate amending the Complaint for the purpose of *inter alia* adding Diana Hallmark to it as a named Plaintiff.

physical injury or death arising from police chokeholds). Plaintiffs Brittany Galvin (Ex. J), Aubrey Boone, Snow Mills, Angelia Deselle (Ex. H), Kristi Simmonds, Vidiella A/K/A Shawn Skelton (Ex. I) and the Estate of Dovi Sanders Kennedy have alleged that the Vaccines have caused them grave physical injury and, in the case of Dovi Sanders, also death. Diana Hallmark has made the same allegations (Ex. K).

The court may consider the harm to the public in assessing whether irreparable injury would result from the denial of an injunction. In Hornbeck Offshore Servs., LLC v. Salazar, 696 F.Supp. 2d 627 (E.D. La. 2010) the court granted a motion for preliminary injunction enjoining a federal agency decision to suspend drilling operations in the Gulf of Mexico, finding irreparable harm based on the harm to the public generally:

> *The defendants trivialize [Plaintiffs' losses] by characterizing them as merely a small percentage of the drilling rigs affected [ ] [C]ourts have held that in making the determination of irreparable harm, "both harm to the parties and to the public may be considered. The effect on employment, jobs, loss of domestic energy supplies caused by the moratorium as the plaintiffs (and other suppliers, and the rigs themselves) lose business, and the movement of the rigs to other sits around the world will clearly ripple throughout the economy in this region.*

696 F.Supp. 2d at 638-639 (internal citations omitted).

In In re Northwest Airlines Corp., 349 B.R. 338, 384 (S.D.N.Y. 2006), aff'd, 483 F.3d 160 (2d Cir. 2007), the court granted a motion for preliminary injunction enjoining a flight attendants' union from carrying out threats to engage in a labor strike, finding irreparable harm based on the harm to the public generally:

> *"[I]n making the determination of irreparable harm, both harm to the parties and to the public may be considered."* * * Here, the record also demonstrates that the public will be harmed: as the Bankruptcy Court found, Northwest carries 130,000 passengers per day, has 1,200 departures per day, is the one carrier for 23 cities in the country, and provides half all airline services to another 20 cities.*

349 B.R. at 384 (quoting Long Island R. Co. v. Int'l Ass'n of Machinists, 874 F.2d 901, 910 (2d Cir. 1989)).

Like Plaintiffs Brittany Galvin (Ex. J), Aubrey Boone, Snow Mills, Angelia Deselle (Ex. H), Kristi Simmonds, Vidiella A/K/A Shawn Skelton (Ex. I), and the Estate of Dovi Sanders Kennedy, and like Diane Hallmark (Ex. K), millions of Americans have already suffered an outrageous violation of their constitutionally protected right to personal autonomy and bodily integrity, and millions more are vulnerable. According to the VAERS data, there have been 438,441 reported adverse events following injection with the Vaccines, including 9,048 deaths and 41,015 serious injuries, between December 14, 2020 and July 2, 2021. The evidence suggests the VAERS system reports only between 0.8% and 2% of all Vaccine adverse events. Plaintiffs' expert and whistleblower Jane Doe has testified that the true number of deaths caused by the Vaccines is at least 45,000 not the approximately 9,000 reported by VAERS (*see* Declaration at Ex. D). By contrast, the Swine Flu vaccine was removed from the market even though it caused only 53 deaths.

**C. Balance of Equities (Hardships) and Public Interest**

In each case involving a request for pretrial injunctive relief, the court "must consider the effect on each party of the granting or withholding of the requested relief." Winter, 555 U.S. at 24. The plaintiff "must establish . . . that the balance of hardships tips in his favor." Id. at 20.

"'[W]here the government is the party opposing the preliminary injunction, its interest and harm merge with the public interest.' Thus the Court proceeds with analyzing whether the threatened injury to Plaintiffs outweighs the harm that the preliminary injunction would cause Defendants and the public." Brown v. Azar, 497 F. Supp. 3d 1270, 1298 (N.D. Ga. 2020), quoting Swain v. Junior, 958 F.3d 1081, 1091 (11th Cir. 2020).

"[I]t is always in the public interest to prevent the violation of a party's constitutional rights." G & V Lounge, Inc. v. Mich. Liquor Control Comm'n, 23 F.3d 1071, 1079 (6th Cir. 1994). "The vindication of constitutional rights and the enforcement of a federal statute serve the public interest almost by definition." League of Women Voters of Fla. v. Browning, 863 F. Supp. 2d 1155, 1167 (N.D. Fla. 2012). On the other hand, "[t]here is generally no public interest in the perpetuation of unlawful agency action." League of Women Voters v. Newby, 838 F.3d 1, 12 (D.C. Cir. 2016).

Defendants themselves suffer no conceivable harm from the grant of the requested injunctions. A disease that has an overall survivability rate exceeding 99% — comparable to the seasonal flu and countless other ailments — does not create a public health emergency within the meaning of § 360bbb–3. SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19 do not give rise to any countervailing public interest that justifies overriding the constitutionally protected right to personal autonomy and bodily integrity. This is so with respect to the entire American public, but even more acutely with respect to the under-18 age category and those previously infected with SARS-CoV-2.

## IV. CONCLUSION

Accordingly, and for all of the foregoing reasons, Plaintiffs move under Rule 65, Fed.R.Civ.P., for a preliminary injunction against Defendants enjoining them from continuing to authorize the emergency use of the so-called "Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine," "Moderna COVID-19 Vaccine" and the "Johnson & Johnson (Janssen) COVID-19 Vaccine" pursuant to their respective EUAs, and from granting full FDA approval of the Vaccines:

(i) for the under-18 age category;

(ii) for those, regardless of age, who have been infected with SARS-CoV-2 prior to vaccination; and

(iii) until such time as the Defendants have complied with their obligation to create and maintain the requisite "conditions of authorization" under Section 546 of the Food, Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 21 U.S.C. § 360bbb–

3(e), thereby enabling Vaccine candidates to give truly voluntary, informed consent.

Dated: July 19, 2021.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED BY:

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS:

/s/ *Lowell H. Becraft, Jr.*
**LOWELL H. BECRAFT, JR.**
Attorney for Plaintiffs
ASB 5005-F66L
403C Andrew Jackson Way
Huntsville, AL 35801
(256) 533-2535
becraft@hiwaay.net
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

/s/ *Thomas Renz*
**THOMAS RENZ**
(Ohio Bar ID: 98645)
1907 W. State St. #162
Fremont, OH 43420
(419) 351-4248
renzlawllc@gmail.com
(*Pro Hac Vice*)

/s/ *F.R. Jenkins*
**F. R. JENKINS**
(Maine Bar No. 004667)
Meridian 361 International Law Group, PLLC
97A Exchange Street, Ste 202
Portland, ME 04101
(866) 338-7087
jenkins@meridian361.com
Attorney for Plaintiffs
(*Pro Hac Vice*)

/s/ *Michael A. Hamilton*
**MICHAEL A. HAMILTON**
(KY Bar No. 89471)
CORNERSTONE ATTORNEY
1067 N. Main St, PMB 224
Nicholasville, KY 40356
(859) 655-5455
michael@cornerstoneattorney.com
(*Pro Hac Vice*)

/s/ *Robert J. Gargasz*
**ROBERT J. GARGASZ**
(Ohio Bar ID: 0007136)
1670 Cooper Foster Park Rd. Lorain, Ohio 44053
(440) 960-1670
rjgargasz@gmail.com
(*Pro Hac Vice*)

/s/ *N. Ana Garner*
**N. ANA GARNER**
Garner Law Firm
1000 Cordova Place #644
Santa Fe, NM 87505
(505) 930-5170
garnerlaw@yahoo.com
(*Pro Hac Vice*)

/s/ *Jonathan Diener*
**JONATHAN DIENER**
P.O. Box 27
Mule Creek, NM 88051
(575) 388-1754
jonmdiener@gmail.com
*(Admission Pending Pro Hac Vice)*

/s/ *Joseph S. Gilbert*
**JOSEPH S. GILBERT**
(Nevada Bar No. 9033)
Joey Gilbert & Associates
D/B/A Joey Gilbert Law
405 Marsh Avenue
Reno, Nevada 89509
(775) 284-7700
joey@joeygilbertlaw.com
(*Pro Hac Vice*)

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this date, July 19, 2021, I electronically transmitted this pleading to the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system for filing, which will send notification of such filing to the following counsel for the Defendants:

Hon. Don B. Long, III
Assistant United States Attorney United States Attorney's Office
Northern District of Alabama
1801 Fourth Avenue North
Birmingham, Alabama 35203

Hon. James W. Harlow
Trial Attorney, Consumer Protection Branch
Civil Division
U.S. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 386
Washington, D.C. 20044-0386

/s/ *Lowell H. Becraft, Jr.*
Lowell H. Becraft, Jr.

# E N D  O F  D O C U M E N T

19 July 2021

President Dr. William Wilson
Oral Roberts University
7777 South Lewis Avenue
Tulsa, OK 74171
918-495-6161

**Subject 1:** **mRNA "vaccine" as Ongoing Cause of Death (COD)**
**Subject 2:** **Fraudulent Promotions of "COVID vaccine" and "Delta Variant"**
**Subject 3:** **Fox News Interview of Pastor Robert Jeffress (15 July 2021)**

**Reference 1:** **My Letter to the Presidents of the Ivy League (6 March 2021)**
**Reference 2:** **My Letter to Anthony Fauci and Ivy League Law School Deans (12 April 2021)**
**Reference 3:** **My Letter to Governor DeSantis / Governor Noem (23 April 2021)**
**Reference 4:** **My Letter to Fox News CEO Mr. Jack Abernethy (24 June 2021)**
**Reference 5:** **Dr. Reiner Fuellmich Interview of Dr. David Martin of July 2021: The Coronavirus Investigation Committee (Enclosed USB Drive)**

**E N D  OF  D O C U M E N T**

27 August 2021


Ms. Martha E. Pollack
Cornell University
300 Day Hall
Ithaca, NY 14853
607-255-5201 / president@cornell.edu

Mr. Anthony S. Fauci
NIAID
5601 Fishers Lane
Rockville, MD 20852
301-496-2263 / anthony.fauci@nih.gov


**Subjects :** **Ongoing Global Criminal Participations / Promotions of "SARS-CoV-2" :**

**(1) The Fraudulent 'Emergency Use Authorization' (EUA)**
**(2) Pfizer mRNA Inoculation Induced Severe Injury and Death**
**(3) Connections to Nursing Home Deaths**
**(4) Connections to Suicide Deaths – American K-12 Students**

**Reference 1:** **Mr. Albert Bourla Severe Injury Assault of Ms. Jummai Nache**
**Reference 2:** **Martha Pollack Collaborations – Pfizer / NY Forward Reopening Advisory Board**

**Characterization 1:** **Show Me the Company You *Keep*, and I Will Tell You *What You Are***
**Characterization 2:** **Show Me the Company You Do *Not Keep*, and I Will Tell You *What You Are Not***