

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION - MORRIS COUNTY DOCKET NO. MRS-L-3575-08

THOMAS KLINE, AS ADMINISTRATOR
AD PROSEQUENDUM OF THE HEIRS
AT LAW OF SUSAN MORRIS KLINE,
(DECEASED), AS ADMINISTRATOR
OF THE ESTATE OF SUSAN MORRIS
KLINE, AND THOMAS KLINE,
INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiff(s),

V.

VICTORIA MORGAN-ALCALA, CARLOS
ALCALA, NATALIE RAWLS, DAIMLER
CHRYSLER CORPORATION, A/K/A
CHRYSLER CORPORATION, LOMAN
AUTO GROUP, BUTLER CHRYSLER,
JEEP, INC., JOHN DOES A THROUGH Z,
(names being fictitious), ABC
CORPORATIONS 1 through 100,
(names being fictitious),
Defendant(s).

DEPOSITION OF: ROBERT BANTA Volume II

DATE: September 7, 2012

TIME: 10:10 a.m.

BEFORE: SUSAN DE PALMA, a Notary Public and Certified Court Reporter of the State of New Jersey

LOCATION: CALLAHAN & FUSCO, LLC
72 Eagle Rock Avenue
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

	1
Page 2	
APPEARANCES:	1 EXHIBITS
	2
GRIECO OATES & DE FILIPPO, LLC	3
414 Eagle Rock Avenue	4 EXHIBIT NO. DESCRIPTION IDENT.
West Orange, New Jersey 07052	5
BY: ANGEL M. DE FILIPPO, ESQ. and	6 Banta-16 Technical Report 12
VANESSA FRIEDHOFF, ESQ.	7 Banta-17 Amended Technical Report 12 8 Banta-18 Supplemental Technical Report 12
Attorneys for the Plaintiff	9 Banta-19 Technical Report 3-24-11 19
	10 Banta-20 NHTSA EA 53
LEARY, BRIDE, TINKER & MORAN, PC	11 Banta-21 NHTSA document dated 5-2-97 58
7 Ridgedale Avenue	12 Banta-22 Diagram 92
Cedar Knolls, New Jersey 07927 BY: JAMES T. GILL, ESQ.	13 Banta-23 Photo 93
Attorney for the Defendants,	14 Banta-24 Photo 93
Victoria Morgan-Alcala &	15 Banta-25 Photo 115
Carlos Alcala	16 Banta-26 Photo 115
CALLAHAN & FUSCO, LLC	17 Banta-27 Sheridan documents
72 Eagle Rock Avenue	(11 pgs.) 120
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936 BY: MARK BRADLEY, ESQ.	18 Banta-28-33 Six Photos 131
and	Banta-28-33 Six Photos 131
LUCINDA J. MC LAUGHLIN, ESQ.	Banta-34 Letter dated 10-15-10 153
Attorneys for the Defendant, Loman Auto Group	20
Loman Auto Group	Banta-35 15 photos 180
	21
ALSO PRESENT: PAUL SHERIDAN	Banta-36 Vehicle Crash Test Letter 211
	22
NO COPY OF THIS TRANSCRIPT MAY BE	Banta-37 Vehicle Crash Test Request 219
CONSIDERED CERTIFIED UNLESS SIGNED IN INK	23
BY THE REPORTER LICENSED BY THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY WHO RECORDED THIS MATTER. ANY	Banta-38 Vehicle Crash Test Letter 260
FACSIMILE MAY HAVE BEEN ALTERED BY MEANS	24
OF ELECTRONIC MEDIA.	Banta-39 Vehicle Crash Test Letter 267
	25
Page 3	Page 5
	1 LITIGATION SUPPORT INDEX
INDEX	
i	2
	2
WITNESS DIRECT CROSS	3
WITNESS DIRECT CROSS	
	3 4
ROBERT BANTA	3 4 5 REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS
	3 4 5 REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS 6 Page-Line
ROBERT BANTA	3 4 5 REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS
ROBERT BANTA	3 4 5 REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS 6 Page-Line
ROBERT BANTA	3 4 5 REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS 6 Page-Line 7 84, 25 8 102, 4-8
ROBERT BANTA	3 4 5 REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS 6 Page-Line 7 84, 25 8 102, 4-8 9 226, 9
ROBERT BANTA	3 4 5 REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS 6 Page-Line 7 84, 25 8 102, 4-8 9 226, 9 10 244, 6
ROBERT BANTA	3 4 5 REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS 6 Page-Line 7 84, 25 8 102, 4-8 9 226, 9 10 244, 6 11
ROBERT BANTA	3 4 5 REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS 6 Page-Line 7 84, 25 8 102, 4-8 9 226, 9 10 244, 6
ROBERT BANTA	3 4 5 REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS 6 Page-Line 7 84, 25 8 102, 4-8 9 226, 9 10 244, 6 11
ROBERT BANTA	3 4 5 REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS 6 Page-Line 7 84, 25 8 102, 4-8 9 226, 9 10 244, 6 11 12 EXHIBIT ANALYSIS: 13 Exhibits retained by counsel.
ROBERT BANTA	3 4 5 REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS 6 Page-Line 7 84, 25 8 102, 4-8 9 226, 9 10 244, 6 11 12 EXHIBIT ANALYSIS: 13 Exhibits retained by counsel. 14
ROBERT BANTA	3 4 5 REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS 6 Page-Line 7 84, 25 8 102, 4-8 9 226, 9 10 244, 6 11 12 EXHIBIT ANALYSIS: 13 Exhibits retained by counsel. 14 15
ROBERT BANTA	3 4 5 REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS 6 Page-Line 7 84, 25 8 102, 4-8 9 226, 9 10 244, 6 11 12 EXHIBIT ANALYSIS: 13 Exhibits retained by counsel. 14
ROBERT BANTA	3 4 5 REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS 6 Page-Line 7 84, 25 8 102, 4-8 9 226, 9 10 244, 6 11 12 EXHIBIT ANALYSIS: 13 Exhibits retained by counsel. 14 15
ROBERT BANTA	3 4 5 REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS 6 Page-Line 7 84, 25 8 102, 4-8 9 226, 9 10 244, 6 11 12 EXHIBIT ANALYSIS: 13 Exhibits retained by counsel. 14 15 16 17
ROBERT BANTA	3 4 5 REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS 6 Page-Line 7 84, 25 8 102, 4-8 9 226, 9 10 244, 6 11 12 EXHIBIT ANALYSIS: 13 Exhibits retained by counsel. 14 15 16 17 18
ROBERT BANTA	3 4 5 REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS 6 Page-Line 7 84, 25 8 102, 4-8 9 226, 9 10 244, 6 11 12 EXHIBIT ANALYSIS: 13 Exhibits retained by counsel. 14 15 16 17 18 19
ROBERT BANTA	3 4 5 REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS 6 Page-Line 7 84, 25 8 102, 4-8 9 226, 9 10 244, 6 11 12 EXHIBIT ANALYSIS: 13 Exhibits retained by counsel. 14 15 16 17 18
ROBERT BANTA	3 4 5 REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS 6 Page-Line 7 84, 25 8 102, 4-8 9 226, 9 10 244, 6 11 12 EXHIBIT ANALYSIS: 13 Exhibits retained by counsel. 14 15 16 17 18 19
ROBERT BANTA	3 4 5 REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS 6 Page-Line 7 84, 25 8 102, 4-8 9 226, 9 10 244, 6 11 12 EXHIBIT ANALYSIS: 13 Exhibits retained by counsel. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
ROBERT BANTA	3 4 5 REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS 6 Page-Line 7 84, 25 8 102, 4-8 9 226, 9 10 244, 6 11 12 EXHIBIT ANALYSIS: 13 Exhibits retained by counsel. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22
ROBERT BANTA	3 4 5 REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS 6 Page-Line 7 84, 25 8 102, 4-8 9 226, 9 10 244, 6 11 12 EXHIBIT ANALYSIS: 13 Exhibits retained by counsel. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23
ROBERT BANTA	3 4 5 REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS 6 Page-Line 7 84, 25 8 102, 4-8 9 226, 9 10 244, 6 11 12 EXHIBIT ANALYSIS: 13 Exhibits retained by counsel. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22
ROBERT BANTA	3 4 5 REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS 6 Page-Line 7 84, 25 8 102, 4-8 9 226, 9 10 244, 6 11 12 EXHIBIT ANALYSIS: 13 Exhibits retained by counsel. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

	Page 6		Page 8
1	ROBERT BANTA, 3196 Tullio Way,	1	Q. And do you remember the law firm?
2	Henderson, Nevada, having been first duly	2	MR. BRADLEY: Plaintiff or
3	sworn according to law, testifies as	3	defendant?
4	follows:	4	THE WITNESS: The defense law
5	Tollows.	5	firm was Hanlon, Buglione, Hanlon in
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION	6	Edison I believe.
7	BY MS. DE FILIPPO:	7	Q. Was Chrysler also involved in
8	B1 MS. DE1 IDH1 O.	8	that lawsuit?
9	Q. Mr. Banta, we are here for the	9	A. No.
10	continuing deposition. Your original	10	Q. Did that involve a post collision
11	deposition in this case was taken I	11	fuel-fed fire, that lawsuit?
12	believe on June 28th of 2011. You recall	12	A. No. It was a home structure
13	that in this case we started taking your	13	fire. A vehicle that was parked and the
14	deposition at that time. Correct?	14	plaintiff's allegation involved what they
15	A. Yes.	15	believed was a malfunction of the
16	Q. And I'm going to tell you that	16	electrical system.
17	all of the instructions that I gave you	17	Q. Have you ever done in your
18	then still apply. Do you want me to	18	capacity as a fire expert or in any expert
19	repeat any of the general deposition	19	capacity, have you ever given testimony or
20	instructions?	20	rendered reports for a plaintiff?
21	A. No.	21	A. Yes.
22	Q. You've given depositions numerous	22	Q. And are we talking about an
23	occasions. Correct?	23	injured plaintiff?
24	A. I have.	24	A. I'm sorry, let me back up a
25	Q. So you know what the format is?	25	little bit. I also did deposition
	Page 7		Page 9
1	Just one thing, I might have asked you	1	testimony this year on behalf of a
2	this before but how many times have you	2	plaintiff also in a vehicle fire case in a
3	testified in either a deposition or at	3	garage. And that was a plaintiff's case.
4	trial for Chrysler, on behalf of Chrysler?	4	I believe it was State Farm.
5	A. In deposition, maybe I'm	5	Q. State Farm was the plaintiff?
6	guessing, 50 to a hundred times. And at	6	A. State Farm was the plaintiff.
7	trial, perhaps 20 times.	7	Q. It wasn't an injured plaintiff,
8	Q. Have you done any depositions or	8	it was a property damage claim?
9	trial testimony for other than Chrysler,	9	A. Yes. The home had burned down.
10	people other than Chrysler or entities	10	Q. Now, you used the term "also."
11	other than Chrysler?	11	So what were you thinking of when you
12	MR. BRADLEY: In what capacity?	12	initially answered the question that you
13	Q. In your capacity as an expert.	13	gave testimony or rendered a report for a
14	A. Yes. I've done a couple of	14	plaintiff in a fire case?
15	depositions on behalf of Mercedes Benz.	15	A. Because I think one of your
1	Q. When you say a couple I'm	16	earlier questions was had I given
16	Q. When you say a couple I'm		
16 17	sorry to interrupt you, because you made a	17	testimony for someone other than Chrysler
	• • •	17 18	testimony for someone other than Chrysler and I told you about Mercedes Benz and I
17	sorry to interrupt you, because you made a	17 18 19	testimony for someone other than Chrysler
17 18	sorry to interrupt you, because you made a pause. I thought when you say a	17 18 19 20	testimony for someone other than Chrysler and I told you about Mercedes Benz and I did not tell you about the State Farm case.
17 18 19	sorry to interrupt you, because you made a pause. I thought when you say a couple, you mean two, two to three?	17 18 19 20 21	testimony for someone other than Chrysler and I told you about Mercedes Benz and I did not tell you about the State Farm case. Q. And then my question was about
17 18 19 20	sorry to interrupt you, because you made a pause. I thought when you say a couple, you mean two, two to three? A. Two to three. Q. Okay, go ahead. A. And I believe one trial for	17 18 19 20 21 22	testimony for someone other than Chrysler and I told you about Mercedes Benz and I did not tell you about the State Farm case. Q. And then my question was about plaintiffs. And we know about the State
17 18 19 20 21	sorry to interrupt you, because you made a pause. I thought when you say a couple, you mean two, two to three? A. Two to three. Q. Okay, go ahead. A. And I believe one trial for Mercedes Benz here in New Jersey.	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	testimony for someone other than Chrysler and I told you about Mercedes Benz and I did not tell you about the State Farm case. Q. And then my question was about plaintiffs. And we know about the State Farm case with the property damage. Is
17 18 19 20 21 22	sorry to interrupt you, because you made a pause. I thought when you say a couple, you mean two, two to three? A. Two to three. Q. Okay, go ahead. A. And I believe one trial for	17 18 19 20 21 22	testimony for someone other than Chrysler and I told you about Mercedes Benz and I did not tell you about the State Farm case. Q. And then my question was about plaintiffs. And we know about the State

			1 (10900 10 00 17)
	Page 10		Page 12
1	as an expert?	1	MS. DE FILIPPO: Do you have them
2	MR. BRADLEY: Besides the State	2	so he can look at them?
3	Farm?	3	MR. BRADLEY: Sure, I can print
4	MS. DE FILIPPO: Yes, besides	4	off a copy.
5	State Farm.	5	MS. DE FILIPPO: I'm going to mark
6	THE WITNESS: There was another	6	mine and then we'll continue. You can get
7	case years ago where Chrysler was a	7	him a copy.
8	plaintiff in a case. Chrysler had sued	8	MR. BRADLEY: You want to just not
9	another entity in Mexico and I worked for	9	use the marked copy?
10	Chrysler on that case.	10	MS. DE FILIPPO: He needs to have
11	Q. So it's fair to say that it was	11	a copy while I have a copy.
12	not an injured plaintiff that you were	12	MR. BRADLEY: I gotcha. Okay.
13	rendering a report for?	13	MS. DE FILIPPO: Can you mark
14	A. No.	14	that?
15	Q. It was Chrysler against another	15	
16	entity?	16	(Technical Report dated March 14,
17	A. Property loss, yes.	17	2011 is received and marked Banta-16 for
	MR. BRADLEY: You mean physical	18	identification.
18 19	injury, when you said injured plaintiff?	19	Amended Technical Report dated
20	MS. DE FILIPPO: Yes, personal	20	4-4-11 is received and marked Banta-17 for
l		21	identification.
21	injury.	22	Supplemental Technical Report
22	MR. BRADLEY: As opposed to losing	23	dated 9-12-11 is received and marked
23	money could be an injury. MS. DE FILIPPO: Well, okay.	24	Banta-18 for identification.)
24	· · ·	25	Danta-10 for identification.)
25	Q. In this particular case, Mr.	2.5	
	Page 11		Page 13
1	Banta, how many reports did you author?	1	Q. Mr. Banta, I know you said you
2	A. Two.	2	did two reports but I have in my hands
3	Q. And I know we didn't I'm not	3	three reports. One is dated March 14th,
4	sure if we marked them in the last	4	one is dated and called a Technical
5	deposition. Let me just say this. I'm	5	Report. One is dated September 12, 2011.
6	going to do my best. I think I read	6	There's one March 14, 2011, September 12,
7	through your last deposition. I'm going	7	2011, called a Supplemental Report and I
8	to do my best not to repeat any of the	8	also have one that is dated April 4th,
9	questions because it wouldn't be in either	9	2011 called Amended Technical Report.
10	of our benefits. But bear with me if I	10	I'm going to give you my copies
11	lay a foundation by going back to	11	until you get your copy in this deposition
12	something that maybe we covered in some	12	and ask you to look at the Technical
13	aspect. You can tell me if you think I'm	13	Report and the Amended Technical Report.
14	wrong. You understand that. Right?	14	And I just want you to indicate what the
15	A. I do.	15	differences are between the two, if there
16	Q. In terms of the two reports that	16	are any.
17	you authored in this case, can you tell me	17	MR. GILL: For the record, Miss
18	what the dates were of the two reports	18	DeFilippo, the date that I have for the
19	you're referring to?	19	first report was March 24, 2011. I
20	A. I don't have them with me.	20	believe you said the 14th.
21	Q. Okay.	21	MS. DE FILIPPO: It's the 14th on
22	A. March 2011 was one and I don't	22	the document.
23	recall the second one.	23	MR. GILL: It says 14 on there?
24	Q. When you say you don't have them	24	MS. DE FILIPPO: Yes.
144	Q. Whom you say you don't have them		
25	with you	25	THE WITNESS: The original report

	Page 14		Page 16
1	was March 14, 2011.	1	Q. Well, Mr. Banta, do you recall in
2	MS. DE FILIPPO: Do you have a	2	this case there was another attorney that
3	copy with you?	3	was representing Loman Auto Group?
4	MR. GILL: I do.	4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Mr. Banta, the electronic copy of	5	Q. Are you saying you didn't sit
6	Mr. Gill's report also says March 24,	6	with Mindy Jane to write this report or
7	2011. I don't have a report with that	7	someone in her firm?
8	date on it. So is there a way to verify	8	A. I'm not sure when the counsels
9	what the dates of all your reports are	9	changed. I'm not sure. But I know that
10	since you didn't bring them with you?	10	counsel and I went over this report that I
11	MR. GILL: It's probably not a big	11	have in my hand.
12	deal. I thought you misspoke on the date.	12	MR. BRADLEY: I'm going to object
13	MS. DE FILIPPO: No, we don't	13	to anything involving what you said with
14	know.	14	prior counsel. That's privileged.
15	MR. GILL: I don't want to be	15	THE WITNESS: Let me figure this
		16	out. KAS, who is KAS? Was her name Misty?
16	introducing something to unnecessarily	17	Q. Mindy Jane.
17	complicate this.	18	A. Mindy Rodgers Mindy Jane had a
18	MR. BRADLEY: The copy we have is	19	supervisor I think who was KAS and I think
19	March 24th as well. I don't know how you		KAS, it's coming back to me, KAS read my
20	have a March 14th.	20	,
21	MR. GILL: I can tell you this	21	March 14th report. And as you can see, he
22	is off the record.	22	did some editing of this report.
23	(Whereupon, a discussion is held	23	Q. So the editing and the
24	off the record.)	24	handwritten portions of the March 14th
25	THE WITNESS: I know what	25	report is not your handwriting. Is that
	Page 15	The second secon	Page 17
1	Page 15 happened here.	1	
ANTINA MININA MININA MININA ANTINA MININA MI	·	1 2	Page 17
1	happened here.		Page 17 correct? A. That's right. Q. It's some attorney?
1 2	happened here. Q. Wait. Let me state this	2	Page 17 correct? A. That's right.
1 2 3	happened here. Q. Wait. Let me state this statement for the record so it's clear.	2	Page 17 correct? A. That's right. Q. It's some attorney?
1 2 3 4	happened here. Q. Wait. Let me state this statement for the record so it's clear. After all counsel conferred regarding the	2 3 4	Page 17 correct? A. That's right. Q. It's some attorney? MR. BRADLEY: Well, I'm going to
1 2 3 4 5	happened here. Q. Wait. Let me state this statement for the record so it's clear. After all counsel conferred regarding the reports, it seems that there are reports	2 3 4 5	Page 17 correct? A. That's right. Q. It's some attorney? MR. BRADLEY: Well, I'm going to object to this document because,
1 2 3 4 5	happened here. Q. Wait. Let me state this statement for the record so it's clear. After all counsel conferred regarding the reports, it seems that there are reports floating around with the following dates: March 14th, 2011, March 24th, 2011,	2 3 4 5 6	Page 17 correct? A. That's right. Q. It's some attorney? MR. BRADLEY: Well, I'm going to object to this document because, obviously, there was notations from
1 2 3 4 5 6	happened here. Q. Wait. Let me state this statement for the record so it's clear. After all counsel conferred regarding the reports, it seems that there are reports floating around with the following dates:	2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 17 correct? A. That's right. Q. It's some attorney? MR. BRADLEY: Well, I'm going to object to this document because, obviously, there was notations from counsel and that's between our expert, is
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	happened here. Q. Wait. Let me state this statement for the record so it's clear. After all counsel conferred regarding the reports, it seems that there are reports floating around with the following dates: March 14th, 2011, March 24th, 2011, April 4th, 2011, and September 12th, 2011, and I believe my question to you is, can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	correct? A. That's right. Q. It's some attorney? MR. BRADLEY: Well, I'm going to object to this document because, obviously, there was notations from counsel and that's between our expert, is privileged communications.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	happened here. Q. Wait. Let me state this statement for the record so it's clear. After all counsel conferred regarding the reports, it seems that there are reports floating around with the following dates: March 14th, 2011, March 24th, 2011, April 4th, 2011, and September 12th, 2011, and I believe my question to you is, can you tell us what each of those reports	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	correct? A. That's right. Q. It's some attorney? MR. BRADLEY: Well, I'm going to object to this document because, obviously, there was notations from counsel and that's between our expert, is privileged communications. MS. DE FILIPPO: Why are you
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	happened here. Q. Wait. Let me state this statement for the record so it's clear. After all counsel conferred regarding the reports, it seems that there are reports floating around with the following dates: March 14th, 2011, March 24th, 2011, April 4th, 2011, and September 12th, 2011, and I believe my question to you is, can you tell us what each of those reports represents, in a general way first?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	correct? A. That's right. Q. It's some attorney? MR. BRADLEY: Well, I'm going to object to this document because, obviously, there was notations from counsel and that's between our expert, is privileged communications. MS. DE FILIPPO: Why are you objecting to it? It was sent to me.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	happened here. Q. Wait. Let me state this statement for the record so it's clear. After all counsel conferred regarding the reports, it seems that there are reports floating around with the following dates: March 14th, 2011, March 24th, 2011, April 4th, 2011, and September 12th, 2011, and I believe my question to you is, can you tell us what each of those reports represents, in a general way first? A. Okay. I will start from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	correct? A. That's right. Q. It's some attorney? MR. BRADLEY: Well, I'm going to object to this document because, obviously, there was notations from counsel and that's between our expert, is privileged communications. MS. DE FILIPPO: Why are you objecting to it? It was sent to me. MR. BRADLEY: By who?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	happened here. Q. Wait. Let me state this statement for the record so it's clear. After all counsel conferred regarding the reports, it seems that there are reports floating around with the following dates: March 14th, 2011, March 24th, 2011, April 4th, 2011, and September 12th, 2011, and I believe my question to you is, can you tell us what each of those reports represents, in a general way first? A. Okay. I will start from the beginning, March 14th. The March 14th	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	correct? A. That's right. Q. It's some attorney? MR. BRADLEY: Well, I'm going to object to this document because, obviously, there was notations from counsel and that's between our expert, is privileged communications. MS. DE FILIPPO: Why are you objecting to it? It was sent to me. MR. BRADLEY: By who? MS. DE FILIPPO: By counsel. I received it from counsel. So you can
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	happened here. Q. Wait. Let me state this statement for the record so it's clear. After all counsel conferred regarding the reports, it seems that there are reports floating around with the following dates: March 14th, 2011, March 24th, 2011, April 4th, 2011, and September 12th, 2011, and I believe my question to you is, can you tell us what each of those reports represents, in a general way first? A. Okay. I will start from the beginning, March 14th. The March 14th copy you see here, frankly, I don't know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	correct? A. That's right. Q. It's some attorney? MR. BRADLEY: Well, I'm going to object to this document because, obviously, there was notations from counsel and that's between our expert, is privileged communications. MS. DE FILIPPO: Why are you objecting to it? It was sent to me. MR. BRADLEY: By who? MS. DE FILIPPO: By counsel. I
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	happened here. Q. Wait. Let me state this statement for the record so it's clear. After all counsel conferred regarding the reports, it seems that there are reports floating around with the following dates: March 14th, 2011, March 24th, 2011, April 4th, 2011, and September 12th, 2011, and I believe my question to you is, can you tell us what each of those reports represents, in a general way first? A. Okay. I will start from the beginning, March 14th. The March 14th copy you see here, frankly, I don't know how you got it. I don't have this. This	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	correct? A. That's right. Q. It's some attorney? MR. BRADLEY: Well, I'm going to object to this document because, obviously, there was notations from counsel and that's between our expert, is privileged communications. MS. DE FILIPPO: Why are you objecting to it? It was sent to me. MR. BRADLEY: By who? MS. DE FILIPPO: By counsel. I received it from counsel. So you can object all you want and we can go on. And
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	happened here. Q. Wait. Let me state this statement for the record so it's clear. After all counsel conferred regarding the reports, it seems that there are reports floating around with the following dates: March 14th, 2011, March 24th, 2011, April 4th, 2011, and September 12th, 2011, and I believe my question to you is, can you tell us what each of those reports represents, in a general way first? A. Okay. I will start from the beginning, March 14th. The March 14th copy you see here, frankly, I don't know how you got it. I don't have this. This is a draft of a report that myself and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	correct? A. That's right. Q. It's some attorney? MR. BRADLEY: Well, I'm going to object to this document because, obviously, there was notations from counsel and that's between our expert, is privileged communications. MS. DE FILIPPO: Why are you objecting to it? It was sent to me. MR. BRADLEY: By who? MS. DE FILIPPO: By counsel. I received it from counsel. So you can object all you want and we can go on. And we can deal with this later. I don't want
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	happened here. Q. Wait. Let me state this statement for the record so it's clear. After all counsel conferred regarding the reports, it seems that there are reports floating around with the following dates: March 14th, 2011, March 24th, 2011, April 4th, 2011, and September 12th, 2011, and I believe my question to you is, can you tell us what each of those reports represents, in a general way first? A. Okay. I will start from the beginning, March 14th. The March 14th copy you see here, frankly, I don't know how you got it. I don't have this. This	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	correct? A. That's right. Q. It's some attorney? MR. BRADLEY: Well, I'm going to object to this document because, obviously, there was notations from counsel and that's between our expert, is privileged communications. MS. DE FILIPPO: Why are you objecting to it? It was sent to me. MR. BRADLEY: By who? MS. DE FILIPPO: By counsel. I received it from counsel. So you can object all you want and we can go on. And we can deal with this later. I don't want to take a lot of time with it.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	happened here. Q. Wait. Let me state this statement for the record so it's clear. After all counsel conferred regarding the reports, it seems that there are reports floating around with the following dates: March 14th, 2011, March 24th, 2011, April 4th, 2011, and September 12th, 2011, and I believe my question to you is, can you tell us what each of those reports represents, in a general way first? A. Okay. I will start from the beginning, March 14th. The March 14th copy you see here, frankly, I don't know how you got it. I don't have this. This is a draft of a report that myself and counsel sat in this room and worked on sometime between March 14th and 24.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	correct? A. That's right. Q. It's some attorney? MR. BRADLEY: Well, I'm going to object to this document because, obviously, there was notations from counsel and that's between our expert, is privileged communications. MS. DE FILIPPO: Why are you objecting to it? It was sent to me. MR. BRADLEY: By who? MS. DE FILIPPO: By counsel. I received it from counsel. So you can object all you want and we can go on. And we can deal with this later. I don't want to take a lot of time with it. Q. I just want to know, so that report, is it fair to say that the report
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	happened here. Q. Wait. Let me state this statement for the record so it's clear. After all counsel conferred regarding the reports, it seems that there are reports floating around with the following dates: March 14th, 2011, March 24th, 2011, April 4th, 2011, and September 12th, 2011, and I believe my question to you is, can you tell us what each of those reports represents, in a general way first? A. Okay. I will start from the beginning, March 14th. The March 14th copy you see here, frankly, I don't know how you got it. I don't have this. This is a draft of a report that myself and counsel sat in this room and worked on sometime between March 14th and 24. Q. I don't want to interrupt you but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	correct? A. That's right. Q. It's some attorney? MR. BRADLEY: Well, I'm going to object to this document because, obviously, there was notations from counsel and that's between our expert, is privileged communications. MS. DE FILIPPO: Why are you objecting to it? It was sent to me. MR. BRADLEY: By who? MS. DE FILIPPO: By counsel. I received it from counsel. So you can object all you want and we can go on. And we can deal with this later. I don't want to take a lot of time with it. Q. I just want to know, so that report, is it fair to say that the report of March 14, 2011 is the first report that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	happened here. Q. Wait. Let me state this statement for the record so it's clear. After all counsel conferred regarding the reports, it seems that there are reports floating around with the following dates: March 14th, 2011, March 24th, 2011, April 4th, 2011, and September 12th, 2011, and I believe my question to you is, can you tell us what each of those reports represents, in a general way first? A. Okay. I will start from the beginning, March 14th. The March 14th copy you see here, frankly, I don't know how you got it. I don't have this. This is a draft of a report that myself and counsel sat in this room and worked on sometime between March 14th and 24. Q. I don't want to interrupt you but I do want to make your testimony accurate,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	correct? A. That's right. Q. It's some attorney? MR. BRADLEY: Well, I'm going to object to this document because, obviously, there was notations from counsel and that's between our expert, is privileged communications. MS. DE FILIPPO: Why are you objecting to it? It was sent to me. MR. BRADLEY: By who? MS. DE FILIPPO: By counsel. I received it from counsel. So you can object all you want and we can go on. And we can deal with this later. I don't want to take a lot of time with it. Q. I just want to know, so that report, is it fair to say that the report of March 14, 2011 is the first report that you authored in this case?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	happened here. Q. Wait. Let me state this statement for the record so it's clear. After all counsel conferred regarding the reports, it seems that there are reports floating around with the following dates: March 14th, 2011, March 24th, 2011, April 4th, 2011, and September 12th, 2011, and I believe my question to you is, can you tell us what each of those reports represents, in a general way first? A. Okay. I will start from the beginning, March 14th. The March 14th copy you see here, frankly, I don't know how you got it. I don't have this. This is a draft of a report that myself and counsel sat in this room and worked on sometime between March 14th and 24. Q. I don't want to interrupt you but I do want to make your testimony accurate, and there's no reason to be trying to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	correct? A. That's right. Q. It's some attorney? MR. BRADLEY: Well, I'm going to object to this document because, obviously, there was notations from counsel and that's between our expert, is privileged communications. MS. DE FILIPPO: Why are you objecting to it? It was sent to me. MR. BRADLEY: By who? MS. DE FILIPPO: By counsel. I received it from counsel. So you can object all you want and we can go on. And we can deal with this later. I don't want to take a lot of time with it. Q. I just want to know, so that report, is it fair to say that the report of March 14, 2011 is the first report that you authored in this case? A. Yes, the draft.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	happened here. Q. Wait. Let me state this statement for the record so it's clear. After all counsel conferred regarding the reports, it seems that there are reports floating around with the following dates: March 14th, 2011, March 24th, 2011, April 4th, 2011, and September 12th, 2011, and I believe my question to you is, can you tell us what each of those reports represents, in a general way first? A. Okay. I will start from the beginning, March 14th. The March 14th copy you see here, frankly, I don't know how you got it. I don't have this. This is a draft of a report that myself and counsel sat in this room and worked on sometime between March 14th and 24. Q. I don't want to interrupt you but I do want to make your testimony accurate, and there's no reason to be trying to trick you, but you didn't sit here in this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	correct? A. That's right. Q. It's some attorney? MR. BRADLEY: Well, I'm going to object to this document because, obviously, there was notations from counsel and that's between our expert, is privileged communications. MS. DE FILIPPO: Why are you objecting to it? It was sent to me. MR. BRADLEY: By who? MS. DE FILIPPO: By counsel. I received it from counsel. So you can object all you want and we can go on. And we can deal with this later. I don't want to take a lot of time with it. Q. I just want to know, so that report, is it fair to say that the report of March 14, 2011 is the first report that you authored in this case? A. Yes, the draft. Q. Nothing came before it?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	happened here. Q. Wait. Let me state this statement for the record so it's clear. After all counsel conferred regarding the reports, it seems that there are reports floating around with the following dates: March 14th, 2011, March 24th, 2011, April 4th, 2011, and September 12th, 2011, and I believe my question to you is, can you tell us what each of those reports represents, in a general way first? A. Okay. I will start from the beginning, March 14th. The March 14th copy you see here, frankly, I don't know how you got it. I don't have this. This is a draft of a report that myself and counsel sat in this room and worked on sometime between March 14th and 24. Q. I don't want to interrupt you but I do want to make your testimony accurate, and there's no reason to be trying to trick you, but you didn't sit here in this office with this attorney, meaning	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	correct? A. That's right. Q. It's some attorney? MR. BRADLEY: Well, I'm going to object to this document because, obviously, there was notations from counsel and that's between our expert, is privileged communications. MS. DE FILIPPO: Why are you objecting to it? It was sent to me. MR. BRADLEY: By who? MS. DE FILIPPO: By counsel. I received it from counsel. So you can object all you want and we can go on. And we can deal with this later. I don't want to take a lot of time with it. Q. I just want to know, so that report, is it fair to say that the report of March 14, 2011 is the first report that you authored in this case? A. Yes, the draft. Q. Nothing came before it? A. No, that's correct.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	happened here. Q. Wait. Let me state this statement for the record so it's clear. After all counsel conferred regarding the reports, it seems that there are reports floating around with the following dates: March 14th, 2011, March 24th, 2011, April 4th, 2011, and September 12th, 2011, and I believe my question to you is, can you tell us what each of those reports represents, in a general way first? A. Okay. I will start from the beginning, March 14th. The March 14th copy you see here, frankly, I don't know how you got it. I don't have this. This is a draft of a report that myself and counsel sat in this room and worked on sometime between March 14th and 24. Q. I don't want to interrupt you but I do want to make your testimony accurate, and there's no reason to be trying to trick you, but you didn't sit here in this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	correct? A. That's right. Q. It's some attorney? MR. BRADLEY: Well, I'm going to object to this document because, obviously, there was notations from counsel and that's between our expert, is privileged communications. MS. DE FILIPPO: Why are you objecting to it? It was sent to me. MR. BRADLEY: By who? MS. DE FILIPPO: By counsel. I received it from counsel. So you can object all you want and we can go on. And we can deal with this later. I don't want to take a lot of time with it. Q. I just want to know, so that report, is it fair to say that the report of March 14, 2011 is the first report that you authored in this case? A. Yes, the draft. Q. Nothing came before it?

	Page 18		Page 20
1	attorney you had at the time. Correct?	1	was on page nine where the WK was changed
2	A. Mindy Jane.	2	to WJ. I made a typo on page nine.
3	Q. And some attorney, whether it be	3	Q. So as you sit here today, the
4	KAS or Mindy Jane or anybody in that firm	4	Amended Technical Report which I received
5	made notations on your report. Correct?	5	is exactly the same as the Technical
6	A. Yes.	6	Report which I did not receive with the
7	Q. And then did they send that back	7	exception of page nine, the typo changing
8	to you?	8	WK to WJ. Right?
9	A. Yes.	9	A. Yes, that's right.
10	Q. And then did you render a final	10	MS. DE FILIPPO: Jim, did you get
11	or another second report?	11	an Amended Technical Report? April 4,
12	A. Well, I created the report which	12	2011.
13	was dated March 24th.	13	MR. GILL: I didn't see that.
14	Q. Well, I never received a	14	What she sent me here was the May 24, '11
15	March 24th report is what I'm trying to	15	and September 12, '11, but let me look
16	say. Instead, I received a report that	16	through it again. And if I have it here
17	was dated April 4th and it was called	17	or not doesn't exclude the fact that it
18	Amended	18	may have been sent to me.
19	A. Did you receive this?	19	MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay.
20	Q. I received that first.	20	Q. So we should work then with the
21	A. March 14th?	21	Amended Technical Report. Correct?
22	Q. March 14th. Then I received the	22	A. Yes.
23	Amended Technical Report. And that's what	23	Q. As your first report as far as
24	I received next as your report. I didn't	24	you're concerned.
25	receive the March 24th.	25	A. Yes.
	Page 19		Page 21
1	MS. DE FILIPPO: May we mark that	1	Q. The next report that you authored
1	•	1 2	after that was September 12, 2011.
2	report that your counsel has? Mark it	3	Correct?
3	whatever the next marking is.	4	A. Right. That was the
4	(Tashmisal Danout dated March 24	5	Supplemental.
5	(Technical Report dated March 24,		
6	2011 is received and marked Banta-19 for	6	Q. And that's the Supplemental Technical Report. Correct?
7	identification.)	7	-
8	O The Test of all Develops the tiles	8	A. Right.Q. Okay. Mr. Banta, you're
9	Q. The Technical Report that I'm	9	Q. Okay. Mr. Banta, you're intending to give opinions in this
10	looking at marked Banta-19 dated March 24,	10	particular case at trial. Correct?
11	2011	11	A. I think so. I have not been
12	A. Yes.	12	
13	Q. Can you tell me if there is a	13	asked yet but I'm sure that's coming.
14	difference between that report and the	14	Q. Well, your intention in
15	Amended Technical Report which I received	15	participation in this case was to state
16	which is dated April 4th of 2011?	16	opinions and opinion testimony in your
17	A. The April 4, 2011 Amended Report	17	reports which you would then indicate at
18	corrected a typo where I used the two	18	the time of trial. Correct?
19	initials WK instead of WJ.	19	A. Yes.
20	Q. And you're talking about page	20	Q. Can you tell me are you able
21	nine of the Technical Report dated	21	to tell me what your opinions are that you
22	March 24th, 2011?	22	intend to express at the time of trial in
23	A. Can I see the April 4, 2011?	23	a general sense?
24	April 4th, that's the amended. Yeah. The only thing in the Amended Technical Report	24 25	A. Yes. Q. Okay.
25		7 1 E	() / Mont

	~ ^^		Page 24
	Page 22		
1	A. In a general sense, the Grand	1	accident was so severe that a fire was not
2	Cherokee vehicle at issue in this case is	2	unexpected. Correct?
3	not defectively designed, that the vehicle	3 .	A. Yes.
4	met the customary requirements of an auto	4	Q. Are you intending to give
5	manufacturer and met the requirements of	5	opinions as an expert in the field of
6	the federal government, that the accident	6	reconstruction?
7	was so severe with multiplicity in events	7	A. No.
8	that, although a rare event, a fire	8	Q. So any opinion you have about the
9	following a crash is not unexpected, and	9	severity of the accident is not really
10	to the extent that there had been	10	your opinion, it's based on somebody
11	allegations of defects made by other	11	else's opinion that you're taking and
12	parties in the case, most or all of those	12	extrapolating from. Is that fair to say?
13	allegations are baseless and are	13	A. That's correct. The base data
14	incorrect.	14	comes from the reconstructionist.
15	Q. I'm sorry, allegations about	15	Q. So let's not deal with opinions
16	other parties?	16	that are not your own. If you're not
17	A. No, allegations made by other	17	going to talk reconstruction and you're
18	parties in this case on the plaintiff's	18	taking those opinions from someone else, I
	side, things about the vehicle being	19	want to talk about just what you're going
19	•	20	to focus on as an expert.
20	defective and improperly designed and	21	A. My focus is the event of the
21	inappropriate fuel tank location and the	22	fire. The event of the fire is in large
22	behavior during the crash and those kind		
23	of things are just untrue. They don't	23	part dependent upon information derived
24	relate to a crash of this type.	24	from the reconstruction.
25	Q. So have you given us the the	25	Q. Well, okay. We'll get to that.
	Page 23		Page 25
1	four general areas now that you stated, is	1	However, the basis of the actual event of
2	that your opinions in this case?	2	the fire in terms of the happening of the
3	A. I think so.	3	accident itself, that's all an opinion
4	Q. So I'm going to start with your	4	coming from defense experts that you've
5	last one. The allegations made by other	5	assumed to be true and taken from there
6	parties are not true. You didn't really	6	and their calculations and their opinions.
7	mean to say other parties. You don't mean	7	Correct?
8	party to the lawsuit. Right?	8	A. In part, yeah.
9	A. I mean other individuals.	9	Q. Well, did you do anything on your
10	Q. Individuals?	10	own regarding the reconstruction where
11		11	you're going to be testifying about
12		12	opinions regarding your reconstruction and
i .	•	13	things that you did to reconstruct the
13	is basically plaintiffs experts.	14	accident or arrive at opinions regarding
14	Correct?	15	the reconstruction?
15	A. Yes.	16	A. Yes. I made some observations of
16	Q. So can we agree that that	į.	
17	category of what your opinions are is	17	the vehicle during my examination that
18	subsumed on your number one, which says	18	were not necessarily those of the
19	the Grand Cherokee is not defectively	19	reconstruction. For example, I observed
20	designed?	20	the type of damage to the frame rail
101		21	structure and fuel tank straps and things
21	A. Yes.	1	-
21	Q. So in discussing your three	22	of that nature, the component parts.
I .	Q. So in discussing your three opinions that you intend to testify to,	22 23	of that nature, the component parts. Q. But are you saying now that you
22	Q. So in discussing your three	22	of that nature, the component parts.

	Page 26		Page 28
1	vehicle to extrapolate from that in a	1	Q. If you're not
2	scientific way to determine how this	2	A. That's not what I'll be
3	accident happened as a reconstructionist	3	testifying about. I'll be testifying
4	would?	4	about the physical resultant damage to the
5	A. Yes and no. The observations	5	vehicle following the accident.
6	help me understand the extent of the	6	Q. That's fine.
7	damage in this vehicle as a result of the	7	A. In other words, the
8	collision. There are certain things I saw	8	reconstructionist will define the accident
9	when I examined the vehicle that told me	9	and then I will supplement that with, in
10	how the vehicle performed in the crash.	10	addition, when I evaluated the fuel tank
11	You know, which way the frame rail is bent	11	mounting surfaces I noticed the following
12	and what happened to the supporting	12	things, which have nothing to do with the
13	structure and the behavior of the fuel	13	crash reconstruction but they do help us
14	tank straps. So there's certain	14	understand the behavior of the fuel system
15	conclusions I can draw that had only an	15	during the crash, which is not something
16	indirect relationship to the thing the	16	that a reconstructionist would focus on.
17	reconstructionist would do. You know, they	17	Let me give you a simple example.
18	do PDOF and speeds and things of that	18	Left fuel tank strap bracket was torn
19	nature.	19	loose, for example. That's not something
20	Q. But I'm indicating, Mr. Banta	20	a reconstructionist would use to determine
21	what I'm trying to separate out is I	21	PDOF or Delta Vs or impact speeds, but
22	understand that you could observe things	22	it's something that someone who is
23	and you could in your mind have thoughts.	23	concerned about the fire would want to
24	But are you going to be testifying that	24	know to help them understand the nature of
25	your observations led you to formulate	25	the fire and fuel leak event.
	Page 27		Page 29
1	opinions based on either your knowledge or	1.	Q. And you're saying that your
2	your training as a reconstruction expert	2	observations that the strap that a
3	about how the accident happened as opposed	3	strap might be loose led you to believe
4	to the extent of the damage?	4	that happened in the accident?
5	A. Could you read that back?	5	A. As a result of the collision.
6	(Whereupon the previous question	6	Q. As a result of the collision?
7	is read back.)	7	A. Yes.
8	MR. BRADLEY: He's already	8	Q. And you base that on what?
9	testified that he's not a reconstruction	9	A. On my observation of the
10	expert. I'm going to object.	10	attachment bracket behavior post crash.
11	MS. DE FILIPPO: I understand that	11	Q. But do you have any facts that
12	and with that I would move on but for the	12	indicate to you that that did not happen
13	fact that he's also indicating that he's	13	subsequent to the accident, in either
14	formulated opinions. And I want to know	14	transport or at some other time?
15	what the basis of those opinions are from	15	A. Yeah, that's correct.
16	a reconstruction standpoint as opposed to	16	Q. You have facts?
17	those in origin as fire.	17	A. I have knowledge that it didn't.
18	Q. Let me rephrase this. If you're	18	I don't want to mislead you about a fuel
19	going to talk about how the accident	19	tank strap. They actually performed all
20	happened based on either scientific	20	right. What I'm really focusing on is the
21	measurements or dimensions and you're	21	behavior of the left frame rail and
22	going to then focus and offer testimony	22	particularly the pass-through area of the
23	about how this accident happened, then I	23	frame rail.
24	need to know that and what you base it on.	24	Q. Well, are you going to be
25	A. No.	25	indicating that you either took
125	ra. INU.	2.5	moreams mar you ormer took

			,
	Page 30		Page 32
1	measurements of the frame rail or tested	1	protective envelope around the tank.
2	the frame rail or have some type of	2	Q. And your basis for evaluating the
3	expertise regarding the metal in the frame	3	performance of the frame rail is is
4	rail that's going to allow you	4	there a scientific measurement you take,
5	scientifically to discuss the performance	5	is there a scientific test you do on the
6	of the frame rail in this case?	6	metal? Is there something scientific that
7	A. Yes.	7	you can tell me about that allows you to
8	Q. And what scientific basis do you	8	look at a frame rail and go and make the
9	have to discuss the performance of the	9	leap to an opinion about how it performed
10	frame rail?	10	in an accident?
11	A. I observed the nature of crush of	11	MR. BRADLEY: I'm going to object
12	the frame rail on the left side.	12	to the term scientific. I understand you
13	Q. What scientific information is	13	might mean a measurement or something like
14	going to allow you to go, to make the	14	that but he's already testified how he
15	transition from what you saw with your	15	comes to that conclusion.
16	eyes to an opinion about what it means?	16	MS. DE FILIPPO: You can object.
17	What are you basing your opinion on?	17	But you can answer.
18	A. Oh, I see. My empirical	18	THE WITNESS: The answer is that
19	knowledge of looking at many resultant	19	I have an ability to study the envelope
20	crashes both in testing and in the real	20	around that tank in that vehicle based on
21	world and the physical properties of the	21	crash testing and other real-world
22	frame rail after the collision.	22	accidents and draw some fundamental
23	Q. Well, what do you mean by	23	conclusions about how the envelope around
24	empirical knowledge? What exactly are we	24	the tank behaved during the collision,
25	talking about?	25	which I view as tank protective envelope
2.7	taiking about:		
	Page 31		Page 33
1	A. I have looked at lots and lots of	1	reconstruction, not crash reconstruction.
2	rear end crashes both in testing and	2	Although, they are probably related.
3	real-world and I know what to expect at	3	Q. Did you do any testing of this
4	various speeds and latitudes and I can	4	particular vehicle?
5	evaluate the frame rail section both	5	A. No.
6	before and after the tank and left and	6	MR. BRADLEY: When?
7	right of the tank and draw some	7	MS. DE FILIPPO: Any, in any
8	fundamental conclusions about what	8	sense.
9	happened during the crash.	9	THE WITNESS: No.
10	Q. That's exactly where I want to	10	Q. Did you in other crash tests that
11	head. So you're going to be testifying	11	you looked at do any testing of those
12	about what happened during the crash.	12	vehicles?
13	That's reconstructing this accident; is it	13	MR. BRADLEY: Which vehicles?
14	not?	14	THE WITNESS: No.
15	A. Well, if it's a reconstruction of	15	MS. DE FILIPPO: Any of the other
16	the frame rail area physically, but it's	16	crash tests he looked at.
17	not crash reconstruction in the	17	MR. BRADLEY: For Jeep Grand
18	traditional sense.	18	Cherokees?
19	Q. Well, you said a minute ago, and	19	MS. DE FILIPPO: Any means any.
20	correct me if I'm wrong, that you're going	20	And he said no.
21	to be reconstructing what happened in this	21	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
22	accident based on your observations of,	22	objection.
23	for instance, the frame rail?	23	MS. DE FILIPPO: I don't have to
24	A. No. I'm not reconstructing it.	24	limit it if the broader question says
;		25	none. Do you understand? I'm starting
25	I'm evaluating the performance of the	. 20	none. Do you understand? Thi starting

1 with the broad. 2 MR, BRADLEY: I understand. But 2 3 Fm just objecting for clarification 3 4 purposes and I note my objection. 5 MS, DE FILLPPO: I understand that and I really don't want to belabor that 7 7 and 1 appreciate you have every right to object. But if he understands the 9 9 question, he can answer it. 10 MR, BRADLEY: I also have the 11 right to object to the question. 2 13 did. 14 MR, BRADLEY: Okay. MS, DE FILLPPO: Okay. But you don't have the right to talk about it. 16 That's all I'm saying. 17 18 MR, BRADLEY: Let's not - MS, DE FILIPPO: All right, let's 20 go forward. 20 Q. So you didn't do any testing on any of the other vehicles 24 that you talked about making observations on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's 25 metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. Q. Anything other than viewing? A. No. What I did was comparative evaluations, of resultant damage. Q. I understand those terms. But 9 mt talking about more specific terms. 9 Q. I understand those terms. But 12 I compared it to this one. Correct? 12 A. Auto manufacturers in general. 19 Correct? A. Auto manufacturers in general. 19 Opinion that you's said in your secon opinion that you's going to be giving is opinion that you's going to be giving is opinion that you's said in your secon opinion that you's s	with the broad. MR. BRADLEY: I understand. But 2 3 Projust objecting for clarification 3 4 purposes and I note my objection. 4 MS. DE FILIPPO: I understand that 5 and I really don't want to belabor that 6 and I really don't want to belabor that 6 and I really don't want to belabor that 6 and I appreciate you have every right to 6 object. But if he understands the question, he can answer it. 9 Question, he can answer it. 9 Question, he can answer it. 9 MR. BRADLEY: I also have the 10 right to object to the question. 11 MS. DE FILIPPO: You can and you 12 did. MR. BRADLEY: Okay. 14 MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay. But you 15 MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay. But you 16 don't have the right to talk about it. 16 That's all I'm saying. 17 MS. DE FILIPPO: All right, let's 19 go fortward. 20 So you didn't do any testing on the Susan Kline vehicle and you didn't do any testing on any of the other vehicles 21 A. Yes. 15 A. Yes. 16 A. Yes. 17 G. So you talked about making observations on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's 21 A. Yes. If by testing you mean metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. 20 Anything other than viewing? 11 A. Yes. If by testing you mean metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. 20 Anything other than viewing? 21 A. No. Malt I did was comparative evaluations of resultant damage. 3 Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to give is the that by a province of the opinions you're going to give is the that by a province of the opinions you're going to give is the that by a province of the opinions you're going to give is the that by a province of the opinions you're going to give is the that by a province of the opinions you're going to give is the that by a province of the opinions you're going to give is the that by a province of the opinions you're going to give is the that by a province of the opinions				
2	2		Page 34		Page 36
I'm just objecting for clarification purposes and I note my objection. MS. DE FILIPPO: You can and you don't have the right to talk about it. That's all I'm saying. MS. DE FILIPPO: All right, let's go forward. Q. So you didn't do any testing on the Susan Kline vehicle and you didn't do any testing on any of the other vehicles that you talked about making observations on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's That's all fire resultant damage. Q. Anything other than or seerific terms. When you say comparative evaluations, 11 you're talking about 1 saw other ones and 1 compared it to his one. Correct? A. No. What I did was comparative evaluations of resultant damage. A. That's correct, yes. A. Now, voyou also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to be giving is that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers and the federal government. A. Auto manufacturers in general. A. Auto manufacturers oping to give is that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers and the federal government. A. Auto manufacturers and the federal government. A. Auto manufacturers and the f	A. Well, as you know, MVSS 301 specifies performance in crash testing. MS. DE FILIPPO: I understand that and I really don't want to belabor that and I appreciate you have every right to object. But if he understands the question, he can answer it. MR. BRADLEY: I also have the right to object to the question. MS. DE FILIPPO: You can and you did. MR. BRADLEY: Okay. MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay. But you don't have the right to talk about it. That's all I'm saying. MR. BRADLEY: Let's not MS. DE FILIPPO: All right, let's go goroward. Q. So you didn't do any testing on the Susan Kline vehicle and you didn't do any testing on any of the other vehicles that you talked about making observations on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's Page 35 correct. Right? A. Yes. Correct Right? A. Yes. I'by testing you mean metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. Q. Anything other than viewing? A. No. What I did was comparative evaluations of resultant damage. That talking about more specific terms. When you say comparative evaluations, you're talking about I saw other ones and I compared it to this one. Correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. I understand those terms. But I'm talking about more specific terms. When you say comparative evaluations, you're talking about I saw other ones and I compared it to this one. Correct? A. And to manufacturers in general. Q. Right. A. And those requirements of fuel System integrity by the federal government. Correct? A. And those requirements of fuel System integrity by the federal government. Correct? A. And those requirements of fuel System integrity by the federal government. Correct? A. Yes. Q. What are the requirements of fuel system integrity by the federal government. A. Yes. Q. What are the requirements of fuel system integrity by the federal government. A. Yes. Q. What are the requirements of fuel system integrity by the federal government. A. Yes. Q. What are the requirement of fuel system integrity by the federal government. A. Yes. Q. What are the requirem	1	with the broad.	1	relative to this accident involving Susan
3	A Well, as you know, MVSS 301 With purposes and I note my objection. MS. DE FILIPPO: I understand that and I really don't want to belabor that 7 and I appreciate you have every right to object. But if he understands the 9 question, he can answer it. MR. BRADLEY: I also have the right to object to the question. MS. DE FILIPPO: You can and you did. MR. BRADLEY: Okay. MS. DE FILIPPO: You can and you did. MR. BRADLEY: Okay. MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay. But you don't have the right to object of the question. MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay. But you don't have the right to talk about it. That's all I'm saying. MR. BRADLEY: Let's not — MS. DE FILIPPO: All right, let's 20 go forward. Q. So you didn't do any testing on the Susan Kline vehicle and you didn't do any testing on any of the other vehicles that you talked about making observations on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's Page 35 correct. Right? A. Yes., I'by testing you mean metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. Q. Anything other than viewing? A. No. What I did was comparative evaluations of resultant damage. Q. Anything other than viewing? A. No. What I did was comparative evaluations of resultant damage. Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ metall the requirements of auto manufacturers and the federal government. Correct? A. And those requirements of fuel system integrity by the federal government. Correct? A. And those requirements of fuel system integrity by the federal government. Correct? A. And those requirements of fuel system integrity by the federal government. Q. What are the requirements of fuel system integrity by the federal government. Q. What are the requirements of fuel system integrity by the federal government. Q. What are the requirements of fuel system integrity by the federal government. A. Yes. Q. What are the requirements of fuel system integrity by the federal government. A. Yes. Q. What are the requirements of fuel system integrity by the fe	2		2	
5 MS. DE FILIPPO: I understand that and I really don't want to belabor that and I appreciate you have every right to object. But if he understands the question, he can answer it. 8 outside the understands the question, became an answer it. 10 MR. BRADLEY: I also have the right to object to the question. 11 MS. DE FILIPPO: You can and you did. 12 MS. DE FILIPPO: You can and you did. 13 MR. BRADLEY: Clay. 15 MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay. But you find that we're talking about here with respect to government standards? 16 don't have the right to talk about it. 17 That's all Tm saying. 18 MR. BRADLEY: Let's not — MS. DE FILIPPO: All right, let's go forward. 20 go forward. 21 Q. So you didn't do any testing on the Susan Kline vehicle and you didn't do any testing on any of the other vehicles that you talked about making observations on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's 1 correct. Right? 2 A. Yes. If by testing you mean metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. 5 Q. Anything other than viewing? 6 A. No. What I did was comparative evaluations of resultant damage. 9 Q. I understand those terms. But Pin talking about more specific terms. 10 When you say comparative evaluations, you're talking about the swenth and the day of the opinions you're going to the federal government. 10 Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to the federal government. 11 Correct? 12 A. Tata's correct, yes. 13 A. That's correct, yes. 14 Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers have. 15 A. No. When you say comparative evaluations, you're talking about 1 saw other ones and 1 compared it to this one. Correct? 15 A. That's correct, yes. 16 that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers and the federal government. 17 Correct? 18 A. Yesh. 301 rear portion. 29 Pin talking about and the province of the opinion shall you're going to be giving is standard? 20 Now, you also indicated	4		I'm just objecting for clarification	3	A. Well, as you know, MVSS 301
Society of the properties of the federal government. Society of the standards of the st	5 MS, DE FILIPPO: I understand that and I really don't want to belabor that 7 and I appreciate you have every right to object. But if he understands the 9 question, he can answer it. 10 MR. BRADLEY: I also have the 11 mg to object to the question. 11 MR. BRADLEY: I also have the 11 mg to object to the question. 12 MS, DE FILIPPO: You can and you did. 13 MR. BRADLEY: Okay. 14 MS, DE FILIPPO: Okay. 15 MS, DE FILIPPO: Okay. 15 MS, DE FILIPPO: Okay. 16 MS, DE FILIPPO: Okay. 17 MS, DE FILIPPO: All right, let's 17 MS, DE FILIPPO: All right, let's 18 MS, DE FILIPPO: All right, let's 19 MS, DE FILIPPO: All right, let's 20 MS, DE FILIPPO: All right, let's 19 MS, DE FILIPPO: A		3 5 0	4	
and I really don't want to belabor that and I appreciate you have every right to object. But if he understands the question, he can answer it. MR. BRADLEY: I also have the right to object to the question. MR. BRADLEY: Okay. MR. BRADLEY: For fuel systems? Q. For fis case. A. No. Q. When you talked about that the ZJ met the requirements of the federal government. A. Yeah. Q. So you didn't do any testing on the Susan Kline vehicle and you didn't do any testing on any of the other vehicles that you talked about making observations on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's Page 35 Correct. Right? A. Yes. If by testing you mean metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. Q. Anything other than 301 that we're talking about that the ZJ met the requirements of the federal government. A. Yeah. Q. So 301? A. Yes. Page 35 Correct. Right? A. Yes. If by testing you mean metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. Q. Anything other than 301 that we're talking about that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers in general use some feature of redundancy so that they can meet the standard consistently test after test to allow for test error, repeatability error, that kind of stuff. Q. But Mr. Banta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standard I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. A. Yes. Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers have. A. No. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. Yes. Q. And what you said in your secon opinion that you're going to be giving is opinion that you're going to be giving	and I really don't want to belabor that and I appreciate you have every right to object. But if he understands the question, he can answer it. MR. BRADLEY: I also have the right to object to the question. MS. DE FILIPPO: You can and you did. MR. BRADLEY: Okay. MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay. But you don't have the right to talk about it. That's all I'm saying. MR. BRADLEY: Let's not MS. DE FILIPPO: All right, let's go forward. Q. So you didn't do any testing on the Susan Kline vehicle and you didn't do any testing on any of the other whicles that you talked about making observations on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's on prior to the Susan Kline vehicle. That's on prior to the Kline vehicle met and comprise to the prior to the Kline vehicle met and complete to the federal government. Q. Anything other than 301 that	5		5	But they specify leak rate and
and I appreciate you have every right to object. But if he understands the gequestion, he can answer it. 9 question, he can answer it. 10 MR. BRADLEY: I also have the right to object to the question. 11 make a manage it. 12 MS. DE FILIPPO: You can and you did. 13 MR. BRADLEY: Okay. 14 MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay. But you don't have the right to talk about it. 15 MS. DE FILIPPO: All right, let's go forward. 16 MS. DE FILIPPO: All right, let's go forward. 17 That's all I'm saying. 18 MR. BRADLEY: Let's not — MS. DE FILIPPO: All right, let's go forward. 20 go forward. 21 Q. So you didn't do any testing on the Susan Kline vehicle and you didn't do any testing on any of the other vehicles that you talked about making observations on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's 25 on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's 26 Correct. Right? 27 A. Yes. If by testing you mean metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. 28 Q. I understand those terms. But I'm talking about more specific terms. 10 When you say comparative evaluations of resultant damage. 29 Q. Anything other than 301 that we're talking about there with respect to government standards? 20 For this case. A. No. 4 A. No. 4 A. No. 4 A. Yeah. 20 Q. So 301? A. Yeah. 20 Q. So 301? A. Yeah. 21 Q. So you didn't do any testing on any of the other vehicles that you talked about making observations on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's 21 correct. Right? 22 A. Yes. If by testing you mean metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. 23 metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. 24 Q. Anything other than 301 that we're talking about 301. A. Yes. 25 general. Is there something apart from 301 that you were referring to or are we still talking about 301. A. We're still talking about 301. A. We're still talking about 301. Q. But Mr. Banta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standard I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. A. Yes. A. Yes.	and I appreciate you have every right to object. But if he understands the question, he can answer it. MR. BRADLEY: I also have the right to object to the question. MS. DE FILIPPO: You can and you did. MR. BRADLEY: Okay. MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay. MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay. MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay. MR. BRADLEY: Let's not - MS. DE FILIPPO: All right, let's go government. MS. DE FILIPPO: All right, let's go go forward. Q. So 301: A. Yes. MR. BRADLEY: Let's not - MS. DE FILIPPO: All right, let's go government. MS. DE FILIPPO: All right, let's go forward. Q. So 301: A. Yes. Q. So 301: A. Yes. Q. So 301: A. Yes. Q. For this case. A. No. Q. When you talked about that the ZJ met the requirements of the federal government. A. Yes. Q. For this case. A. No. Q. When you talked about that the ZJ met the requirements of fuel government. A. Yes. Q. So 301: A. Yes. Q. For a rear end impact. Correct? A. Yes. Q. For a rear end impact. Correct? A. Yes. Q. For a rear end impact. Correct? A. Yes. Q. For a rear end impact. Correct? A. Yes. Q. For a rear end impact. Correct? A. Yes. Q. For a rear end impact. Correct? A. Yes. Q. For this case. A. No. Q. So 301? A. Yes. Q. For a rear end impact. Correct? A. Yes. Q. For a rear end impact. Correct? A. Yes. Q. For a rear end impact. Correct? A. Yes. Q. For a rear end impact. Correct? A. Yes. Q. For a rear end impact. Correct? A. Yes. Q. For a rear end impact. Correct? A. Yes. Q. Right. A. Yes. Q. For a rear end impact. Correct? A. Yes. Q. For a rear end impact. Correct? A. Yes. Q. For a rear end impact. Correct? A. Yes. Q. For a rear end impact. Correct? A. Yes. Q. For a rear end impact. Correct? A. Yes. Q. For a rear end impact. Correct? A. Yes. Q. For a rear end impact. Correct? A. Yes. Q. For a rear end impact. Correct? A. Yes. But matallurgical samples or bending or going in the requirements of auto manufacturers in general. A. Wer's ettil talking	1		6	particularly in this, as we focus on this
object. But if he understands the question, he can answer it. MR. BRADLEY: I also have the right to object to the question. MS. DE FILIPPO: You can and you did. MR. BRADLEY: Okay. MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay. But you don't have the right to talk about it. That's all I'm saying. MR. BRADLEY: Let's not — 18 go forward. Q. So you didn't do any testing on Ms. DE FILIPPO: All right, let's go forward. Q. So you didn't do any testing on any testing on any of the other vehicles at that you talked about making observations on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's on prior to the I ab with something, no. A. Yes. If by testing you mean metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something. no. Q. Anything other than 301 that we're talking about here with respect to government standards? MR. BRADLEY: Let's not — 18 government. Standard. A. No. Q. When you talked about that the ZJ met the requirements of the federal government. A. Yeah. Q. So 301? A. Yeah. Q. For this case. A. No. Q. When you talked about that the ZJ met the requirements of the federal government. A. Yeah. Q. So 301? A. Yeah. Q. For this case. A. No. Q. So 301? A. Yeah. Q. So 301? A. Yeah. Q. For a rear end impact. Correct? A. Yeah. 301 rear portion. Q. Right. And you said in the requirements of auto manufacturers in general use some feature of redundancy so that they can metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. A. No. What I did was comparative evaluations of resultant damage. Q. I understand those terms. But I'm talking about more specific terms. MR. BRADLEY: Let's not — 18 a No. Q. But Mr. Banala, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standards? A. Yes. Q. But Mr. Banala, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standards? A. Yes. Q. And what you said in your secon opinion that you're going to be giving is standards? A. Yes.	vehicle met and complied with that MVSS 301 standard. MR. BRADLEY: I also have the right to object to the question. MS. DE FILIPPO: You can and you did. MR. BRADLEY: Clay. MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay. But you don't have the right to talk about it. MR. BRADLEY: Let's not	i	•	7	case, in a rear impact direction and this
9 question, he can answer it. 10 MR. BRADLEY: I also have the 11 right to object to the question. 12 MS. DE FILIPPO: You can and you 13 did. 14 MR. BRADLEY: Okay. 15 MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay. 16 MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay. 17 MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay. 18 MR. BRADLEY: Let's not - 19 MR. BRADLEY: Let's not - 19 MS. DE FILIPPO: All right, let's 19 MS. DE FILIPPO: All right, let's 19 MS. DE FILIPPO: All right, let's 10 go forward. 20 go forward. 21 Q. So you didn't do any testing on 22 the Susan Kline vehicle and you didn't do 23 any testing on any of the other vehicles 24 that you talked about making observations 25 on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's 26 Correct. Right? 27 A. Yes. If by testing you mean 38 metallurgical samples or bending or going 4 to the lab with something, no. 4 C. Anything other than viewing? 4 A. No. What I did was comparative 4 evaluations of resultant damage. 4 Q. I understand those terms. But 4 Page 35 4 Q. I understand those terms. But 5 Page 35 6 A. No. What I did was comparative 6 evaluations of resultant damage. 7 Page 36 8 Q. I understand those terms. But 9 I'm talking about more specific terms. 10 When you say comparative evaluations, 11 you're talking about for experiments of auto manufacturers in general use some feature of redundancy so that they can meet the standard consistently test after test to allow for test error, 18 Correct? 19 A. Auto manufacturers in general. 19 Q. Now, you also indicated that one 10 of the opinions you're going to give is 11 that the ZJ met the requirements of auto 12 q. A. A. No. 13 Q. Anything other than viow in government tandards? 14 MR. BRADLEY: For fuel systems? 15 MR. BRADLEY: For fuel systems? 16 A. No. 20 When you talked about that the ZJ 17 met the requirements of a the terquirements of auto 18 A. Yeah. 20 Q. For a rear end impact. Correct? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. For a rear end impact. Correct? 23 A. Yesh. 24 A. Yesh. 25 Q. For a rear end impact of the can device of the opinion and part from 301 that you were referring to or are we still talking about 301. 21 A	9 Question, he can answer it. 10 MR. BRADLEY: lalso have the right to object to the question. 11 right to object to the question. 12 MS. DE FILIPPO: You can and you did. 13 did. 14 MR. BRADLEY: Okay. 15 MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay. But you don't have the right to talk about it. 16 That's all I'm saying. 17 That's all I'm saying. 18 MS. DE FILIPPO: All right, let's go forward. 20 go forward. 21 Q. So you didn't do any testing on the Stsan Kline vehicle and you didn't do any testing on any of the other vehicles that you talked about making observations on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's correct. Right? 2 A. Yes. If by testing you mean metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. 2 Q. Anything other than viewing? 3 metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. 4 Q. Anything other than 301 that we're talking about there with respect to government standards? 4 No. 2 When you talked about that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers in general. 5 Q. Anything other than 301 that we're talking about there with respect to government standards? 4 No. 9 When you talked about that the ZJ met the requirements of auto menure the standard. 2 Page 37 2 Page 37 3 general. Is there something apart from 301 that you were referring to or are we still talking about 301? 4 No. 5 Q. Anything other than view in the talk about that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers in general use some feature of redundancy so that they can meet the standard consistently test after test to allow for test error, repeatability error, that kind of stuff. Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're talking about fore specific terms. 9 Page 37 10 When you say comparative evaluations, you're talking about free with respect to government. 15 Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're talken about that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers have. 16 Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ met the	8	**	8	-
10	10			9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
right to object to the question. MS. DE FILIPPO: You can and you did. MR. BRADLEY: Okay. MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay. But you don't have the right to talk about it. That's all I'm saying. MR. BRADLEY: Let's not — MS. DE FILIPPO: All right, let's go forward. Q. So you didn't do any testing on the Susan Kline vehicle and you didn't do any testing on any of the other vehicles that you talked about that the ZJ met the requirements of the federal government. A. Yeah. Q. So 301? A. Yea. Q. For a rear end impact. Correct? A. Yea. A. Yea. A. Yea. A. Yea. O. Right. And you said in the requirements of auto manufacturers in general. Page 35 Correct. Right? A. Yes. If by testing you mean metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. Q. Anything other than viewing? A. No. What I did was comparative evaluations of resultant damage. Q. I understand those terms. But metalluring about 1 saw other ones and l compared it to this one. Correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers and the federal government. A. No. Correct? A. Auto manufacturers in general.	right to object to the question. MS. DE FILIPPO: You can and you did. MR. BRADLEY: Okay. MR. BRADLEY: Okay. MR. BRADLEY: Cokay. MR. BRADLEY: For fuel systems? Q. For this case. A. No. Q. For this case. A. No. Q. For this case. A. No. Q. When you talked about that the ZJ met the requirements of the federal government. Q. So you didn't do any testing on the Susan Kline vehicle and you didn't do any testing on any of the other vehicles and you talked about making observations on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's Page 35 Correct. Right? A. Yes. If by testing you mean metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. Q. Anything other than viewing? A. No. What I did was comparative evaluations of resultant damage. Q. I understand those terms. But Intrakling about more specific terms. When you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers in general use some feature of redundancy so that they can meet the standard consistently test after test to allow for test error, repetability error, that kind of stuff. Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ met the requirements of fuel soverment. A. We're talking about that the ZJ met the requirements of the federal government. A. Yeah. Q. For this case. A. No. Q. When you talked about that the ZJ met the requirements of the federal government. A. Yeah. Q. So 301? A. Yeah. Q. For this case. A. No. Q. We'ne still about that the ZJ met the requirements of the federal government. A. Yeah. G. For this case. A. No. Q. For this case. A. No. Q. For this case. A. No. A. Yeah. Q. For this case. A. No. Q. For the requirements of the federal government. So government. A. Yeah. G. For fine systems? Q. For this case. A. No. Q. Fight. A. Yeah. A. Yeah. A. Yeah. A. We're talking about that the	10		10	Q. Anything other than 301 that
12 MS. DE FILIPPO: You can and you did. 12 13 14 MR. BRADLEY: Okay. 14 MR. BRADLEY: Okay. 15 MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay. But you 15 A. No. 16 don't have the right to talk about it. 16 I7 That's all I'm saying. 17 mark all I'm saying. 18 MR. BRADLEY: Let's not — 18 MR. BRADLEY: Let's not — 18 MS. DE FILIPPO: All right, let's 19 A. Yeah. 20 Q. So 301? A. Yeah. 20 Q. For a rear end impact. Correct? A. Yeah. 301 rear portion. Q. Right. And you said in the requirements of auto manufacturers in general. Still talking about 301. A. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. No. A. No. A. No. A. No. A. No. A. No. A. N	did. MR. BRADLEY: Okay. MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay. But you don't have the right to talk about it. That's all I'm saying. MR. BRADLEY: Let's not — don't have the right to talk about it. MR. BRADLEY: Let's not — don't have the right to talk about it. MR. BRADLEY: Let's not — don't have the right to talk about it. MR. BRADLEY: Let's not — don't have the right to talk about it. MR. BRADLEY: Let's not — don't have the right to talk about it. MR. BRADLEY: Let's not — don't have the right to talk about it. MR. BRADLEY: For fuel systems? Q. For this case. A. No. Q. When you talked about that the ZJ met the requirements of the federal government. A. Yeah. Q. So 301? A. Yes. Open a rear end impact. Correct? A. Yeah. 301 rear portion. Q. Right. And you said in the requirements of auto manufacturers in general. Is there something apart from 301 that you were referring to or are we still talking about 301? A. No. Page 35 Correct. Right? A. Yes. If by testing you mean metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. A. No. Page 35 Correct. Right? A. No. Q. So you didn't do any testing on any of the other vehicles that you talked about that the ZJ met all the requirements of auto manufacturers in general use some feature of redundancy so that they can meet the standard consistently test after test to allow for test error, repeatability error, that kind of stuff. Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers have. A. No. Q. We're still talking about 301. A. No. Q. But Mr. Branta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standard's? A. No. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. No. A. No. A.	1	right to object to the question.	11	we're talking about here with respect to
did. MR. BRADLEY: Okay. MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay. But you don't have the right to talk about it. That's all I'm saying. MR. BRADLEY: Let's not — MS. DE FILIPPO: All right, let's go forward. Q. When you talked about that the ZJ met the requirements of the federal government. MS. DE FILIPPO: All right, let's go forward. Q. When you talked about that the ZJ met the requirements of the federal government. A. Yeah. Q. So 301? A. Yes. Q. So 301? A. Yes. Q. For a rear end impact. Correct? A. Yeah. 301 rear portion. Q. Right. And you said in the requirements of auto manufacturers in general. Is there something apart from soil talking about 301? A. We're still talking about 301? A. We're still talking about 301. A. We're still talking about from you're talking about from some the standard consistently test after test to allow for test error, repeatability error, that kind of stuff. Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers have. A. No. Q. And what you said in your secon opinion that you're going to be giving is standard? A. No. Q. And what you said in your secon opinion that you're going to be giving is	did. MR. BRADLEY: Okay. MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay. But you for that we the right to talk about it. That's all I'm saying. MR. BRADLEY: Let's not — MS. DE FILIPPO: All right, let's A. Yeah. Q. So 301? A. Yes. Q. For a rear end impact. Correct? A. Yesh. 301 rear portion. Q. Right. And you said in the requirements of auto manufacturers in Page 35 Correct. Right? A. Yes. Page 35 A. Yeah. Q. Right. And you said in the requirements of auto manufacturers in Page 37 1 correct. Right? A. Yes. 1 general. Is there something apart from 301 that you were referring to or are we still talking about 301. A to the lab with something, no. Q. Anything other than viewing? A. No. What I did was comparative evaluations of resultant damage. Q. I understand those terms. But Preadulations of resultant damage. Q. I understand those terms. But Preadulations of resultant damage. Q. I understand those terms. But Preadulations of resultant damage. Q. I understand those terms. But Preadulations of resultant damage. Q. I understand those terms. But Preadulations of resultant damage. Q. I understand those terms. But Preadulations of resultant damage. Q. I understand those terms. But Preadulations of stuff. Q. But Mr. Branta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standard I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. Q. We're still talking 301 as the s	1		12	
MR. BRADLEY: Okay. MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay. But you don't have the right to talk about it. That's all I'm saying. MR. BRADLEY: Let's not MS. DE FILIPPO: All right, let's go forward. Q. When you talked about that the ZJ met the requirements of the federal government. A. Yeah. Q. So 301? Q. So 301? Q. So 301? A. Yes. Correct. Right? A. Yes. If by testing you mean metallurgical samples or bending or going 4 to the lab with something, no. Q. Anything other than viewing? A. No. Q. When you talked about that the ZJ met the requirements of the federal government. A. Yeah. Q. So 301? A. Yes. Q. For a rear end impact. Correct? A. Yeah. 301 rear portion. Q. Right. And you said in the requirements of auto manufacturers in Page 35 1 correct. Right? A. We're still talking about 301? A. We're still talking about 301. A. We're still talking of stuff. Q. But Mr. Banta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standard I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. A. No. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. No. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. No. A. No. Q. And what you said in your secon opinion that you're going to be giving is	MR. BRADLEY: Okay. MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay. But you 15	l .		13	•
MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay. But you don't have the right to talk about it. That's all I'm saying. MR. BRADLEY: Let's not — MS. DE FILIPPO: All right, let's go forward. Q. So you didn't do any testing on the Susan Kline vehicle and you didn't do any testing on any of the other vehicles that you talked about making observations on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's Page 35 correct. Right? A. Yes. If by testing you mean metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. Q. Anything other than viewing? A. No. What I did was comparative evaluations of resultant damage. Q. I understand those terms. But l'm talking about more specific terms. When you say comparative evaluations, you're talking about I saw other ones and 12 I compared it to this one. Correct? A. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ met the requirements. MR. BRADLEY: Let's not — 18 government. A. Yeah. Q. So 301? A. Yes. Q. For a rear end impact. Correct? A. Yes. Q. Right. And you said in the requirements of auto manufacturers in general. Is there something apart from 301 that you were referring to or are we still talking about 301? A. We're still talking about 301. Auto manufacturers in general use some feature of redundancy so that they can meet the standard consistently test after test to allow for test error, repeatability error, that kind of stuff. Q. But Mr. Banta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standard! I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. A. No. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. Yes.	15 MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay. But you don't have the right to talk about it. 16 don't have the right to talk about it. 17 That's all I'm saying. 18 MR. BRADLEY: Let's not 19 MS. DE FILIPPO: All right, let's 20 go forward. 20 go forward. 21 Q. So you didn't do any testing on the Susan Kline vehicle and you didn't do any testing on any of the other vehicles 23 any testing on any of the other vehicles 24 that you talked about making observations 24 that you talked about making observations 25 on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's 25 on Prior to the lab with something, no. 4 A. No. What I did was comparative evaluations of resultant damage. 7 evaluations of resultant damage. 8 Q. I understand those terms. But 1 run talking about 1 saw other ones and 12 l compared it to this one. Correct? 12 A. That's correct, yes. 13 A. That's correct, yes. 13 A. That's correct, yes. 13 A. Auto manufacturers in general. 16 that the ZJ met the requirements of fuel 21 system integrity by the federal 22 concept and the prior of the prior to talk about it. 16 A. No. 20 We're still talking about that the ZJ met the requirements of fuel 24 standard as a practical matter, the auto concept and the requirements of fuel 24 standard as a practical matter, the auto concept and the requirements of fuel 24 standard as a practical matter, the auto concept and the requirements of fuel 24 standard as a practical matter, the auto concept and the requirements of fuel 24 standard as a practical matter, the auto			14	Q. For this case.
16 don't have the right to talk about it. 16 17 That's all I'm saying. 18 MR. BRADLEY: Let's not 18 government. 19 MS. DE FILIPPO: All right, let's 19 20 go forward. 20 Q. So you didn't do any testing on 21 Q. So you didn't do any testing on 22 the Susan Kline vehicle and you didn't do 22 Q. For a rear end impact. Correct? 23 any testing on any of the other vehicles 24 that you talked about making observations 24 on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's 25 on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's 25 on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's 26 on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's 27 on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's 28 on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's 29 on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's 29 on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's 20 Q. Right. And you said in the requirements of auto manufacturers in 24 on prior to the kline vehicle. That's 25 on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's 25 on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's 27 on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's 28 on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's 29 on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's 20 on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's 20 on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's 21 on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's 21 on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's 22 on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's 23 on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's 24 on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's 25 on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's 27 on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's 28 on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's 29 on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's 29 on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's 20 on prior t	16		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	15	•
That's all I'm saying. MR. BRADLEY: Let's not MS. DE FILIPPO: All right, let's go forward. Q. So you didn't do any testing on the Susan Kline vehicle and you didn't do any testing on any of the other vehicles that you talked about making observations that you talked about making observations on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's Page 35 correct. Right? A. Yes. If by testing you mean metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. Q. Anything other than viewing? A. No. What I did was comparative evaluations of resultant damage. Q. I understand those terms. But I'm talking about more specific terms. When you say comparative evaluations, you're talking about I saw other ones and 1 compared it to this one. Correct? A. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ met the requirements of the federal government. A. Yeah. Q. Now, you asid in your secon opinion that you're going to be giving is met the requirements of the federal government. A. Yeah. A. Yeah. Q. For a rear end impact. Correct? A. Yeah. 301 rear portion. Q. Right. And you said in the requirements of auto manufacturers in pageneral. Is there something apart from 301 that you were referring to or are we still talking about 301? A. We're still talking about 301? A. We're still talking about 301. Auto manufacturers in general use some feature of redundancy so that they can meet the standard consistently test after test to allow for test error, repeatability error, that kind of stuff. Q. But Mr. Banta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standard I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. A. No. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. Yes. Q. And what you said in your secon opinion that you're going to be giving is	That's all I'm saying. MR. BRADLEY: Let's not MS. DE FILIPPO: All right, let's go forward. Q. So you didn't do any testing on the Susan Kline vehicle and you didn't do any testing on any of the other vehicles that you talked about making observations on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's Page 35 correct. Right? A. Yes. If by testing you mean metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. Q. Anything other than viewing? A. No. What I did was comparative evaluations of resultant damage. Q. I understand those terms. But lower evaluations of resultant damage. Q. I understand those terms. But lower evaluations of resultant damage. A. That's correct, yes. Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers and the federal government. Q. Right. A. And manufacturers in general. Q. Right. A. And those requirements of fuel q. Right. A. And those requirements of fuel q. Right. Q. And what you said in the requirements of the federal government. Q. Right. A. Yes. But what I'm trying to convey to you is that what I'm trying to convey to you is that while 301 is the standard as a practical matter, the auto	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	16	Q. When you talked about that the ZJ
MR. BRADLEY: Let's not MS. DE FILIPPO: All right, let's go forward. Q. So you didn't do any testing on the Susan Kline vehicle and you didn't do any testing on any of the other vehicles that you talked about making observations on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's Page 35 correct. Right? A. Yes. If by testing you mean metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. Q. Anything other than viewing? A. No. What I did was comparative evaluations of resultant damage. Q. I understand those terms. But Pmage 31 Pmage 32 Q. For a rear end impact. Correct? A. Yeah. 301 rear portion. Q. Right. And you said in the requirements of auto manufacturers in Page 33 1 correct. Right? A. Yes. If by testing you mean metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. A. We're still talking about 301. Auto manufacturers in general use some feature of redundancy so that they can meet the standard consistently test after test to allow for test error, repeatability error, that kind of stuff. Q. But Mr. Banta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standard I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. A. No. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. No. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. No. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. No. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. No. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. No. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. No. Q. Anything other than viewing? A. No. Q. Anything other than viewing? A. That's correct, yes. A. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. No. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. No. Q. Anything other than viewing? A. Yes. Q. And what you said in your secon opinion that you're going to be giving is	MR. BRADLEY: Let's not MS. DE FILIPPO: All right, let's go forward. Q. So you didn't do any testing on the Susan Kline vehicle and you didn't do any testing on any of the other vehicles that you talked about making observations on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's Page 35 correct. Right? A. Yes. If by testing you mean metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. Q. Anything other than viewing? A. No. What I did was comparative evaluations of resultant damage. That laking about more specific terms. Q. I understand those terms. But MR. BRADLEY: Let's not Page 37 Let Susan Kline vehicle and you didn't do any testing on any of the other vehicles A. Yes. Page 37 Let Susan Kline vehicle and you didn't do any testing on any of the other vehicles A. Yes. A. Yes. 301 rear portion. A. Yeah. 301 rear portion. Q. Right. And you said in the requirements of auto manufacturers in Deared any testing on any of the other vehicles A. Yes. A. Yesh. 301 rear portion. Q. Right. A. Yeah. A. We're still talking about 301. A. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. Yes. Q. And what you said in the requirements of 301. Correct? A. Yes. Q. And what you said in you're going	1	~		
MS. DE FILIPPO: All right, let's go forward. Q. So you didn't do any testing on the Susan Kline vehicle and you didn't do any testing on any of the other vehicles any testing on any of the other vehicles that you talked about making observations on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's Page 35 1 correct. Right? 2 A. Yes. If by testing you mean metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. 5 Q. Anything other than viewing? 6 A. No. What I did was comparative evaluations of resultant damage. 7 cevaluations of resultant damage. 8 Q. I understand those terms. But 9 I'm talking about more specific terms. 9 I'm talking about more specific terms. 10 When you say comparative evaluations, 11 you're talking about I saw other ones and 12 I compared it to this one. Correct? 13 A. That's correct, yes. 14 Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers have. 10 A. A. A. A. No. 11 Correct? 12 A. Yeah. 12 Q. So 301? A. Yes. 12 Q. For a rear end impact. Correct? 13 A. Yeah. 14 Yes. 15 Q. Right. And you said in the requirements of auto manufacturers in general is there something apart from 301 that you were referring to or are we still talking about 301? A. We're still talking about 301. A. We're still talking about 301. A. We're still talking about 301. A. We're still talking about for test error, repeatability error, that kind of stuff. Q. But Mr. Banta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standard I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. A. No. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. Yes. Q. And what you said in your secon opinion that you're going to be giving is	MS. DE FILIPPO: All right, let's go forward. Q. So you didn't do any testing on the Susan Kline vehicle and you didn't do any testing on any of the other vehicles that you talked about making observations on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's to the lab with something, no. A. Yes. If by testing you mean metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. Q. Anything other than viewing? A. No. What I did was comparative evaluations of resultant damage. Q. I understand those terms. But realluring about 1 saw other ones and 1 compared it to this one. Correct? A. That's correct, yes. A. That's correct, yes. Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ met the requirements of fuel on the standard? A. And those requirements of fuel on the Standard as a practical matter, the auto convey to you is that while 301 is the standard as a practical matter, the auto convey to you is that while 301 is the standard as a practical matter, the auto convey to you is that while 301 is the standard as a practical matter, the auto convey to you is that while 301 is the standard as a practical matter, the auto convey to you is that while 301 is the standard as a practical matter, the auto convey to you is that while 301 is the standard as a practical matter, the auto			1	-
20 go forward. 21 Q. So you didn't do any testing on 22 the Susan Kline vehicle and you didn't do 23 any testing on any of the other vehicles 24 that you talked about making observations 25 on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's Page 35 1 correct. Right? 2 A. Yes. If by testing you mean 3 metallurgical samples or bending or going 4 to the lab with something, no. 5 Q. Anything other than viewing? 6 A. No. What I did was comparative 7 evaluations of resultant damage. 8 Q. I understand those terms. But 9 I'm talking about more specific terms. 10 When you say comparative evaluations, 11 you're talking about I saw other ones and 12 I compared it to this one. Correct? 13 A. That's correct, yes. 14 Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers in general. 10 Correct? 11 A. Auto manufacturers and the federal government. 12 Correct? 13 A. A. Yes. 20 For a rear end impact. Correct? 21 A. Yeah. 301 rear portion. 22 Q. Right. And you said in the requirements of auto manufacturers in general use some feature of auto manufacturers in general use some feature of redundancy so that they can meet the standard consistently test after test to allow for test error, repeatability error, that kind of stuff. 20 But Mr. Banta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standard I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. 3 A. Yes. 30 I that you were referring to or are we still talking about 301. 4 A. We're still talking about 301. 4 A. We're still talking of stuff. 5 Q. But Mr. Banta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standard I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. 4 A. No. 4 Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? 4 A. Yes. 4 Q. And what you said in your secon opinion that you're going to be giving is	20 go forward. 21 Q. So you didn't do any testing on the Susan Kline vehicle and you didn't do any testing on any of the other vehicles that you talked about making observations on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's Page 35 1 correct. Right? 2 A. Yes. If by testing you mean metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. 5 Q. Anything other than viewing? 6 A. No. What I did was comparative evaluations of resultant damage. 8 Q. I understand those terms. But 9 I'm talking about I saw other ones and 12 I compared it to this one. Correct? 13 A. That's correct, yes. 4 Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ met the requirements of fuel 21 system integrity by the federal government. 2 Q. What are the requirements of fuel 24 2 System integrity by the federal government. 2 Q. What are the requirements of fuel 24 2 Soy So 301? A. Yes. A. Yes. 2 Q. For a rear end impact. Correct? A. Yes. 301 rear portion. Q. Right. A. Yes. A. Yes. 301 rear portion. Q. Right. A. Yes. But on manufacturers in denomination. D. A. We're still talking about on a meet the standard consistently test after test to allow for test error, repeatability error, that kind of stuff. Q. But Mr. Banta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standard? I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. A. No. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. Yes. Q. And what you said in your second opinion that you're going to be giving is the the ZJ of Susan Kline met the requirements of 301. Correct? A. Yes. But what I'm trying to covery to you is that while 301 is the standard as a practical matter, the auto				C
21 Q. So you didn't do any testing on 22 the Susan Kline vehicle and you didn't do 23 any testing on any of the other vehicles 24 that you talked about making observations 25 on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's 26	Q. So you didn't do any testing on the Susan Kline vehicle and you didn't do any testing on any of the other vehicles that you talked about making observations on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's Page 35 1 correct. Right? 2 A. Yes. If by testing you mean metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. 5 Q. Anything other than viewing? 6 A. No. What I did was comparative evaluations of resultant damage. 7 l'm talking about more specific terms. 9 l'm talking about I saw other ones and 1 compared it to this one. Correct? 13 A. That's correct, yes. 14 Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers in general. 18 Correct? 19 A. Auto manufacturers in general. 20 Q. Right. 21 A. Yes. 22 A. Yesh. 301 rear portion. 24 Q. Right. And you said in the requirements of auto manufacturers in general use some feature of redundancy so that they can meet the standard consistently test after test to allow for test error, repeatability error, that kind of stuff. 29 Q. But Mr. Banta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standard I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. 30 I that you were referring to or are we still talking about 301. 31 A. We're still talking about 301. 32 A. We're still talking about 301. 33 A. We're still talking about 301. 34 Auto manufacturers in general use some feature of redundancy so that they can meet the standard consistently test after test to allow for test error, repeatability error, that kind of stuff. 35 Q. But Mr. Banta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standard I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. 36 A. No. 37 Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? 38 A. Yes. 39 Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? 40 A. No. 41 Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? 41 A. Yes. 42 Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to be giving is the the ZJ of Susan Kline met the requirements of 301. Correc		_	ŝ	O. So 301?
the Susan Kline vehicle and you didn't do any testing on any of the other vehicles that you talked about making observations on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's Page 35 correct. Right? A. Yes. If by testing you mean metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. Q. Anything other than viewing? A. No. What I did was comparative evaluations of resultant damage. Q. I understand those terms. Page 35 Q. Anything about more specific terms. Page 36 A. No. When you say comparative evaluations, you're talking about I saw other ones and 12 I compared it to this one. Correct? A. Yeah. 301 rear portion. Q. Right. And you said in the requirements of auto manufacturers in Page 35 Page 35 Page 36 A. Yeah. 301 rear portion. Q. Right. And you said in the requirements of auto manufacturers in Page 35 Page 36 A. Yeah. 301 rear portion. Q. Right. And you said in the requirements of auto manufacturers in Page 35 Page 36 A. Yeah. 301 rear portion. Q. Right. And you said in the requirements of auto manufacturers in Page 35 Page 36 A. Yeah. 301 rear portion. Q. Right. And you said in the requirements of auto manufacturers in Page 35 Page 36 A. Yeah. 301 rear portion. Q. Right. And you said in the requirements of auto manufacturers in Page 35 Page 36 A. Yeah. 301 rear portion. Q. Right. And you said in the requirements of auto manufacturers in A. We're still talking about 301. A. We're still talking about at the case of the page at the standard consistently test after test to allow for test error, repeatability error, that kind of stuff. A. No. Q. But Mr. Banta, my question is really, I j	the Susan Kline vehicle and you didn't do any testing on any of the other vehicles that you talked about making observations on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's Page 35 Correct. Right? A. Yes. If by testing you mean metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. Q. Anything other than viewing? A. No. What I did was comparative evaluations of resultant damage. Q. I understand those terms. But I'm talking about more specific terms. When you say comparative evaluations, to work talking about I saw other ones and correct, yes. A. That's correct, yes. A. That's correct, yes. A. Auto manufacturers and the federal government. Correct? A. Yeah. 301 rear ear end impact. Correct? A. Yeah. 301 rear portion. Q. Right. And you said in the requirements of auto manufacturers in page 37 Requirements of auto manufacturers in general. Is there something apart from 301 that you were referring to or are we still talking about 301? A. We're still talking about 301. A. We're still talking about are test to allow for test error, repeatability error, that kind of stuff. Q. But Mr. Banta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standard? A. No. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. Yes. Q. And what you said in your second opinion that you're going to be giving is the the ZJ o	ł	S	1	~
23 any testing on any of the other vehicles 24 that you talked about making observations 25 on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's 26 Page 35 1 correct. Right? 2 A. Yes. If by testing you mean 3 metallurgical samples or bending or going 4 to the lab with something, no. 5 Q. Anything other than viewing? 6 A. No. What I did was comparative 7 evaluations of resultant damage. 8 Q. I understand those terms. But 9 I'm talking about more specific terms. 10 When you say comparative evaluations, 11 you're talking about I saw other ones and 12 I compared it to this one. Correct? 13 A. That's correct, yes. 14 Q. Now, you also indicated that one 15 of the opinions you're going to give is 16 that the ZJ met the requirements of auto 17 manufacturers and the federal government. 18 Correct? 19 A. Auto manufacturers in general. 2 A. Yeah. 301 rear portion. Q. Right. And you said in the requirements of auto manufacturers in Q. Right. And you said in the requirements of auto manufacturers in Q. Right. And you said in the requirements of auto manufacturers in Q. Right. And you said in the requirements of auto manufacturers in Q. Right. And you said in the requirements of auto manufacturers in Q. Right. And you said in the requirements of auto manufacturers in Q. Right. And you said in the requirements of auto manufacturers in Q. Right. And you said in the requirements of auto manufacturers in Q. Right. And you said in the requirements of auto auto manufacturers in Q. Right. And you said in the requirements of auto auto manufacturers and the federal government. Q. Right. And you said in the requirements of auto auto manufacturers in general. A. We're still talking about 301. A. We're still talking about 301. A. We're still talking about 301. A. We're still talking about auto manufacturers in general use some feature of redundancy so that they can meet the standard consistently test after test to allow for test error, repeatability error, that kind of stuff. Q. But Mr. Banta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a	any testing on any of the other vehicles that you talked about making observations on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's Page 35 Correct. Right?	ı	•	22	O. For a rear end impact. Correct?
that you talked about making observations on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's Page 35 Correct. Right? A. Yes. If by testing you mean metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. Q. Anything other than viewing? A. No. What I did was comparative evaluations of resultant damage. Really I understand those terms. But I making about more specific terms. When you say comparative evaluations, 1 you're talking about 1 saw other ones and 1 I compared it to this one. Correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers in general use some feature of redundancy so that they can meet the standard consistently test after test to allow for test error, repeatability error, that kind of stuff. Q. But Mr. Banta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standard I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. A. That's correct, yes. Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ met the requirements of auto Manufacturers and the federal government. A. A. Yes. Q. And what you said in your secon opinion that you're going to be giving is	that you talked about making observations on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's Page 35 1 correct. Right? 2 A. Yes. If by testing you mean metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. 4 to the lab with something, no. 5 Q. Anything other than viewing? 6 A. No. What I did was comparative evaluations of resultant damage. 7 cevaluations of resultant damage. 8 Q. I understand those terms. But I you're talking about more specific terms. 10 When you say comparative evaluations, 11 you're talking about I saw other ones and 12 I compared it to this one. Correct? 13 A. That's correct, yes. 14 Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers and the federal government. 10 R. A. Auto manufacturers in general. 11 go, Right. 12 A. And those requirements of fuel 21 system integrity by the federal government. 12 Q. What are the requirements of fuel 24 12 System integrity by the federal government. 13 Q. What are the requirements of fuel 24 14 Correct? 15 Q. Right. And you said in the requirements of auto manufacturers in general use some feature of redundancy so that they can meet the standard consistently test after test to allow for test error, repeatability error, that kind of stuff. 10 Q. But Mr. Banta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standard? I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. 14 Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to give is tandards? 15 Q. And what you said in your second opinion that you're going to be giving is the the ZJ of Susan Kline met the requirements of 301. Correct? 20 Q. Right. 21 A. And those requirements of fuel 21 convey to you is that while 301 is the standard as a practical matter, the auto			1	-
25 on prior to the Kline vehicle. That's Page 35 1 correct. Right? A. Yes. If by testing you mean 3 metallurgical samples or bending or going 4 to the lab with something, no. A. No. What I did was comparative 8 evaluations of resultant damage. 9 I'm talking about more specific terms. 10 When you say comparative evaluations, 11 you're talking about I saw other ones and 12 I compared it to this one. Correct? 13 A. That's correct, yes. 14 Q. Now, you also indicated that one 15 of the opinions you're going to give is 16 that the ZJ met the requirements of auto 17 manufacturers in general. Page 3 Page 3 Page 3 Requirements of auto manufacturers in Page 3 1 general. Is there something apart from 301 that you were referring to or are we still talking about 301? A. We're still talking about 301. A. But omanufacturers and the federal government. 10 Q. But Mr. Banta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standard I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. 10 Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. No. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. No. Q. We're still talking about 301. A. No. Q. But Mr. Banta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standard I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. A. No. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. No. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. Yes. Q. And what you said in your secon opinion that you're going to be giving is	page 35 Correct. Right? A. Yes. If by testing you mean metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. Q. Anything other than viewing? A. No. What I did was comparative evaluations of resultant damage. When you say comparative evaluations, 12 I compared it to this one. Correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ met the requirements of fuel 2 system integrity by the federal Q. What are the requirements of fuel Page 37 Rage 37 Requirements of auto manufacturers in general. Is there something apart from 301 that you were referring to or are we still talking about 301? A. We're still talking about 301. A. We're still talking about about standard 'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. A. No. Q. We're still talking about 301. A. We're still talking about about standard 'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. A. No. Q. We're still talking about about standard 'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. A. Yes. Q. And what you said in your second opinion that you're going to be giving is the the ZJ of Susan Kline met the requirements o	1	• •		<u>-</u>
Page 35 1 correct. Right? 2 A. Yes. If by testing you mean 3 metallurgical samples or bending or going 4 to the lab with something, no. 5 Q. Anything other than viewing? 6 A. No. What I did was comparative 7 evaluations of resultant damage. 8 Q. I understand those terms. But 9 I'm talking about more specific terms. 10 When you say comparative evaluations, 11 you're talking about I saw other ones and 12 I compared it to this one. Correct? 13 A. That's correct, yes. 14 Q. Now, you also indicated that one 15 of the opinions you're going to give is 16 that the ZJ met the requirements of auto 17 manufacturers and the federal government. 18 Correct? 19 A. Auto manufacturers in general. 10 general. Is there something apart from 301 that you were referring to or are we still talking about 301? A. We're still talking about 301. A. We're still talking about af saw the standard I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. A. No. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. No. Q. We're still talking about 301. A. No. Q. We're still talking about at saw thereone and the federal government. A. No. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. Yes. Q. And what you said in your secon opinion that you're going to be giving is	Page 35 1 correct. Right? 2 A. Yes. If by testing you mean 3 metallurgical samples or bending or going 4 to the lab with something, no. 5 Q. Anything other than viewing? 6 A. No. What I did was comparative 7 evaluations of resultant damage. 8 Q. I understand those terms. But 9 I'm talking about more specific terms. 10 When you say comparative evaluations, 11 you're talking about I saw other ones and 12 I compared it to this one. Correct? 13 A. That's correct, yes. 14 Q. Now, you also indicated that one 15 of the opinions you're going to give is 16 that the ZJ met the requirements of auto 17 manufacturers and the federal government. 18 Correct? 19 A. Auto manufacturers in general. 19 Q. Right. 20 Q. Right. 21 Gorrect? 22 system integrity by the federal 23 government. 22 G. What are the requirements of fuel 24 What are the requirements of fuel 25 Government. 26 General. Is there something apart from 301 that you were referring to or are we still talking about 301? 4 A. We're still talking about 301. A. We're still talking about a ster test to allow for test error, repeatability error, that kind of stuff. A. No. Q. But Mr. Banta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standard I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. A. No. Q. We're still talking about 301. A. Yes. Q. And what you said in your second opinion that you're going to be giving is the the ZJ of Susan Kline met the requirements of such as the standard as a practical matter, the auto	1		1	The state of the s
correct. Right? A. Yes. If by testing you mean metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. Q. Anything other than viewing? A. No. What I did was comparative evaluations of resultant damage. I'm talking about more specific terms. When you say comparative evaluations, tyou're talking about I saw other ones and compared it to this one. Correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ met the requirements of auto mentallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something or going still talking about 301. A. We're still talking about 301. A. No. Q. But Mr. Banta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standard I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. A. No. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. Yes. Q. And what you said in your secon opinion that you're going to be giving is	correct. Right? A. Yes. If by testing you mean metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. Q. Anything other than viewing? A. No. What I did was comparative evaluations of resultant damage. I'm talking about more specific terms. When you say comparative evaluations, you're talking about I saw other ones and I compared it to this one. Correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers and the federal government. Correct? A. Auto manufacturers in general. Q. Right. A. Auto manufacturers in general. general. Is there something apart from 301 that you were referring to or are we still talking about 301. A. We're still talking about 301. A. We're still talking about 301. A. Uto manufacturers in general use some feature of redundancy so that they can meet the standard consistently test after test to allow for test error, repeatability error, that kind of stuff. Q. But Mr. Banta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standard I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. A. No. Q. We're still talking about 301. A. We're still talking about 301. A. We're still talking about after test to allow for test error, repeatability error, that kind of stuff. Q. But Mr. Banta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standard I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. A. No. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standard's? A. Yes. Q. We're still talking obout I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. A. No. Q. We're still talking obout I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. A. No. Q. We're still talking obout I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. A. No. Q. We're still talking obout I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. A. No. A. Yes. Q. And what you said in your second opinion that you're going to be giving is the the ZJ of Susan Kline met the requirements of 301. Corre			<u> </u>	
A. Yes. If by testing you mean metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. Q. Anything other than viewing? A. No. What I did was comparative evaluations of resultant damage. Q. I understand those terms. But l'm talking about I saw other ones and l'compared it to this one. Correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ met the requirements of auto A. Auto manufacturers in general A. We're still talking about 301. A. We're still talking about 301. A. We're still talking about a	A. Yes. If by testing you mean metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. Q. Anything other than viewing? A. No. What I did was comparative evaluations of resultant damage. Q. I understand those terms. But evaluations of resultant damage. When you say comparative evaluations, 10 wou're talking about I saw other ones and 12 I compared it to this one. Correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers and the federal government. Correct? A. Auto manufacturers in general. Q. Right. Q. We're still talking about 301. A. We're s				
metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. Q. Anything other than viewing? A. No. What I did was comparative evaluations of resultant damage. Q. I understand those terms. But limitalking about more specific terms. When you say comparative evaluations, limitalking about I saw other ones and limital Lompared it to this one. Correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. Now, you also indicated that one feature of redundancy so that they can meet the standard consistently test after test to allow for test error, repeatability error, that kind of stuff. Q. But Mr. Banta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standard I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. A. That's correct, yes. Q. Now, you also indicated that one that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers and the federal government. Correct? A. Auto manufacturers in general. A. Auto manufacturers in general. A. Auto manufacturers in general.	metallurgical samples or bending or going to the lab with something, no. Q. Anything other than viewing? A. No. What I did was comparative evaluations of resultant damage. Q. I understand those terms. But evaluations of resultant evaluations, Interest to allow for test error, repeatability error, that kind of stuff. When you say comparative evaluations, Interest to this one. Correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers and the federal government. Correct? A. Auto manufacturers in general. Q. We're still talking about 301. A. No. Q. But Mr. Banta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standard I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. A. No. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. Yes. Q. And what you said in your second opinion that you're going to be giving is the the ZJ of Susan Kline met the requirements of 301. Correct? A. Yes. But what I'm trying to convey to you is that while 301 is the standard as a practical matter, the auto		•	ž.	
to the lab with something, no. Q. Anything other than viewing? A. No. What I did was comparative evaluations of resultant damage. Q. I understand those terms. But when you say comparative evaluations, I compared it to this one. Correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. Now, you also indicated that one that the ZJ met the requirements of auto A. A. We're still talking about 301. Auto manufacturers in general use some feature of redundancy so that they can meet the standard consistently test after test to allow for test error, repeatability error, that kind of stuff. Q. But Mr. Banta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standard I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. A. No. Q. We're still talking about 301. Auto manufacturers in general use some feature of redundancy so that they can meet the standard consistently test after test to allow for test error, repeatability error, that kind of stuff. Q. But Mr. Banta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standard I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. A. No. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. Yes. Q. And what you said in your secon opinion that you're going to be giving is	to the lab with something, no. Q. Anything other than viewing? A. No. What I did was comparative evaluations of resultant damage. Q. I understand those terms. But l'm talking about more specific terms. When you say comparative evaluations, I compared it to this one. Correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. Now, you also indicated that one fof the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ met the requirements of fuel Q. Right. Q. What are the requirements of fuel Q. What are the requirement			i	
Q. Anything other than viewing? A. No. What I did was comparative evaluations of resultant damage. Q. I understand those terms. But l'm talking about more specific terms. When you say comparative evaluations, l'compared it to this one. Correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. Now, you also indicated that one that the ZJ met the requirements of auto A. Auto manufacturers in general use some feature of redundancy so that they can meet the standard consistently test after test to allow for test error, repeatability error, that kind of stuff. Q. But Mr. Banta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standard I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. A. No. Q. Now, you also indicated that one that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers and the federal government. Correct? A. Auto manufacturers in general.	A. No. What I did was comparative evaluations of resultant damage. Q. I understand those terms. But limitalking about more specific terms. When you say comparative evaluations, I compared it to this one. Correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. Now, you also indicated that one that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers and the federal government. A. Auto manufacturers in general use some feature of redundancy so that they can meet the standard consistently test after test to allow for test error, repeatability error, that kind of stuff. Q. But Mr. Banta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standard I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. A. No. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. Yes. Q. And what you said in your second opinion that you're going to be giving is the the ZJ of Susan Kline met the requirements of fuel A. And those requirements of fuel Q. What are the requirements of fuel Q. What are the requirements of fuel A. Yes. But what I'm trying to convey to you is that while 301 is the standard as a practical matter, the auto	3			
A. No. What I did was comparative evaluations of resultant damage. Q. I understand those terms. But 10 limitalking about more specific terms. When you say comparative evaluations, 11 limitalking about I saw other ones and 12 limitalking about I saw other ones and 13 limitalking about I saw other ones and 14 limitalking about I saw other ones and 15 limitalking about I saw other ones and 16 limitalking about I saw other ones and 17 limitalking about I saw other ones and 18 limitalking about I saw other ones and 19 limitalking about I saw other ones and 10 limitalking about I saw other ones and 11 limitalking I limitalking about I saw other ones and 11 limitalking I limitalking about I saw other ones and 12 limitalking I	6 A. No. What I did was comparative 7 evaluations of resultant damage. 8 Q. I understand those terms. But 9 I'm talking about more specific terms. 10 When you say comparative evaluations, 11 you're talking about I saw other ones and 12 I compared it to this one. Correct? 13 A. That's correct, yes. 14 Q. Now, you also indicated that one 15 of the opinions you're going to give is 16 that the ZJ met the requirements of auto 17 manufacturers and the federal government. 18 Correct? 19 A. Auto manufacturers in general. 20 Q. Right. 21 A. And those requirements of fuel 22 system integrity by the federal 23 government. 24 Q. What are the requirements of fuel 24 Q. What are the requirements of fuel 25 convey to you is that they can meet the standard consistently test after test to allow for test error, repeatability error, that kind of stuff. Q. But Mr. Banta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standard I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. A. No. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. Yes. Q. And what you said in your second opinion that you're going to be giving is the the ZJ of Susan Kline met the requirements of 301. Correct? A. Yes. But what I'm trying to convey to you is that while 301 is the standard as a practical matter, the auto	l		<u> </u>	
evaluations of resultant damage. Q. I understand those terms. But I'm talking about more specific terms. When you say comparative evaluations, I compared it to this one. Correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ met the requirements of auto A. Auto manufacturers in general. recent the standard consistently test after test to allow for test error, repeatability error, that kind of stuff. Q. But Mr. Banta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standard I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. A. No. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. Yes. Q. And what you said in your second opinion that you're going to be giving is	evaluations of resultant damage. Q. I understand those terms. But limitalking about more specific terms. When you say comparative evaluations, lowelre talking about I saw other ones and lowelre talking about Mr. Banta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standard I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. A. No. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. Yes. Q. And what you said in your second opinion that you're going to be giving is the the ZJ of Susan Kline met the requirements of 301. Correct? A. Yes. But what I'm trying to convey to you is that while 301 is the standard as a practical matter, the auto	į.		İ	<u>=</u>
Q. I understand those terms. But I'm talking about more specific terms. When you say comparative evaluations, I compared it to this one. Correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. Now, you also indicated that one If that the ZJ met the requirements of auto A. Auto manufacturers in general. Rest to allow for test error, repeatability error, that kind of stuff. Q. But Mr. Banta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standard I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. A. No. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. Yes. Q. And what you said in your secont opinion that you're going to be giving is	8 Q. I understand those terms. But 9 I'm talking about more specific terms. 10 When you say comparative evaluations, 11 you're talking about I saw other ones and 12 I compared it to this one. Correct? 13 A. That's correct, yes. 14 Q. Now, you also indicated that one 15 of the opinions you're going to give is 16 that the ZJ met the requirements of auto 17 manufacturers and the federal government. 18 Correct? 19 A. Auto manufacturers in general. 20 Q. Right. 20 Right. 21 East to allow for test error, repeatability error, that kind of stuff. 22 Q. But Mr. Banta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standard I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. 21 A. No. 22 Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? 23 Q. And what you said in your second opinion that you're going to be giving is the the ZJ of Susan Kline met the requirements of fuel 21 requirements of 301. Correct? 24 System integrity by the federal 22 A. Yes. But what I'm trying to convey to you is that while 301 is the standard as a practical matter, the auto	i	~	1	-
9 I'm talking about more specific terms. 10 When you say comparative evaluations, 11 you're talking about I saw other ones and 12 I compared it to this one. Correct? 13 A. That's correct, yes. 14 Q. Now, you also indicated that one 15 of the opinions you're going to give is 16 that the ZJ met the requirements of auto 17 manufacturers and the federal government. 18 Correct? 19 A. Auto manufacturers in general. 9 repeatability error, that kind of stuff. Q. But Mr. Banta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standard I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. A. No. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. Yes. Q. And what you said in your secon opinion that you're going to be giving is	9 I'm talking about more specific terms. 10 When you say comparative evaluations, 11 you're talking about I saw other ones and 12 I compared it to this one. Correct? 13 A. That's correct, yes. 14 Q. Now, you also indicated that one 15 of the opinions you're going to give is 16 that the ZJ met the requirements of auto 17 manufacturers and the federal government. 18 Correct? 19 A. Auto manufacturers in general. 20 Q. Right. 21 A. And those requirements of fuel 22 system integrity by the federal 23 government. 24 Q. What are the requirements of fuel 24 Sut Mr. Banta, my question is 26 Right That is a preadly in the pread of the content of the plant o	7		1	•
When you say comparative evaluations, you're talking about I saw other ones and I compared it to this one. Correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers and the federal government. Correct? A. Auto manufacturers in general. Q. But Mr. Banta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standard I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. A. No. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. Yes. Q. And what you said in your secon opinion that you're going to be giving is	When you say comparative evaluations, you're talking about I saw other ones and I compared it to this one. Correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers and the federal government. Correct? A. Auto manufacturers in general. Q. Right. A. Auto manufacturers of fuel Q. But Mr. Banta, my question is really, I just want to know if there's a standard I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. A. No. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. Yes. Q. And what you said in your second opinion that you're going to be giving is the the ZJ of Susan Kline met the requirements of 301. Correct? A. Yes. But what I'm trying to government. Q. What are the requirements of fuel standard as a practical matter, the auto	1	•		
you're talking about I saw other ones and I compared it to this one. Correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers and the federal government. Correct? A. Auto manufacturers in general. really, I just want to know if there's a standard I'm not aware of that the auto manufacturers have. A. No. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. Yes. Q. And what you said in your secon opinion that you're going to be giving is	you're talking about I saw other ones and I compared it to this one. Correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers and the federal government. Correct? A. Auto manufacturers in general. Q. Right. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. Yes. Q. And what you said in your second opinion that you're going to be giving is the the ZJ of Susan Kline met the requirements of fuel government. A. And those requirements of fuel government. Q. What are the requirements of fuel standard as a practical matter, the auto	ı			
12 I compared it to this one. Correct? 13 A. That's correct, yes. 14 Q. Now, you also indicated that one 15 of the opinions you're going to give is 16 that the ZJ met the requirements of auto 17 manufacturers and the federal government. 18 Correct? 19 A. Auto manufacturers in general. 10 standard I'm not aware of that the auto 12 manufacturers have. 13 A. No. Q. We're still talking 301 as the 14 standards? 15 A. Yes. 17 A. Yes. Q. And what you said in your secon 19 opinion that you're going to be giving is	I compared it to this one. Correct? A. That's correct, yes. Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers and the federal government. Correct? A. Auto manufacturers in general. Q. Right. A. And those requirements of fuel government. A. And those requirements of fuel government. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. Yes. Q. And what you said in your second opinion that you're going to be giving is the the ZJ of Susan Kline met the requirements of 301. Correct? A. Yes. But what I'm trying to convey to you is that while 301 is the standard as a practical matter, the auto	1		1	
A. That's correct, yes. Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers and the federal government. Correct? A. No. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. Yes. Q. And what you said in your secont opinion that you're going to be giving is	A. That's correct, yes. Q. Now, you also indicated that one that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers and the federal government. Correct? A. Auto manufacturers in general. Q. Right. A. Auto manufacturers of fuel Q. Right. A. Auto manufacturers of fuel Q. Right. A. And those requirements of fuel Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. Yes. Q. And what you said in your second opinion that you're going to be giving is the the ZJ of Susan Kline met the requirements of fuel Q. System integrity by the federal Q. What are the requirements of fuel Q. What are the requirements of fuel Q. What are the requirements of fuel Standard as a practical matter, the auto	1		1	, J
Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers and the federal government. Correct? A. No. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. Yes. Q. And what you said in your second opinion that you're going to be giving is	Q. Now, you also indicated that one of the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers and the federal government. Correct? A. Auto manufacturers in general. Q. Right. A. And those requirements of fuel system integrity by the federal government. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. Yes. Q. And what you said in your second opinion that you're going to be giving is the the ZJ of Susan Kline met the requirements of 301. Correct? A. Yes. But what I'm trying to convey to you is that while 301 is the standard as a practical matter, the auto			1	
of the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers and the federal government. Correct? A. Auto manufacturers in general. Corrects O. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. Yes. Q. And what you said in your secon opinion that you're going to be giving is	of the opinions you're going to give is that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers and the federal government. Correct? A. Auto manufacturers in general. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. Yes. Q. And what you said in your second opinion that you're going to be giving is the the ZJ of Susan Kline met the requirements of fuel system integrity by the federal government. A. And those requirements of fuel system integrity by the federal government. Q. We're still talking 301 as the standards? A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Yes. But what I'm trying to convey to you is that while 301 is the standard as a practical matter, the auto	13	· ·	i	
that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers and the federal government. Correct? A. Auto manufacturers in general. that the ZJ met the requirements of auto A. Yes. Q. And what you said in your second opinion that you're going to be giving is	that the ZJ met the requirements of auto manufacturers and the federal government. Correct? A. Auto manufacturers in general. Q. Right. A. And those requirements of fuel System integrity by the federal Q. What are the requirements of fuel Q. What are the requirements of fuel Standards? A. Yes. Q. And what you said in your second opinion that you're going to be giving is the the ZJ of Susan Kline met the requirements of 301. Correct? A. Yes. But what I'm trying to convey to you is that while 301 is the standard as a practical matter, the auto		* •	1	
manufacturers and the federal government. Note: The image of the	manufacturers and the federal government. Correct? A. Yes. Q. And what you said in your second opinion that you're going to be giving is the the ZJ of Susan Kline met the requirements of fuel A. And those requirements of fuel system integrity by the federal government. Q. What are the requirements of fuel a. Yes. Q. And what you said in your second opinion that you're going to be giving is the the ZJ of Susan Kline met the requirements of 301. Correct? A. Yes. But what I'm trying to convey to you is that while 301 is the standard as a practical matter, the auto		* • • • •	1	•
18 Correct? 18 Q. And what you said in your secon opinion that you're going to be giving is	Correct? A. Auto manufacturers in general. Q. And what you said in your second opinion that you're going to be giving is the the ZJ of Susan Kline met the requirements of fuel system integrity by the federal government. Q. What are the requirements of fuel 21 convey to you is that while 301 is the standard as a practical matter, the auto	16	_	1	
19 A. Auto manufacturers in general. 19 opinion that you're going to be giving is	A. Auto manufacturers in general. Q. Right. A. And those requirements of fuel system integrity by the federal Q. What are the requirements of fuel Q. What are the requirements of fuel A. Auto manufacturers in general. 20 opinion that you're going to be giving is the the ZJ of Susan Kline met the requirements of 301. Correct? A. Yes. But what I'm trying to convey to you is that while 301 is the standard as a practical matter, the auto	17	manufacturers and the federal government.	1	
	Q. Right. A. And those requirements of fuel system integrity by the federal government. Q. What are the requirements of fuel 20 the the ZJ of Susan Kline met the requirements of 301. Correct? A. Yes. But what I'm trying to convey to you is that while 301 is the standard as a practical matter, the auto	1	Correct?	1	• •
20 O. Right. 20 the the ZJ of Susan Kline met the	A. And those requirements of fuel requirements of 301. Correct? A. Yes. But what I'm trying to convey to you is that while 301 is the standard as a practical matter, the auto				aninian that you're going to be giving is
	22 system integrity by the federal 22 A. Yes. But what I'm trying to 23 government. 23 convey to you is that while 301 is the 24 Q. What are the requirements of fuel 24 standard as a practical matter, the auto	18		1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	23 government. 23 convey to you is that while 301 is the standard as a practical matter, the auto	18 19	A. Auto manufacturers in general.	20	the the ZJ of Susan Kline met the
	Q. What are the requirements of fuel 24 standard as a practical matter, the auto	18 19 20	A. Auto manufacturers in general.Q. Right.	20 21	the the ZJ of Susan Kline met the requirements of 301. Correct?
		18 19 20 21	A. Auto manufacturers in general.Q. Right.A. And those requirements of fuel	20 21 22	the the ZJ of Susan Kline met the requirements of 301. Correct? A. Yes. But what I'm trying to
Q. What are the requirements of fuel 24 standard as a practical matter, the auto	i e	18 19 20 21 22	A. Auto manufacturers in general.Q. Right.A. And those requirements of fuel system integrity by the federal	20 21 22	the the ZJ of Susan Kline met the requirements of 301. Correct? A. Yes. But what I'm trying to convey to you is that while 301 is the
	system integrity of the federal government 25 manufacturers have a slightly more	18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Auto manufacturers in general.Q. Right.A. And those requirements of fuel system integrity by the federal government.	20 21 22 23	the the ZJ of Susan Kline met the requirements of 301. Correct? A. Yes. But what I'm trying to convey to you is that while 301 is the

			,
	Page 38		Page 40
1	stringent way of designing and testing so	1	variety of things including my knowledge
2	that they will cover those issues with	2	of the testing of the vehicle, empirical
3	repeatability error and test variation.	3	knowledge of its field performance, my
4	So they design their vehicles to more than	4	evaluation of this vehicle in my field
5	comply with 301.	5	inspection of it, and my study of the
6	Q. But that's not the question at	6	reconstruction done by others. I guess
7	this point. So let me just again make the	7	collectively all this stuff allows me to
8	record clear.	8	make some fundamental judgments about what
9	A. I think that was my answer to	9	happened in this crash to the vehicle and
10	you.	10	why the fire occurred.
11	Q. No, but that wasn't my question.	11	Q. Mr. Banta, if I tell you that
12	I think you really didn't answer my	12	there's a difference between the facts
13	question. You did and then you went off	13	that you observe, for instance, and being
	on a tangent. All I want to know right	14	able as an expert in court to give
14	•	15	opinion-based testimony because you have a
15	now is, you're going to give opinions that	16	particular expertise, so I'm just trying
16	the ZJ met the requirements of FMVSS 301.	17	to cull out the areas in which you have an
17	And we're not talking about any other	18	expert basis to give an expert opinion.
18	extraneous standard that you're going to	19	And with that being said, can you
19	elucidate at a later time. Right?	20	tell me the basis for your opinions that
20	A. That's correct.	1	you're going to give that the design
21	Q. And then your other thing or your	21	itself, how this vehicle was designed is
22	first one was that the Jeep Grand Cherokee	22	•
23	was not defectively designed. That's your	23	not defective?
24	overall category. Correct?	24	A. The basis is my working knowledge
25	A. Yes.	25	of this vehicle, both in design,
AMAZON MATERIAL MATER	Page 39		Page 41
1	Q. But you are not going to be	1	manufacturing and assembly and its field
2	presenting any testimony about your	2	performance. I can't separate those out.
3	opinions which involve the design of the	3	You know, I was there, I did this work.
4	vehicle. Correct? Because you're not a	4	Q. So let's talk about the work you
5	design engineer.	5	did. You didn't design this car.
6	A. No.	6	Correct?
7	Q. I think we covered that last	7	A. I did not.
8	time.	8	O. You didn't work with the
	A. Well, I certainly will be	9	designers of this car in terms of
9	expressing opinions about the design. I	10	designing the car?
10	1 0 1	11	A. Oh, I sure did.
11	know a lot about the design of this	12	Q. You did?
12	vehicle.	13	A. Oh, yes.
13	Q. But you're not going to be	14	- 11
14	expressing opinions about actually	15	Q. So you had input and you actually had discussions with the people who
15	designing the car and the reasons for	1	designed the ZJ?
16	something being designed a certain way or	16	A. I had let's see. ZJ was '93.
17	the nature of that information. Correct?	17	
1 4 6		18	Yes, I did.
18	A. That's awfully vague.		O Who were though
19	Q. Let me ask you this then. What	19	Q. Who were they?
I	Q. Let me ask you this then. What do you base your opinion on that this Jeep	20	A. Donna Hale, Chuck Cosmos, Michael
19	Q. Let me ask you this then. What do you base your opinion on that this Jeep Grand Cherokee was not defectively	20 21	A. Donna Hale, Chuck Cosmos, Michael Teets, Ed Zylik. That was around '88 or
19 20	Q. Let me ask you this then. What do you base your opinion on that this Jeep	20 21 22	A. Donna Hale, Chuck Cosmos, Michael Teets, Ed Zylik. That was around '88 or '89. Those are some that I remember.
19 20 21	Q. Let me ask you this then. What do you base your opinion on that this Jeep Grand Cherokee was not defectively	20 21 22 23	A. Donna Hale, Chuck Cosmos, Michael Teets, Ed Zylik. That was around '88 or '89. Those are some that I remember.Q. So when you say that you had
19 20 21 22	Q. Let me ask you this then. What do you base your opinion on that this Jeep Grand Cherokee was not defectively designed? I'm just confining it to design	20 21 22	A. Donna Hale, Chuck Cosmos, Michael Teets, Ed Zylik. That was around '88 or '89. Those are some that I remember.

			12 (rages 12 co 10)
	Page 42		Page 44
1	told, for instance, Ed Teets your opinions	1	THE WITNESS: No. We functioned
2	about how this design was coming along and	2	in oversight watching over engineering
3	things were changed based on your opinion?	3	activities.
4	A. What we did was the answer to	4	Q. And when you were watching over
5	that is yes and no. We sat down in the	5	them, do you recall any occasion when you
6	Field System Tech Club with all the field	6	stopped them or corrected any engineer's
7	system people, not only Jeep, the Dodge	7	work involving the ZJ?
8	truck and the other groups when we form	8	A. I'm sure there was some. I'm
9	tech clubs. And we talked about the	9	confident there were.
10	envelope, where the tank would sit, what	10	Q. Well, what were they?
11	it would be made of, things like that.	11	A. I don't remember.
12	And that was a consensus kind of	12	Q. Well, I'm only talking you. I'm
13	operation. It wasn't one person sitting	13	not really talking about the people in
14	down and putting lines on a piece of	14	Product Analysis.
15	paper. The group says we want an	15	A. We crash test a preproduction
16	18-gallon tank, we want it to be HDPE, we	16	vehicle. We bring it back into the
17	want it to pass through the frame rail.	17	viewing room, put it up on a hoist and six
18	Those kind of fundamental decisions were	18	or eight of us gather around it, Ed Zylik,
19	made by the consensus of the group. I was	19	the fuel system design guy, the structures
20	a part of that group.	20	guy, myself, maybe a manufacturing person.
21	Q. Okay, that's good. But I want to	21	The manufacturing guy says, I can't put
22	know exactly what you did. What did you	22	that screw there, I've got to move it, put
23	do? You could be part of the group sitting	23	it in a different location. And I say, I
24	there and you could agree with everyone	24	don't like that screw there because it's
25	sitting there. That's what someone could	25	dangerously close to some other surface
	Page 43		Page 45
1	do. I want to know what you did. What	1	that may hit the tank. Let's move the
2	did you do? Did you do anything with your	2	screw. Or, let's change this flange and
3	hands? Did you do a mockup? Did you do any	3	turn it the opposite direction because it
4	scientific calculations? Did you do any	4	might hit the tank in a different PDOL.
5	testing? I just want to know what you did	5	Those were the kind of things we did
6	within the group.	6	routinely, particularly in the first field
7	A. My role was advisory and	7	prototype test. The earlier prototype
8	oversight. I worked in a group called	8	tests revealed areas of concern that you
9	Product Analysis. We watched over the	9	then go to work on and there are dozens of
10	engineering design and testing and we	10	those.
11	participated in it but we didn't actually	11	Q. I have a couple of questions
12	draw the lines and make the parts. Other	12	regarding your answer.
13	people did that.	13	You said that a vehicle is
14	MS. DE FILIPPO: Could you read	14	tested, it's crashed and we bring it back
15	that answer back, please?	15	and a bunch of us go look at it. And then
16	(Whereupon the previous answer is	16	you said the fuel systems guy is there,
17	read back.)	17	the structures guy is there and I'm there.
18	Q. Well, in your capacity which was	18	What are you?
19	advisory and oversight, was it talking	19	A. I'm the oversight group in
20	that you did? Did you do any engineering	20	Product Analysis.
21	work, let's say, with respect to the	21	Q. So you're not a technical person.
22	design?	22	That's fair to say. Correct?
23	MR. BRADLEY: I'm going to object	23	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
24	to the term engineering work, but you can	24	objection.
25	answer.	25	THE WITNESS: I would not call
1 2 3	CLID YTOL.		TILL WITHOUT WOULD HOUSE

			19 (10900 10 00 10)
	Page 46		Page 48
1	that non technical.	1	the 301 test but in the out in the
2	Q. You're not a field systems guy.	2	world where impacts vary.
3	Right?	3	Q. Maybe it's more basic than that.
4	A. That's right.	4	When we talk about the word obvious,
5	Q. You're not a structure guy?	5	you're saying what's not so obvious before
6	A. That's right.	6	you actually test the car and look at it.
7	Q. You're not a design guy?	7	Correct?
8	A. I'm a fire guy.	8	A. Right.
9	Q. So that's what you would call	9	Q. And I'm saying obvious in terms
10	you, the fire guy?	10	of when you're actually there looking at
11	A. Yeah.	11	the car, you're looking for what appears
12	Q. So your concern when you're doing	12	to you to be a problem?
13	this oversight is whether or not you see	13	A. Yes, that have potential to cause
14	anything obvious that to you, in looking	14	fuel leakage.
15	at it, because you're not taking it apart	15	Q. And it's what you're seeing with
16	and testing it yourself. Correct?	16	your eyes. Correct?
17	A. Right.	17	A. Well, I'm struggling with the
18	Q. So you're really looking at	18	word obvious. We've had heated arguments
19	whatever it is that's obvious. Correct?	19	under this vehicle where someone will say
20	A. I'm looking for fuel leakage	20	no, that won't cause a leak and I say I
21	potentials.	21	think it will. Whether it's obvious to
22	Q. Obvious fuel leakage potentials.	22	them or me, there might be a disagreement
23	You're not doing anything to see if	23	about it.
24	there's fuel leakage within that you	24	Q. But it's what you're looking at,
25	couldn't see, or if there was a problem	25	that you're pointing to, that you're
			Page 40
	Page 47		Page 49
1	going on that can't be viewed. That's	1	saying would cause a leak.
2	fair to say. Correct?	2	A. Oh, yeah. That's a physical
3	A. I don't understand that. A	3	thing.
4	problem that can't be viewed?	4	Q. It's a physical thing you can
5	Q. Yes. You're not doing anything	5	see. Correct?
6	to the vehicle yourself to get into it, to	6	A. Right.
7	take it apart. You're not physically	7	Q. And do you go to the test site
8	doing anything that you can't look at and	8	when the vehicles crashed?
9	say I think this is wrong, I think that's	9	A. On occasion, not often. It's a
10	wrong.	10	very benign event actually.
11	A. We have technicians that do that.	11	(Whereupon a short recess is
12	Take that down, we want to look at it.	12	taken.)
13	Q. I understand. But I'm talking	13	Q. Mr. Banta, did you do anything in
14	about you, what you do as the fire guy	14	your capacity as an employee of Chrysler
15	when you look at these cars as you're	15	regarding interfacing with NHTSA at any
16	looking to see what's obvious and would be	16	time in your career?
17	a problem relative to a fire.	17	A. Yes.
18	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	18	Q. And you are familiar then with
19	objection as to obvious.	19	certain procedures that auto manufacturers
20	THE WITNESS: Actually, what I'm	20	follow with regard to NHTSA. Correct?
21	looking for is the not so obvious, the	21	A. Yes.
100	things that may cause fuel leakage. My	22	Q. And you know that from time to
22	•		
23	goal in evaluating crash test results are	23	time there are things filed with NHTSA
	goal in evaluating crash test results are to look for those things that may potentially cause fuel leakage not only in	23 24 25	called defect petitions. Correct? A. Defect information reports, yes.

	Page 50		Page 52
1	Q. But even defect petitions like	1	Correct?
2	the one in this particular situation where	2	A. Yeah, in response to the
3	the Center For Auto Safety filed a defect	3	questions that NHTSA asked.
4	petition, you're aware of that in this	4	Q. And when I find them at the next
5	case?	5	break, we'll get back to it and make an
6	A. Yeah.	6	exhibit. But in the meantime, then you
7	Q. And can you tell me what your	7	said that as a result of the defect
8	understanding of what NHTSA's procedure is	8	petition, NHTSA opens a preliminary
9	after a defect petition is filed?	9	evaluation.
10	A. Typically they evaluate the	10	Do you know or did you ever know
11	allegation or the claims. They sometimes	11	what the criteria was for NHTSA to
12	talk to the auto manufacturer initially	12	determine that they would take that defect
13	verbally. Then they if they believe	13	petition and open the preliminary
14	there's merit to the claim, they will open	14	evaluation?
15	up what is called a PE, preliminary	15	A. You mean what
16	evaluation. And the preliminary	16	Q. What prompted NHTSA
17	evaluation sets into play many things that	17	A. What prompted NHTSA to do that?
18	NHTSA does. Sometimes they do testing of	18	Q. To go further on a petition.
19	their own. They frequently contract out	19	A. I don't know.
20	testing. They conduct surveys, they do	20	Q. Do you know in this case what
21	field investigations and, of course, they	21	prompted NHTSA to go further with the
22	send information requests to auto	22	petition and open up a preliminary
23	manufacturers, how many of these have you	23	evaluation?
24	seen, how many of those have you had, what	24	A. No, I don't. I don't know
25	is your evaluation, things of that nature.	25	internally what went on at NHTSA to, in
	Page 51	1	Page 53
		1	rage 55
		1	
1	And then there's an intermediate step	1	their judgment, create a PE. And I
2	And then there's an intermediate step where they may determine that they need	2	their judgment, create a PE. And I understand now it's been elevated to an EA
2 3	And then there's an intermediate step where they may determine that they need further study and raise the level of the	2	their judgment, create a PE. And I understand now it's been elevated to an EA or will be elevated to an EA. And
2 3 4	And then there's an intermediate step where they may determine that they need further study and raise the level of the PE to an engineering analysis where they	2 3 4	their judgment, create a PE. And I understand now it's been elevated to an EA or will be elevated to an EA. And similarly, I don't know the answer to that
2 3 4 5	And then there's an intermediate step where they may determine that they need further study and raise the level of the PE to an engineering analysis where they do a much more in-depth study and then	2 3 4 5	their judgment, create a PE. And I understand now it's been elevated to an EA or will be elevated to an EA. And similarly, I don't know the answer to that either.
2 3 4 5 6	And then there's an intermediate step where they may determine that they need further study and raise the level of the PE to an engineering analysis where they do a much more in-depth study and then eventually they make a determination.	2 3 4 5 6	their judgment, create a PE. And I understand now it's been elevated to an EA or will be elevated to an EA. And similarly, I don't know the answer to that either. MS. DE FILIPPO: Let's mark this
2 3 4 5 6 7	And then there's an intermediate step where they may determine that they need further study and raise the level of the PE to an engineering analysis where they do a much more in-depth study and then eventually they make a determination. Q. When they you said at certain	2 3 4 5 6 7	their judgment, create a PE. And I understand now it's been elevated to an EA or will be elevated to an EA. And similarly, I don't know the answer to that either.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	And then there's an intermediate step where they may determine that they need further study and raise the level of the PE to an engineering analysis where they do a much more in-depth study and then eventually they make a determination. Q. When they you said at certain stages they decide that they're going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	their judgment, create a PE. And I understand now it's been elevated to an EA or will be elevated to an EA. And similarly, I don't know the answer to that either. MS. DE FILIPPO: Let's mark this document the next document.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	And then there's an intermediate step where they may determine that they need further study and raise the level of the PE to an engineering analysis where they do a much more in-depth study and then eventually they make a determination. Q. When they you said at certain stages they decide that they're going to ask the manufacturer involved, and other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	their judgment, create a PE. And I understand now it's been elevated to an EA or will be elevated to an EA. And similarly, I don't know the answer to that either. MS. DE FILIPPO: Let's mark this document the next document. (NHTSA EA is received and marked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And then there's an intermediate step where they may determine that they need further study and raise the level of the PE to an engineering analysis where they do a much more in-depth study and then eventually they make a determination. Q. When they you said at certain stages they decide that they're going to ask the manufacturer involved, and other manufacturers too, questions. Correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	their judgment, create a PE. And I understand now it's been elevated to an EA or will be elevated to an EA. And similarly, I don't know the answer to that either. MS. DE FILIPPO: Let's mark this document the next document.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	And then there's an intermediate step where they may determine that they need further study and raise the level of the PE to an engineering analysis where they do a much more in-depth study and then eventually they make a determination. Q. When they you said at certain stages they decide that they're going to ask the manufacturer involved, and other manufacturers too, questions. Correct? Sometimes verbally, sometimes written.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	their judgment, create a PE. And I understand now it's been elevated to an EA or will be elevated to an EA. And similarly, I don't know the answer to that either. MS. DE FILIPPO: Let's mark this document the next document. (NHTSA EA is received and marked Banta-20 for identification.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	And then there's an intermediate step where they may determine that they need further study and raise the level of the PE to an engineering analysis where they do a much more in-depth study and then eventually they make a determination. Q. When they you said at certain stages they decide that they're going to ask the manufacturer involved, and other manufacturers too, questions. Correct? Sometimes verbally, sometimes written. A. Yes, mostly written.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	their judgment, create a PE. And I understand now it's been elevated to an EA or will be elevated to an EA. And similarly, I don't know the answer to that either. MS. DE FILIPPO: Let's mark this document the next document. (NHTSA EA is received and marked Banta-20 for identification.) Q. I'm going to show you a document,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	And then there's an intermediate step where they may determine that they need further study and raise the level of the PE to an engineering analysis where they do a much more in-depth study and then eventually they make a determination. Q. When they you said at certain stages they decide that they're going to ask the manufacturer involved, and other manufacturers too, questions. Correct? Sometimes verbally, sometimes written. A. Yes, mostly written. Q. In this case are you aware of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	their judgment, create a PE. And I understand now it's been elevated to an EA or will be elevated to an EA. And similarly, I don't know the answer to that either. MS. DE FILIPPO: Let's mark this document the next document. (NHTSA EA is received and marked Banta-20 for identification.) Q. I'm going to show you a document, Mr. Banta, that's been marked Banta-20.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	And then there's an intermediate step where they may determine that they need further study and raise the level of the PE to an engineering analysis where they do a much more in-depth study and then eventually they make a determination. Q. When they you said at certain stages they decide that they're going to ask the manufacturer involved, and other manufacturers too, questions. Correct? Sometimes verbally, sometimes written. A. Yes, mostly written. Q. In this case are you aware of written questions and answers that NHTSA	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	their judgment, create a PE. And I understand now it's been elevated to an EA or will be elevated to an EA. And similarly, I don't know the answer to that either. MS. DE FILIPPO: Let's mark this document the next document. (NHTSA EA is received and marked Banta-20 for identification.) Q. I'm going to show you a document, Mr. Banta, that's been marked Banta-20. Have you ever seen this document
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	And then there's an intermediate step where they may determine that they need further study and raise the level of the PE to an engineering analysis where they do a much more in-depth study and then eventually they make a determination. Q. When they you said at certain stages they decide that they're going to ask the manufacturer involved, and other manufacturers too, questions. Correct? Sometimes verbally, sometimes written. A. Yes, mostly written. Q. In this case are you aware of written questions and answers that NHTSA sent to Chrysler regarding the defect	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	their judgment, create a PE. And I understand now it's been elevated to an EA or will be elevated to an EA. And similarly, I don't know the answer to that either. MS. DE FILIPPO: Let's mark this document the next document. (NHTSA EA is received and marked Banta-20 for identification.) Q. I'm going to show you a document, Mr. Banta, that's been marked Banta-20. Have you ever seen this document before?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And then there's an intermediate step where they may determine that they need further study and raise the level of the PE to an engineering analysis where they do a much more in-depth study and then eventually they make a determination. Q. When they you said at certain stages they decide that they're going to ask the manufacturer involved, and other manufacturers too, questions. Correct? Sometimes verbally, sometimes written. A. Yes, mostly written. Q. In this case are you aware of written questions and answers that NHTSA sent to Chrysler regarding the defect petition of the Center For Auto Safety?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	their judgment, create a PE. And I understand now it's been elevated to an EA or will be elevated to an EA. And similarly, I don't know the answer to that either. MS. DE FILIPPO: Let's mark this document the next document. (NHTSA EA is received and marked Banta-20 for identification.) Q. I'm going to show you a document, Mr. Banta, that's been marked Banta-20. Have you ever seen this document before? MR. BRADLEY: I'm just going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And then there's an intermediate step where they may determine that they need further study and raise the level of the PE to an engineering analysis where they do a much more in-depth study and then eventually they make a determination. Q. When they you said at certain stages they decide that they're going to ask the manufacturer involved, and other manufacturers too, questions. Correct? Sometimes verbally, sometimes written. A. Yes, mostly written. Q. In this case are you aware of written questions and answers that NHTSA sent to Chrysler regarding the defect petition of the Center For Auto Safety? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	their judgment, create a PE. And I understand now it's been elevated to an EA or will be elevated to an EA. And similarly, I don't know the answer to that either. MS. DE FILIPPO: Let's mark this document the next document. (NHTSA EA is received and marked Banta-20 for identification.) Q. I'm going to show you a document, Mr. Banta, that's been marked Banta-20. Have you ever seen this document before? MR. BRADLEY: I'm just going to note my objection because I believe this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And then there's an intermediate step where they may determine that they need further study and raise the level of the PE to an engineering analysis where they do a much more in-depth study and then eventually they make a determination. Q. When they you said at certain stages they decide that they're going to ask the manufacturer involved, and other manufacturers too, questions. Correct? Sometimes verbally, sometimes written. A. Yes, mostly written. Q. In this case are you aware of written questions and answers that NHTSA sent to Chrysler regarding the defect petition of the Center For Auto Safety? A. Yes. Q. And do you have them with you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	their judgment, create a PE. And I understand now it's been elevated to an EA or will be elevated to an EA. And similarly, I don't know the answer to that either. MS. DE FILIPPO: Let's mark this document the next document. (NHTSA EA is received and marked Banta-20 for identification.) Q. I'm going to show you a document, Mr. Banta, that's been marked Banta-20. Have you ever seen this document before? MR. BRADLEY: I'm just going to note my objection because I believe this is all printed off the Internet. When you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	And then there's an intermediate step where they may determine that they need further study and raise the level of the PE to an engineering analysis where they do a much more in-depth study and then eventually they make a determination. Q. When they you said at certain stages they decide that they're going to ask the manufacturer involved, and other manufacturers too, questions. Correct? Sometimes verbally, sometimes written. A. Yes, mostly written. Q. In this case are you aware of written questions and answers that NHTSA sent to Chrysler regarding the defect petition of the Center For Auto Safety? A. Yes. Q. And do you have them with you today?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	their judgment, create a PE. And I understand now it's been elevated to an EA or will be elevated to an EA. And similarly, I don't know the answer to that either. MS. DE FILIPPO: Let's mark this document the next document. (NHTSA EA is received and marked Banta-20 for identification.) Q. I'm going to show you a document, Mr. Banta, that's been marked Banta-20. Have you ever seen this document before? MR. BRADLEY: I'm just going to note my objection because I believe this is all printed off the Internet. When you say this document, you mean the actual
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	And then there's an intermediate step where they may determine that they need further study and raise the level of the PE to an engineering analysis where they do a much more in-depth study and then eventually they make a determination. Q. When they you said at certain stages they decide that they're going to ask the manufacturer involved, and other manufacturers too, questions. Correct? Sometimes verbally, sometimes written. A. Yes, mostly written. Q. In this case are you aware of written questions and answers that NHTSA sent to Chrysler regarding the defect petition of the Center For Auto Safety? A. Yes. Q. And do you have them with you today? A. I do not, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	their judgment, create a PE. And I understand now it's been elevated to an EA or will be elevated to an EA. And similarly, I don't know the answer to that either. MS. DE FILIPPO: Let's mark this document the next document. (NHTSA EA is received and marked Banta-20 for identification.) Q. I'm going to show you a document, Mr. Banta, that's been marked Banta-20. Have you ever seen this document before? MR. BRADLEY: I'm just going to note my objection because I believe this is all printed off the Internet. When you say this document, you mean the actual MS. DE FILIPPO: The statement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And then there's an intermediate step where they may determine that they need further study and raise the level of the PE to an engineering analysis where they do a much more in-depth study and then eventually they make a determination. Q. When they you said at certain stages they decide that they're going to ask the manufacturer involved, and other manufacturers too, questions. Correct? Sometimes verbally, sometimes written. A. Yes, mostly written. Q. In this case are you aware of written questions and answers that NHTSA sent to Chrysler regarding the defect petition of the Center For Auto Safety? A. Yes. Q. And do you have them with you today? A. I do not, no. Q. Basically there are written	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	their judgment, create a PE. And I understand now it's been elevated to an EA or will be elevated to an EA. And similarly, I don't know the answer to that either. MS. DE FILIPPO: Let's mark this document the next document. (NHTSA EA is received and marked Banta-20 for identification.) Q. I'm going to show you a document, Mr. Banta, that's been marked Banta-20. Have you ever seen this document before? MR. BRADLEY: I'm just going to note my objection because I believe this is all printed off the Internet. When you say this document, you mean the actual MS. DE FILIPPO: The statement contained within the document, in whatever
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And then there's an intermediate step where they may determine that they need further study and raise the level of the PE to an engineering analysis where they do a much more in-depth study and then eventually they make a determination. Q. When they you said at certain stages they decide that they're going to ask the manufacturer involved, and other manufacturers too, questions. Correct? Sometimes verbally, sometimes written. A. Yes, mostly written. Q. In this case are you aware of written questions and answers that NHTSA sent to Chrysler regarding the defect petition of the Center For Auto Safety? A. Yes. Q. And do you have them with you today? A. I do not, no. Q. Basically there are written questions and answers that happened in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	their judgment, create a PE. And I understand now it's been elevated to an EA or will be elevated to an EA. And similarly, I don't know the answer to that either. MS. DE FILIPPO: Let's mark this document the next document. (NHTSA EA is received and marked Banta-20 for identification.) Q. I'm going to show you a document, Mr. Banta, that's been marked Banta-20. Have you ever seen this document before? MR. BRADLEY: I'm just going to note my objection because I believe this is all printed off the Internet. When you say this document, you mean the actual MS. DE FILIPPO: The statement contained within the document, in whatever format it's in.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And then there's an intermediate step where they may determine that they need further study and raise the level of the PE to an engineering analysis where they do a much more in-depth study and then eventually they make a determination. Q. When they you said at certain stages they decide that they're going to ask the manufacturer involved, and other manufacturers too, questions. Correct? Sometimes verbally, sometimes written. A. Yes, mostly written. Q. In this case are you aware of written questions and answers that NHTSA sent to Chrysler regarding the defect petition of the Center For Auto Safety? A. Yes. Q. And do you have them with you today? A. I do not, no. Q. Basically there are written questions and answers that happened in this particular case and Chrysler	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	their judgment, create a PE. And I understand now it's been elevated to an EA or will be elevated to an EA. And similarly, I don't know the answer to that either. MS. DE FILIPPO: Let's mark this document the next document. (NHTSA EA is received and marked Banta-20 for identification.) Q. I'm going to show you a document, Mr. Banta, that's been marked Banta-20. Have you ever seen this document before? MR. BRADLEY: I'm just going to note my objection because I believe this is all printed off the Internet. When you say this document, you mean the actual MS. DE FILIPPO: The statement contained within the document, in whatever format it's in. THE WITNESS: I have seen this,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And then there's an intermediate step where they may determine that they need further study and raise the level of the PE to an engineering analysis where they do a much more in-depth study and then eventually they make a determination. Q. When they you said at certain stages they decide that they're going to ask the manufacturer involved, and other manufacturers too, questions. Correct? Sometimes verbally, sometimes written. A. Yes, mostly written. Q. In this case are you aware of written questions and answers that NHTSA sent to Chrysler regarding the defect petition of the Center For Auto Safety? A. Yes. Q. And do you have them with you today? A. I do not, no. Q. Basically there are written questions and answers that happened in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	their judgment, create a PE. And I understand now it's been elevated to an EA or will be elevated to an EA. And similarly, I don't know the answer to that either. MS. DE FILIPPO: Let's mark this document the next document. (NHTSA EA is received and marked Banta-20 for identification.) Q. I'm going to show you a document, Mr. Banta, that's been marked Banta-20. Have you ever seen this document before? MR. BRADLEY: I'm just going to note my objection because I believe this is all printed off the Internet. When you say this document, you mean the actual MS. DE FILIPPO: The statement contained within the document, in whatever format it's in.

	Page 54		Page 56
1	A. I have seen this, yes.	1	impact related tank failures and vehicle
2	Q. And so that is a document, a	2	fires are more prevalent in the Jeep Grand
3	statement issued by NHTSA regarding moving	3	Cherokee than in the non Jeep peer
4	the preliminary evaluation to an	4	vehicles."
5	engineering analysis. Correct?	5	Did I read that correctly?
6	A. Upgrading it from a PE to an EA.	6	A. Yes, that's correct.
7	Q. Do you know what allowed or what	7	Q. And then the Agency went on to
8	criteria was used to allow NHTSA to	8	say that, "In addition, the Agency's
9	upgrade from a PE to an engineering	9	analysis of its FARS data for the peer
10	analysis involving the Jeep Grand	10	vehicles in three Jeep models shows a
11	Cherokee?	11	higher incidence of rear impact fatal fire
12	A. You mean why NHTSA did this?	12	crashes for the Jeep products."
13	Q. Well, no, the criteria that they	13	Isn't that what it says?
14	used to determine how they would go from a	14	A. Yes.
15	preliminary evaluation to an engineering	15	Q. And then, "As a result of their
16	-	16	analysis and findings, the Office of
1	analysis.	17	Defect Investigation upgraded its
17	A. I don't know in this specific case what the elements were. But	18	investigation to an engineering analysis
18		19	and they want to determine whether the
19	typically NHTSA determines that, as a	20	subject vehicles contained a defect that
20	result of their PE analysis, they believe		,
21	more study is required. And that's	21	presents an unreasonable risk to safety."
22	apparently what happened here.	22	Correct?
23	Q. And if you look at this document,	23	A. Right.
24	it specifically indicates that NHTSA made	24	Q. One of those vehicles is in fact
25	this decision after Chrysler submitted	25	the Susan Kline vehicle that they're
	Page 55		Page 57
1		1	Page 57 investigating. Correct?
1 2	their answers to the questions to NHTSA. Correct?	1 2	
1	their answers to the questions to NHTSA. Correct?		investigating. Correct?
2	their answers to the questions to NHTSA. Correct? A. Chrysler and others.	2	investigating. Correct? A. Yes.
2 3	their answers to the questions to NHTSA. Correct? A. Chrysler and others. Q. Chrysler and others?	2	investigating. Correct? A. Yes. Q. Have you been following the NHTSA
2 3 4 5	their answers to the questions to NHTSA. Correct? A. Chrysler and others. Q. Chrysler and others? A. Yeah. I think what it says is	2 3 4	investigating. Correct? A. Yes. Q. Have you been following the NHTSA action with respect to the Jeep Grand
2 3 4 5 6	their answers to the questions to NHTSA. Correct? A. Chrysler and others. Q. Chrysler and others? A. Yeah. I think what it says is that they looked at other manufacturers as	2 3 4 5	investigating. Correct? A. Yes. Q. Have you been following the NHTSA action with respect to the Jeep Grand Cherokee during the pendency of the Kline
2 3 4 5 6 7	their answers to the questions to NHTSA. Correct? A. Chrysler and others. Q. Chrysler and others? A. Yeah. I think what it says is that they looked at other manufacturers as peer vehicles, they looked at Chrysler and	2 3 4 5 6	investigating. Correct? A. Yes. Q. Have you been following the NHTSA action with respect to the Jeep Grand Cherokee during the pendency of the Kline litigation that you've been involved in? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	their answers to the questions to NHTSA. Correct? A. Chrysler and others. Q. Chrysler and others? A. Yeah. I think what it says is that they looked at other manufacturers as peer vehicles, they looked at Chrysler and then they examined their own data as well.	2 3 4 5 6 7	investigating. Correct? A. Yes. Q. Have you been following the NHTSA action with respect to the Jeep Grand Cherokee during the pendency of the Kline litigation that you've been involved in? A. Yes. Q. So it's fair to say that you, if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	their answers to the questions to NHTSA. Correct? A. Chrysler and others. Q. Chrysler and others? A. Yeah. I think what it says is that they looked at other manufacturers as peer vehicles, they looked at Chrysler and then they examined their own data as well. Q. And NHTSA says that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	investigating. Correct? A. Yes. Q. Have you been following the NHTSA action with respect to the Jeep Grand Cherokee during the pendency of the Kline litigation that you've been involved in? A. Yes. Q. So it's fair to say that you, if you needed to know anything, and even when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	their answers to the questions to NHTSA. Correct? A. Chrysler and others. Q. Chrysler and others? A. Yeah. I think what it says is that they looked at other manufacturers as peer vehicles, they looked at Chrysler and then they examined their own data as well. Q. And NHTSA says that the information that they reviewed both from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	investigating. Correct? A. Yes. Q. Have you been following the NHTSA action with respect to the Jeep Grand Cherokee during the pendency of the Kline litigation that you've been involved in? A. Yes. Q. So it's fair to say that you, if you needed to know anything, and even when you wrote your reports I think you made
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	their answers to the questions to NHTSA. Correct? A. Chrysler and others. Q. Chrysler and others? A. Yeah. I think what it says is that they looked at other manufacturers as peer vehicles, they looked at Chrysler and then they examined their own data as well. Q. And NHTSA says that the information that they reviewed both from Chrysler and elsewhere led them to believe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	investigating. Correct? A. Yes. Q. Have you been following the NHTSA action with respect to the Jeep Grand Cherokee during the pendency of the Kline litigation that you've been involved in? A. Yes. Q. So it's fair to say that you, if you needed to know anything, and even when you wrote your reports I think you made reference to the NHTSA information, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	their answers to the questions to NHTSA. Correct? A. Chrysler and others. Q. Chrysler and others? A. Yeah. I think what it says is that they looked at other manufacturers as peer vehicles, they looked at Chrysler and then they examined their own data as well. Q. And NHTSA says that the information that they reviewed both from Chrysler and elsewhere led them to believe that rear impact related tank failures and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	investigating. Correct? A. Yes. Q. Have you been following the NHTSA action with respect to the Jeep Grand Cherokee during the pendency of the Kline litigation that you've been involved in? A. Yes. Q. So it's fair to say that you, if you needed to know anything, and even when you wrote your reports I think you made reference to the NHTSA information, you could go on the NHTSA website and get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	their answers to the questions to NHTSA. Correct? A. Chrysler and others. Q. Chrysler and others? A. Yeah. I think what it says is that they looked at other manufacturers as peer vehicles, they looked at Chrysler and then they examined their own data as well. Q. And NHTSA says that the information that they reviewed both from Chrysler and elsewhere led them to believe that rear impact related tank failures and vehicle fires are more prevalent in a Jeep	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	investigating. Correct? A. Yes. Q. Have you been following the NHTSA action with respect to the Jeep Grand Cherokee during the pendency of the Kline litigation that you've been involved in? A. Yes. Q. So it's fair to say that you, if you needed to know anything, and even when you wrote your reports I think you made reference to the NHTSA information, you could go on the NHTSA website and get whatever information you needed?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	their answers to the questions to NHTSA. Correct? A. Chrysler and others. Q. Chrysler and others? A. Yeah. I think what it says is that they looked at other manufacturers as peer vehicles, they looked at Chrysler and then they examined their own data as well. Q. And NHTSA says that the information that they reviewed both from Chrysler and elsewhere led them to believe that rear impact related tank failures and vehicle fires are more prevalent in a Jeep Grand Cherokee than in non Jeep peer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	investigating. Correct? A. Yes. Q. Have you been following the NHTSA action with respect to the Jeep Grand Cherokee during the pendency of the Kline litigation that you've been involved in? A. Yes. Q. So it's fair to say that you, if you needed to know anything, and even when you wrote your reports I think you made reference to the NHTSA information, you could go on the NHTSA website and get whatever information you needed? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	their answers to the questions to NHTSA. Correct? A. Chrysler and others. Q. Chrysler and others? A. Yeah. I think what it says is that they looked at other manufacturers as peer vehicles, they looked at Chrysler and then they examined their own data as well. Q. And NHTSA says that the information that they reviewed both from Chrysler and elsewhere led them to believe that rear impact related tank failures and vehicle fires are more prevalent in a Jeep Grand Cherokee than in non Jeep peer vehicles. Did I read that correctly?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	investigating. Correct? A. Yes. Q. Have you been following the NHTSA action with respect to the Jeep Grand Cherokee during the pendency of the Kline litigation that you've been involved in? A. Yes. Q. So it's fair to say that you, if you needed to know anything, and even when you wrote your reports I think you made reference to the NHTSA information, you could go on the NHTSA website and get whatever information you needed? A. Yes. Q. At the time. Correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	their answers to the questions to NHTSA. Correct? A. Chrysler and others. Q. Chrysler and others? A. Yeah. I think what it says is that they looked at other manufacturers as peer vehicles, they looked at Chrysler and then they examined their own data as well. Q. And NHTSA says that the information that they reviewed both from Chrysler and elsewhere led them to believe that rear impact related tank failures and vehicle fires are more prevalent in a Jeep Grand Cherokee than in non Jeep peer vehicles. Did I read that correctly? MR. BRADLEY: Is that in Banta-20?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	investigating. Correct? A. Yes. Q. Have you been following the NHTSA action with respect to the Jeep Grand Cherokee during the pendency of the Kline litigation that you've been involved in? A. Yes. Q. So it's fair to say that you, if you needed to know anything, and even when you wrote your reports I think you made reference to the NHTSA information, you could go on the NHTSA website and get whatever information you needed? A. Yes. Q. At the time. Correct? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	their answers to the questions to NHTSA. Correct? A. Chrysler and others. Q. Chrysler and others? A. Yeah. I think what it says is that they looked at other manufacturers as peer vehicles, they looked at Chrysler and then they examined their own data as well. Q. And NHTSA says that the information that they reviewed both from Chrysler and elsewhere led them to believe that rear impact related tank failures and vehicle fires are more prevalent in a Jeep Grand Cherokee than in non Jeep peer vehicles. Did I read that correctly? MR. BRADLEY: Is that in Banta-20? MS. DE FILIPPO: Yes. I'm reading	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	investigating. Correct? A. Yes. Q. Have you been following the NHTSA action with respect to the Jeep Grand Cherokee during the pendency of the Kline litigation that you've been involved in? A. Yes. Q. So it's fair to say that you, if you needed to know anything, and even when you wrote your reports I think you made reference to the NHTSA information, you could go on the NHTSA website and get whatever information you needed? A. Yes. Q. At the time. Correct? A. Yes. Q. Now, would you agree with me that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	their answers to the questions to NHTSA. Correct? A. Chrysler and others. Q. Chrysler and others? A. Yeah. I think what it says is that they looked at other manufacturers as peer vehicles, they looked at Chrysler and then they examined their own data as well. Q. And NHTSA says that the information that they reviewed both from Chrysler and elsewhere led them to believe that rear impact related tank failures and vehicle fires are more prevalent in a Jeep Grand Cherokee than in non Jeep peer vehicles. Did I read that correctly? MR. BRADLEY: Is that in Banta-20? MS. DE FILIPPO: Yes. I'm reading from it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	investigating. Correct? A. Yes. Q. Have you been following the NHTSA action with respect to the Jeep Grand Cherokee during the pendency of the Kline litigation that you've been involved in? A. Yes. Q. So it's fair to say that you, if you needed to know anything, and even when you wrote your reports I think you made reference to the NHTSA information, you could go on the NHTSA website and get whatever information you needed? A. Yes. Q. At the time. Correct? A. Yes. Q. Now, would you agree with me that the NHTSA NHTSA requires the federal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	their answers to the questions to NHTSA. Correct? A. Chrysler and others. Q. Chrysler and others? A. Yeah. I think what it says is that they looked at other manufacturers as peer vehicles, they looked at Chrysler and then they examined their own data as well. Q. And NHTSA says that the information that they reviewed both from Chrysler and elsewhere led them to believe that rear impact related tank failures and vehicle fires are more prevalent in a Jeep Grand Cherokee than in non Jeep peer vehicles. Did I read that correctly? MR. BRADLEY: Is that in Banta-20? MS. DE FILIPPO: Yes. I'm reading from it. THE WITNESS: Except they say the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	investigating. Correct? A. Yes. Q. Have you been following the NHTSA action with respect to the Jeep Grand Cherokee during the pendency of the Kline litigation that you've been involved in? A. Yes. Q. So it's fair to say that you, if you needed to know anything, and even when you wrote your reports I think you made reference to the NHTSA information, you could go on the NHTSA website and get whatever information you needed? A. Yes. Q. At the time. Correct? A. Yes. Q. Now, would you agree with me that the NHTSA NHTSA requires the federal government requires that auto makers in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	their answers to the questions to NHTSA. Correct? A. Chrysler and others. Q. Chrysler and others? A. Yeah. I think what it says is that they looked at other manufacturers as peer vehicles, they looked at Chrysler and then they examined their own data as well. Q. And NHTSA says that the information that they reviewed both from Chrysler and elsewhere led them to believe that rear impact related tank failures and vehicle fires are more prevalent in a Jeep Grand Cherokee than in non Jeep peer vehicles. Did I read that correctly? MR. BRADLEY: Is that in Banta-20? MS. DE FILIPPO: Yes. I'm reading from it. THE WITNESS: Except they say the rear impact related tank failures and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	investigating. Correct? A. Yes. Q. Have you been following the NHTSA action with respect to the Jeep Grand Cherokee during the pendency of the Kline litigation that you've been involved in? A. Yes. Q. So it's fair to say that you, if you needed to know anything, and even when you wrote your reports I think you made reference to the NHTSA information, you could go on the NHTSA website and get whatever information you needed? A. Yes. Q. At the time. Correct? A. Yes. Q. Now, would you agree with me that the NHTSA NHTSA requires the federal government requires that auto makers in exercising prudent engineering judgment
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	their answers to the questions to NHTSA. Correct? A. Chrysler and others. Q. Chrysler and others? A. Yeah. I think what it says is that they looked at other manufacturers as peer vehicles, they looked at Chrysler and then they examined their own data as well. Q. And NHTSA says that the information that they reviewed both from Chrysler and elsewhere led them to believe that rear impact related tank failures and vehicle fires are more prevalent in a Jeep Grand Cherokee than in non Jeep peer vehicles. Did I read that correctly? MR. BRADLEY: Is that in Banta-20? MS. DE FILIPPO: Yes. I'm reading from it. THE WITNESS: Except they say the rear impact related tank failures and vehicle fires are more prevalent.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	investigating. Correct? A. Yes. Q. Have you been following the NHTSA action with respect to the Jeep Grand Cherokee during the pendency of the Kline litigation that you've been involved in? A. Yes. Q. So it's fair to say that you, if you needed to know anything, and even when you wrote your reports I think you made reference to the NHTSA information, you could go on the NHTSA website and get whatever information you needed? A. Yes. Q. At the time. Correct? A. Yes. Q. Now, would you agree with me that the NHTSA NHTSA requires the federal government requires that auto makers in exercising prudent engineering judgment select the worst case configurations for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	their answers to the questions to NHTSA. Correct? A. Chrysler and others. Q. Chrysler and others? A. Yeah. I think what it says is that they looked at other manufacturers as peer vehicles, they looked at Chrysler and then they examined their own data as well. Q. And NHTSA says that the information that they reviewed both from Chrysler and elsewhere led them to believe that rear impact related tank failures and vehicle fires are more prevalent in a Jeep Grand Cherokee than in non Jeep peer vehicles. Did I read that correctly? MR. BRADLEY: Is that in Banta-20? MS. DE FILIPPO: Yes. I'm reading from it. THE WITNESS: Except they say the rear impact related tank failures and vehicle fires are more prevalent. Q. Let me read the sentence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	investigating. Correct? A. Yes. Q. Have you been following the NHTSA action with respect to the Jeep Grand Cherokee during the pendency of the Kline litigation that you've been involved in? A. Yes. Q. So it's fair to say that you, if you needed to know anything, and even when you wrote your reports I think you made reference to the NHTSA information, you could go on the NHTSA website and get whatever information you needed? A. Yes. Q. At the time. Correct? A. Yes. Q. Now, would you agree with me that the NHTSA NHTSA requires the federal government requires that auto makers in exercising prudent engineering judgment select the worst case configurations for testing?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	their answers to the questions to NHTSA. Correct? A. Chrysler and others. Q. Chrysler and others? A. Yeah. I think what it says is that they looked at other manufacturers as peer vehicles, they looked at Chrysler and then they examined their own data as well. Q. And NHTSA says that the information that they reviewed both from Chrysler and elsewhere led them to believe that rear impact related tank failures and vehicle fires are more prevalent in a Jeep Grand Cherokee than in non Jeep peer vehicles. Did I read that correctly? MR. BRADLEY: Is that in Banta-20? MS. DE FILIPPO: Yes. I'm reading from it. THE WITNESS: Except they say the rear impact related tank failures and vehicle fires are more prevalent. Q. Let me read the sentence. "NHTSA assessment of the data	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	investigating. Correct? A. Yes. Q. Have you been following the NHTSA action with respect to the Jeep Grand Cherokee during the pendency of the Kline litigation that you've been involved in? A. Yes. Q. So it's fair to say that you, if you needed to know anything, and even when you wrote your reports I think you made reference to the NHTSA information, you could go on the NHTSA website and get whatever information you needed? A. Yes. Q. At the time. Correct? A. Yes. Q. Now, would you agree with me that the NHTSA NHTSA requires the federal government requires that auto makers in exercising prudent engineering judgment select the worst case configurations for testing? A. Yes, that's right. You do not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	their answers to the questions to NHTSA. Correct? A. Chrysler and others. Q. Chrysler and others? A. Yeah. I think what it says is that they looked at other manufacturers as peer vehicles, they looked at Chrysler and then they examined their own data as well. Q. And NHTSA says that the information that they reviewed both from Chrysler and elsewhere led them to believe that rear impact related tank failures and vehicle fires are more prevalent in a Jeep Grand Cherokee than in non Jeep peer vehicles. Did I read that correctly? MR. BRADLEY: Is that in Banta-20? MS. DE FILIPPO: Yes. I'm reading from it. THE WITNESS: Except they say the rear impact related tank failures and vehicle fires are more prevalent. Q. Let me read the sentence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	investigating. Correct? A. Yes. Q. Have you been following the NHTSA action with respect to the Jeep Grand Cherokee during the pendency of the Kline litigation that you've been involved in? A. Yes. Q. So it's fair to say that you, if you needed to know anything, and even when you wrote your reports I think you made reference to the NHTSA information, you could go on the NHTSA website and get whatever information you needed? A. Yes. Q. At the time. Correct? A. Yes. Q. Now, would you agree with me that the NHTSA NHTSA requires the federal government requires that auto makers in exercising prudent engineering judgment select the worst case configurations for testing?

	Page 58	,	Page 60
1	worst case configurations.	1	of March again, I'm using mine, which
2	MS. DE FILIPPO: I want to mark	2	is the 14th, but I know that yours is the
3	this document.	3	24th.
4		4	MR. BRADLEY: I have an extra copy
5	(NHTSA document dated May 1997 is	5	of the 24th.
6	received and marked Banta-21 for	6	Q. If you look at page two of your
7	identification.)	7	report, Mr. Banta
8	,	8	MR. BRADLEY: I'm just going to
9	Q. Mr. Banta, just look at what's	9	object because you have the March 14th
10	been marked Banta-21 and verify, if you	10	report and he has the March 24th report.
11	would, that there's a statement from NHTSA	11	Since that was a change by prior counsel I
12	in that NHTSA document involving what we	12	don't know if there are any changes. So
13	just talked about, that NHTSA will require	13	page two in your report might not be page
14	with prudent engineering a manufacturer to	14	two. So that's why I think we should go
15	test the worst case.	15	off of the 24th.
16	MR. BRADLEY: I'm going to object	16	MS. DE FILIPPO: I think we
17	because I don't think you've asked if he's	17	already said there's no difference but the
18	ever seen the document.	18	change on the WJ and WK.
19	MS. DE FILIPPO: He's looking at	19	MR. BRADLEY: I believe that's
20	it now. I asked him to look at it now and	20	between the March 24th and the April
21	verify in fact it represents what we just	21	report.
22	talked about, the statements that we just	22	THE WITNESS: Right.
23	talked about.	23	MS. DE FILIPPO: Well, then, let's
24	MR. BRADLEY: When you said the	24	look at the April and I'll refer to my
25	statements that we just talked about, you	25	notes as we go along.
	Page 59		Page 61
1	Page 59 mean specifically about worst case	1	Q. If you look at page two of your
1 2	·	1 2	Q. If you look at page two of your April report
	mean specifically about worst case configurations? MS. DE FILIPPO: That's correct.	1	Q. If you look at page two of yourApril reportA. I don't have that. The amended
2	mean specifically about worst case configurations?	2 3 4	Q. If you look at page two of your April report A. I don't have that. The amended report? The difference between the 24th
2 3	mean specifically about worst case configurations? MS. DE FILIPPO: That's correct. Q. I just want you to verify that in fact that document expresses NHTSA'S	2 3 4 5	Q. If you look at page two of your April report A. I don't have that. The amended report? The difference between the 24th the March and April reports is just one
2 3 4	mean specifically about worst case configurations? MS. DE FILIPPO: That's correct. Q. I just want you to verify that in	2 3 4 5 6	Q. If you look at page two of your April report A. I don't have that. The amended report? The difference between the 24th the March and April reports is just one letter and we can use them
2 3 4 5	mean specifically about worst case configurations? MS. DE FILIPPO: That's correct. Q. I just want you to verify that in fact that document expresses NHTSA'S	2 3 4 5	Q. If you look at page two of your April report A. I don't have that. The amended report? The difference between the 24th the March and April reports is just one letter and we can use them interchangeably.
2 3 4 5 6	mean specifically about worst case configurations? MS. DE FILIPPO: That's correct. Q. I just want you to verify that in fact that document expresses NHTSA'S position with respect to testing worst case scenarios. A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. If you look at page two of your April report A. I don't have that. The amended report? The difference between the 24th the March and April reports is just one letter and we can use them interchangeably. Q. We're looking at, for the record,
2 3 4 5 6 7	mean specifically about worst case configurations? MS. DE FILIPPO: That's correct. Q. I just want you to verify that in fact that document expresses NHTSA'S position with respect to testing worst case scenarios. A. Yes. MR. BRADLEY: I also want the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. If you look at page two of your April report A. I don't have that. The amended report? The difference between the 24th the March and April reports is just one letter and we can use them interchangeably. Q. We're looking at, for the record, Banta-17. If you look at page two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	mean specifically about worst case configurations? MS. DE FILIPPO: That's correct. Q. I just want you to verify that in fact that document expresses NHTSA'S position with respect to testing worst case scenarios. A. Yes. MR. BRADLEY: I also want the record to reflect that this is about Dodge	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. If you look at page two of your April report A. I don't have that. The amended report? The difference between the 24th the March and April reports is just one letter and we can use them interchangeably. Q. We're looking at, for the record, Banta-17. If you look at page two A. Page two.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	mean specifically about worst case configurations? MS. DE FILIPPO: That's correct. Q. I just want you to verify that in fact that document expresses NHTSA'S position with respect to testing worst case scenarios. A. Yes. MR. BRADLEY: I also want the record to reflect that this is about Dodge Rams according to the title of Banta-21.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. If you look at page two of your April report A. I don't have that. The amended report? The difference between the 24th the March and April reports is just one letter and we can use them interchangeably. Q. We're looking at, for the record, Banta-17. If you look at page two A. Page two. Q. You indicate in paragraph number
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	mean specifically about worst case configurations? MS. DE FILIPPO: That's correct. Q. I just want you to verify that in fact that document expresses NHTSA'S position with respect to testing worst case scenarios. A. Yes. MR. BRADLEY: I also want the record to reflect that this is about Dodge Rams according to the title of Banta-21. MS. DE FILIPPO: And the record	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. If you look at page two of your April report A. I don't have that. The amended report? The difference between the 24th the March and April reports is just one letter and we can use them interchangeably. Q. We're looking at, for the record, Banta-17. If you look at page two A. Page two. Q. You indicate in paragraph number three and I'll read it, "The author was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	mean specifically about worst case configurations? MS. DE FILIPPO: That's correct. Q. I just want you to verify that in fact that document expresses NHTSA'S position with respect to testing worst case scenarios. A. Yes. MR. BRADLEY: I also want the record to reflect that this is about Dodge Rams according to the title of Banta-21. MS. DE FILIPPO: And the record should reflect that the Dodge Ram is not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. If you look at page two of your April report A. I don't have that. The amended report? The difference between the 24th the March and April reports is just one letter and we can use them interchangeably. Q. We're looking at, for the record, Banta-17. If you look at page two A. Page two. Q. You indicate in paragraph number three and I'll read it, "The author was requested to study, analyze and determine
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	mean specifically about worst case configurations? MS. DE FILIPPO: That's correct. Q. I just want you to verify that in fact that document expresses NHTSA'S position with respect to testing worst case scenarios. A. Yes. MR. BRADLEY: I also want the record to reflect that this is about Dodge Rams according to the title of Banta-21. MS. DE FILIPPO: And the record should reflect that the Dodge Ram is not it's not confined to the Dodge Ram with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. If you look at page two of your April report A. I don't have that. The amended report? The difference between the 24th the March and April reports is just one letter and we can use them interchangeably. Q. We're looking at, for the record, Banta-17. If you look at page two A. Page two. Q. You indicate in paragraph number three and I'll read it, "The author was requested to study, analyze and determine the cause and origin of the vehicle fire."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	mean specifically about worst case configurations? MS. DE FILIPPO: That's correct. Q. I just want you to verify that in fact that document expresses NHTSA'S position with respect to testing worst case scenarios. A. Yes. MR. BRADLEY: I also want the record to reflect that this is about Dodge Rams according to the title of Banta-21. MS. DE FILIPPO: And the record should reflect that the Dodge Ram is not it's not confined to the Dodge Ram with respect to its statement about the worst	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. If you look at page two of your April report A. I don't have that. The amended report? The difference between the 24th the March and April reports is just one letter and we can use them interchangeably. Q. We're looking at, for the record, Banta-17. If you look at page two A. Page two. Q. You indicate in paragraph number three and I'll read it, "The author was requested to study, analyze and determine the cause and origin of the vehicle fire." A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	mean specifically about worst case configurations? MS. DE FILIPPO: That's correct. Q. I just want you to verify that in fact that document expresses NHTSA'S position with respect to testing worst case scenarios. A. Yes. MR. BRADLEY: I also want the record to reflect that this is about Dodge Rams according to the title of Banta-21. MS. DE FILIPPO: And the record should reflect that the Dodge Ram is not it's not confined to the Dodge Ram with respect to its statement about the worst case. But let me ask the witness.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. If you look at page two of your April report A. I don't have that. The amended report? The difference between the 24th the March and April reports is just one letter and we can use them interchangeably. Q. We're looking at, for the record, Banta-17. If you look at page two A. Page two. Q. You indicate in paragraph number three and I'll read it, "The author was requested to study, analyze and determine the cause and origin of the vehicle fire." A. Yes. Q. Did you determine the cause and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	mean specifically about worst case configurations? MS. DE FILIPPO: That's correct. Q. I just want you to verify that in fact that document expresses NHTSA'S position with respect to testing worst case scenarios. A. Yes. MR. BRADLEY: I also want the record to reflect that this is about Dodge Rams according to the title of Banta-21. MS. DE FILIPPO: And the record should reflect that the Dodge Ram is not it's not confined to the Dodge Ram with respect to its statement about the worst case. But let me ask the witness. Q. That NHTSA policy and requirement	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. If you look at page two of your April report A. I don't have that. The amended report? The difference between the 24th the March and April reports is just one letter and we can use them interchangeably. Q. We're looking at, for the record, Banta-17. If you look at page two A. Page two. Q. You indicate in paragraph number three and I'll read it, "The author was requested to study, analyze and determine the cause and origin of the vehicle fire." A. Yes. Q. Did you determine the cause and origin of the vehicle fire?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	mean specifically about worst case configurations? MS. DE FILIPPO: That's correct. Q. I just want you to verify that in fact that document expresses NHTSA'S position with respect to testing worst case scenarios. A. Yes. MR. BRADLEY: I also want the record to reflect that this is about Dodge Rams according to the title of Banta-21. MS. DE FILIPPO: And the record should reflect that the Dodge Ram is not it's not confined to the Dodge Ram with respect to its statement about the worst case. But let me ask the witness. Q. That NHTSA policy and requirement about testing the worst case is not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. If you look at page two of your April report A. I don't have that. The amended report? The difference between the 24th the March and April reports is just one letter and we can use them interchangeably. Q. We're looking at, for the record, Banta-17. If you look at page two A. Page two. Q. You indicate in paragraph number three and I'll read it, "The author was requested to study, analyze and determine the cause and origin of the vehicle fire." A. Yes. Q. Did you determine the cause and origin of the vehicle fire? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	mean specifically about worst case configurations? MS. DE FILIPPO: That's correct. Q. I just want you to verify that in fact that document expresses NHTSA'S position with respect to testing worst case scenarios. A. Yes. MR. BRADLEY: I also want the record to reflect that this is about Dodge Rams according to the title of Banta-21. MS. DE FILIPPO: And the record should reflect that the Dodge Ram is not it's not confined to the Dodge Ram with respect to its statement about the worst case. But let me ask the witness. Q. That NHTSA policy and requirement about testing the worst case is not confined to one vehicle. It's every	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. If you look at page two of your April report A. I don't have that. The amended report? The difference between the 24th the March and April reports is just one letter and we can use them interchangeably. Q. We're looking at, for the record, Banta-17. If you look at page two A. Page two. Q. You indicate in paragraph number three and I'll read it, "The author was requested to study, analyze and determine the cause and origin of the vehicle fire." A. Yes. Q. Did you determine the cause and origin of the vehicle fire? A. Yes. Q. What was the cause and origin of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	mean specifically about worst case configurations? MS. DE FILIPPO: That's correct. Q. I just want you to verify that in fact that document expresses NHTSA'S position with respect to testing worst case scenarios. A. Yes. MR. BRADLEY: I also want the record to reflect that this is about Dodge Rams according to the title of Banta-21. MS. DE FILIPPO: And the record should reflect that the Dodge Ram is not it's not confined to the Dodge Ram with respect to its statement about the worst case. But let me ask the witness. Q. That NHTSA policy and requirement about testing the worst case is not confined to one vehicle. It's every vehicle?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. If you look at page two of your April report A. I don't have that. The amended report? The difference between the 24th the March and April reports is just one letter and we can use them interchangeably. Q. We're looking at, for the record, Banta-17. If you look at page two A. Page two. Q. You indicate in paragraph number three and I'll read it, "The author was requested to study, analyze and determine the cause and origin of the vehicle fire." A. Yes. Q. Did you determine the cause and origin of the vehicle fire? A. Yes. Q. What was the cause and origin of the vehicle fire?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	mean specifically about worst case configurations? MS. DE FILIPPO: That's correct. Q. I just want you to verify that in fact that document expresses NHTSA'S position with respect to testing worst case scenarios. A. Yes. MR. BRADLEY: I also want the record to reflect that this is about Dodge Rams according to the title of Banta-21. MS. DE FILIPPO: And the record should reflect that the Dodge Ram is not it's not confined to the Dodge Ram with respect to its statement about the worst case. But let me ask the witness. Q. That NHTSA policy and requirement about testing the worst case is not confined to one vehicle. It's every vehicle? A. Oh, yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. If you look at page two of your April report A. I don't have that. The amended report? The difference between the 24th the March and April reports is just one letter and we can use them interchangeably. Q. We're looking at, for the record, Banta-17. If you look at page two A. Page two. Q. You indicate in paragraph number three and I'll read it, "The author was requested to study, analyze and determine the cause and origin of the vehicle fire." A. Yes. Q. Did you determine the cause and origin of the vehicle fire? A. Yes. Q. What was the cause and origin of the vehicle fire? A. The cause of the fire was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	mean specifically about worst case configurations? MS. DE FILIPPO: That's correct. Q. I just want you to verify that in fact that document expresses NHTSA'S position with respect to testing worst case scenarios. A. Yes. MR. BRADLEY: I also want the record to reflect that this is about Dodge Rams according to the title of Banta-21. MS. DE FILIPPO: And the record should reflect that the Dodge Ram is not it's not confined to the Dodge Ram with respect to its statement about the worst case. But let me ask the witness. Q. That NHTSA policy and requirement about testing the worst case is not confined to one vehicle. It's every vehicle? A. Oh, yeah. Q. Every manufacturer. Correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. If you look at page two of your April report A. I don't have that. The amended report? The difference between the 24th the March and April reports is just one letter and we can use them interchangeably. Q. We're looking at, for the record, Banta-17. If you look at page two A. Page two. Q. You indicate in paragraph number three and I'll read it, "The author was requested to study, analyze and determine the cause and origin of the vehicle fire." A. Yes. Q. Did you determine the cause and origin of the vehicle fire? A. Yes. Q. What was the cause and origin of the vehicle fire? A. The cause of the fire was excessive fuel leakage from the fuel tank
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	mean specifically about worst case configurations? MS. DE FILIPPO: That's correct. Q. I just want you to verify that in fact that document expresses NHTSA'S position with respect to testing worst case scenarios. A. Yes. MR. BRADLEY: I also want the record to reflect that this is about Dodge Rams according to the title of Banta-21. MS. DE FILIPPO: And the record should reflect that the Dodge Ram is not it's not confined to the Dodge Ram with respect to its statement about the worst case. But let me ask the witness. Q. That NHTSA policy and requirement about testing the worst case is not confined to one vehicle. It's every vehicle? A. Oh, yeah. Q. Every manufacturer. Correct? A. That's right. That's a common	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. If you look at page two of your April report A. I don't have that. The amended report? The difference between the 24th the March and April reports is just one letter and we can use them interchangeably. Q. We're looking at, for the record, Banta-17. If you look at page two A. Page two. Q. You indicate in paragraph number three and I'll read it, "The author was requested to study, analyze and determine the cause and origin of the vehicle fire." A. Yes. Q. Did you determine the cause and origin of the vehicle fire? A. Yes. Q. What was the cause and origin of the vehicle fire? A. The cause of the fire was excessive fuel leakage from the fuel tank area and the origin was the rear of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	mean specifically about worst case configurations? MS. DE FILIPPO: That's correct. Q. I just want you to verify that in fact that document expresses NHTSA'S position with respect to testing worst case scenarios. A. Yes. MR. BRADLEY: I also want the record to reflect that this is about Dodge Rams according to the title of Banta-21. MS. DE FILIPPO: And the record should reflect that the Dodge Ram is not it's not confined to the Dodge Ram with respect to its statement about the worst case. But let me ask the witness. Q. That NHTSA policy and requirement about testing the worst case is not confined to one vehicle. It's every vehicle? A. Oh, yeah. Q. Every manufacturer. Correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. If you look at page two of your April report A. I don't have that. The amended report? The difference between the 24th the March and April reports is just one letter and we can use them interchangeably. Q. We're looking at, for the record, Banta-17. If you look at page two A. Page two. Q. You indicate in paragraph number three and I'll read it, "The author was requested to study, analyze and determine the cause and origin of the vehicle fire." A. Yes. Q. Did you determine the cause and origin of the vehicle fire? A. Yes. Q. What was the cause and origin of the vehicle fire? A. The cause of the fire was excessive fuel leakage from the fuel tank

			17 (14900 02 00 00)
	Page 62		Page 64
1	were also evaluating technical issues	1	report that you don't agree with?
2	raised by other involved parties.	2	A. I'd have to read the report.
3	What parties are we talking	3	Q. Do you have it with you?
4	about?	4	A. No.
5	A. Generally that's directed at	5	Q. I'm going to ask you to read it
6	allegations made by plaintiff's experts.	6	at some point in time and advise me what
7	Q. Well, in this case, not	7	you don't agree with.
8	generally. I want to be specific to this	8	A. Okay.
9	case. So what other involved parties are	9	Q. And let me ask you this. Have
10	we referring to in that sentence?	10	you placed a statement anywhere in either
11	A. The technical experts of the	11	of your reports indicating any part of
12	plaintiff.	12	Bush's report that you don't agree with
13	Q. Just the plaintiff?	13	with respect to cause and origin of the
14	A. Yeah.	14	fire?
15	Q. Okay. So now having said that	15	MR. BRADLEY: I'm just going to
16	we're talking about the technical experts	16	note my objection about the rereading of
17	of the plaintiff as the other involved	17	Bush's report and evaluation. We'll take
18	parties, can you tell me what the	18	it under advisement.
19	technical issues that you evaluated were?	19	MS. DE FILIPPO: Fine. You know
20	A. Where are you reading?	20	what, let me go back to my question.
21	Q. That same sentence.	21	Q. Can you indicate where in any of
22	A. Oh, I'm sorry. To the extent	22	your reports that we've marked here today
23	that plaintiff's experts made allegations	23	you make any statements where you indicate
24	about the location of the fuel tank, or	24	that you take issue with anything
25	the performance of the fuel tank, or the	25	expressed by Mr. Bush?
	Page 63		Page 65
		1	MR. BRADLEY: With regard to the
1	nature of the fire, or the cause and	1 2	_
2	origin of the fire.	3	cause and origin? MS. DE FILIPPO: At any time. I'm
3	Q. Is that all?		starting with the general.
4	A. I think so.	4 5	THE WITNESS: I didn't
5	Q. So it would be location of the	-	MR. BRADLEY: Why don't you look
6	fuel tank, cause and origin of the fire?	6 7	•
7	A. And behavior of the fuel system.	•	at them.
8	Q. And behavior. Okay. Let's talk	8	THE WITNESS: I didn't spend a
9	about the cause and origin of the fire.	9	lot of time on Bush's report because I, frankly, view him as a lightweight and
10	You read Mr. Bush's report.	10 11	he's not well schooled in motor vehicles
11	Correct?	12	and I tend to read his report and say, oh,
12	A. I did.	13	yeah, another Bush report.
13	Q. And you agreed? Both of you agree	13	
14	about the cause and origin of the fire.	15	Q. Okay, but now we're here and I know you're going to come to court and I
15	Right?	16	just want to be prepared as I should be as
16	A. Generally, yes.	17	to whether or not you're going you have
17	Q. So you evaluated the issue about	18	expressed any
18	cause and origin of the fire and basically	19	A. If you give me a copy of the Bush
19	evaluated it the way that Mr. Bush did, plaintiff's expert?	20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	neamilies expert/	₁ ∠ U	report, I'd be glad to critique it.
20	•	21	O I'm not acking you that I'm
20	A. Well, I don't want to adopt Mr.	21	Q. I'm not asking you that. I'm
20 21 22	A. Well, I don't want to adopt Mr. Bush's report in its entirety, but to the	22	asking you to look at your reports and
20 21 22 23	A. Well, I don't want to adopt Mr. Bush's report in its entirety, but to the extent that Mr. Bush led a fuel system	22 23	asking you to look at your reports and indicate to me, is there any portion of
20 21 22	A. Well, I don't want to adopt Mr. Bush's report in its entirety, but to the	22	asking you to look at your reports and

	Page 66		Page 68
1	Bush and you've indicated so in your	1	plaintiff at all and the plaintiff.
2	reports.	2	A. In my report there are both
3	A. No, I did not say in either of my	3	reports, there are responses to claims
4	reports that Mr. Bush said this, my	4	made by various plaintiff experts.
5	reaction is that. But conceptually Mr.	5	Q. About cause and origin of the
6	Bush may have echoed the same thing that	6	fire?
7	other experts said. Rather than focusing	7	A. Well, I don't know how to answer
8	on the person, I focus on the issues.	8	that. There's a crash and there's a fire.
9	Q. Well, I think you did make	9	And I talk about both.
10	reference to people in your reports and	10	Q. Let's go back. You said that the
	things that they have said in their	11	cause of the fire was excessive fuel
11	•	12	leakage from the fuel tank and the origin
12	reports. And I think you'll agree with	13	of the fire was the rear of the vehicle.
13	me, because if you look at your September	14	Is there anything expressed in any of your
14	report you certainly make issue of Mr.	15	reports in which you indicate that you
15	Sheridan and Mr. Hannemann.	16	take issue with the plaintiff's expression
16	A. Yes.	17	of the cause and origin of the fire by
17	Q. I'm asking you, did you take	1	
18	issue with anything that Mr. Bush said?	18	anyone?
19	A. I would need to look at his	19	A. I would have to go back and
20	report and answer that. I just don't know	20	reread their reports. I don't remember.
21	the answer to that. I didn't write a	21	I can tell you this, that the cause and
22	report specifically addressing the things	22	origin of the fire is fuel leakage from
23	that Mr. Bush said.	23	the fuel tank and I don't think that
24	Q. Well, with respect to cause and	24	anybody on the plaintiff's side had any
25	origin of the fire, did you feel the need	25	other theory or expressed any other
	Page 67		Page 69
1	in any of your reports to indicate that	1	conclusion. I think we're generally in
2	your opinion was different than Mr. Bush's	2	agreement on that. There's no question
3	or deviated from what Mr. Bush said about	3	that there was a substantial fuel leakage
4	cause and origin of the fire?	4	from that fuel system. I don't know if
5	A. I need to read Mr. Bush's report	5	that's where you're going, but I think we
6	again. My memory isn't that good.	6	all agree on that.
7	Q. Well, did you indicate anywhere	7	Q. If you look at page two again,
8	in your reports about anything that the	8	paragraph number three, your last sentence
9	plaintiff said at any time about cause and	9	says, "The purpose of the investigation
10	origin of the fire that you took issue	10	and analysis is to determine the origin
11	with?	11	and causation factors of the fire and
12	A. I don't know the answer to that.	12	describe certain vehicle design and
13	Some of the things I said in my report may	13	testing considerations relative to this
1 + 2	Some of the units I said in the report may	1	results and results and results and severe
111		1 4	incident "
14	have related to Bush without saying Bush	14 15	incident." Did you describe certain vehicle
15	have related to Bush without saying Bush said this.	15	Did you describe certain vehicle
15 16	have related to Bush without saying Bush said this. Q. No, I'm not talking about Bush	15 16	Did you describe certain vehicle design and testing considerations relative
15 16 17	have related to Bush without saying Bush said this. Q. No, I'm not talking about Bush now. The next question was not about	15 16 17	Did you describe certain vehicle design and testing considerations relative to this incident?
15 16 17 18	have related to Bush without saying Bush said this. Q. No, I'm not talking about Bush now. The next question was not about Bush.	15 16 17 18	Did you describe certain vehicle design and testing considerations relative to this incident? A. Yes.
15 16 17 18 19	have related to Bush without saying Bush said this. Q. No, I'm not talking about Bush now. The next question was not about Bush. MS. DE FILIPPO: Can you read back	15 16 17 18 19	Did you describe certain vehicle design and testing considerations relative to this incident? A. Yes. Q. Can you show me where you
15 16 17 18 19 20	have related to Bush without saying Bush said this. Q. No, I'm not talking about Bush now. The next question was not about Bush. MS. DE FILIPPO: Can you read back my last question?	15 16 17 18 19 20	Did you describe certain vehicle design and testing considerations relative to this incident? A. Yes. Q. Can you show me where you described vehicle design and testing
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	have related to Bush without saying Bush said this. Q. No, I'm not talking about Bush now. The next question was not about Bush. MS. DE FILIPPO: Can you read back my last question? (Whereupon the previous question	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Did you describe certain vehicle design and testing considerations relative to this incident? A. Yes. Q. Can you show me where you described vehicle design and testing considerations relative to this incident?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	have related to Bush without saying Bush said this. Q. No, I'm not talking about Bush now. The next question was not about Bush. MS. DE FILIPPO: Can you read back my last question? (Whereupon the previous question is read back.)	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Did you describe certain vehicle design and testing considerations relative to this incident? A. Yes. Q. Can you show me where you described vehicle design and testing considerations relative to this incident? A. Page five under "Summary,"
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	have related to Bush without saying Bush said this. Q. No, I'm not talking about Bush now. The next question was not about Bush. MS. DE FILIPPO: Can you read back my last question? (Whereupon the previous question is read back.) THE WITNESS: Well, who is	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Did you describe certain vehicle design and testing considerations relative to this incident? A. Yes. Q. Can you show me where you described vehicle design and testing considerations relative to this incident? A. Page five under "Summary," paragraphs two and three.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	have related to Bush without saying Bush said this. Q. No, I'm not talking about Bush now. The next question was not about Bush. MS. DE FILIPPO: Can you read back my last question? (Whereupon the previous question is read back.)	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Did you describe certain vehicle design and testing considerations relative to this incident? A. Yes. Q. Can you show me where you described vehicle design and testing considerations relative to this incident? A. Page five under "Summary,"

			±3 (±ages 10 00 .0)
	Page 70		Page 72
1	any known rear impact design constant or	1	your answer are what you believe to be the
2	objective.	2	vehicle design and testing considerations
3	Paragraph three, there's no known	3	relative to the Kline accident?
4	test there are no known test	4	A. Yes.
5	requirements that describes fuel system	5	Q. Now, did you ever indicate in
6	integrity at speeds in this range.	6	your report of March 14th of 2011 I'm
7	Q. Okay. Let's go back I'm	7	sorry, for you it's March 24th or
8	sorry, are you finished?	8	April 4th of 2011. Did you ever indicate
9	A. I'm still reading.	9	that the Jeep, Susan Kline Jeep, stayed
10	Q. Go ahead.	10	attached to the Toyota?
11	A. My memory is not that good, I	11	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
12	have to read.	12	objection. What do you mean, stayed
13	The bottom of page five, "There	13	attached?
14	has been no definitive study or research	14	MS. DE FILIPPO: After impact.
15	that has ever identified a skid plate or	15	THE WITNESS: Stayed engaged? The
16	trailer hitch as a fuel leakage mitigation	16	two vehicles stayed engaged?
17	device."	17	Q. They never separated.
18	Q. Page five?	18	A. I don't believe I did. I don't
19	A. Page five, yes, at the bottom.	19	have memory of that.
20	The very last sentence.	20	Q. Are you going to be indicating at
21	Q. I don't have a sentence on the	21	trial any opinions regarding whether or
22	bottom of page five.	22	not the Jeep and the Toyota separated upon
23	MR. BRADLEY: Which report are you	23	impact or stayed attached prior to the
24	he has the April 4, 2011. That's what	24	second impact with the Legacy?
25	he's referring to.	25	A. I view that as a reconstruction
23	nes teterring to.	20	
	Page 71		Page 73
1	Q. Are you done?	1	issue and I think that's appropriately in
2	A. No.	2	the reconstructor's hands.
. 3	Q. Go ahead.	3	Q. So the answer is no, you're not
4	A. Under "Vehicle Structure,"	4	going to offer testimony about your
5	paragraph two, "The design objective for	5	opinions with respect to that. Correct?
6	management of the post collision fire	6	A. My opinion would only be based
7	event is to control gasoline leakage and	7	upon their conclusions.
8	therefore reduce the risk of a fire	8	Q. Now, if you look at page two
9	starting."	9	again
10	Under "Impact Severity," the	10	A. Of?
11	Hannemann claim about fire magnitudes is	11	Q. Of the report we've been staying
12	explained in the second paragraph.	12	on.
13	Under "Alternative Design" for	13	You indicate on page two under
14	Hannemann where he talks about the	14	"Background" in paragraph number three,
15	Explorer being a better alternative design	15	"The driver of the second vehicle, the
16	for tank location, that's explained in	16	Grand Cherokee, reacted by nearly
17	paragraph three.	17	stopping."
18	Item six under "Sheridan" talks	18	And I think we discussed that
19	about no other competitive sport utility	19	your definition of "nearly stopping" was
	vehicle with a fuel tank after the rear	20	five miles an hour or less. Is that
120		21	what
20	axle, and of course that's not true. The	_ Z	
21	axle, and of course that's not true. The Blazer and Mercedes Benz and Hummer and a		
21 22	Blazer and Mercedes Benz and Hummer and a	22	MR. BRADLEY: Discussed today?
21			

	Page 74		Page 76
1	correct, yeah. An extremely low speed or	1	high speed or that there even was high
2	stopped.	2	speed other than assuming that the
3	Q. Is it your testimony or are you	3	reconstructionists are correct?
4	going to be testifying that it is your	4	A. Yeah. Well, my definition of
5	opinion that the driver of the Grand	5	high speed is based on the reconstruction
6	Cherokee was going under five miles an	6	in this case. So I have to use their
7	hour when it was struck by the Toyota?	7	numbers. And all I can say about it, it's
8	A. No. That's derived from the	8	a very high speed impact.
9	reconstruction.	9	Q. Now, on page three you indicate
10	Q. And then you go on to say, "The	10	again, under "Vehicle Examination," you
11	driver of the third vehicle, a Sienna,	11	indicate "severe high speed rear impact."
12	then struck the rear of the Grand Cherokee	12	Again, are we talking about the
13	at a very high speed."	13	45 miles an hour in that?
14	What's your definition of "very	14	A. Or greater, yes.
15	high speed" as it pertains to this	15	Q. Well, what do you mean, or
16	accident and as you have written it in	16	greater?
17	your report on page two?	17	A. Something above 45 miles an hour
18	A. I would consider impact at the	18	is a severe high speed rear impact.
19	rear at 45 miles an hour or so to be very	19	Q. And if you look at page four
20	high speed. Forty-five miles an hour	20	A. Five?
21	seems in my experience to be a place where	21	Q. Yes, under number five where you
	extreme high amounts of energy are	22	talk about extremely severe impact forces.
22	released and it's a challenge to the	23	Again, your statement is based on what the
23	vehicle at 45.	24	reconstructionists have provided you with.
24	Q. Are you talking about 45 miles an	25	Correct?
25	A STATE OF THE STA		Page 77
	Page 75		_
1	hour speed at the moment of impact?	1	A. That's right.
2	A. Yes.	2	Q. What are facebar supports?
3	 Q. And in all cases are you saying 	3	A. Where do you see that?
4	that in your opinion, 45 miles an hour is	4	Q. That's also on page four.
5	a very high speed?	5	A. On page four?
6	A. Oh, it's a high speed, yeah.	6	Q. Yes.
7	That's the current standard is 50.	7	Q. It's in the second paragraph, the
8	That's the challenge to comply with.	8	first full paragraph.
9	MR. BRADLEY: For rear impact?	9	"The rear facebar supports were
10	THE WITNESS: Yeah. Fifty is	10	driven forward more than 36 inches."
11	45 is a challenge.	11	What does that refer to?
12	MR. BRADLEY: Because I believe	12	A. Well, the facebar is what we used
13	Miss DeFilippo said for all.	13	to call the bumper, but because we have a
14	THE WITNESS: Oh. Well, in	14	soft fascia, the bumper beam is actually
15	general. I think her question was, what	15	inside the bumper cover or fascia. And
16	is the very high speed.	16	the supports are that area of the frame
17	Q. We're only talking about rear	17	rails where the facebar attaches to. In
18	impacts. Let's understand each other.	18	other words, the facebar transfers it's
19	A. Forty-five and higher produces in	19	load of the frame rail through the
20	my experience severe damage. It's not a	20	supports.
21	straight line function. It's not that at	21	Q. Is the facebar the rear
22	30 you get twice as much energy as 60.	22	crossmember?
23	It's an exponential function.	23	A. Yes.
24	Q. But you're not going to be	24	Q. On page four it says, "The Grand
25	testifying about what you consider to be	25	Cherokee's wheelbase has been
160	comping about what you consider to be		

significantly reduced as a result of the crash." Now, where did you get that information? Now, where did you get that information? A During my inspection I measured the wheelbase. Why did you do that? A So that I could determine how my my hereduction of wheelbase there was as a result of this impact. Now, by did you want to know that? A The wheelbase helps you - the resultant wheelbase helps you - the resultant wheelbase helps you the fine the magnitude of the rear or rush. A you that the rear of the vchicle you begin to crush it like an accordion. And the way you can measure the accordion effect or crush effect is in part by measuring the wheelbase. Front wheels in this case, the wheelbase rear wheels did. 21 change. But the rear wheels did. 22 much was the reduction of the wheelbase what was significantly reduced? Now hat was the measurement? How message and the wheelbase with message. A law of the wheelbase and was the reduction of the wheelbase. The work of the wheelbase and the was significantly reduced? Now hat was the reduction of the wheelbase and was the reduction of the wheelbase. The work of the wheelbase and the was significantly reduced? Now hat was the reduction of the wheelbase and the was significantly reduced? Notes. I don't have those numbers with mes. Reape 79 Page 81 Page 81 Page 81 Page 82 Page 83 Page 84 Page 84 A. I measured 7 feet II on the left and 8 feet 5 on the right. So if the wheelbase is pipotholood, but I'm not exit it is. MR. BRADLEY; Well, don't guess. THE With's as significant reduction is it? MR. BRADLEY; Well, don't guess. TIE WITH'SES: But that's a significant reduction is it? A. Pard no me' it is 108 or 109. A. Pardon me'. A. Perhaps 12 inches. But again, I'd have to look up the arboring of the wheelbase. A. Perhaps 12 inches. But again, I'd have to look up the arboring of the wheelbase. A. Perhaps 12 inches. But again, I'd have to look up the arboring of the wheelbase is pipotholoop. A. Perhaps 12 inches is in the prior deposition. Take a look				
2 crash." Now, where did you get that information? A. During my inspection I measured the wheelbase in the		Page 78		Page 80
and 8 fect 5 on the right. So if the wheelbase information? A. During my inspection I measured to the wheelbase. A. During my inspection I measured to the wheelbase. A. So that I could determine how much reduction of wheelbase there was as a result of this impact. Q. Why did you want to know that? A. So that I could determine how much reduction of wheelbase there was as a result of this impact. Q. Why did you want to know that? A. The wheelbase helps you define the resultant wheelbase helps you define the magnitude of the rear crush. As you hit the rear of the vehicle you begin to crush it the rear of the vehicle you begin to crush it the rear of the vehicle you begin to crush it the rear of the vehicle you begin to crush it the wheelbase. Front wheels in this case, the wheels were not impacted, they didn't change. But the rear wheels did. Q. So what was the measurement? How whoels were not impacted, they didn't change. But the rear wheels did. Q. Q. So what was the measurement? How much was the reduction of the wheelbase with me. A. I would have to look at my field Deage 79 notes. I don't have those numbers with me. Q. Okay. A. It was significant. Q. Okay. A. It was significant. Q. Na yeah, at the bottom of page two, the wheelbase measurements are 7 feet 11 inches on the left side and 8 feet 5 inches on the right side. Q. Whave to calculate. I don't remember the production wheelbase? A. I'd have to look that up. Q. One hundred nine inches and you're saying you measured it to be how you're saying you measured it to be how you're saying you wearent as the wheelbase, would you say there was an officiant reduction? A. I'd have to look that up. Q. One hundred nine inches and you're saying you measured it to be how you're saying you wearent and the other or a left or a rotation.	1	significantly reduced as a result of the	1	
information? A. During my inspection I measured the wheelbase. A. During my inspection I measured the wheelbase wheelbase here was as a result of this impact. Q. Why did you want to know that? A. So that I could determine how much reduction of wheelbase there was as a result of this impact. Q. Why did you want to know that? A. The wheelbase here was as a result of this impact. Q. Why did you want to know that? A. The wheelbase helps you — the resultant wheelbase helps you define the resultant wheelbase helps you define the magnitude of the rear crush. As you hit the rear of the whicle you begin to crush it like an accordion. And the way you can measure the accordion effect or crush effect is in part by measuring the wheelbase. From theels in this case, the wheelsa were not impacted, they didn't change. But the rear wheels did. Q. So what was the measurement? How much was the reduction of the wheelbase that was significant. Q. So what was the measurement? How much was the reduction of the wheelbase that was significant. Q. Okay. A. I would have to look at my field Page 79 notes. I don't have those numbers with me. Q. Okay. A. I was significant. Q. Ohay. A. Yeah, 101 on the right side. Q. Okay. A. Yeah, 101 on the right side. Q. On the left it was how much? A. Yeah, 101 on the right side. Q. Okay. A. Yeah, 101 on the right side. Q. On the left it was how much? A. Froot-I I. I believe that's 95. Q. Right. So you're saying that—are you saying that the are you saying that the are you saying that the probably 108. Q. Yeah? A. You see that? A. Proot-II. I believe that's 95. Q. Are you saying seven inches is a significant reduction? A. I'm sorry. A. I'm sorry. The bottom of page two. Q. Yeah? A. You see that? A. I'm sorry. The bottom of page two. Q. Yeah? A. You see that? A. I'm sorry. The bottom of page two. Q. Yeah? A. You see that? A. I'm sorry. The bottom of page two. Q. Yeah? A. Yeah, 101 on the right side. Q. On the left it we wheelbase? A. I'm sorry. The bottom of pa	2	•	2	
4 information? A. During my inspection I measured the wheelbase. 7 Q. Why did you do that? 8 A. So that I could determine how much reduction of wheelbase there was as a result of this impact. 10 Q. Why did you want to know that? 11 Q. Why did you want to know that? 12 A. The wheelbase helps you — the resultant wheelbase helps you — the resultant wheelbase helps you define the magnitude of the rear crush. As you hit the rear of the vehicle you begin to crush it files an accordion. And the way you can measure the accordion effect or crush effect is in part by measuring the wheelbase. Front wheels hit mis case, the wheelbase where not impacted, they didn't change. But the rear wheels did. 21 change. But the rear wheels did. 22 Q. So what was the measurement? How much was the reduction of the wheelbase that was significant. 23 Q. Okay. 4 A. I would have to look at my field 24 D. That was significant. 5 Q. I have your field notes which were marked Banta-8 in the prior deposition. Take a look at them. 6 A. Yeah, at the bottom of page two. 9 Q. Yeah? 10 A. You see that? 11 Q. No, because you have my copy. 12 A. Tha sorry. The bottom of page two. 13 Q. How much of a reduction is it? 14 A. I'm not sure if it's 108 or 109. 14 Ithink it's in that neighborhood, but I'm not certain of that. 15 Q. How much of the reduction of the many inches? 16 A. Pardnon me? 17 A. Pardon me? 18 A. Perhaps 12 inches. But again, I'd have to look up the as-built wheelbase. 19 Q. Okay. 20 G. Weil, 8-foot-5 would be 101. 21 That would be eight inches. Broot-5, my calculate? 22 Q. Weil, 8-foot-5 would be 101. 23 That would be eight inches. Broot-5, my calculate? 24 Q. Okay. 25 A. I would have to look at my field 26 Q. Okay. 27 A. I'm so the right side. 29 Q. Okay. 30 Q. Okay. 31 A. I'm so significant. 41 Q. No, because you have my copy. 42 A. I'm saking you. What was the original measurement of the wheelbase? 43 A. Yeah, at the bottom of page two. 44 Q. Okay. 45 Q. Weil, 8-foot-5 would be 101. 46 Definition of the wheelbase is significant reduct	3	Now, where did you get that	3	wheelbase is 109 inches or 108 I'm not
the wheelbase. 7 Q. Why did you do that? 8 A. So that I could determine how much reduction of wheelbase there was as a result of this impact. 10 P. Why did you want to know that? 11 Q. Why did you want to know that? 12 A. The wheelbase helps you — the rear crush. As you hit the rear of the vehicle you begin to crush it like an accordion. And the way you can measure the accordion effect or crush effect is in part by measuring the wheelbase. Front wheels in this case, the wheelbase. Front wheels in this case, the wheels were not impacted, they didn't change. But the rear wheels did. 12 Q. So what was the measurement? How much was the reduction of the wheelbase that was significant. 13 Q. Okay. 14 A. I would have to look at my field notes. I don't have those numbers with me. 15 Q. I have your field notes which were marked Banta-8 in the prior deposition. Take a look at them. 16 A. Yeah, at the bottom of page two. 17 Q. No, because you have my copy. 18 A. Yeah, at the bottom of page two. 19 Q. No, because you have my copy. 20 A. I would have to look that up. 21 D. A. The sorty. The bottom of page two. 22 Q. No, because you have my copy. 23 A. I would be approximately how mot certain of that. 24 Q. So it would be approximately how mot certain of that. 25 Q. How many inches? 26 A. Perlaps 12 inches. But again, 12 thave to look up the as-built wheelbase. 27 A. Yeah, 10 In the right side. 28 Q. Okay. 29 A. I would have to look at my field notes which were marked Banta-8 in the prior deposition. Take a look at them. 29 Q. Yeah? 29 A. Yeah, at the bottom of page two. 20 Q. Yeah? 21 Do hocks I think. I blink. I'm not certain of that. 22 Q. No, because you have my copy. 23 A. I would be approximately how many inches? 24 A. I was significant. 25 Q. Well, 8-foot-5 would be 101. 26 That was significant. 27 A. Yeah, 10 In the right side. 28 Q. Okay. 29 A. I would have to look that up. 29 Q. No, because you have my copy. 30 Q. Yeah? 31 Q. No, because you have my copy. 32 Q. No what was the measurement of the wheelbase? 33 Q. O	4		4	sure what it is.
the wheelbase. Q. Why did you do that? A. So that I could determine how much reduction of wheelbase there was as a result of this impact. Q. Why did you want to know that? A. The wheelbase helps you define the magnitude of the rear crush. As you hit the rear of the vehicle you begin to crush it like an accordion. And the way you can measure the accordion effect or crush effect is in part by measuring the wheelbase. Front wheels in this case, the wheelbase. Front wheels in this case, the wheelbase. Front wheels in this case, the wheelbase here are wheels did. Q. So what was the measurement? How much was the reduction of the wheelbase at hat was significantly reduced? A. I would have to look at my field notes which were marked Banta-8 in the prior deposition. Take a look at them. A. Yeah, at the bottom of page two. Q. Yeah? A. Yeah, at the bottom of page two. Q. Yeah? A. Yeah, at the bottom of page two. Q. Yeah? A. You would have to look that up. A. You see that? Q. Now much of a reduction is it? A. I'm not sure if it's 108 or 109. A. I'm not sure if it's 108 or 109. A. I'm not sure if it's 108 or 109. A. I'm not sure if it's 108 or 109. A. I'm not sure if it's 108 or 109. A. I'm not sure if it's 108 or 109. A. I'm not sure if it's 108 or 109. A. I'm not sure if it's 108 or 109. A. I'm not sure if it's 108 or 109. A. I'm not sure if it's 108 or 109. A. I'm not sure if it's 108 or 109. A. I'm not sure if it's 108 or 109. A. I'm not sure if it's 108 or 109. A. I'm not sure if it's 108 or 109. A. I'm not sure if it's 108 or 109. A. I'm not sure if it's 108 or 109. A. I'm not sure if it's 108 or 109. A. Pardon me? A. Pardon me? A. Pardon me? A. Perhaps 12 inches. But again, 174 have to look that way you calculate. Q. Well, 8-foot-5 would be 101. That would be eight notes. Q. Okay. A. I'm would be eight notes. Q. Okay. A. I'm say inches? A. Yeah, 101 on the right side. Q. Okay. A. Troot-11. I believe that's 95. Q. Right. So you're saying that	5	A. During my inspection I measured	5	
8 A. So that I could determine how much reduction of wheelbase there was as a result of this impact. 10 Q. Why did you want to know that? 11 A. The wheelbase helps you the 12 resultant wheelbase helps you the 13 resultant wheelbase helps you define the magnitude of the rear crush. As you hit the rear of the vehicle you begin to crush it like an accordion. And the way you can measure the accordion effect or crush effect is in part by measuring the wheelbase. Front wheels in this case, the wheelbase. Front wheels did. 20 Q. So what was the measurement? How much was the reduction of the wheelbase that was significant of the wheelbase that was significant. Q. Okay. A. I would have to look at my field Page 79 notes. I don't have those numbers with me. Q. Okay. A. It was significant. Q. Okay. A. It was significant. Q. Okay. A. It was significant. Q. Okay. A. Yeah, at the bottom of page two. Q. Yeah? Q. Yeah? Q. No, because you have my copy. A. Pm sorry. The bottom of page two, the wheelbase measurements are 7 feet 11 inches on the left side and 8 feet 5 inches on the right side. Q. How much of the reduction? A. No. I think the wheelbase is probably 108. Q. Are you saying seven inches is a significant reduction? A. No. I think the wheelbase at all, none. Q. So if the one side has a 7-foot-11 wheelbase measurement at the wheelbase? A. I'm asking you. What was the original measurement of the wheelbase? A. I'm saking you. What was the original measurement of the wheelbase? A. I'm saking you. What was the original measurement of the wheelbase? A. I'm saking you. What was the original measurement of the wheelbase? A. I'm saking you. What was the original measurement of the wheelbase? A. I'm saking you measured it to be how	6		6	THE WITNESS: But that's a
much reduction of wheelbase there was as a result of this impact. Q. Why did you want to know that? A. The wheelbase helps you —the resultant wheelbase helps you effine the magnitude of the rear crush. As you hit the rear of the vehicle you begin to crush it like an accordion. And the way you can measure the accordion effect or crush it like an accordion effect or crush effect is in part by measuring the wheelbase. Front wheels in this case, the wheels were not impacted, they didn't change. But the rear wheels did. Q. So what was the measurement? How much was the reduction of the wheelbase that was significantly reduced? A. I would have to look at my field page 79 notes. I don't have those numbers with me. Q. Okay. A. It was significant. Q. Okay. A. It was significant. Q. Okay. A. It was significant. Q. I have your field notes which were marked Banta-8 in the prior deposition. Take a look at them. A. Yeah, at the bottom of page two, the wheelbase measurements are 7 feet 11 inches on the left side and 8 feet Times are you what was the original measurement of the wheelbase? A. I'm sorry. The bottom of page two, the wheelbase measurements are 7 feet 11 inches on the left side and 8 feet Times are goven inches and you're saying you measured it to be how A. Co wit would be approximately how many inches? A. Pardon me? A.	7	Q. Why did you do that?	7	
result of this impact. Q. Why did you want to know that? A. The wheelbase helps you the resultant wheelbase helps you be fine the magnitude of the rear crush. As you hit the rear of the vehicle you begin to crush it like an accordion. And the way you can measure the accordion effect or crush effect is in part by measuring the wheelbase. Front wheels in this case, the wheelbase mer not impacted, they didn't change. But the rear wheels did. Q. So what was the measurement? How much was the reduction of the wheelbase that was significantly reduced? A. I would have to look at my field page 79 notes. I don't have those numbers with me. Q. Okay. A. I was significant. Q. I have your field notes which were marked Banta-8 in the prior deposition. Take a look at them. A. Yeah, at the bottom of page two, the wheelbase measurements are 7 feet 11 inches on the left side and 8 feet 5 inches on the right side. Q. No, because you have my copy. A. I'm sorry. The bottom of page two, the wheelbase measurements are 7 feet 11 inches on the left side and 8 feet 5 inches on the right side. Q. How much of the reduction is what I'm asking you. What was the original measurement of the wheelbase? A. I'm sorry. The bottom of page two, the wheelbase measurements are 7 feet 15 inches on the right side. Q. How much of the reduction is what I'm asking you. What was the original measurement of the wheelbase? A. I'd have to calculate. I don't remember the production wheelbase, 16 Q. One hundred nine inches and 20 Q. One hundred nine inches and 21 Q. One hundred nine inches and 22 you're saying you measured it to be how 10 But you can't say, you weren't	8	A. So that I could determine how	8	
11 Q. Why did you want to know that? 12 A. The wheelbase helps you — the resultant wheelbase helps you define the magnitude of the rear crush. As you hit the rear of the vehicle you begin to crush it like an accordion. And the way you can measure the accordion effect or crush effect is in part by measuring the wheelbase. Front wheels in this case, the wheels were not impacted, they didn't change. But the rear wheels did. 12 Q. So what was the measurement? How much was the reduction of the wheelbase that was significantly reduced? 13 A. I would have to look at my field 14 motes. I don't have those numbers with me. 2 Q. Okay. 3 A. It was significant. 4 Q. Okay. 4 A. It was significant. 5 Q. I have your field notes which were marked Banta-8 in the prior deposition. Take a look at them. 6 were marked Banta-8 in the prior deposition. Take a look at them. 7 A. You see that? 11 Q. No, because you have my copy. 12 A. I'm sorry. The bottom of page two, the wheelbase measurements are 7 feet li linches on the left side and 8 feet 11 linches on the left side and 8 feet 12 measurement of the wheelbase? 19 A. I'd have to calculate. I don't remember the production wheelbase, 20 measurement and the other side is 8-foot-5 inch measurement and foother was an offset impact in	9	much reduction of wheelbase there was as a	9	
A. The wheelbase helps you —the resultant wheelbase helps you define the magnitude of the rear crush. As you hit the rear of the vehicle you begin to crush it like an accordion. And the way you can measure the accordion effect or crush effect is in part by measuring the wheelbase. Front wheels in this case, the wheelbase. But the rear wheels did. Q. So it would be approximately how many inches? Q. How many inches. A. Perhaps 12 inches. But again, I'd have to look up the as-built wheelbase. Q. Well, 8-foot-5 would be eight inches. 8-foot-5, my calculations, 96 plus five. Right? A. Yeah, all the wheelbase. Q. Okay. A. So it's apparent that we've got a left totation. Q. On the left it was how much? A. Tender in the inches is significant, as a significant reduction? A. Tender in the inches is significant, as a significant reduction? A. No. I think the wheelbase is probably 108. Q. Are you saying seven inches is a significant reduction? A. I'm sorry. The bottom of page two,	10	result of this impact.		_
resultant wheelbase helps you define the magnitude of the rear crush. As you hit the rear of the vehicle you begin to crush it like an accordion. And the way you can measure the accordion effect or crush effect is in part by measuring the wheelbase. Front wheels in this case, the wheelbase. Front wheels in this case, the wheelbase were not impacted, they didn't change. But the rear wheels did. Q. So what was the measurement? How much was the reduction of the wheelbase that was significantly reduced? A. I would have to look at my field Page 79 notes. I don't have those numbers with me. Q. Okay. A. I was significant. Q. I have your field notes which were marked Banta-8 in the prior deposition. Take a look at them. A. Yeah, at the bottom of page two. Q. Yeah? A. You see that? Q. No, because you have my copy. A. The sorry. The bottom of page two, the wheelbase measurements are 7 feet 11 inches on the left side and 8 feet 5 inches on the left side and 8 feet 11 measurement of the wheelbase? A. I'd have to calculate. I don't remember the production wheelbase, 20 more saying you measured it to be how A. Condition of the vehicles of the reduction is what 1 masking you. What was the original measurement of the wheelbase, 21 more member the production wheelbase, 22 more member the production wheelbase, 23 more member the production wheelbase, 24 more many inches and 23 many inches? A. Perhaps 12 inches. But again, 14 wheelbase. A. Perhaps 12 inches. But again, 14 wheelbase. Q. Well, 8-foot-5 would be 101. That would be eight inches. 8-foot-5, my calculations, 96 plus five. Right? A. Yeah, 101 on the right side. Q. Okay. A. Tofot-11. I believe that's 95. Q. Right. So you're saying that—are you saying that—are you saying that eight inches is significant reduction? A. No. I think the wheelbase is probably 108. Q. Are you saying seven inches is a significant reduction in the wheelbase? A. That's a big hit, yes. Most rear accidents have no change in the wheelbase in the wheelbase measurement and the other s	11	Q. Why did you want to know that?		
14 magnitude of the rear crush. As you hit 15 the rear of the vehicle you begin to crush 16 it like an accordion. And the way you can 17 measure the accordion effect or crush 18 effect is in part by measuring the 19 wheelbase. Front wheels in this case, the 19 wheelbase. Front wheels in this case, the 19 wheelbase. Front wheels did. 20 wheels were not impacted, they didn't 21 change. But the rear wheels did. 22 Q. So what was the measurement? How 23 much was the reduction of the wheelbase 24 that was significantly reduced? 25 A. I would have to look at my field 26 me. 27 Jege 79 1 notes. I don't have those numbers with 28 me. 30 Q. Okay. 4 A. It was significant. 5 Q. I have your field notes which 6 were marked Banta-8 in the prior 6 deposition. Take a look at them. 6 A. Yeah, at the bottom of page two. 7 Q. No, because you have my copy. 10 A. This sorry. The bottom of page 11 inches on the left side and 8 feet 12 5 inches on the right side. 13 This asking you. What was the original 14 measurement of the wheelbase? 15 inches on the right side. 16 Q. How much of the reduction is what 17 I'm asking you. What was the original 18 measurement of the way you read to took that up. 20 Cone hundred nine inches and 21 you're saying you measured it to be how 22 sure. I'd have to look that up. 23 Q. One hundred nine inches and 24 Yeah, 101 on the right side. 25 Q. One hundred nine inches and 26 Q. One hundred nine inches and 27 A. Ferhaps 12 inches. But again, 18 have to look up the as-built wheelbase. 28 Q. Okay. 29 One bell sto. 20 Q. Well, 8-foot-5 would be 101. 20 That would be eight inches. B-foot-5, my calculations, 96 plus five. Right? 20 A. Yeah, 101 on the right side. 21 Left bias here or a left rotation. 22 Q. Right. So you're saying that	12			
the rear of the vehicle you begin to crush it like an accordion. And the way you can measure the accordion effect or crush effect is in part by measuring the wheelbase. Front wheels in this case, the wheelbase. Front wheels in this case, the wheelbase. Front wheels did. Q. So what was the measurement? How much was the reduction of the wheelbase example that was significantly reduced? A. I would have to look at my field Definition of the wheelbase example and the was significant to the wheelbase example and the was significant. Definition of the wheelbase example example and the was significant. Definition of the wheelbase example exa	13			•
it like an accordion. And the way you can measure the accordion effect or crush effect is in part by measuring the wheelbase. Front wheels in this case, the wheels were not impacted, they didn't change. But the rear wheels did. Q. So what was the measurement? How much was the reduction of the wheelbase that was significantly reduced? A. I would have to look at my field That would be cight inches. 8-foot-5, my calculations, 96 plus five. Right? A. Yeah, 101 on the right side. Q. Okay. A. I was significant. Page 79 notes. I don't have those numbers with me. Q. Okay. A. It was significant. Q. Okay. A. It was significant. Q. I have your field notes which sever marked Banta-8 in the prior deposition. Take a look at them. A. Yeah, at the bottom of page two. Q. Yeah? A. Yeah, at the bottom of page two. Q. Yeah? A. You see that? A. That's a big hit, yes. Most rear accidents have no change in the wheelbase? A. That's a big hit, yes. Most rea	14	magnitude of the rear crush. As you hit		
measure the accordion effect or crush 18 effect is in part by measuring the 19 wheelbase. Front wheels in this case, the 20 wheels were not impacted, they didn't 21 change. But the rear wheels did. 22 Q. So what was the measurement? How 23 much was the reduction of the wheelbase 24 that was significantly reduced? 25 A. I would have to look at my field 26 me. 27 Page 79 1 notes. I don't have those numbers with 28 me. 3 Q. Okay. 4 A. It was significant. 4 Q. I have your field notes which 4 Seposition. Take a look at them. 5 Q. I have your field notes which 6 were marked Banta-8 in the prior 7 deposition. Take a look at them. 8 A. Yeah, at the bottom of page two. 9 Q. Yeah? 10 A. You see that? 11 Q. No, because you have my copy. 12 A. I'm sorry. The bottom of page 13 two, the wheelbase measurements are 7 feet 14 11 inches on the left side and 8 feet 15 sinches on the left side and 8 feet 16 Q. How much of the reduction is what 17 I'm asking you. What was the original 18 measurement of the wheelbase, 21 109 inches I think. I'm not 22 sure. I'd have to look that up. 23 Q. On the left in have to alculate. I don't 24 remember the production wheelbase, 25 Q. On the laft side and 8 feet 26 Q. How much of the reduction is what 27 I'm asking you. What was the original 28 remember the production wheelbase, 29 Q. On the hadred notes which 30 Q. Seposition the left side and 8 feet 31 G. Deposition the left side and 8 feet 32 Seposition the left side and 8 feet 33 Seposition the left side and 8 feet 34 Seposition the left side and 8 feet 35 inches on the left side and 8 feet 36 Inches on the left side and 8 feet 37 Sinches on the left side and 8 feet 38 Seposition the left side and 8 feet 39 A. I'm asking you. What was the original measurement of the wheelbase, 39 Q. On the left in twas how my copy. 40 A. I'm sorry? 41 C. I'm sorry? 42 A. So it's apparent that we've got a 43 Page 81 44 I linches on the left side and 8 feet 45 Sinches on the left side and 8 feet 46 Seposition the left side and 8 feet 47 Seposition the left sid	15			
### offect is in part by measuring the wheelbase. Front wheels in this case, the wheelbase. Front wheels in this case, the wheelbase. Front wheels did. 19	16			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
wheelbase. Front wheels in this case, the wheels were not impacted, they didn't change. But the rear wheels did. Q. So what was the measurement? How much was the reduction of the wheelbase that was significantly reduced? A. I would have to look at my field Page 79 notes. I don't have those numbers with me. Q. Okay. A. It was significant. Q. I have your field notes which were marked Banta-8 in the prior deposition. Take a look at them. A. Yeah, at the bottom of page two. Q. Yeah? Q. No, because you have my copy. 11 A. I'm sorry. The bottom of page 12 A. I'm sorry. The bottom of page 13 two, the wheelbase measurements are 7 feet 14 11 inches on the left side and 8 feet 5 inches on the right side. Q. Ohay. A. Toot-11. I believe that's 95. Q. Right. So you're saying thatare you saying that eight inches is significant, as a significant reduction? A. No. I think the wheelbase is probably 108. Q. Are you saying seven inches is a significant reduction? A. I'm sorry? Q. Is seven inches in your opinion a significant reduction in the wheelbase? A. That's a big hit, yes. Most rear accidents have no change in the wheelbase at all, none. Q. So if the one side has a 7-foot-11 wheelbase measurement and the other side is 8-foot-5 inch measurement as the wheelbase, would you say there was an offset impact in this case? A. Either a slight offset or a rotation. Q. But you can't say, you weren't	17			
wheels were not impacted, they didn't change. But the rear wheels did. Q. So what was the measurement? How much was the reduction of the wheelbase that was significantly reduced? A. I would have to look at my field Page 79 notes. I don't have those numbers with me. Q. Okay. A. I twas significant. Q. Okay. A. I twas significant. Q. I have your field notes which were marked Banta-8 in the prior deposition. Take a look at them. A. Yeah, at the bottom of page two. Q. Yeah? A. You see that? Q. No, because you have my copy. A. I'm sorry. The bottom of page two, the wheelbase measurements are 7 feet 11 inches on the left side and 8 feet Ya. I'm sorry. The bottom of page two, the wheelbase measurements are 7 feet 11 inches on the left side. Q. How much of the reduction is what 17 masking you. What was the original measurement of the wheelbase? A. I'd have to calculate. I don't 19 one has a 109 inches I think. I think. I'm not 20 sure. I'd have to look that up. Q. On the left it was how much? A. Floto-11. I believe that's 95. Q. Right. So you're saying that -are you saying that eight inches is significant reduction? A. No. I think the wheelbase is probably 108. Q. Are you saying seven inches is a significant reduction in the wheelbase? A. That's a big hit, yes. Most rear accidents have no change in the wheelbase at all, none. Q. So if the one side has a 7-foot-11 wheelbase measurement and the other side is 8-foot-5 inch measurement as the wheelbase, would you say there was an offset impact in this case? A. Either a slight offset or a rotation. Q. But you can't say, you weren't	18		18	=
change. But the rear wheels did. Q. So what was the measurement? How much was the reduction of the wheelbase that was significantly reduced? A. I would have to look at my field Page 79 notes. I don't have those numbers with me. Q. Okay. A. It was significant. Q. I have your field notes which were marked Banta-8 in the prior deposition. Take a look at them. A. Yeah, at the bottom of page two, p. Q. Yeah? A. I'm sorry. The bottom of page two, the wheelbase measurements are 7 feet limits on the left side and 8 feet limits on the	19		ł.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Q. So what was the measurement? How much was the reduction of the wheelbase that was significantly reduced? A. I would have to look at my field Page 79 notes. I don't have those numbers with me. Q. Okay. A. It was significant. Q. Ohay. A. It was significant. Q. I have your field notes which were marked Banta-8 in the prior deposition. Take a look at them. A. Yeah, at the bottom of page two. Q. Yeah? Q. Yeah? A. I'm sorry. The bottom of page two, the wheelbase measurements are 7 feet liniches on the left side and 8 feet D. How much of the reduction is what measurement of the wheelbase? A. I'd have to calculate. I don't remember the production wheelbase, and you're saying you measured it to be how C. So it's apparent that we've got a page. A. Yeah, 101 on the right side. Q. Okay. A. So it's apparent that we've got a page. Page 81 left bias here or a left rotation. Q. On the left it was how much? A. 7-foot-11. I believe that's 95. Q. Right. So you're saying thatare you saying that eight inches is significant, as a significant reduction? A. No. I think the wheelbase is probably 108. Q. Are you saying seven inches is a significant reduction? A. I'm sorry? Q. Is seven inches in your opinion a significant reduction in the wheelbase? A. That's a big hit, yes. Most rear accidents have no change in the wheelbase at all, none. Q. So if the one side has a 7-foot-11 wheelbase measurement and the other side is 8-foot-5 inch measurement as the wheelbase, would you say there was an offset impact in this case? A. Either a slight offset or a rotation. Q. But you can't say, you weren't	20		1	, ,
much was the reduction of the wheelbase that was significantly reduced? A. I would have to look at my field Page 79 notes. I don't have those numbers with me. Q. Okay. A. It was significant. Q. Okay. A. It was significant. Q. Ohay. A. It was significant. Q. I have your field notes which were marked Banta-8 in the prior deposition. Take a look at them. A. Yeah, at the bottom of page two. Q. Yeah? A. I'm sorry. The bottom of page two, the wheelbase measurements are 7 feet liniches on the left side and 8 feet A. I'm sking you. What was the original measurement of the wheelbase? A. I'd have to calculate. I don't remember the production wheelbase, 20 look inches I think. I'm not 22 sure. I'd have to look that up. Q. Ohe hundred nine inches and you're saying you weren't A. Yeah, 101 on the right side. Q. Okay. A. So it's apparent that we've got a page 1 Page 81 left bias here or a left rotation. Q. On the left it was how much? A. 7-foot-11. I believe that's 95. Q. Right. So you're saying thatare you saying that eight inches is significant reduction? A. No. I think the wheelbase is probably 108. Q. Are you saying seven inches is a significant reduction? A. I'm sorry? A. I'm sorry. A. I'm sorry. A. I'm sorry. A. I'm sorry in the vince in the wheelbase? A. I'd have to calculate. I don't remember the production wheelbase, 20 measurement and the other side is 8-foot-5 inch measurement as the wheelbase, would you say there was an offset impact in this case? A. Either a slight offset or a rotation. Q. But you can't say, you weren't	21		1	·
that was significantly reduced? A. I would have to look at my field Page 79 notes. I don't have those numbers with me. Q. Okay. A. It was significant. Q. Okay. A. It was significant. Q. Oh the left it was how much? A. 7-foot-11. I believe that's 95. Q. Right. So you're saying that are you saying that eight inches is significant, as a significant reduction? A. No. I think the wheelbase is probably 108. Q. Yeah? Q. Vaeh? Q. Yeah? Q. No, because you have my copy. A. I'm sorry. The bottom of page two, the wheelbase measurements are 7 feet 11 inches on the left side and 8 feet C. How much of the reduction is what T'm asking you. What was the original measurement of the wheelbase? Q. How much of the reduction is what T'm asking you. What was the original measurement of the wheelbase? A. I'd have to calculate. I don't remember the production wheelbase, 109 inches I think. I'm not 21 Q. One hundred nine inches and you're saying you weren't	22		1	· •
A. I would have to look at my field Page 79 notes. I don't have those numbers with me. Q. Okay. A. It was significant. Q. I have your field notes which were marked Banta-8 in the prior deposition. Take a look at them. A. Yeah, at the bottom of page two. Q. Vaah? Q. No, because you have my copy. A. I'm sorry. The bottom of page two, the wheelbase measurements are 7 feet 11 inches on the left side and 8 feet 14 Il inches on the right side. Q. How much of the reduction is what I'm asking you. What was the original measurement of the wheelbase, 109 inches I think. I think. I'm not 20 Q. On the left it was how much? A. 7-foot-11. I believe that's 95. Q. Right. So you're saying that are you saying that eight inches is significant, as a significant reduction? A. No. I think the wheelbase is probably 108. Q. Are you saying seven inches is a significant reduction? A. I'm sorry? Q. Is seven inches in your opinion a significant reduction in the wheelbase? A. That's a big hit, yes. Most rear accidents have no change in the wheelbase at all, none. Q. So if the one side has a 7-foot-11 wheelbase measurement and the other side is 8-foot-5 inch measurement as the wheelbase, would you say there was an offset impact in this case? A. Either a slight offset or a rotation. Q. But you can't say, you weren't	1			
1 notes. I don't have those numbers with 2 me. 3 Q. Okay. 4 A. It was significant. 5 Q. I have your field notes which 6 were marked Banta-8 in the prior 7 deposition. Take a look at them. 8 A. Yeah, at the bottom of page two. 9 Q. Yeah? 10 A. You see that? 11 Q. No, because you have my copy. 12 A. I'm sorry. The bottom of page 13 two, the wheelbase measurements are 7 feet 14 11 inches on the left side and 8 feet 15 5 inches on the right side. 16 Q. How much of the reduction is what 17 I'm asking you. What was the original 18 measurement of the wheelbase? 19 A. I'd have to calculate. I don't 20 remember the production wheelbase, 21 109 inches I think. I think. I'm not 22 sure. I'd have to look that up. 23 Q. One hundred nine inches and 24 you're saying you measured it to be how 1 left bias here or a left rotation. Q. On the left it was how much? A. 7-foot-11. I believe that's 95. Q. An 7-foot-11. I believe that's 95. Q. Right. So you're saying that are you saying that eight inches is significant, as a significant reduction? A. No. I think the wheelbase is probably 108. Q. Are you saying seven inches is a significant reduction? A. I'm sorry? Q. Is seven inches in your opinion a significant reduction in the wheelbase? A. That's a big hit, yes. Most rear accidents have no change in the wheelbase at all, none. Q. So if the one side has a 7-foot-11 wheelbase measurement and the other side is 8-foot-5 inch measurement as the wheelbase, would you say there was an offset impact in this case? A. Either a slight offset or a rotation. Q. But you can't say, you weren't	1			
1 notes. I don't have those numbers with 2 me. 2 Q. Okay. 3 A. 7-foot-11. I believe that's 95. 4 A. It was significant. 5 Q. I have your field notes which 6 were marked Banta-8 in the prior 7 deposition. Take a look at them. 8 A. Yeah, at the bottom of page two. 9 Q. Yeah? 10 A. You see that? 11 Q. No, because you have my copy. 12 A. I'm sorry. The bottom of page 13 two, the wheelbase measurements are 7 feet 14 11 inches on the left side and 8 feet 15 5 inches on the right side. 16 Q. How much of the reduction is what 17 I'm asking you. What was the original 18 measurement of the wheelbase? 19 A. I'd have to calculate. I don't 19 A. I'd have to calculate. I don't 20 One hundred nine inches and 21 Q. One hundred nine inches and 22 sure. I'd have to look that up. 24 you're saying you weren't	25	A. I would have to look at my field	25	A. So it's apparent that we've got a
me. Q. Okay. A. It was significant. Q. I have your field notes which were marked Banta-8 in the prior deposition. Take a look at them. Q. Yeah? Q. Yeah? Q. Are you saying that eight inches is significant, as a significant reduction? A. You see that? Q. No, because you have my copy. A. I'm sorry. The bottom of page two, the wheelbase measurements are 7 feet 11 inches on the left side and 8 feet 12 inches on the right side. 13 two, the wheelbase? 14 Il masking you. What was the original measurement of the wheelbase? 14 A. I'd have to calculate. I don't 19 A. I'd have to calculate. I don't 20 remember the production wheelbase, 21 109 inches I think. I'm not 22 sure. I'd have to look that up. 24 Q. One hundred nine inches and 25 Q. But you can't say, you weren't		Page 79		Page 81
Q. Okay. A. It was significant. Q. I have your field notes which were marked Banta-8 in the prior deposition. Take a look at them. A. Yeah, at the bottom of page two. Q. Yeah? Q. No, because you have my copy. L. A. I'm sorry. The bottom of page two, the wheelbase measurements are 7 feet the finites on the left side and 8 feet L. Thanksing you. What was the original measurement of the wheelbase? A. I'd have to calculate. I don't member the production wheelbase, L. Thanksing you measured it to be how A. It was significant, as a significant reduction? A. No. I think the wheelbase is probably 108. Q. Are you saying seven inches is a significant reduction? A. I'm sorry? Q. Is seven inches in your opinion a significant reduction in the wheelbase? A. That's a big hit, yes. Most rear accidents have no change in the wheelbase at all, none. Q. So if the one side has a T-foot-11 wheelbase measurement as the wheelbase, would you say there was an offset impact in this case? A. Either a slight offset or a rotation. Q. But you can't say, you weren't	1	notes. I don't have those numbers with	1	left bias here or a left rotation.
A. It was significant. Q. I have your field notes which were marked Banta-8 in the prior deposition. Take a look at them. A. Yeah, at the bottom of page two. Q. Yeah? A. You see that? Q. No, because you have my copy. A. I'm sorry. The bottom of page two, the wheelbase measurements are 7 feet tinches on the left side and 8 feet Sinches on the right side. Q. How much of the reduction is what measurement of the wheelbase? A. I'd have to calculate. I don't measurement the production wheelbase, conceptually a policy of saying that are you saying that eight inches is significant, as a significant reduction? A. No. I think the wheelbase is probably 108. Q. Are you saying seven inches is a significant reduction? A. I'm sorry? Q. Is seven inches in your opinion a significant reduction? A. I'm sorry? A. I'm sorry. A. I'd have to calculate I don't A. That's a big hit, yes. Most rear accidents have no change in the wheelbase at all, none. A. I'd have to c	2	me.	2	
Solution of the reduction is what line here of the wheelbase? Q. Have your field notes which were marked Banta-8 in the prior deposition. Take a look at them. A. Yeah, at the bottom of page two. Q. Yeah? A. You see that? Q. No, because you have my copy. A. I'm sorry. The bottom of page two, the wheelbase measurements are 7 feet line here in the side and 8 feet line here in the wheelbase? A. That's a big hit, yes. Most rear accidents have no change in the wheelbase at all, none. Q. How much of the reduction is what line measurement of the wheelbase? A. I'd have to calculate. I don't line here in the wheelbase, line here in this case? Q. One hundred nine inches and line here in the whoelbase of the line. I'm not line here in the whoelbase? A. Either a slight inches is significant reduction? A. No. I think the wheelbase is probably 108. Q. Are you saying seven inches is a significant reduction? A. I'm sorry? Q. Is seven inches in your opinion a significant reduction in the wheelbase? A. That's a big hit, yes. Most rear accidents have no change in the wheelbase at all, none. Q. So if the one side has a remeasurement and the other side is 8-foot-5 inch measurement as the wheelbase, would you say there was an offset impact in this case? A. Either a slight offset or a rotation. Q. But you can't say, you weren't	3	Q. Okay.	3	
were marked Banta-8 in the prior deposition. Take a look at them. A. Yeah, at the bottom of page two. Q. Yeah? A. You see that? Q. No, because you have my copy. A. I'm sorry. A. I'm sorry? A. I'm sorry: A. That's a big hit, yes. Most rear accidents have no change in the wheelbase at all, none. A. I'd have to calculate. I don't A. I'd have to calculate. I don't A. I'd have to look that up. A. I'm sorry: A. That's a big hit, yes. Most rear accidents have no change in the wheelbase at all, none. Q. So if the one side has a reference that a significant reduction in the wheelbase at all, none. A. I'd have to calculate. I don't the wheelbase at all,	4	A. It was significant.	4	
deposition. Take a look at them. A. Yeah, at the bottom of page two. Q. Yeah? Q. Yeah? Q. No, because you have my copy. A. I'm sorry. The bottom of page two, the wheelbase measurements are 7 feet to the wheelbase measurements are 7 feet The probably 108. Q. Are you saying seven inches is a significant reduction? A. I'm sorry? A. I'm sorry? Q. Is seven inches in your opinion a significant reduction in the wheelbase? A. That's a big hit, yes. Most rear accidents have no change in the wheelbase at all, none. Q. How much of the reduction is what I'm asking you. What was the original measurement of the wheelbase? A. I'd have to calculate. I don't production wheelbase, are member the production wheelbase, are member the production wheelbase, are member the production wheelbase, are member in think. I think. I'm not production wheelbase, are member in think. I think. I'm not production wheelbase, are measurement and the other side is 8-foot-5 inch measurement as the wheelbase, would you say there was an offset impact in this case? A. Either a slight offset or a rotation. Q. But you can't say, you weren't	5	 Q. I have your field notes which 	5	
A. Yeah, at the bottom of page two. Q. Yeah? O. Yeah? O. No, because you have my copy. A. I'm sorry. The bottom of page two, the wheelbase measurements are 7 feet to, the wheelbase measurements are 7 feet If masking you. What was the original measurement of the wheelbase? A. I'd have to calculate. I don't remember the production wheelbase, vou're saying you measured it to be how A. Yeah, at the bottom of page two. Q. Are you saying seven inches is a significant reduction? A. I'm sorry? Q. Is seven inches in your opinion a significant reduction in the wheelbase? A. That's a big hit, yes. Most rear accidents have no change in the wheelbase at all, none. Q. So if the one side has a 7-foot-11 wheelbase measurement and the other side is 8-foot-5 inch measurement as the wheelbase, would you say there was an offset impact in this case? A. Either a slight offset or a rotation. Q. But you can't say, you weren't	6	were marked Banta-8 in the prior	6	
Q. Yeah? Q. Yeah? Q. No, because you have my copy. A. I'm sorry. The bottom of page two, the wheelbase measurements are 7 feet finches on the left side and 8 feet G. How much of the reduction is what measurement of the wheelbase? A. I'd have to calculate. I don't member the production wheelbase, measurement and the finches I think. I think. I'm not measured it to be how Q. Are you saying seven inches is a significant reduction? A. I'm sorry? Q. Is seven inches in your opinion a significant reduction in the wheelbase? A. That's a big hit, yes. Most rear accidents have no change in the wheelbase at all, none. Q. So if the one side has a measurement and the other side is 8-foot-5 inch measurement as the wheelbase, would you say there was an offset impact in this case? A. Either a slight offset or a rotation. Q. But you can't say, you weren't	7	deposition. Take a look at them.	7	
A. You see that? Q. No, because you have my copy. A. I'm sorry. The bottom of page two, the wheelbase measurements are 7 feet 11 inches on the left side and 8 feet 12 inches on the right side. 13 inches on the right side. 14 I'm sorry inches in your opinion a significant reduction in the wheelbase? 15 inches on the left side and 8 feet 16 Q. How much of the reduction is what 17 I'm asking you. What was the original 18 measurement of the wheelbase? 19 A. I'd have to calculate. I don't 19 other side is 8-foot-5 inch measurement as 109 inches I think. I think. I'm not 21 consequence of the wheelbase, 22 sure. I'd have to look that up. 23 Q. One hundred nine inches and 24 you're saying you measured it to be how 24 G. I'm sorry? A. That's a big hit, yes. Most rear accidents have no change in the wheelbase at all, none. P. So if the one side has a refoto-11 wheelbase measurement and the other side is 8-foot-5 inch measurement as the wheelbase, would you say there was an offset impact in this case? A. Either a slight offset or a rotation. Q. But you can't say, you weren't	8	A. Yeah, at the bottom of page two.	8	1
11 Q. No, because you have my copy. 12 A. I'm sorry. The bottom of page 13 two, the wheelbase measurements are 7 feet 14 11 inches on the left side and 8 feet 15 5 inches on the right side. 16 Q. How much of the reduction is what 17 I'm asking you. What was the original 18 measurement of the wheelbase? 19 A. I'd have to calculate. I don't 19 A. I'd have to calculate. I don't 20 remember the production wheelbase, 21 109 inches I think. I think. I'm not 22 sure. I'd have to look that up. 23 Q. One hundred nine inches and 24 you're saying you measured it to be how 26 So if the one side has a 27-foot-11 wheelbase measurement and the other side is 8-foot-5 inch measurement as the wheelbase, would you say there was an offset impact in this case? A. Either a slight offset or a 26 Run you weren't	9	Q. Yeah?	9	
A. I'm sorry. The bottom of page two, the wheelbase measurements are 7 feet 11 inches on the left side and 8 feet 12	10			•
two, the wheelbase measurements are 7 feet 11 inches on the left side and 8 feet 12	11	Q. No, because you have my copy.	}	•
14 11 inches on the left side and 8 feet 15 5 inches on the right side. 16 Q. How much of the reduction is what 17 I'm asking you. What was the original 18 measurement of the wheelbase? 19 A. I'd have to calculate. I don't 20 remember the production wheelbase, 21 109 inches I think. I think. I'm not 22 sure. I'd have to look that up. 23 Q. One hundred nine inches and 24 you're saying you measured it to be how 26 accidents have no change in the wheelbase at all, none. Q. So if the one side has a 7-foot-11 wheelbase measurement and the other side is 8-foot-5 inch measurement as the wheelbase, would you say there was an offset impact in this case? A. Either a slight offset or a rotation. Q. But you can't say, you weren't	12	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	
5 inches on the right side. Q. How much of the reduction is what I'm asking you. What was the original measurement of the wheelbase? A. I'd have to calculate. I don't remember the production wheelbase, 109 inches I think. I think. I'm not sure. I'd have to look that up. Q. So if the one side has a 7-foot-11 wheelbase measurement and the other side is 8-foot-5 inch measurement as the wheelbase, would you say there was an offset impact in this case? A. Either a slight offset or a rotation. Q. But you can't say, you weren't	13			
Q. How much of the reduction is what I'm asking you. What was the original measurement of the wheelbase? A. I'd have to calculate. I don't remember the production wheelbase, 109 inches I think. I think. I'm not 22 sure. I'd have to look that up. 23 Q. One hundred nine inches and 24 you're saying you measured it to be how 16 at all, none. Q. So if the one side has a 7-foot-11 wheelbase measurement and the other side is 8-foot-5 inch measurement as the wheelbase, would you say there was an offset impact in this case? A. Either a slight offset or a rotation. Q. But you can't say, you weren't	14	11 inches on the left side and 8 feet		5 • •
I'm asking you. What was the original measurement of the wheelbase? A. I'd have to calculate. I don't remember the production wheelbase, 109 inches I think. I think. I'm not 22 sure. I'd have to look that up. 23 Q. One hundred nine inches and 24 you're saying you measured it to be how 17 Q. So if the one side has a 7-foot-11 wheelbase measurement and the other side is 8-foot-5 inch measurement as the wheelbase, would you say there was an offset impact in this case? A. Either a slight offset or a rotation. Q. But you can't say, you weren't	15			
measurement of the wheelbase? A. I'd have to calculate. I don't remember the production wheelbase, 109 inches I think. I think. I'm not 22 sure. I'd have to look that up. Q. One hundred nine inches and you're saying you measured it to be how 18 7-foot-11 wheelbase measurement and the other side is 8-foot-5 inch measurement as the wheelbase, would you say there was an offset impact in this case? A. Either a slight offset or a rotation. Q. But you can't say, you weren't	16			
A. I'd have to calculate. I don't 19 other side is 8-foot-5 inch measurement as remember the production wheelbase, 20 the wheelbase, would you say there was an 109 inches I think. I'm not 21 offset impact in this case? 22 sure. I'd have to look that up. 22 A. Either a slight offset or a rotation. 24 you're saying you measured it to be how 24 Q. But you can't say, you weren't	17			•
remember the production wheelbase, 21 109 inches I think. I think. I'm not 22 sure. I'd have to look that up. 23 Q. One hundred nine inches and 24 you're saying you measured it to be how 20 the wheelbase, would you say there was an offset impact in this case? 21 A. Either a slight offset or a rotation. 22 Q. But you can't say, you weren't	1		1	
21 109 inches I think. I think. I'm not 22 sure. I'd have to look that up. 23 Q. One hundred nine inches and 24 you're saying you measured it to be how 25 offset impact in this case? 26 A. Either a slight offset or a rotation. 27 Q. But you can't say, you weren't	19			
sure. I'd have to look that up. 22 A. Either a slight offset or a 23 Q. One hundred nine inches and 24 you're saying you measured it to be how 24 Q. But you can't say, you weren't	20	<u>=</u>		The state of the s
Q. One hundred nine inches and you're saying you measured it to be how 24 Q. But you can't say, you weren't	21			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
you're saying you measured it to be how 24 Q. But you can't say, you weren't	22			-
Journal, and Journal of the Control	23		1	
25 many inches? 25 there, and you're not a reconstructionist.	I			
	25	many inches?	25	there, and you're not a reconstructionist.

	Page 82		Page 84
1	It could be one or the other in your mind?	1	when you viewed this. Correct?
2	A. Yeah.	2	A. At the inspection site.
3	Q. Does it matter in your mind in	3	Q. Correct. Yes, you did? Yes, you
4	formulating your opinions as to whether it	4	took pictures?
5	was a rotation or an offset impact so long	5	A. Yes.
6	as you know that there was a difference in	6	Q. Now, your attorney handed me an
7	the wheelbase from one side to the other?	7	envelope before we started this deposition
8	A. It doesn't matter. The resultant	8	and I haven't opened it yet so I'm opening
9	damage is what it is.	9	it now. In the envelope is a
10	O. Did the lower control arm	10	MR. BRADLEY: Flash drive.
11	bushings burn out in this case?	11	THE WITNESS: Thumb drive.
12	A. Probably. Everything burned in	12	Q. Thank you. Is this does this
13	the rear.	13	contain your photographs?
14	Q. Did you make a note of that in	14	A. Yes.
15	your field notes?	15	Q. Okay. You have a copy of this,
16	A. I don't see a notation of that.	16	of all your photographs somewhere.
į.		17	Correct?
17	•	18	A. Yes.
18	up in bushings?	19	Q. Do you have your photographs
19	A. Not much. Half inch maybe.	20	printed out?
20	Q. That would be on each side?	21	A. No.
21	A. Pardon me?	22	Q. We just have them on this stick
22	Q. On each side?	23	or in your computer. Correct?
23	A. I'm sorry?		A. On my server, my backup server.
24	Q. On both sides?	24 25	
25	A. Maybe a quarter on both sides.	25	Q. I'm going to request of you
	Page 83		Page 85
1	Q. A quarter on both sides?	1	through your attorney that you provide
2	A. Perhaps, yeah.	2	your attorney with the copies of the
3	Q. Did the lower control arms	3	pictures that you from this that you
4	deform?	4	believe depict the significant reduction
5	A. I don't remember. I'd have to	5	in the wheelbase that you've indicated in
6	look at the photographs. I know the	6	paragraph number two.
7	trailing arms on the lateral struts both	7	MR. BRADLEY: We'll take it under
8	bent so I would well, I don't know.	8	advisement.
9	Left frame rail bent up at the trailing	9.	MS. DE FILIPPO: Well, if you're
10	arm. I don't know, I'd have to look at	10	not going to do that at a later time we
11	the photographs. The right frame rail	11	could do it now but I'm trying to save
12	also bent up at the trailing arm. I don't	12	time.
13	know.	13	MR. BRADLEY: I understand.
14		14	MS. DE FILIPPO: It would be much
15	Q. Okay.A. I do know that the trailing arms	15	easier for him to say here are my photos
	bent but I don't know about the control	16	that I think support number two.
16		17	THE WITNESS: So you want the
17	arm. O Wall getting back to number two	18	photographs that show the reduction in the
18	Q. Well, getting back to number two on page four, "The Grand Cherokee's	19	wheelbase?
19		20	Q. Of the wheelbase. Do they exist
20	wheelbase has been significantly reduced	21	in this stick?
21	as a result of the crash."	22	A. Yes.
22	Do you have pictures depicting	23	Q. How many are there that show
23	that?	24	reduction in the wheelbase? How many would
24	A. Oh, yeah.		
25	Q. You took pictures at the scene	25	you say are on here?

	Page 86		Page 88
1	A. I don't know.	1	I see below the vehicle. In other words,
2	Q. I'm not holding you to it.	2	with this much crushing I would expect a
3	A. Four or five maybe.	3	tearing, but I didn't actually find
4	Q. So it's not an inordinate amount	4	evidence because all the evidence burned
5	of photos that show reduction in the	5	up.
6	wheelbase?	6	Q. Are you saying that the rear
7	A. All the ones that show it or the	7	crossmember was pushed forward 36 inches?
8	typical ones?	8	A. The facebar supports were driven
9	Q. I want the ones that you point	9	forward. This thing was bent up. It was
10	to.	10	mangled.
11	A. Okay.	11	Q. I'm talking about the rear
12	Q. You said also on page four that,	12	crossmember now.
13	the first full paragraph, the last	13	A. I don't know about the rear
14	sentence, "A fuel tank tearing puncture is	14	crossmember. The supports are a hard
15	the possible result."	15	point.
16	Do you see that sentence?	16	Q. Where was the crossmember?
17		17	A. The facebar, which is the rear
		18	crossmember, is at the very rear of the
18		19	frame rails.
19	sentence.	20	Q. Where was it when you saw it?
20	A. Oh, okay.	21	A. It's bent up.
21	Q. "A fuel tank tearing puncture is	22	-
22	the possible result."		Q. So but where was it when you saw
23	Did I read that correctly?	23	it? Was it there?
24	A. Yes.	24	A. They were driven forward
25	Q. Okay. Do you mean to say	25	36 inches at the supports.
	Page 87		Page 89
1	probable?	1	Q. That's not what I'm asking you.
2	A. No, possible. I have no evidence	2	A. Well, think of this. Here's a
3	that it was probable but I think it's	3	facebar at the end of two rails. If I
4	possible.	4	bend this forward, it has more rail
5	Q. Well, we talk in terms of	5	consequence. But if I bend this support
6	probabilities when we're talking about	6	where it attaches to the rail, the rail
7	expert testimony. So if you're going to	7	then moves with it and now I can have a
8	say it's possible, it's not a proper	8	hard point reference. So if I have a
9	opinion. If you believe it's probable	9	U-shape in here, the facebar could move in
_	opinion. It you control to product	1	
10	I'm just saving, do you understand the	10	7
10	I'm just saying, do you understand the difference in terms of your testimony?	10 11	but the supports didn't move the rail. So
11	difference in terms of your testimony?	11	but the supports didn't move the rail. So I tend to look at the facebar supports as
11 12	difference in terms of your testimony? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	11 12	but the supports didn't move the rail. So I tend to look at the facebar supports as a hard point.
11 12 13	difference in terms of your testimony? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection.	11 12 13	but the supports didn't move the rail. So I tend to look at the facebar supports as a hard point. Q. That's fair enough as to what you
11 12 13 14	difference in terms of your testimony? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Let me give you	11 12 13 14	but the supports didn't move the rail. So I tend to look at the facebar supports as a hard point. Q. That's fair enough as to what you looked at. I want to know where the rear
11 12 13 14 15	difference in terms of your testimony? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Let me give you some dialogue. The rear facebar supports	11 12 13 14 15	but the supports didn't move the rail. So I tend to look at the facebar supports as a hard point. Q. That's fair enough as to what you looked at. I want to know where the rear crossmember was when you looked at this
11 12 13 14 15 16	difference in terms of your testimony? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Let me give you some dialogue. The rear facebar supports were driven forward 36 inches and the fuel	11 12 13 14 15 16	but the supports didn't move the rail. So I tend to look at the facebar supports as a hard point. Q. That's fair enough as to what you looked at. I want to know where the rear crossmember was when you looked at this vehicle.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	difference in terms of your testimony? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Let me give you some dialogue. The rear facebar supports were driven forward 36 inches and the fuel tank was likely similarly driven forward	11 12 13 14 15 16	but the supports didn't move the rail. So I tend to look at the facebar supports as a hard point. Q. That's fair enough as to what you looked at. I want to know where the rear crossmember was when you looked at this vehicle. A. I'd have to look at the
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	difference in terms of your testimony? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Let me give you some dialogue. The rear facebar supports were driven forward 36 inches and the fuel tank was likely similarly driven forward and compressed from the rear while it was	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	but the supports didn't move the rail. So I tend to look at the facebar supports as a hard point. Q. That's fair enough as to what you looked at. I want to know where the rear crossmember was when you looked at this vehicle. A. I'd have to look at the photographs. I don't know.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	difference in terms of your testimony? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Let me give you some dialogue. The rear facebar supports were driven forward 36 inches and the fuel tank was likely similarly driven forward and compressed from the rear while it was being driven forward. Therefore, a	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	but the supports didn't move the rail. So I tend to look at the facebar supports as a hard point. Q. That's fair enough as to what you looked at. I want to know where the rear crossmember was when you looked at this vehicle. A. I'd have to look at the photographs. I don't know. Q. Did you make a notation in your
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	difference in terms of your testimony? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Let me give you some dialogue. The rear facebar supports were driven forward 36 inches and the fuel tank was likely similarly driven forward and compressed from the rear while it was being driven forward. Therefore, a tearing puncture in my experience is a	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	but the supports didn't move the rail. So I tend to look at the facebar supports as a hard point. Q. That's fair enough as to what you looked at. I want to know where the rear crossmember was when you looked at this vehicle. A. I'd have to look at the photographs. I don't know. Q. Did you make a notation in your field notes where it was?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	difference in terms of your testimony? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Let me give you some dialogue. The rear facebar supports were driven forward 36 inches and the fuel tank was likely similarly driven forward and compressed from the rear while it was being driven forward. Therefore, a tearing puncture in my experience is a possible result. But because we have no	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	but the supports didn't move the rail. So I tend to look at the facebar supports as a hard point. Q. That's fair enough as to what you looked at. I want to know where the rear crossmember was when you looked at this vehicle. A. I'd have to look at the photographs. I don't know. Q. Did you make a notation in your field notes where it was? A. I'll look. My notations say that
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	difference in terms of your testimony? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Let me give you some dialogue. The rear facebar supports were driven forward 36 inches and the fuel tank was likely similarly driven forward and compressed from the rear while it was being driven forward. Therefore, a tearing puncture in my experience is a possible result. But because we have no tank remains to evaluate, I can't say that	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	but the supports didn't move the rail. So I tend to look at the facebar supports as a hard point. Q. That's fair enough as to what you looked at. I want to know where the rear crossmember was when you looked at this vehicle. A. I'd have to look at the photographs. I don't know. Q. Did you make a notation in your field notes where it was? A. I'll look. My notations say that the facebar was rotated 90 degrees
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	difference in terms of your testimony? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Let me give you some dialogue. The rear facebar supports were driven forward 36 inches and the fuel tank was likely similarly driven forward and compressed from the rear while it was being driven forward. Therefore, a tearing puncture in my experience is a possible result. But because we have no tank remains to evaluate, I can't say that it's probable because I just have no	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	but the supports didn't move the rail. So I tend to look at the facebar supports as a hard point. Q. That's fair enough as to what you looked at. I want to know where the rear crossmember was when you looked at this vehicle. A. I'd have to look at the photographs. I don't know. Q. Did you make a notation in your field notes where it was? A. I'll look. My notations say that the facebar was rotated 90 degrees downward.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	difference in terms of your testimony? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Let me give you some dialogue. The rear facebar supports were driven forward 36 inches and the fuel tank was likely similarly driven forward and compressed from the rear while it was being driven forward. Therefore, a tearing puncture in my experience is a possible result. But because we have no tank remains to evaluate, I can't say that	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	but the supports didn't move the rail. So I tend to look at the facebar supports as a hard point. Q. That's fair enough as to what you looked at. I want to know where the rear crossmember was when you looked at this vehicle. A. I'd have to look at the photographs. I don't know. Q. Did you make a notation in your field notes where it was? A. I'll look. My notations say that the facebar was rotated 90 degrees

	Page 90		Page 92
1	that's not impacted, the facebar, the	1	A. Yes.
2	crossmember can we refer to it as	2	Q. Can you draw that for me?
3	crossmember from now on so we at least	3	A. Sure.
4	have one term?	4	Q. Get a clean piece of paper
5	A. Sure.	5	because we're going to mark it.
6	Q. The rear crossmember was	6	A. So there's the facebar.
7	90 degrees down toward the ground so now	7	Q. That's the back of the car?
8	it went from parallel to the ground where	8	A. Yeah. That's the side view, left
9	it sits to completely perpendicular?	9	side view. There's the facebar, there's
10	A. Yeah.	10	the ground. The facebar is perpendicular
11	Q. Well, was it twisted?	11	to the ground like this. And after the
12	A. I don't remember. My notes do	12	crash, the facebar was parallel to the
13	not reflect that. I'd have to look at the	13	ground rather than perpendicular. It was
14	photos.	14	rotated 90 degrees down.
15	Q. What is the length of the rear	15	Q. Okay.
16	crossmember in the Jeep Grand Cherokee ZJ?	16	MR. BRADLEY: You said you wanted
17	A. I don't know.	17	to mark that?
18	Q. Can you estimate?	18	MS. DE FILIPPO: Yes. Why don't
		19	we mark it.
19		20	110 1110111
20	-	21	(Diagram drawn by the witness is
21		22	received and marked Banta-22 for
22	Q. Would you agree with me that the	23	identification.)
23	rear crossmember, though, would be too	24	identification.)
24	long to be standing completely	25	Q. Mr. Banta, for clarity purposes
25	perpendicular to the ground if it was off	25	
	Page 91		Page 93
1	where its normal mounting was?	1	I'm going to show you a photograph, which
2	A. Can you read that back?	2	we should mark Banta-23, and another one
3	Q. Let me ask it a different way.	3	Banta-24.
4	If the rear crossmember is unseated from	4	
5	its parallel position to the ground and is	5	(Two photographs are received and
6	90 degrees now perpendicular to the	6	marked Banta-23 and Banta-24 for
7	ground, do you think it's longer and would	7	identification.)
8	hit the ground and not be exactly	8	_
9	90 degrees?	9	Q. Mr. Banta, this is just for
10	A. There's something wrong with	10	clarity for me actually because I don't
11	that. The facebar is perpendicular to the	11	know if I understand your diagram of
12	ground initially before impact. And what	12	Banta-22 as well as I should.
13	I'm telling you is that it was rotated	13	I'm going to show you what's been
14	90 degrees down, so it would now be	14	marked Banta-23, the back of a Jeep.
15	parallel to the ground.	15	Correct? The photograph is of the back of
16	Q. The rear crossmember that we're	16	the Jeep. Right?
17	talking about is perpendicular to the	17	A. Yes.
18	ground?	18	Q. Is the rear crossmember indicated
19	A. The facebar is.	19	in that picture?
		20	A. No. The facebar is not.
20		21	Somebody used the term bumper. We don't
21	it was the same thing.	22	use bumper anymore. But the facebar is
22	A. It's the same thing.	23	not on this view.
23	Q. So are you saying that the	23	Q. Okay. I'm going to show you
24	crossmember is perpendicular when the car	. 4	Q. Okay, Thi going to show you
25	hasn't been struck to the ground?	25	another photograph marked Banta-24. Can

	Page 94		Page 96
1	you tell me where the facebar would be	1	Q. Is parallel to the ground.
2	relative to that bumper?	2	A. No. It's perpendicular to the
3	A. Yes. It's well, they're the	3	ground.
4	same thing. Facebar and bumper are the	4	MR. GILL: Paul doesn't agree with
5	same thing.	5	you.
6	Q. So do you see the	6	MS. DE FILIPPO: Can we go off the
7	A. You see where it points to what	7	record a minute?
8	is called a bumper? That's the facebar.	8	(Whereupon, a discussion is held
9	Q. That's the facebar?	9	off the record.)
10	A. Yes.	10	MR. BRADLEY: We had a discussion
11	Q. So that's the rear crossmember?	11	off the record to help
12	A. Yes. It's the rearmost member of	12	MS. DE FILIPPO: Let me. There
13	the frame structure.	13	was a discussion off the record for
14	Q. Which is what the arrow in the	14	orientation purposes.
15	middle of the page is pointing to?	15	Q. There are things in the vehicle
16	A. Yes.	16	called side rails. Correct?
17	Q. So when that is on the car, it's	17	A. Side rails? Frame rails.
18	parallel to the ground. Correct? It's not	18	Q. Frame rails on the side?
19	perpendicular?	19	A. Yes, yes.
20	A. No, it's perpendicular. It's	20	Q. And they run front to back of the
21	facing up and the ground is here. That's	21	vehicle?
22	perpendicular.	22	A. Yes, like a ladder. Think of a
23	Q. What do you mean, facing up?	23	ladder.
24	Isn't the facebar, the crossmember, this	24	Q. And across the vehicle almost
25	right here?	25	connecting the side rails is a
	Page 95		Page 97
1	A. Yes.	1	crossmember. Correct?
2	Q. So when this sits on the car,	2	A. Yes.
3	it's as if I put it on like that.	3	Q. It makes a box almost?
4	Correct?	4	A. It does.
5	A. Yes.	5	Q. So the side rails running forward
6	Q. Well, then, that is parallel to	6	and back, if you take the whole side rail
7	the ground.	7	and look from the side, that's also
8	A. No, it's perpendicular. What is	8	parallel to the ground, the whole side
9	this?	9	rail. I'm not talking about a piece of it
10	Q. That is perpendicular, but that's	10	or flipping it or its dimensions. If you
11	not what this is. This is sitting it's	11	take the whole rail in your hand and put
12	on the car like this. Correct?	12	it up to where it's going to be on the
13	A. Yes.	13	car, it is parallel to the ground when
14	Q. And this is perpendicular. It's	14	it's affixed. Correct?
15	not going up and down, it's going across	15	A. The bottom surface of the frame
16	the car. Correct?	16	rail is parallel to the ground.
17	A. Right.	17	Q. And that's true for the
18	Q. So it's parallel to the ground.	18	crossmember also. If you take the whole
19	A. No, it's perpendicular.	19	crossmember rear in your hand and hold it
20	MR. GILL: The bottom edge is	20	up to where it should be in the car, it's
21	parallel to the ground but the faceplate	21	going to be parallel to the ground?
22	is	22	A. The bottom surface of the
23	MS. DE FILIPPO: I'm only talking	23	crossmember will be parallel to the
1	•	- 1	
25			0.1
24	about the bar itself as it goes across. THE WITNESS: Right.	24 25	ground. Q. Right. What part of the

	Page 98		Page 100
1	crossmember won't be parallel to the	1	the crossmembers on the front and back?
2	ground if the bottom surface is?	2	A. That's a guess.
3	A. The vertical wall.	3	MR. BRADLEY: Well, don't guess.
4	Q. And that would be at either end.	4	THE WITNESS: I don't know. I
5	Correct?	5	would estimate four to six.
6	A. Of the crossmember?	6	Q. Now, you indicate in number six
7	Q. Yes.	7	that "The area forward of the rear axle
8	A. Yes.	8	was also compromised."
9	Q. Okay.	9	Can you tell me specifically what
10	A. And the same is true with the	10	was compromised in the crash?
11	facebar.	11	A. Yes. The body structure forward
12	Q. Right. You indicate in your	12	of the rear axle was deformed?
13	report also that the on page four you	13	Q. What body structure?
14	indicate that "The impact forces of this	14	A. Generally the floorpan.
15	accident were extremely severe. This	15	Q. So the floorpan ahead of the rear
16	crash destruction eliminated the space	16	axle was deformed?
17	occupied by the fuel tank in spite of its	17	A. Yes.
18	designed protection system."	18	Q. In what way?
19	Do you see that? Number five.	19	A. My note number ten says the
20	A. Number seven?	20	floorpan rear of the rear axle invaded the
21	Q. Five.	21	space forward of the rear axle. So that
22	A. The impact forces of this	22	portion of the floorpan that was behind
23	accident were extremely severe, yes.	23	the rear axle went forward ahead of the
24	Q. How much space is occupied by the	24	rear axle.
25	fuel tank prior to the collision?	25	Q. What is the floorpan? Is that
	Page 99		Page 101
1	A. I don't know. I haven't measured	1	sheet metal?
1 2	it.	2	A. Yes.
3		3	Q. And you found sheet metal on this
4	Q. Can you estimate?A. I'm reluctant to do that.	4	vehicle when you examined it in front of
5	Q. What is the designed protection	5	the axle, the rear axle?
6	system that you're referring to in number	6	
7	five?		A. TEMI.
1 /		1 7	
		7	Q. Was it affixed to anything at the
8	A. Generally the four elements of	8	Q. Was it affixed to anything at the time that you examined it?
9	A. Generally the four elements of the frame rail, fore and aft, and lateral,	8	Q. Was it affixed to anything at the time that you examined it?A. Affixed? In other words, attached
9 10	A. Generally the four elements of the frame rail, fore and aft, and lateral, ahead of and behind the tank. You	8 9 10	Q. Was it affixed to anything at the time that you examined it?A. Affixed? In other words, attached to the frame rails.
9 10 11	A. Generally the four elements of the frame rail, fore and aft, and lateral, ahead of and behind the tank. You essentially put the tank inside a box.	8 9 10 11	Q. Was it affixed to anything at the time that you examined it?A. Affixed? In other words, attached to the frame rails.Q. It was still attached to the
9 10 11 12	A. Generally the four elements of the frame rail, fore and aft, and lateral, ahead of and behind the tank. You essentially put the tank inside a box. Q. The box is composed of the two	8 9 10 11 12	Q. Was it affixed to anything at the time that you examined it?A. Affixed? In other words, attached to the frame rails.Q. It was still attached to the frame rails?
9 10 11 12 13	A. Generally the four elements of the frame rail, fore and aft, and lateral, ahead of and behind the tank. You essentially put the tank inside a box. Q. The box is composed of the two side rails, a rear crossmember and a	8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Was it affixed to anything at the time that you examined it? A. Affixed? In other words, attached to the frame rails. Q. It was still attached to the frame rails? A. Yes.
9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Generally the four elements of the frame rail, fore and aft, and lateral, ahead of and behind the tank. You essentially put the tank inside a box. Q. The box is composed of the two side rails, a rear crossmember and a crossmember just ahead of the tank?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Was it affixed to anything at the time that you examined it? A. Affixed? In other words, attached to the frame rails. Q. It was still attached to the frame rails? A. Yes. Q. Did the frame rails move ahead of
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Generally the four elements of the frame rail, fore and aft, and lateral, ahead of and behind the tank. You essentially put the tank inside a box. Q. The box is composed of the two side rails, a rear crossmember and a crossmember just ahead of the tank? A. Yes.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Was it affixed to anything at the time that you examined it? A. Affixed? In other words, attached to the frame rails. Q. It was still attached to the frame rails? A. Yes. Q. Did the frame rails move ahead of the rear axle?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Generally the four elements of the frame rail, fore and aft, and lateral, ahead of and behind the tank. You essentially put the tank inside a box. Q. The box is composed of the two side rails, a rear crossmember and a crossmember just ahead of the tank? A. Yes. Q. There are other crossmembers in	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Was it affixed to anything at the time that you examined it? A. Affixed? In other words, attached to the frame rails. Q. It was still attached to the frame rails? A. Yes. Q. Did the frame rails move ahead of the rear axle? A. I believe they did. I'd have to
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Generally the four elements of the frame rail, fore and aft, and lateral, ahead of and behind the tank. You essentially put the tank inside a box. Q. The box is composed of the two side rails, a rear crossmember and a crossmember just ahead of the tank? A. Yes. Q. There are other crossmembers in the car. Correct?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Was it affixed to anything at the time that you examined it? A. Affixed? In other words, attached to the frame rails. Q. It was still attached to the frame rails? A. Yes. Q. Did the frame rails move ahead of the rear axle? A. I believe they did. I'd have to look at my photographs, but I think they
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Generally the four elements of the frame rail, fore and aft, and lateral, ahead of and behind the tank. You essentially put the tank inside a box. Q. The box is composed of the two side rails, a rear crossmember and a crossmember just ahead of the tank? A. Yes. Q. There are other crossmembers in the car. Correct? A. Oh, yes.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Was it affixed to anything at the time that you examined it? A. Affixed? In other words, attached to the frame rails. Q. It was still attached to the frame rails? A. Yes. Q. Did the frame rails move ahead of the rear axle? A. I believe they did. I'd have to look at my photographs, but I think they did. I think the frame rail took a Z kind
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Generally the four elements of the frame rail, fore and aft, and lateral, ahead of and behind the tank. You essentially put the tank inside a box. Q. The box is composed of the two side rails, a rear crossmember and a crossmember just ahead of the tank? A. Yes. Q. There are other crossmembers in the car. Correct? A. Oh, yes. Q. How much space is there from the	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Was it affixed to anything at the time that you examined it? A. Affixed? In other words, attached to the frame rails. Q. It was still attached to the frame rails? A. Yes. Q. Did the frame rails move ahead of the rear axle? A. I believe they did. I'd have to look at my photographs, but I think they did. I think the frame rail took a Z kind of shape and moved forward ahead of the
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Generally the four elements of the frame rail, fore and aft, and lateral, ahead of and behind the tank. You essentially put the tank inside a box. Q. The box is composed of the two side rails, a rear crossmember and a crossmember just ahead of the tank? A. Yes. Q. There are other crossmembers in the car. Correct? A. Oh, yes. Q. How much space is there from the tank to each of the elements of that box?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Was it affixed to anything at the time that you examined it? A. Affixed? In other words, attached to the frame rails. Q. It was still attached to the frame rails? A. Yes. Q. Did the frame rails move ahead of the rear axle? A. I believe they did. I'd have to look at my photographs, but I think they did. I think the frame rail took a Z kind of shape and moved forward ahead of the rear axle.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Generally the four elements of the frame rail, fore and aft, and lateral, ahead of and behind the tank. You essentially put the tank inside a box. Q. The box is composed of the two side rails, a rear crossmember and a crossmember just ahead of the tank? A. Yes. Q. There are other crossmembers in the car. Correct? A. Oh, yes. Q. How much space is there from the tank to each of the elements of that box? A. I don't know.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Was it affixed to anything at the time that you examined it? A. Affixed? In other words, attached to the frame rails. Q. It was still attached to the frame rails? A. Yes. Q. Did the frame rails move ahead of the rear axle? A. I believe they did. I'd have to look at my photographs, but I think they did. I think the frame rail took a Z kind of shape and moved forward ahead of the rear axle. Q. Ahead of rear axle?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Generally the four elements of the frame rail, fore and aft, and lateral, ahead of and behind the tank. You essentially put the tank inside a box. Q. The box is composed of the two side rails, a rear crossmember and a crossmember just ahead of the tank? A. Yes. Q. There are other crossmembers in the car. Correct? A. Oh, yes. Q. How much space is there from the tank to each of the elements of that box? A. I don't know. Q. Do you have an estimate?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Was it affixed to anything at the time that you examined it? A. Affixed? In other words, attached to the frame rails. Q. It was still attached to the frame rails? A. Yes. Q. Did the frame rails move ahead of the rear axle? A. I believe they did. I'd have to look at my photographs, but I think they did. I think the frame rail took a Z kind of shape and moved forward ahead of the rear axle. Q. Ahead of rear axle? A. Yes.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Generally the four elements of the frame rail, fore and aft, and lateral, ahead of and behind the tank. You essentially put the tank inside a box. Q. The box is composed of the two side rails, a rear crossmember and a crossmember just ahead of the tank? A. Yes. Q. There are other crossmembers in the car. Correct? A. Oh, yes. Q. How much space is there from the tank to each of the elements of that box? A. I don't know. Q. Do you have an estimate? A. Four to six inches perhaps.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Was it affixed to anything at the time that you examined it? A. Affixed? In other words, attached to the frame rails. Q. It was still attached to the frame rails? A. Yes. Q. Did the frame rails move ahead of the rear axle? A. I believe they did. I'd have to look at my photographs, but I think they did. I think the frame rail took a Z kind of shape and moved forward ahead of the rear axle. Q. Ahead of rear axle? A. Yes. Q. And you have that in photographs?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Generally the four elements of the frame rail, fore and aft, and lateral, ahead of and behind the tank. You essentially put the tank inside a box. Q. The box is composed of the two side rails, a rear crossmember and a crossmember just ahead of the tank? A. Yes. Q. There are other crossmembers in the car. Correct? A. Oh, yes. Q. How much space is there from the tank to each of the elements of that box? A. I don't know. Q. Do you have an estimate?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Was it affixed to anything at the time that you examined it? A. Affixed? In other words, attached to the frame rails. Q. It was still attached to the frame rails? A. Yes. Q. Did the frame rails move ahead of the rear axle? A. I believe they did. I'd have to look at my photographs, but I think they did. I think the frame rail took a Z kind of shape and moved forward ahead of the rear axle. Q. Ahead of rear axle? A. Yes.

			27 (rages 102 to 103)
	Page 102		Page 104
1	A. Yes.	1	floorpan and the bumper and the fascia,
2	MR. BRADLEY: We'll take it under	2	when all that stuff gets bent up it's
3	advisement.	3	using the energy from the impacting
4	THE WITNESS: You want	4	vehicle to do that.
5	photographs showing where the structure	5	Q. And I want to make sure I have
6	moved forward of rear axle? Right?	6	them all, though. You said the side
7	MS. DE FILIPPO: And also the	7	rails, the crossmember, the floorpan, the
8	photographs about the wheelbase.	8	quarter panel. Anything else?
9	MR. BRADLEY: Yes.	9	A. No, that's generally it. That's
10	Q. Now, you call this four elements,	10	generally the body structure.
11	frame rail, side rail times two, your	11	Q. Well, I want to make sure I know
12	designed protection system. Correct?	12	what the body structure is. So you told
13	A. Yes.	13	me everything in the back?
14	Q. Where did you get that	14	A. I think so, yeah.
15	information, that that was a designed	15	Q. Now, you say in number seven, you
16	protection system in the Jeep?	16	say, "This vehicle was designed,
17	A. That's the universal in the auto	17	manufactured, tested and certified to
18	industry. You wrap the fuel tank around a	18	comply with the fuel system integrity
19	structure and ideally you want the	19	requirements of FMVSS 301."
20	structure and the fuel tank to move	20	Correct?
21	together as a unit.	21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Can you tell me with respect to	22	Q. Then you go on to say, "The test
1	Susan Kline's vehicle how the fuel tank	23	results demonstrate that this vehicle has
23	was protected or by what means? I know	24	an" and I'm underlining the word
24	you've already said by the four rails. Is	25	"this," "has an effective and capable fuel
25	you've already said by the four rails. Is		
	Page 103		Page 105
1	there anything else?	1	system integrity crash performance."
2	 A. The rigidity provided by the 	2	Are you saying that you're
3	floorpan above those four items. The	3	specifically referring to the Susan Kline
4	floorpan is welded to the two frame rails	4	vehicle?
5	and the laterals around the tank. And	5	A. No.
6	then additionally, the entire rear	6	Q. You're not?
7	structure is an energy absorbing system	7	A. No.
8	that uses up the impact forces that come	8	Q. Okay. So are you able to make
9	in and converts it to bending energies.	9	that statement in any way, shape or form
10	Q. What do you mean by "entire rear	10	with respect to the Susan Kline vehicle?
11	structure"?	11	A. That's a good question. To the
12	A. Well, when you hit one vehicle	12	extent I've never been asked that
13	with another you start bending and	13	question before, I want to tell you that.
14	deforming metal and it takes energy to do	14	And it is a good question.
15	that. So you design the car so it will	15	To the extent that I was able to
16	say the rear in this case, so that it will	16	make a determination in my inspection of
17	deform and just look awful after a crash	17	the vehicle, I could not find anything in
18	but it's effectively used up much of the	18	the vehicle that would have made the Susan
19	energy of the collision.	19	Kline vehicle different than the family
20	Q. So what structures are we talking	20	that was tested. In other words, there
21	about that absorbs the energy in the ZJ,	21	wasn't some addition to the vehicle, there
22	in the Susan ZJ?	22	wasn't something taken away. There was no
23	A. Generally the whole rear body	23	post manufacturing compromise to the
24	structure. As you bend the sheet metal,	24	vehicle that would have affected the
17.4	SITUATION ASSOCIATION OF THE SHOOT HISTORY	,	
25	say the rear quarter panels and the	25	impact performance. I think that's what

ı	Page 106		Page 108
1	you asked. Is it?	1	answer.
2	Q. And I'm also asking, besides the	2	A. Yes.
3	thought that there might have been	3	Q. What's the difference?
4	something done to the vehicle by anyone	4	A. The difference is we would do a
5	with respect to this vehicle when it left	5	rear impact crash test but it may not
1	the hands of the manufacturer, are you	6	necessarily be one that is used to
6		7	demonstrate compliance with 301. It may
7	able to state that this particular Susan	8	be a development test or some other type
8	Kline vehicle at the time that it left	9	of test. It takes the 301 test
9	Chrysler's hands was the vehicle that you	10	
10	could say was designed, manufactured,		disciplines but it's not labeled a 301
11	tested, certified to comply with fuel	11	test until such time as the development
12	system integrity and that the test results	12	engineer determines that that will be the
13	demonstrate that this particular vehicle	13	basis for compliance.
14	had an effective and capable fuel system	14	Q. So you're not recognizing a
15	integrity crash performance?	15	difference in a test between a test that's
16	A. Yes.	16	development, compliance, validation, et
17	Q. So you can refer to this vehicle	17	cetera. You're changing it to it's not
18	in particular at the time it left the	18	301 now, it's either 301 only if it's
19	hands of the manufacturer with whatever it	19	compliance?
20	had on it, that this vehicle was tested	20	A. If it's used to certify
21	and complied?	21	compliance to 301.
22	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	22	Q. But, however, in discovery you
23	objection.	23	know in this case there were 29 tests
24	THE WITNESS: No.	24	supplied to us represented by Chrysler to
25	MR. BRADLEY: You said, "this	25	be the tests that the ZJ was involved with
	Page 107		Page 109
1	vehicle was tested." You mean Susan	1	prior to certification or after
2	Kline?	2	certification.
3	MS. DE FILIPPO: Yes, the Susan	3	A. Yes. You were provided 29 what
4	Kline vehicle.	4	are called VCs.
5	THE WITNESS: I don't mean this	5	Q. What does VC mean?
6	exact vehicle.	6	A. Vehicle crash. There's IS and
7	Q. I do, though.	7	VC, impact simulator or vehicle crash.
8		8	Q. I don't have any IS tests.
9		9	Correct?
		10	A. You do not. There are no
10	supplied us with 29 tests including		A. I bu do not. I note are no
111	agetification to stath at Charalar did		
11	certification tests that Chrysler did	11	crashings in an IS.
12	regarding the ZJ pursuant to what Chrysler	12	crashings in an IS. Q. So we're only talking about
12	regarding the ZJ pursuant to what Chrysler believed was the testing at the time of	12 13	crashings in an IS. Q. So we're only talking about vehicle crash tests. Right?
12 13 14	regarding the ZJ pursuant to what Chrysler believed was the testing at the time of the federal government, FMVSS 301.	12 13 14	crashings in an IS. Q. So we're only talking about vehicle crash tests. Right? A. Yes.
12 13 14 15	regarding the ZJ pursuant to what Chrysler believed was the testing at the time of the federal government, FMVSS 301. Correct?	12 13 14 15	crashings in an IS. Q. So we're only talking about vehicle crash tests. Right? A. Yes. Q. And the vehicles were crashed in
12 13 14 15 16	regarding the ZJ pursuant to what Chrysler believed was the testing at the time of the federal government, FMVSS 301. Correct? A. No.	12 13 14 15 16	crashings in an IS. Q. So we're only talking about vehicle crash tests. Right? A. Yes. Q. And the vehicles were crashed in accordance with the parameters set by 301,
12 13 14 15 16 17	regarding the ZJ pursuant to what Chrysler believed was the testing at the time of the federal government, FMVSS 301. Correct? A. No. Q. Did you review the tests	12 13 14 15 16 17	crashings in an IS. Q. So we're only talking about vehicle crash tests. Right? A. Yes. Q. And the vehicles were crashed in accordance with the parameters set by 301, however, in all of the tests?
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	regarding the ZJ pursuant to what Chrysler believed was the testing at the time of the federal government, FMVSS 301. Correct? A. No. Q. Did you review the tests A. I said no, not 29 301 tests. You	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	crashings in an IS. Q. So we're only talking about vehicle crash tests. Right? A. Yes. Q. And the vehicles were crashed in accordance with the parameters set by 301, however, in all of the tests? A. That's correct, yes.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	regarding the ZJ pursuant to what Chrysler believed was the testing at the time of the federal government, FMVSS 301. Correct? A. No. Q. Did you review the tests A. I said no, not 29 301 tests. You got 29 crash tests in total but not all	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	crashings in an IS. Q. So we're only talking about vehicle crash tests. Right? A. Yes. Q. And the vehicles were crashed in accordance with the parameters set by 301, however, in all of the tests? A. That's correct, yes. Q. And all of the tests, we're
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	regarding the ZJ pursuant to what Chrysler believed was the testing at the time of the federal government, FMVSS 301. Correct? A. No. Q. Did you review the tests A. I said no, not 29 301 tests. You got 29 crash tests in total but not all were 301 tests.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	crashings in an IS. Q. So we're only talking about vehicle crash tests. Right? A. Yes. Q. And the vehicles were crashed in accordance with the parameters set by 301, however, in all of the tests? A. That's correct, yes. Q. And all of the tests, we're talking about a nondeformable barrier that
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	regarding the ZJ pursuant to what Chrysler believed was the testing at the time of the federal government, FMVSS 301. Correct? A. No. Q. Did you review the tests A. I said no, not 29 301 tests. You got 29 crash tests in total but not all were 301 tests. Q. What were they?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	crashings in an IS. Q. So we're only talking about vehicle crash tests. Right? A. Yes. Q. And the vehicles were crashed in accordance with the parameters set by 301, however, in all of the tests? A. That's correct, yes. Q. And all of the tests, we're talking about a nondeformable barrier that strikes the rear of the Jeep?
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	regarding the ZJ pursuant to what Chrysler believed was the testing at the time of the federal government, FMVSS 301. Correct? A. No. Q. Did you review the tests A. I said no, not 29 301 tests. You got 29 crash tests in total but not all were 301 tests. Q. What were they? A. They were just rear impacts.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	crashings in an IS. Q. So we're only talking about vehicle crash tests. Right? A. Yes. Q. And the vehicles were crashed in accordance with the parameters set by 301, however, in all of the tests? A. That's correct, yes. Q. And all of the tests, we're talking about a nondeformable barrier that strikes the rear of the Jeep? A. Yes.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	regarding the ZJ pursuant to what Chrysler believed was the testing at the time of the federal government, FMVSS 301. Correct? A. No. Q. Did you review the tests A. I said no, not 29 301 tests. You got 29 crash tests in total but not all were 301 tests. Q. What were they? A. They were just rear impacts. Q. You made a difference between	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	crashings in an IS. Q. So we're only talking about vehicle crash tests. Right? A. Yes. Q. And the vehicles were crashed in accordance with the parameters set by 301, however, in all of the tests? A. That's correct, yes. Q. And all of the tests, we're talking about a nondeformable barrier that strikes the rear of the Jeep? A. Yes. Q. At 30 miles an hour or
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	regarding the ZJ pursuant to what Chrysler believed was the testing at the time of the federal government, FMVSS 301. Correct? A. No. Q. Did you review the tests A. I said no, not 29 301 tests. You got 29 crash tests in total but not all were 301 tests. Q. What were they? A. They were just rear impacts.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	crashings in an IS. Q. So we're only talking about vehicle crash tests. Right? A. Yes. Q. And the vehicles were crashed in accordance with the parameters set by 301, however, in all of the tests? A. That's correct, yes. Q. And all of the tests, we're talking about a nondeformable barrier that strikes the rear of the Jeep? A. Yes.

	Page 110		Page 112
1	Q. Moving barrier, nondeformable at	1	no best or worst case. The configurations
2	30 miles or so an hour?	2	are not there are only two
3	A. Right.	3	configurations, you know, with or without
4	Q. Now, can you tell me what the	4	skid plate. So I can't define those in
5	Kline vehicle configuration was when it	5	best or worst. I think we tested them
6	left the hands of the manufacturer?	6	both.
7	A. I'm not sure what you mean.	7	Q. Well, then, are you able to say
8	Q. Can you tell me what the	8	that both were worst case tests?
9	configuration was, what did it have, what	9	A. Well, they were just the case,
10	didn't it have? And I'm talking relative	10	you know. Worst case applies to something
11	to rear end crash testing.	11	else, not these sport utilities. That
12	A. It was a typical base production	12	applies generally to trucks.
13	vehicle.	13	Q. Are you saying it doesn't apply?
14	Q. And when you say typical base	14	A. No, I don't think there's any
15	production, would you call Kline the worst	15	worst case consideration to the design in
16	case scenario to test for 301, if it had	16	a Grand Cherokee.
17	been tested? If this particular vehicle	17	Q. At any time?
18	had been tested for 301, FMVSS 301, would	18	A. No. No, I don't think so.
19	you call it the worst case scenario test?	19	Q. Why don't you think so?
20	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	20	A. Pardon me?
21	objection. You can answer.	21	Q. Why?
22	THE WITNESS: Well, in the case	22	A. Well, if you compare it to other
23	of the Grand Cherokee in that model year	23	type vehicles, particularly trucks, trucks
24	there were only two, the Limited and the	24	have a multitude of build configurations
25	Laredo and there was only one wheelbase.	25	and a multitude of wheelbases and gross
	Page 111		Page 113
1	So there were not DBW configuration	1	vehicle weights and bumper types. Grand
2	changes like there were on that pickup	2	Cherokee doesn't have that. There's only
3	truck. So the configurations to be tested	3	two models in a Grand Cherokee, Laredo and
4	would be either the Limited or the Laredo	4	Limited. And in the rear structure
5	and the choices would be with or without	5	they're identical. So if I were the
6	skid plate.	6	engineer trying to figure out which was
7	Q. Did the Kline vehicle have a skid	7	worst case, my answer would be with skid
8	plate?	8	plate and without skid plate and that's
9	A. No.	9	it. Which of those are worse? I don't
10	Q. I'm just asking again, would you	10	know. Test them both.
11	term the Kline vehicle the typical base	11	Q. I think we agreed, though, that
12	production vehicle that you describe, the	12	NHTSA requires every model be tested as
13	Kline vehicle?	13	worst case. So I'm not really talking
14	A. Yeah.	14	about the designer sitting down and trying
15	Q. If it had been tested to be the	15	to make the decision if in fact he can't.
16	worst case?	16	I'm just saying that if you're going to
17	A. The Kline vehicle represented the	17	comply with what NHTSA says about testing
18	vast majority of the Cherokee. The Kline	18	worst case, is it fair to say that you've
19	configuration was the vast majority of the	19	tested the worst case if you've tested a
20	vehicle built that year.	20	base vehicle like Kline with or without a
21	Q. And would it be the worst case?	21	skid plate?
22	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	22	A. Yes.
23	objection. You can answer.	23	Q. Okay. Now, do you know a guy
24	THE WITNESS: Well, I think in	24	named Dillon, David Dillon?
25	this case, in this Grand Cherokee there is	25	A. I know of him. David Dillon?
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

	Page 114		Page 116
1	Q. Yes. Did you ever meet him?	1	is, I'm going to show you 25 and 26 and
2	A. I did.	2	they're photographs, Banta-25 and 26. Can
3	Q. Did you ever work with him?	3	you identify them?
4	A. No.	4	MR. BRADLEY: Have you ever seen
5	Q. How do you know him?	5	these before?
6	A. I know that he is a successor	6	THE WITNESS: I don't know. I
7	manager of the Vehicle Safety Office.	7	don't know what they are. They are
8	Q. Successor to who?	8	apparently the rear end of a vehicle but I
9	A. After I retired he took over.	9	don't know what vehicle.
10	Successor to Matt Reynolds I believe.	10	Q. If I represent to you that they
11	Q. Who was your successor?	11	were part of Mr. Sheridan's report of
12	A. Pardon me?	12	photographs taken at the time that he
13	Q. After you retired, then Matt	13	viewed the vehicle before it was lifted,
14	Reynolds took over and then David Dillon?	14	before you actually came and lifted it as
15	A. I think Matt Reynolds may have	15	it was in the lot.
16	been there when I was. I'm pretty sure.	16	A. At Cagliano's (phonetic)?
17	I retired in '06. My guess is that Dillon	17	Q. At Carigliano's (phonetic), yes.
18	probably came in in '09 or '10 maybe.	18	The reason why I'm showing you these
19	Q. Did you know he had his	19	photos at this point in time is because we
20	deposition taken in this case?	20	had a discussion about how the rear
21	A. Yes.	21	crossmember or facebar, as you said, was
22	Q. Did you read his deposition	22	impacted and which way it moved and you
23	testimony?	23	said you would have to look at your
24	A. No.	24	photographs. And over the break it was my
25	Q. Did you discuss his deposition	25	understanding that it would take quite
23			
	Page 115		Page 117
1	testimony with him or anyone? Don't tell	1	sometime. So I'm going to renew my
2	me what you discussed but just tell me if	2	request for your photographs. But looking
3	you did.	3	at the photographs which are marked 25 and
4	A. No, I did not.	4	26, Banta, is the facebar or the
5	Q. How is it that you learned he was	5	crossmember indicated in those
6	deposed in this case?	6	photographs?
7	A. I think I have a copy of his	7	A. Yes.
8	deposition that was e-mailed to me.	8	Q. And now, having looked at those
9	Q. But you didn't read it?	9	photographs, based on my representation to
10	A. I did not read it.	10	you that it is the Kline vehicle, are you
11	MS. DE FILIPPO: This is a good	11	able to indicate the way the facebar was
12	time to take a break if you want	12	bent?
	time to take a break if you want.		
13		13	A. Yes. The facebar is bent this
13 14	(Whereupon a luncheon recess is	13 14	A. Yes. The facebar is bent this is the facebar. It is bent downward and
1	(Whereupon a luncheon recess is taken.)	13 14 15	A. Yes. The facebar is bent this is the facebar. It is bent downward and rotating toward the ground.
14	(Whereupon a luncheon recess is	13 14 15 16	A. Yes. The facebar is bent this is the facebar. It is bent downward and rotating toward the ground.Q. So rotating top toward the
14 15	(Whereupon a luncheon recess is taken.) MS. DE FILIPPO: Mark these two.	13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes. The facebar is bent this is the facebar. It is bent downward and rotating toward the ground. Q. So rotating top toward the ground, top of it toward the ground.
14 15 16	(Whereupon a luncheon recess is taken.) MS. DE FILIPPO: Mark these two. (Two photographs are received and	13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. The facebar is bent this is the facebar. It is bent downward and rotating toward the ground. Q. So rotating top toward the ground, top of it toward the ground. Correct?
14 15 16 17	(Whereupon a luncheon recess is taken.) MS. DE FILIPPO: Mark these two.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Yes. The facebar is bent this is the facebar. It is bent downward and rotating toward the ground. Q. So rotating top toward the ground, top of it toward the ground. Correct? A. Right.
14 15 16 17 18	(Whereupon a luncheon recess is taken.) MS. DE FILIPPO: Mark these two. (Two photographs are received and	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes. The facebar is bent this is the facebar. It is bent downward and rotating toward the ground. Q. So rotating top toward the ground, top of it toward the ground. Correct? A. Right. Q. And I just want to clarify
14 15 16 17 18 19	(Whereupon a luncheon recess is taken.) MS. DE FILIPPO: Mark these two. (Two photographs are received and marked Banta-25 and Banta-26 for	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. The facebar is bent this is the facebar. It is bent downward and rotating toward the ground. Q. So rotating top toward the ground, top of it toward the ground. Correct? A. Right. Q. And I just want to clarify because I know we talked about it at
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(Whereupon a luncheon recess is taken.) MS. DE FILIPPO: Mark these two. (Two photographs are received and marked Banta-25 and Banta-26 for identification.) Q. Mr. Banta, we're back on the	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. The facebar is bent this is the facebar. It is bent downward and rotating toward the ground. Q. So rotating top toward the ground, top of it toward the ground. Correct? A. Right. Q. And I just want to clarify because I know we talked about it at length. That's actually opposite what you
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(Whereupon a luncheon recess is taken.) MS. DE FILIPPO: Mark these two. (Two photographs are received and marked Banta-25 and Banta-26 for identification.) Q. Mr. Banta, we're back on the record. I just want to clarify a couple	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. The facebar is bent this is the facebar. It is bent downward and rotating toward the ground. Q. So rotating top toward the ground, top of it toward the ground. Correct? A. Right. Q. And I just want to clarify because I know we talked about it at length. That's actually opposite what you thought earlier. Correct?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Whereupon a luncheon recess is taken.) MS. DE FILIPPO: Mark these two. (Two photographs are received and marked Banta-25 and Banta-26 for identification.) Q. Mr. Banta, we're back on the	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. The facebar is bent this is the facebar. It is bent downward and rotating toward the ground. Q. So rotating top toward the ground, top of it toward the ground. Correct? A. Right. Q. And I just want to clarify because I know we talked about it at length. That's actually opposite what you

	Page 118		Page 120
1	THE WITNESS: No.	1	the manufacturer's hands and when it was
2	Q. Did you say correct me if I'm	2	being conceived and designed, under-ride
3	wrong. I might have been wrong. I	3	was known in the real world. Correct?
4	thought you said it rotated the opposite	4	MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.
5	way, up.	5	THE WITNESS: Under-ride is
6	A. No, downward.	6	known, yes.
7	Q. So it rotated the top of it going	7	Q. The concept of under-ride. Now,
8	down and bottom of it pushing back?	8	there is a portion of the tank in the
9	A. Yes.	9	Susan Kline vehicle which hangs below the
10	Q. Okay.	10	bumper. Correct?
11	MR. BRADLEY: Banta-25 he's	11	A. Some of the tank does, that's
12	referring to	12	correct.
13	Q. Well, you're referring to 25.	13	Q. I'm going to show you a document.
14	And when you look at Banta-26, is it the	14	I'm just going to show you the entire
15	same area?	15	document. We're going to mark this
16	A. Yeah, that's a different shot	16	another the next number.
17	from the same general area.	17	MR. BRADLEY: This is exchanged in
18	MR. BRADLEY: You were answering	18	Mr. Sheridan's report?
19	originally from Banta-25. Correct? Just	19	MS. DE FILIPPO: It's 11 pages of
20	to make the record clear.	20	a packet from Mr. Sheridan exchanged
21	THE WITNESS: Yes.	21	during his deposition and dated July 27,
22	Q. Now, would you agree with me that	22	2012, with a cover letter with that date
23	when a Jeep is braking, the active braking	23	on it.
24	would cause the back of the Jeep to go up?	24	V
25	A. Yes. Nose down, rear up.	25	(Eleven pages from Mr. Sheridan's
	Page 119		Page 121
		1	report is received and marked Banta 27 for
1	Q. And when you look at page five of	1	report is received and marked Dama 27 for
2		2	identification)
1	your report back to your report again	2	identification.)
3	which is the report that we've been	3	ŕ
4	which is the report that we've been working from of April 4, 2011. When you	3 4	Q. Mr. Banta, I'd like you to look
4 5	which is the report that we've been working from of April 4, 2011. When you look at page five I suppose it should	3 4 5	Q. Mr. Banta, I'd like you to look at the first photograph. Can you identify
4 5 6	which is the report that we've been working from of April 4, 2011. When you look at page five I suppose it should be page four, number seven, paragraph	3 4 5 6	Q. Mr. Banta, I'd like you to look at the first photograph. Can you identify what the first photograph is in that
4 5 6 7	which is the report that we've been working from of April 4, 2011. When you look at page five I suppose it should be page four, number seven, paragraph number seven where you indicate about the	3 4 5 6 7	Q. Mr. Banta, I'd like you to look at the first photograph. Can you identify what the first photograph is in that packet?
4 5 6 7 8	which is the report that we've been working from of April 4, 2011. When you look at page five I suppose it should be page four, number seven, paragraph number seven where you indicate about the vehicle was designed, manufactured, tested	3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Mr. Banta, I'd like you to look at the first photograph. Can you identify what the first photograph is in that packet? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
4 5 6 7 8 9	which is the report that we've been working from of April 4, 2011. When you look at page five I suppose it should be page four, number seven, paragraph number seven where you indicate about the vehicle was designed, manufactured, tested and certified to comply with FMVSS 301,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Mr. Banta, I'd like you to look at the first photograph. Can you identify what the first photograph is in that packet? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. I don't think this involves
4 5 6 7 8 9	which is the report that we've been working from of April 4, 2011. When you look at page five I suppose it should be page four, number seven, paragraph number seven where you indicate about the vehicle was designed, manufactured, tested and certified to comply with FMVSS 301, that section. You see that, Mr. Banta?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Mr. Banta, I'd like you to look at the first photograph. Can you identify what the first photograph is in that packet? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. I don't think this involves the Kline vehicle at all. So I'm going to
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	which is the report that we've been working from of April 4, 2011. When you look at page five — I suppose it should be page four, number seven, paragraph number seven where you indicate about the vehicle was designed, manufactured, tested and certified to comply with FMVSS 301, that section. You see that, Mr. Banta? A. I do.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Mr. Banta, I'd like you to look at the first photograph. Can you identify what the first photograph is in that packet? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. I don't think this involves the Kline vehicle at all. So I'm going to object to this. It's beyond the scope of
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	which is the report that we've been working from of April 4, 2011. When you look at page five — I suppose it should be page four, number seven, paragraph number seven where you indicate about the vehicle was designed, manufactured, tested and certified to comply with FMVSS 301, that section. You see that, Mr. Banta? A. I do. Q. Does FMVSS and did it require a	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Mr. Banta, I'd like you to look at the first photograph. Can you identify what the first photograph is in that packet? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. I don't think this involves the Kline vehicle at all. So I'm going to object to this. It's beyond the scope of his report. I don't think it's in there
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	which is the report that we've been working from of April 4, 2011. When you look at page five I suppose it should be page four, number seven, paragraph number seven where you indicate about the vehicle was designed, manufactured, tested and certified to comply with FMVSS 301, that section. You see that, Mr. Banta? A. I do. Q. Does FMVSS and did it require a test for under-ride?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Mr. Banta, I'd like you to look at the first photograph. Can you identify what the first photograph is in that packet? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. I don't think this involves the Kline vehicle at all. So I'm going to object to this. It's beyond the scope of his report. I don't think it's in there at all.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	which is the report that we've been working from of April 4, 2011. When you look at page five I suppose it should be page four, number seven, paragraph number seven where you indicate about the vehicle was designed, manufactured, tested and certified to comply with FMVSS 301, that section. You see that, Mr. Banta? A. I do. Q. Does FMVSS and did it require a test for under-ride? A. No.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Mr. Banta, I'd like you to look at the first photograph. Can you identify what the first photograph is in that packet? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. I don't think this involves the Kline vehicle at all. So I'm going to object to this. It's beyond the scope of his report. I don't think it's in there at all. MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	which is the report that we've been working from of April 4, 2011. When you look at page five — I suppose it should be page four, number seven, paragraph number seven where you indicate about the vehicle was designed, manufactured, tested and certified to comply with FMVSS 301, that section. You see that, Mr. Banta? A. I do. Q. Does FMVSS and did it require a test for under-ride? A. No. MR. BRADLEY: At what time?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Mr. Banta, I'd like you to look at the first photograph. Can you identify what the first photograph is in that packet? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. I don't think this involves the Kline vehicle at all. So I'm going to object to this. It's beyond the scope of his report. I don't think it's in there at all. MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay. Q. You can answer.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	which is the report that we've been working from of April 4, 2011. When you look at page five — I suppose it should be page four, number seven, paragraph number seven where you indicate about the vehicle was designed, manufactured, tested and certified to comply with FMVSS 301, that section. You see that, Mr. Banta? A. I do. Q. Does FMVSS and did it require a test for under-ride? A. No. MR. BRADLEY: At what time? MS. DE FILIPPO: At any time.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Mr. Banta, I'd like you to look at the first photograph. Can you identify what the first photograph is in that packet? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. I don't think this involves the Kline vehicle at all. So I'm going to object to this. It's beyond the scope of his report. I don't think it's in there at all. MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay. Q. You can answer. A. Well, the caption says it's ZJ
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	which is the report that we've been working from of April 4, 2011. When you look at page five I suppose it should be page four, number seven, paragraph number seven where you indicate about the vehicle was designed, manufactured, tested and certified to comply with FMVSS 301, that section. You see that, Mr. Banta? A. I do. Q. Does FMVSS and did it require a test for under-ride? A. No. MR. BRADLEY: At what time? MS. DE FILIPPO: At any time. MR. BRADLEY: Prior to the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Mr. Banta, I'd like you to look at the first photograph. Can you identify what the first photograph is in that packet? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. I don't think this involves the Kline vehicle at all. So I'm going to object to this. It's beyond the scope of his report. I don't think it's in there at all. MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay. Q. You can answer. A. Well, the caption says it's ZJ Grand Cherokee taken of the left rear
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	which is the report that we've been working from of April 4, 2011. When you look at page five I suppose it should be page four, number seven, paragraph number seven where you indicate about the vehicle was designed, manufactured, tested and certified to comply with FMVSS 301, that section. You see that, Mr. Banta? A. I do. Q. Does FMVSS and did it require a test for under-ride? A. No. MR. BRADLEY: At what time? MS. DE FILIPPO: At any time. MR. BRADLEY: Prior to the manufacture of the subject vehicle?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Mr. Banta, I'd like you to look at the first photograph. Can you identify what the first photograph is in that packet? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. I don't think this involves the Kline vehicle at all. So I'm going to object to this. It's beyond the scope of his report. I don't think it's in there at all. MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay. Q. You can answer. A. Well, the caption says it's ZJ Grand Cherokee taken of the left rear corner.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	which is the report that we've been working from of April 4, 2011. When you look at page five — I suppose it should be page four, number seven, paragraph number seven where you indicate about the vehicle was designed, manufactured, tested and certified to comply with FMVSS 301, that section. You see that, Mr. Banta? A. I do. Q. Does FMVSS and did it require a test for under-ride? A. No. MR. BRADLEY: At what time? MS. DE FILIPPO: At any time. MR. BRADLEY: Prior to the manufacture of the subject vehicle? MS. DE FILIPPO: At any time.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Mr. Banta, I'd like you to look at the first photograph. Can you identify what the first photograph is in that packet? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. I don't think this involves the Kline vehicle at all. So I'm going to object to this. It's beyond the scope of his report. I don't think it's in there at all. MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay. Q. You can answer. A. Well, the caption says it's ZJ Grand Cherokee taken of the left rear corner. Q. Well, when you look at that
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	which is the report that we've been working from of April 4, 2011. When you look at page five I suppose it should be page four, number seven, paragraph number seven where you indicate about the vehicle was designed, manufactured, tested and certified to comply with FMVSS 301, that section. You see that, Mr. Banta? A. I do. Q. Does FMVSS and did it require a test for under-ride? A. No. MR. BRADLEY: At what time? MS. DE FILIPPO: At any time. MR. BRADLEY: Prior to the manufacture of the subject vehicle? MS. DE FILIPPO: At any time. THE WITNESS: No.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Mr. Banta, I'd like you to look at the first photograph. Can you identify what the first photograph is in that packet? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. I don't think this involves the Kline vehicle at all. So I'm going to object to this. It's beyond the scope of his report. I don't think it's in there at all. MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay. Q. You can answer. A. Well, the caption says it's ZJ Grand Cherokee taken of the left rear corner. Q. Well, when you look at that vehicle in the photograph, can you
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	which is the report that we've been working from of April 4, 2011. When you look at page five I suppose it should be page four, number seven, paragraph number seven where you indicate about the vehicle was designed, manufactured, tested and certified to comply with FMVSS 301, that section. You see that, Mr. Banta? A. I do. Q. Does FMVSS and did it require a test for under-ride? A. No. MR. BRADLEY: At what time? MS. DE FILIPPO: At any time. MR. BRADLEY: Prior to the manufacture of the subject vehicle? MS. DE FILIPPO: At any time. THE WITNESS: No. Q. So this vehicle, the Kline	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Mr. Banta, I'd like you to look at the first photograph. Can you identify what the first photograph is in that packet? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. I don't think this involves the Kline vehicle at all. So I'm going to object to this. It's beyond the scope of his report. I don't think it's in there at all. MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay. Q. You can answer. A. Well, the caption says it's ZJ Grand Cherokee taken of the left rear corner. Q. Well, when you look at that vehicle in the photograph, can you identify it as a ZJ by looking at it?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	which is the report that we've been working from of April 4, 2011. When you look at page five — I suppose it should be page four, number seven, paragraph number seven where you indicate about the vehicle was designed, manufactured, tested and certified to comply with FMVSS 301, that section. You see that, Mr. Banta? A. I do. Q. Does FMVSS and did it require a test for under-ride? A. No. MR. BRADLEY: At what time? MS. DE FILIPPO: At any time. MR. BRADLEY: Prior to the manufacture of the subject vehicle? MS. DE FILIPPO: At any time. THE WITNESS: No. Q. So this vehicle, the Kline vehicle, was never tested for under-ride.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Mr. Banta, I'd like you to look at the first photograph. Can you identify what the first photograph is in that packet? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. I don't think this involves the Kline vehicle at all. So I'm going to object to this. It's beyond the scope of his report. I don't think it's in there at all. MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay. Q. You can answer. A. Well, the caption says it's ZJ Grand Cherokee taken of the left rear corner. Q. Well, when you look at that vehicle in the photograph, can you identify it as a ZJ by looking at it? A. Yes.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	which is the report that we've been working from of April 4, 2011. When you look at page five I suppose it should be page four, number seven, paragraph number seven where you indicate about the vehicle was designed, manufactured, tested and certified to comply with FMVSS 301, that section. You see that, Mr. Banta? A. I do. Q. Does FMVSS and did it require a test for under-ride? A. No. MR. BRADLEY: At what time? MS. DE FILIPPO: At any time. MR. BRADLEY: Prior to the manufacture of the subject vehicle? MS. DE FILIPPO: At any time. THE WITNESS: No. Q. So this vehicle, the Kline vehicle, was never tested for under-ride. Correct?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Mr. Banta, I'd like you to look at the first photograph. Can you identify what the first photograph is in that packet? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. I don't think this involves the Kline vehicle at all. So I'm going to object to this. It's beyond the scope of his report. I don't think it's in there at all. MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay. Q. You can answer. A. Well, the caption says it's ZJ Grand Cherokee taken of the left rear corner. Q. Well, when you look at that vehicle in the photograph, can you identify it as a ZJ by looking at it? A. Yes. Q. And the next photograph, would
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	which is the report that we've been working from of April 4, 2011. When you look at page five — I suppose it should be page four, number seven, paragraph number seven where you indicate about the vehicle was designed, manufactured, tested and certified to comply with FMVSS 301, that section. You see that, Mr. Banta? A. I do. Q. Does FMVSS and did it require a test for under-ride? A. No. MR. BRADLEY: At what time? MS. DE FILIPPO: At any time. MR. BRADLEY: Prior to the manufacture of the subject vehicle? MS. DE FILIPPO: At any time. THE WITNESS: No. Q. So this vehicle, the Kline vehicle, was never tested for under-ride.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Mr. Banta, I'd like you to look at the first photograph. Can you identify what the first photograph is in that packet? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. I don't think this involves the Kline vehicle at all. So I'm going to object to this. It's beyond the scope of his report. I don't think it's in there at all. MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay. Q. You can answer. A. Well, the caption says it's ZJ Grand Cherokee taken of the left rear corner. Q. Well, when you look at that vehicle in the photograph, can you identify it as a ZJ by looking at it? A. Yes.

	Page 122		Page 124
1	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	1	A. I think
2	continuing objection.	2	MR. BRADLEY: It's not very clear.
3	THE WITNESS: Yes.	3	THE WITNESS: I think it's more.
4	Q. And when you look at this	4	Q. You think it's more than
5	photograph, does it appear to you to be	5	17 inches from the ground?
6	well, let me ask you this.	6	A. Yeah. Maybe close to 20.
7	Did you have an opportunity	7	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
8	during the pendency of this case, and I	8	objection.
9	think you've indicated such in your	9	THE WITNESS: The bottom surface
10	reports, to look at the CARCO testing that	10	of the floor tank?
11	was done to Jeep Grand Cherokee?	11	Q. The bottom surface, yes.
12	A. Yes, I looked at that.	12	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
13	Q. And when you look at the second	13	objection. I don't see how this has
14	photograph that is in this packet, does it	14	anything to do with his expert report and
15	look to be the vehicle from the CARCO	15	it's beyond the scope of his expert
16	45-mile an hour test?	16	MS. DE FILIPPO: Fine.
17	MR. BRADLEY: If you know.	17	MR. BRADLEY: You can answer.
18	Q. If you can recall.	18	O. You can answer.
19	A. Yes.	19	A. Yeah, I think that's about right,
20	Q. If you look at that photograph	20	17 or 18.
21	and you look at the car itself, can you	21	Q. So now the can you tell by
22	identify the tank in that car?	22	looking at the photograph whether this
23	MR. BRADLEY: As depicted in the	23	Jeep Grand Cherokee is in the same
24	photograph?	24	configuration as the Kline vehicle?
25	MS. DE FILIPPO: Yes.	25	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
	Harmonia de la composição de la composiç		Page 125
	Page 123	1	
1	THE WITNESS: Identify the what?	1	continuing objection. THE WITNESS: Well, it's the same
2	Q. The gas tank.	2	type of vehicle.
3	A. Yes. It's painted yellow.		Q. And is there anything which
4	Q. So the item on the car underneath	5	encompasses the tank as you look at the
5	the bumper which is painted yellow and has		photograph which we have previously marked
6	two straps on either side of it, that's	6	as Banta-23 that you are looking at right
7	the gas tank. Correct?		
8	A. Yes.	8	now, the same one you're looking at?
9	Q. In the third photograph are you	9	MR. BRADLEY: Can you repeat that question? I don't understand it.
10	able to identify that vehicle as a Jeep	10 11	Q. Is there any part of the vehicle
11	Grand Cherokee?	1	* *
12	A. Yes.	12 13	which is covering, shielding the tank as you look at it depicted in Banta-23?
1 1 2	Q. Is it a ZJ?	12	you look at it depleted in Dalita-25!
13		1/	MP PRADICY Just note my
14	A. Yeah.	14	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
14 15	A. Yeah.Q. And when you look at that	15	objection. This is called an exemplar
14 15 16	A. Yeah. Q. And when you look at that photograph you see that there's a	15 16	objection. This is called an exemplar vehicle.
14 15 16 17	A. Yeah. Q. And when you look at that photograph you see that there's a yardstick in that photograph but behind	15 16 17	objection. This is called an exemplar vehicle. THE WITNESS: No, nothing covers
14 15 16 17 18	A. Yeah. Q. And when you look at that photograph you see that there's a yardstick in that photograph but behind that yardstick is the gas tank depicted?	15 16 17 18	objection. This is called an exemplar vehicle. THE WITNESS: No, nothing covers the tank. You mean the bottom and rear
14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Yeah. Q. And when you look at that photograph you see that there's a yardstick in that photograph but behind that yardstick is the gas tank depicted? A. Yes.	15 16 17 18 19	objection. This is called an exemplar vehicle. THE WITNESS: No, nothing covers the tank. You mean the bottom and rear surfaces?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yeah. Q. And when you look at that photograph you see that there's a yardstick in that photograph but behind that yardstick is the gas tank depicted? A. Yes. Q. And is the gas tank, although	15 16 17 18 19 20	objection. This is called an exemplar vehicle. THE WITNESS: No, nothing covers the tank. You mean the bottom and rear surfaces? Q. Right.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yeah. Q. And when you look at that photograph you see that there's a yardstick in that photograph but behind that yardstick is the gas tank depicted? A. Yes. Q. And is the gas tank, although it's not painted yellow, the structure	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	objection. This is called an exemplar vehicle. THE WITNESS: No, nothing covers the tank. You mean the bottom and rear surfaces? Q. Right. A. Other than the vehicle structure?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yeah. Q. And when you look at that photograph you see that there's a yardstick in that photograph but behind that yardstick is the gas tank depicted? A. Yes. Q. And is the gas tank, although it's not painted yellow, the structure which is approximately 17 inches from the	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	objection. This is called an exemplar vehicle. THE WITNESS: No, nothing covers the tank. You mean the bottom and rear surfaces? Q. Right. A. Other than the vehicle structure? Q. Right. Go to the next photograph
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yeah. Q. And when you look at that photograph you see that there's a yardstick in that photograph but behind that yardstick is the gas tank depicted? A. Yes. Q. And is the gas tank, although it's not painted yellow, the structure which is approximately 17 inches from the ground as per that yardstick?	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	objection. This is called an exemplar vehicle. THE WITNESS: No, nothing covers the tank. You mean the bottom and rear surfaces? Q. Right. A. Other than the vehicle structure? Q. Right. Go to the next photograph which is the side view of the Jeep Grand
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yeah. Q. And when you look at that photograph you see that there's a yardstick in that photograph but behind that yardstick is the gas tank depicted? A. Yes. Q. And is the gas tank, although it's not painted yellow, the structure which is approximately 17 inches from the	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	objection. This is called an exemplar vehicle. THE WITNESS: No, nothing covers the tank. You mean the bottom and rear surfaces? Q. Right. A. Other than the vehicle structure? Q. Right. Go to the next photograph

			7 100
	Page 126		Page 128
1	yes.	1	objection.
2	Q. And can you see the tank in that	2	Q. In the photograph.
3	photograph?	3	A. Yes.
4	A. Yes.	4	Q. I'm just trying to identify what
5	Q. And about how much of that tank	5	we're looking at. Is that the hole that
6	hangs below the vehicle structure?	6	the filler hose passes through to get from
7	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	7	the place where you put the gas into the
8	objection.	8	car to the tank?
9	Q. Based on what you can see in that	9	A. Yes.
10	photograph.	10	Q. And if you look at the next
11	A. Oh, maybe about seven inches in	11	photograph, you've already seen this
12	this photograph.	12	photograph, Banta-24. That would be the
13	Q. And is there anything when you	13	bumper that we talked about earlier.
14	look at that tank depicted in this	14	Correct?
15	photograph, can you tell what material	15	A. Yes.
16	that tank is made of?	16	Q. And that's a similar bumper to
17	MR. BRADLEY: If you know.	17	what the Susan Kline vehicle would have?
18	THE WITNESS: Yes.	18	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
19	Q. What is it?	19	objection.
20	A. It's high density polyethylene.	20	THE WITNESS: Yes.
	<u> </u>	21	Q. And then finally, the last
21	Q. And is that the same substance that the Susan Kline tank was made of?	22	photograph in this is a photograph of
22		23	well, you tell me if you can indicate
23	A. Let me go back and re-answer.	24	what's depicted on the last photograph.
24	The typical material is high density	25	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
25	polyethylene, at least that's what Susan	25	ALL MARKS AND
	Page 127		Page 129
1	Kline had.	1	objection. I don't know what it depicts
2	Q. Okay.	2	and I don't see how it's relevant and it's
3	A. This vehicle appears to have a	3	beyond the scope of his expert report.
4	production fuel tank and if it's a	4	MS. DE FILIPPO: Fine.
5	production tank, it was also high density	5	MR. BRADLEY: You can answer if
6	polyethylene.	6	you know what's depicted.
7	Q. So it looks like what would have	7	THE WITNESS: This is a post
8	been the tank in the Susan Kline vehicle?	8	crash photograph done by CARCO after this
9	A. Yes.	9	vehicle was hit in the rear by a bullet
10	Q. If you look at the next	10	vehicle at 40 miles an hour.
11	photograph, is that the side frame rail?	11	Q. You've seen this before.
12		12	Correct?
		13	A. I think I have, yeah.
13		14	Q. Okay. And
14	A. This vehicle had either a trailer	15	A. I think I saw this in black and
15	tow or skid plate on it at one time.	16	white. I've not seen a nice one like this
16	Q. Okay. But when you look at the	17	before.
17	side rail is the side rail depicted?		
18	Is that the piece of metal that has an	18	Q. I'm sorry, I represented that was
19	oval hole through it?	19	the last photo. There's one more.
20	A. Yeah, the pass-through?	20	The next photograph is can you
21	Q. Yes.	21	tell me what that is?
22	A. Yes.	22	A. That's the same vehicle with a
23	Q. When you look at this photograph,	23	different view and it appears that in this
24	the oval hole is kind of rusty. Right?	24	test this fuel tank was leaking not
25	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	25	gasoline but some solvent.

			34 (rages 130 to 133)
	Page 130		Page 132
1	Q. Is that stoddard?	1,	A. Yes.
2	MR. BRADLEY: If you know.	2	Q. Now, in looking at that photo,
3	THE WITNESS: Probably.	3	can you tell me what part of the vehicle
4	Q. Mr. Banta, if you would look at	4	protects the part of the tank that we're
5	the photographs, whichever you prefer,	5	looking at in that photograph?
6	either photograph number 2, 3 or 4 in this	6	A. No. It's covered by fascia
7	packet, or any that you need to look at,	7	material.
8	and would you indicate to me or show me on	8	Q. So if a vehicle were to strike
9	any of the photographs what protected the	9	just that yellow piece of the car, whether
10	portion of the tank that's hanging below	10	it be because it's lower or some kind of
11	the bumper?	11	vehicle that's not even a car, let's say
12	A. The tank	12	it was a recreational vehicle of some
13	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	13	sort, what would protect that portion of
14	continuing objection as this is not	14	the tank that we see here in yellow?
15	necessarily depictive of the Susan Kline	15	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
16	subject vehicle. Are you asking what	16	objection.
17	protected it in this vehicle as depicted,	17	THE WITNESS: Just the tank
18	in the Susan Kline vehicle, any vehicle?	18	surface itself.
19	MS. DE FILIPPO: I note your	19	Q. So in other words, whatever the
i .	-	20	material of the tank is at the time?
20	objection. But he didn't ask me that	21	A. The tanks on its own.
21	question. So he can answer if he	22	Q. Now if you look at the next
22	understands my question.	23	photograph, which we marked Banta-23,
23	MR. BRADLEY: If you understand	24	where the bumper has been removed, is the
24	the question, you can answer.	25	crossmember depicted in that photograph,
25	THE WITNESS: If we look at this	23	- A1-0-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
	Page 131		Page 133
1	photograph	1	Banta-23?
2	MS. DE FILIPPO: Why don't we mark	2	A. Yes.
3	I'm thinking we ought to mark all the	3	Q. It is?
4	photographs so we know what we're looking	4	A. Yes, the rear crossmember.
5	at. So let's mark from the beginning to	5	Q. So the rear crossmember, that is
6	end with the exception of the ones	6	the piece of equipment that you indicated
7	previously marked.	7	previously protects the tank. Correct?
8	•	8	A. No. The rear crossmember and the
9	(Six photographs are received and	9	bumper both. They're both back there and
10	marked Banta-28 through Banta-33 for	10	they're tied together.
11	identification.)	11	Q. So with the bumper off are you
12	,	12	saying that the rear crossmember doesn't
13	Q. Let's just start with photograph	13	protect the tank?
14	which I have marked Banta-29. Would you	14	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
15	look at that photograph, Mr. Banta, this	15	objection. I believe he testified before
16	one?	16	there was a box that protected the tank.
17	A. Okay.	17	THE WITNESS: They're in
18	Q. You've already testified that	18	combination.
19	that depicts the back of the CARCO Jeep	19	Q. I'm saying with the bumper off as
20	Grand Cherokee prior to being stuck.	20	you see it here in Banta-23, are you
21	Correct?	21	indicating there's no protection for any
22	A. Yes.	22	part of that tank?
23	Q. And you've already indicated that	23	A. Well, I guess
24	what's yellow in the bottom of the bumper	24	MR. BRADLEY: From what?
25	is the gas tank. Correct?	25	THE WITNESS: There is protection
,	10 HIO EUO HIIII. COHOOL.		The second secon

from the rear crossmember if you throw the 1 burner away. But, obviously, some of the 2 bumper away. But, obviously, some of the 3 structure is missing. Q. And the box that we talked about 4 encompasses what's depicted on Banta-31? 5 A. Yeah. That's two comers of the box. 7 O. And would you agree that those are also threshold requirements for safety, the threshold requirements for 5 safety, the thresho				
bumper away. But, obviously, some of the structure is missing. Q. And the box that we talked about encompasses what's depicted on Banta-31? A. Yeah. That's two corners of the box. Q. So the box is — one length of the box, in other words, one side, for lack of a very basic term or for use of a lack of a very basic term, one side of the box is a deferance rail with the hole in it? A. Yes. Q. And that's one side of the box is defarance rail with the hole in it? A. Yes. Q. And that's one side of the box is that was designed to protect the tank. Correct? A. Yes. Q. With respect to 301, Pederal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard 301, you would agree those are minimum standards. Q. Would you agree that those are also threshold requirements for safety, the threshold requirements? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. Q. Now, on page five, paragraph that is numbered nine of your report of — MR. BRADLEY: April 4th I believe. MS. DE FILIPPO: Yes, April 4th. I believe. MS. DE FILIPPO: Yes, April 4th. I believe. MS. DE FILIPPO: Yes, april 4th I believe. MS. DE FILIPPO: Yes, so would agree they're minimum standards. Q. Would you agree they're minimum standards. A. Yes. Q. You also indicate in the Summary flaments or both." Is that your opinion? A. Yes. Q. You also indicate in the Summary the velocities. You say something about the fact finat when we call something minimum standards, in from doing something different, which in fact flow when we call something minimum standards, in this is the minimum standard which must be met. You can always do something better to which must be met. You can always do something better and automobile manufacturer. Cornect? Q. I'm talking about the fact finat when we call something minimum standards, in the minimum standard with must be met that speed and you must meet the leak rate requirements for the velocities. The statement on what you were told by the reconstruction person in this case? Q. At the very minimum. THE WITNESS: You have the cast further		Page 134		Page 136
structure is missing. Q. And the box that we talked about encompasses what's depicted on Banta-31? A. Yeah. That's two corners of the box. That's two corners of the box. That's two corners of the box. Q. So the box is — one length of the box, in other words, one side, for lack of a very basic term or for use of a very basic term, one side of the box is — one side of the box is — one side of the box is — in the what is depicted here, the longitudinal side frame rail with the hole in it? A. Yes.	1	from the rear crossmember if you throw the	1	barrier, and then the leak rate following
Q. And the box that we talked about encompasses what's depicted on Banta-31? 5 A. Yeah. That's two corners of the box. 7 Q. So the box is – one length of 8 the box, in other words, one side, for 9 lack of a very basic term, one side of the box is 11 very basic term or for use of a 10 Very basic term, one side of the box is 11 very basic term or for use of a 10 Very basic term or for use of a 10 Very basic term or for use of a 10 Very basic term or for use of a 10 Very basic term or for use of a 10 Very basic term or for use of a 10 Very basic term or for use of a 10 Very basic term or for use of a 10 Very basic term or for use of a 10 Very basic term or for use of a 10 Very basic term or for use of a 10 Very basic term or for use of a 10 Very basic term or for use of a 10 Very basic term or for use of a 10 Very basic term or for use of a 10 Very basic term or for use of a 10 Very basic term or for use of a 10 Very	2	bumper away. But, obviously, some of the	2	the impact. Those are the minimum
Q. And the box that we talked about 6 6 A. Yeah. That's two corners of the box. That's two corners of the box is that purpose of the box is the first of the box is that that be box is that sade and that the hole in it? The with the hole in it?	3	*	3	requirements.
concompasses what's depicted on Banta-31? A. Yeah. That's two corners of the box. C. Yeah. That's two corners of the box. C. So the box is — one length of the box, in other words, one side, for lack of a very basic term or for use of a very basic term, one side of the box is — one length of lack of a very basic term, one side of the box is depicted here, the longitudinal very basic term, one side of the box is deferame rail with the hole in it? A. Yes. Correct? A. Yes. Correct? A. Yes. Correct? A. Yes. Correct? A. They are standard 301, you would agree those are minimum standards. Correct? A. They are standards. Correct? A. The WITNESS: Well, yes, that's right. They are a standard. They say you must achieve this goal. And I guess by minimum, achieving them doesn't limit one for from doing something different, which in fact Chrysler did. Q. I'm talking about the fact that when we call something minimum standards, if's the minimum standard which must be met. You can always do something better but this is the minimum thandard which must be met. You can always do something better an automobile manufacturer. Correct? AR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Well, yes, that's griph. They are a standard. They say you must achieve this goal. And I guess by minimum, achieving them doesn't limit one for from doing something different, which in fact Chrysler did. Q. I'm talking about the fact that when we call something minimum standards, if's the minimum standard which must be met. You can always do something better but this is the minimum that the government requires you to meet as an automobile manufacturer. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: You have the construction person in this case? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: You have the call standard and the deviced from the reconstruction person in this	4		4	Q. And would you agree that those
safety, the threshold requirements? No. Thar's two corners of the box. Thar's two corners of the box. On the box, in other words, one side, for the box, in other words, one side, for lack of a very basic term or for use of a lower by basic term or for use of a lower by basic term or for use of a lower by basic term, one side of the box is what is depicted here, the longitudinal lower by basic term, one side of the box is what is depicted here, the longitudinal lower by basic term, one side of the box is what is depicted here, the longitudinal lower by basic term, one side of the box is what is depicted here, the longitudinal lower by basic term, one side of the box is what is depicted here, the longitudinal lower by basic term, one side of the box is what is depicted here, the longitudinal lower by bis content of the box is what is depicted here, the longitudinal lower by bis content of the box is what is depicted here, the longitudinal lower by bis content of the box is what is depicted here, the longitudinal lower by bis content of the box is what is depicted here, the longitudinal lower by bis content of the box is what is depicted here, the longitudinal lower by bis content of the box is what is depicted here, the longitudinal lower by bis content of the box is what is depicted here, the longitudinal lower by	5		5	, -
box. That's two comers of the box. Q. So the box is — one length of the box, in other words, one side, for lack of a very basic term, one side of the box is very basic term, one side of the box is that is depicted here, the longitudinal side frame rail with the hole in it? A. Yes. Q. And that's one side of the box that was designed to protect the tank. Correct? A. Yes. Q. With respect to 301, Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard 301, you would agree those are minimum standards. Correct? A. They are standards. Q. Would you agree they're minimum standards? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Page 13b MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Page 13b MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Page 13b MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. A. Yes. Q. You also indicate in the Summary the velocities are far beyond any known Page 13b MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. A. Yes. Q. You also indicate in the Summary the velocities are far beyond any known "These velocities are far beyond any known "These velocities are far beyond any known "The WITNESS: Which paragraph, last sentence. A. Okay. Q. Did I read that correctly? A. The PDOF — MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. You can answer. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. You can answer. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. You can answer. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. You can answer. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. The WITNESS: The 68 to 77 is information that I derived from the reconstruction in that I derived from the recons	İ _	•		-
the box, in other words, one side, for the box, in other words, one side, for the box, in other words, one side, for the box, in other words, one side of the box is the what is depicted here, the longitudinal the wery basic term, one side of the box is what is depicted here, the longitudinal the word is defined and which must be met. You can always do something better but this is the minimum standard which must be met. You can always do something better but this is the minimum that the government requires you to meet as an automobile manufacturer. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Page 135 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Page 136 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Page 137 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Right. You must meet that speed and you must meet the leak rate requirements following that speed. Q. At the very minimum. AR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: You have the			7	
the box, in other words, one side, for lack of a very basic term, one side of the box is what is depicted here, the longitudinal side frame rail with the hole in it? 12 what is depicted here, the longitudinal side frame rail with the hole in it? 13 side frame rail with the hole in it? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. And that's one side of the box 15 that was designed to protect the tank. 16 that was designed to protect the tank. 16 Q. Mith respect to 301, Federal Power would agree those are minimum standards. Correct? 18 A. Yes. 18 Q. With respect to 301, Federal Power would agree those are minimum standards. Correct? 19 A. They are standards. Q. Wouldy ou agree they're minimum standards. 20 Wouldy ou agree they're minimum standards. 21 The WITNESS: Well, yes, that's right. They are a standard. They say you must achieve this goal. And I guess by minimum, achieving them doesn't limit one from doing something different, which in fact Chrysler did. 19 Q. I'm talking about the fact that when we call something minimum standards, if's the minimum standard which must be met. You can always do something better but this is the minimum that the government requires you to meet as an automobile manufacturer. Correct? 10 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. You can answer. 11 The WITNESS: Wight, You must meet that speed and you must meet the leak rate requirements following that speed. 20 Q. At the very minimum. 12 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. 19 Q. Now, are you basing that statement on what you were told by the reconstructionist. The statement about 19 polycitions. The witness with that the goven any known rear impact design constant or objective." 19 Q. Poly and any known rear impact design constant or objective. 19 Q. Now, are you basing that 19 polycitions. 19 polycitions. 19 polycitions. 19 polycitions. 19 polycit			8	- 1
10 lack of a very basic term or for use of a very basic term, one side of the box is 11 what is depicted here, the longitudinal side frame rail with the hole in it? 13 14 A. Yes. 14 Q. You indicate that "The first fuel ignited in this fire event was likely gasoline from the tank system. The likely ignition method was identified as 18 Q. With respect to 301, Federal 19 Motor Vehicle Safety Standard 301, you would agree those are minimum standards. 16 Correet? 17 MR. BRADLEY: Standard 301, you would agree these are minimum standards. 16 Correet? 17 Correet? 18 Lis that your opinion? 19 Lis that your opinion? 10 Lis that your opinion? 11 Lis that your opinion? 12 Lis that your opinion? 13 Lis that your opinion? 14 Lis that your opinion? 15 Lis that your opinion? 15 Lis that your opinion? 15 Lis that your opinion? 16 Lis that your opinion? 16 Lis that your opinion? 16 Lis that your opinion? 17 Lis that your opinion? 18		`		<u> </u>
the very basic term, one side of the box is what is depicted here, the longitudinal side frame rail with the hole in it? A. Yes. Q. And that's one side of the box that was designed to protect the tank. Correct? A. Yes. Q. With respect to 301, Federal Motor Vehicle Safery Standard 301, you would agree those are minimum standards. Correct? A. They are standards. Q. Would you agree they're minimum standards? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Well, yes, that's right. They are a standard. They say you must achieve this goal. And I guess by minimum, achieving them doesn't limit one from doing something different, which in fact Chrysler did. Q. I'm talking about the fact that when we call something minimum standards, it is the minimum that the the government requires you to meet as an automobile manufacturer. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. The Witness: Right. You must meet that speed and you must meet the leak rate requirements following that speed. Q. At the very minimum. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Right. You must meet the leak rate requirements following that speed. Q. At the very minimum. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: No have the constant or objective." A. They are a standard, They say you must achieve this goal. And I guess by minimum, achieving them doesn't limit one from doing something different, which in fact Chrysler did. B. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. One an answer. THE WITNESS: Right. You must meet the leak rate requirements following that speed. Q. At the very minimum. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just	}			
what is depicted here, the longitudinal side frame rail with the hole in it? A. Yes. Q. And that's one side of the box that was designed to protect the tank. Correct? A. Yes. Q. With respect to 301, Federal protocolor of the law of the standards of the standards? A. They are standard 301, you would agree those are minimum standards. Q. Would you agree they're minimum standards? Page 135 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Well, yes, that's right. They are a standard. They say you minimum, achieving them doesn't limit one from doing something different, which in fact Chrysler did. Q. I'm talking about the fact that when we call something minimum standards, it is the minimum standard which must be retained by the receptor objection. THE WITNESS: Right. You must meet that speed and you must meet the leak rate requirements following that speed. Q. At the very minimum. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Right. You must meet that speed and you must meet the leak rate requirements following that speed. Q. At the very minimum. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just mote my objection. THE WITNESS: You have the care infinited in this first fuel inginition methat keysing assolin	1	-		· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
side frame rail with the hole in it? A. Yes. Q. And that's one side of the box that was designed to protect the tank. Correct? A. Yes. Q. With respect to 301, Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard 301, you would agree those are minimum standards. Correct? A. They are standards. Q. Would you agree they're minimum Standards? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my offent doing something different, which in fact Chrysler did. Q. I'm talking about the fact that when we call something minimum standards, it's the minimum that the government requires you to meet as an sutmobile manufacturer. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my offector. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. ou can always do something better but this is the minimum that the government requires you to meet as an sutmobile manufacturer. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Vou can answer. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Vou can answer. THE WITNESS: Right. You must meet that speed and you must meet the leak rate requirements following that speed. Q. At the very minimum. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Wou can answer. THE WITNESS: Right. You must meet that speed and you must meet the leak rate requirements following that speed. Q. At the very minimum. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: You have the MR. BRADLEY: Just mote my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: The 68 to 77 is information that I derived from the reconstructionist. The statement about		•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
14 A. Yes. Q. And that's one side of the box that was designed to protect the tank. 16 that was designed to protect the tank. 17 Correct? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. With respect to 301, Federal 20 Motor Vehicle Safety Standard 301, you 21 would agree those are minimum standards. 22 Correct? 23 A. They are standards. 24 Q. Would you agree they're minimum 25 standards? 26 Would you agree they're minimum 27 standards? 28 A. They are standards. 29 Q. Would you agree they're minimum 29 standards? 20 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 20 objection. 21 THE WITNESS: Well, yes, that's 22 right. They are a standard. They say you 23 must achieve this goal. And I guess by 24 minimum, achieving them doesn't limit one 25 from doing something different, which in 26 fact Chrysler did. 27 Q. I'm talking about the fact that 28 when we call something minimum standards, it's the minimum standard which must be 29 met. You can always do something better 20 but this is the minimum that the 21 government requires you to meet as an 22 automobile manufacturer. Correct? 23 M. The BYTNESS: Right. You must 24 meet that speed and you must meet the leak 25 manufacturer. Correct? 26 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 26 objection. 27 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 28 objection. You can answer. 29 Q. Right. "These velocities." 20 A. The last sentence? 21 Q. At the very minimum. 22 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 23 objection. 24 Would agree they're minimum 25 impact design constant or objective." 26 Correct? 27 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 28 objection. Where are you? 29 MS. DE FILIPPO: Second paragraph. 29 Nas. DE FILIPPO: Second paragraph. 20 Nas. Province of the well-cite." 21 A. The last sentence? 22 Nast enterce. 23 A. The last sentence? 24 Nast enterce. 25 Nast enterce. 26 Nast enterce. 27 Nas. De FILIPPO: Second paragraph. 28 Nast enterce. 29 Nas. De FI	II.	•		
15 Q. And that's one side of the box that was designed to protect the tank. 16 that was designed to protect the tank. 17 Correct? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. With respect to 301, Federal 19 Wootl Vehicle Safety Standard 301, you would agree those are minimum standards. 21 Correct? 22 A. They are standards. 24 Q. Would you agree they're minimum standards? 25 standards? 26 Page 135 27 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. 28 THE WITNESS: Well, yes, that's right. They are a standard. They say you must achieve this goal. And I guess by minimum, achieving them doesn't limit one from doing something different, which in fact Chrysler did. 29 Q. I malking about the fact that when we call something minimum standards, it's the minimum standard which must be met. You can always do something better but this is the minimum standard which must be met. You can always do something better but this is the minimum that the government requires you to meet as an automobile manufacturer. Correct? 10 Q. At the very minimum. 11 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Vou can answer. 12 THE WITNESS: Right. You must meet that speed and you must meet the leak rate requirements following that speed. 20 Q. At the very minimum. 21 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. 22 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. 23 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: The 68 to 77 is information that I derived from the reconstruction ist. The statement about	i			
that was designed to protect the tank. Correct? 17	1			•
17 Correct? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. With respect to 301, Federal 19 Would agree those are minimum standards. 21 would agree those are minimum standards. 22 Correct? 23 A. They are standards. 24 Q. Would you agree they're minimum 25 standards? Page 135 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 26 objection. 3 THE WITNESS: Well, yes, that's 4 right. They are a standard. They say you 5 minimum, achieving them doesn't limit one 6 fact Chrysler did. 9 Q. I'm talking about the fact that 10 when we call something different, which in 11 st hat your opinion? 12 A. Yes. 23 Q. You also indicate in the Summary 11 the velocities. You say something about 12 These velocities are far beyond any known 13 mpact design constant or objective." 14 Correct? 15 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 16 minimum, achieving them doesn't limit one 17 from doing something different, which in 18 fact Chrysler did. 19 Q. I'm talking about the fact that 10 when we call something minimum standards, it's the minimum standard which must be 11 met. You can always do something better 12 met. You can always do something better 13 but this is the minimum that the 14 government requires you to meet as an 15 automobile manufacturer. Correct? 16 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 17 objection. You can answer. 18 THE WITNESS: Right. You must 19 meet that speed and you must meet the leak 19 nate that speed and you must meet the leak 20 rate requirements following that speed. 21 Q. At the very minimum. 22 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 23 objection. 24 O. THE WITNESS: You have the 25 is that your opinion? 26 A. Yes. 27 Q. You also indicate in the Summary 28 the velocities. You say something about 29 make yes a samdard. They say you 30 impact design constant or objective." 31 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 4 objection. Where are you? 4 MS. DE FILIPPO: Under "Summary." 4 THE WITNESS: Which paragraph. 4 Okay. 4 Did I read that correctly? 4 A. The last sentence? 4 Q. Right. "These velocities are far 4 beyond any known rear impact design constant or objective." 4 A. Right. 4 Q. Now, are you basing that 4 statem			i	
18 A. Yes. Q. With respect to 301, Federal would agree those are minimum standards. Correct? A. They are standards. Q. Would you agree they're minimum standards? Page 135 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Well, yes, that's first the minimum standard. And I guess by met. You can always do something better met. You can always do something better first but this is the minimum that the government requires you to meet as an automobile manufacturer. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Right. You must meet that speed and you must meet the leak rate requirements following that speed. Q. At the very minimum. And the very minimum. THE WITNESS: You have the processor the vehicle's fracturing lamp filaments or both." Is that your opinion? A. Yes. Q. You also indicate in the Summary the velocities. You say something about "These velocities are far beyond any known Page 137 impact design constant or objective." Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Where are you? MS. DE FILIPPO: Under "Summary." THE WITNESS: Which paragraph. MS. DE FILIPPO: Second paragraph, as tenence. A. Okay. Q. Did I read that correctly? A. The PDOF Q. "These velocities." A. The last sentence? Q. Right. "These velocities are far beyond any known rear impact design constant or objective." A. The PDOF Q. "These velocities." A. The last sentence? Q. Right. "These velocities are far beyond any known rear impact design constant or objective." A. Right. Q. Now, are you basing that statement on what you were told by the reconstruction person in this case? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: The 68 to 77 is FAGE MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: The 68 to 77 is FAGE MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: The 68 to 77 is FAGE THE WITNESS: The 68 to 77 is THE WITNESS: The 68 to 77 is THE WITNESS: The 68 to 77 is THE WITNESS: The 68 to 77 is THE WITNESS: The 68 to 77 is THE WITNESS: The 68 to 77 is THE WITNESS: The 68 to 77 is THE	1	- -	i	•
19				•
Motor Vehicle Safety Standard 301, you would agree those are minimum standards. Correct? A. They are standards. Q. Would you agree they're minimum standards? Page 135 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. MR. DE FILIPPO: Under "Summary." MR. DE FILIPPO: Second paragraph. MR. DE FILIPPO: Second parag			1	1 0
21 would agree those are minimum standards. 22 Correct? 23 A. They are standards. 24 Q. Would you agree they're minimum 25 standards? 26 Page 135 27 Impact design constant or objective." 28 Page 137 29 objection. 20 Wild you agree they're minimum 21 impact design constant or objective." 21 objection. 22 Correct? 23 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 24 objection. 25 Impact design constant or objective." 26 Correct? 27 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 28 objection. 29 Or must achieve this goal. And I guess by minimum, achieving them doesn't limit one from doing something different, which in fact Chrysler did. 29 Q. I'm talking about the fact that when we call something minimum standards, it's the minimum standard which must be met. You can always do something better labely but this is the minimum that the government requires you to meet as an automobile manufacturer. Correct? 20 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Where are you? 31 MS. DE FILIPPO: Under "Summary." 32 THE WITNESS: Which paragraph. 33 MS. DE FILIPPO: Second paragraph. 34 Is at sentence. 35 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Where are you? 36 MS. DE FILIPPO: Second paragraph. 37 MS. DE FILIPPO: Second paragraph. 38 Is that your opinion? 39 Q. You also indicate in the Summary the velocities. You asy something about the velocities. You asy something about the velocities are far beyond any known rear impact design constant or objective." 39 A. Okay. 40 Did I read that correctly? 41 A. The PDOF			i .	
22 Correct? 23 A. They are standards. 24 Q. Would you agree they're minimum 25 standards? Page 135 Page 135 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 26 objection. 3 THE WITNESS: Well, yes, that's 4 right. They are a standard. They say you 5 must achieve this goal. And I guess by 6 minimum, achieving them doesn't limit one 7 from doing something different, which in 8 fact Chrysler did. 9 Q. I'm talking about the fact that 9 Q. I'm talking about the fact that 10 when we call something minimum standards, 11 it's the minimum standard which must be 12 met. You can always do something better 13 but this is the minimum that the 14 government requires you to meet as an 15 automobile manufacturer. Correct? 16 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 17 objection. You can answer. 18 THE WITNESS: Right. You must 19 meet that speed and you must meet the leak 19 note that speed and you must meet the leak 20 rate requirements following that speed. 21 Q. At the very minimum. 22 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 23 objection. 24 THE WITNESS: You have the 25 The sevelocities are far beyond any known rear impact design constant or objectior. "These velocities." A. Okay. Q. Did I read that correctly? A. The PDOF	4		1	
A. They are standards. Q. Would you agree they're minimum standards? Page 135 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Well, yes, that's right. They are a standard. They say you must achieve this goal. And I guess by minimum, achieving them doesn't limit one from doing something different, which in fact Chrysler did. Q. I'm talking about the fact that when we call something minimum standards, it's the minimum that the government requires you to meet as an automobile manufacturer. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Where are you? MS. DE FILIPPO: Under "Summary." THE WITNESS: Which paragraph. MS. DE FILIPPO: Second paragraph. MS. DE FILIPPO: Second paragraph. A. Okay. Q. Did I read that correctly? A. The PDOF— Q. "These velocities." A. The PDOF— Q. "These velocities." A. The last sentence? Q. Right. "These velocities are far beyond any known rear impact design constant or objective." A. The PDOF— A. The Bats sentence? Q. Right. "These velocities are far beyond any known rear impact design constant or objective." A. The PDOF— A. The Bats sentence? Q. Right. "These velocities are far beyond any known rear impact design constant or objective." A. The PDOF— A. Right. A. Right. Q. Now, are you basing that statement on what you were told by the reconstruction person in this case? A. Right. A. Right. A. Right. A. Right. A. Right. A. Right. BRADLEY: Just mote my objection. A. Right. BRADLEY: Just mote my objection. A. Right. BRADLEY: Just my objection. A. Right. A. Right. A. Right. A. Right. BRADLEY: Just my objection. A. Right. BRADLEY: Just my objection. A. Right. BRADLEY: Just my objection. A. The WITNESS: The 68 to 77 is information that I derived from the reconstructionist. The statement about	1	-	1	
24 Q. Would you agree they're minimum standards? Page 135 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Well, yes, that's right. They are a standard. They say you must achieve this goal. And I guess by minimum, achieving them doesn't limit one from doing something different, which in fact Chrysler did. Q. I'm talking about the fact that when we call something minimum standards, it's the minimum standard which must be met. You can always do something better but this is the minimum that the government requires you to meet as an automobile manufacturer. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Where are you? MS. DE FILIPPO: Under "Summary." THE WITNESS: Which paragraph. MS. DE FILIPPO: Second paragraph, last sentence. A. Okay. Q. Did I read that correctly? A. The PDOF Q. "These velocities are far beyond any known that the government requires you to meet as an automobile manufacturer. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Right. You must meet that speed and you must meet the leak rate requirements following that speed. Q. At the very minimum. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just my objection. THE WITNESS: The 68 to 77 is information that I derived from the reconstructionist. The statement about				
Page 135 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Well, yes, that's right. They are a standard. They say you must achieve this goal. And I guess by from doing something different, which in fact Chrysler did. Q. I'm talking about the fact that when we call something minimum standards, it's the minimum standard which must be met. You can always do something better but this is the minimum that the government requires you to meet as an sutomobile manufacturer. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Where are you? MS. DE FILIPPO: Under "Summary." THE WITNESS: Which paragraph. MS. DE FILIPPO: Under "Summary." THE WITNESS: Which paragraph. MS. DE FILIPPO: Second paragraph. MS. DE FILIPPO: Under "Summary." THE WITNESS: Which paragraph. MS. DE FILIPPO: Under "Summary." THE WITNESS: Which paragraph. A. Okay. Q. Did I read that correctly? A. The PDOF Q. "These velocities." A. The last sentence? Q. Right. "These velocities are far beyond any known rear impact design constant or objective." A. Right. THE WITNESS: Right. You must meet that speed and you must meet the leak rate requirements following that speed. Q. At the very minimum. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: The 68 to 77 is information that I derived from the reconstructionist. The statement about		•		
Page 135 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Well, yes, that's right. They are a standard. They say you must achieve this goal. And I guess by from doing something different, which in fact Chrysler did. Q. I'm talking about the fact that when we call something minimum standards, it's the minimum standard which must be met. You can always do something better this is the minimum that the government requires you to meet as an sutomobile manufacturer. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Where are you? MS. DE FILIPPO: Under "Summary." THE WITNESS: Which paragraph. MS. DE FILIPPO: Second paragraph. MS. DE FILIPPO: Second paragraph, last sentence. A. Okay. Q. Did I read that correctly? A. The PDOF Q. "These velocities." A. The last sentence? Q. Right. "These velocities are far beyond any known rear impact design constant or objective." A. Right. Q. Now, are you basing that statement on what you were told by the reconstruction person in this case? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection what you were told by the reconstruction person in this case? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. here are you? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Where are you? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Where are you? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Where are you? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Where are you? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Where are you? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Where are you? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Where are you? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Where are you? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Where are you? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Wr. BRADLEY: Just my objection. THE WITNESS: The 68 to 77 is information that I derived fr		· ·	1	
1 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. 2 objection. 3 THE WITNESS: Well, yes, that's 3	25	standards?	25	"These velocities are far beyond any known
Objection. THE WITNESS: Well, yes, that's right. They are a standard. They say you must achieve this goal. And I guess by minimum, achieving them doesn't limit one from doing something different, which in fact Chrysler did. Q. I'm talking about the fact that met. You can always do something better met. You can always do something better met. You can always do something better must be the sistent minimum transport objection. You can answer. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Where are you? MS. DE FILIPPO: Under "Summary." THE WITNESS: Which paragraph. Ms. DE FILIPPO: Second paragraph, and the second paragraph. Ms. DE FILIPPO: Second paragraph, and the second paragraph, and the second paragraph is sentence. Q. I'm talking about the fact that met. A. Okay. Q. Did I read that correctly? A. The PDOF		Page 135		Page 137
Objection. THE WITNESS: Well, yes, that's right. They are a standard. They say you must achieve this goal. And I guess by minimum, achieving them doesn't limit one from doing something different, which in fact Chrysler did. Q. I'm talking about the fact that he met that sis the minimum that the government requires you to meet as an automobile manufacturer. Correct? Shared meet that speed and you must meet the leak meet that speed and you must meet the leak that speed. Q. At the very minimum. Respondence of the with susted and you fact that sinformation that I derived from the reconstruction ist. The statement about the fact of the minimum standards, in the meet that speed and you have the solven as a sinformation that I derived from the reconstruction ist. The statement about the fact that sinformation that I derived from the reconstruction ist. The statement about the statement about the sinformation is information is. The statement about the sinformation is information is. The statement about the sinformation is information in that I derived from the reconstruction is. The statement about the statement about the sinformation that I derived from the reconstruction is. The statement about	1	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	1	impact design constant or objective."
THE WITNESS: Well, yes, that's right. They are a standard. They say you must achieve this goal. And I guess by minimum, achieving them doesn't limit one from doing something different, which in fact Chrysler did. Q. I'm talking about the fact that when we call something minimum standards, it's the minimum standard which must be met. You can always do something better but this is the minimum that the government requires you to meet as an sutomobile manufacturer. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my meet that speed and you must meet the leak rate requirements following that speed. Q. At the very minimum. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Where are you? MS. DE FILIPPO: Under "Summary." THE WITNESS: Which paragraph. MS. DE FILIPPO: Second paragraph, last sentence. A. Okay. Q. Did I read that correctly? A. The PDOF Q. "These velocities." A. The last sentence? Q. Right. "These velocities are far beyond any known rear impact design constant or objective." A. Right. Q. Now, are you basing that statement on what you were told by the reconstruction person in this case? MR. BRADLEY: Just mote my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Where are you? MS. DE FILIPPO: Under "Summary." THE WITNESS: Which paragraph. MS. DE FILIPPO: Under "Summary." THE WITNESS: Which paragraph. MS. DE FILIPPO: Under "Summary." THE WITNESS: Which paragraph. MS. DE FILIPPO: Voloner "Summary." THE WITNESS: Which paragraph. A. Okay. Q. Did I read that correctly? A. The PDOF Q. "These velocities." A. The last sentence? Q. Right. "These velocities are far beyond any known rear impact design constant or objective." A. Right. Q. Now, are you basing that statement on what you were told by the reconstruction person in this case? MR. BRADLEY: Just my objection. THE WITNESS: The 68 to 77 is information that I derived from the reconstructionist. The statement about	2		2	Correct?
right. They are a standard. They say you must achieve this goal. And I guess by minimum, achieving them doesn't limit one from doing something different, which in fact Chrysler did. Q. I'm talking about the fact that when we call something minimum standards, it's the minimum standard which must be met. You can always do something better but this is the minimum that the government requires you to meet as an sutomobile manufacturer. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my meet that speed and you must meet the leak meet that speed and you must meet the leak meet that speed and you must meet the leak cobjection. must achieve this goal. And I guess by minimum, achieving them doesn't limit one MR. BRADLEY: Just note my MS. DE FILIPPO: Second paragraph. MS. DE FILIPPO: Second paragraph. MS. DE FILIPPO: Second paragraph. MS. DE FILIPPO: Second paragraph. MS. DE FILIPPO: Second paragraph. MS. DE FILIPPO: Second paragraph. MS. DE FILIPPO: Under "Summary." The WITNESS: Which paragraph. MS. DE FILIPPO: Second paragraph. MS. DE FILIPPO: The WITNESS: Which paragraph. MS. DE FILIPPO: The WITNESS: Which paragraph. MS. DE FILIPPO: Thes winch masset the last sentence. A. Okay. Q. Did I read that correctly? A. The PDOF Q. "These velocities." A. The last sentence? Q. Right. "These velocities are far beyond any known rear impact design constant or objective." A. Right. Q. Now, are you basing that statement on what you were told by the reconstruction person in this case? MR. BRADLEY: Just my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just my objection. THE WITNESS: The 68 to 77 is information that I derived from the reconstructionist. The statement about	1	•	3	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
must achieve this goal. And I guess by minimum, achieving them doesn't limit one from doing something different, which in fact Chrysler did. Q. I'm talking about the fact that when we call something minimum standards, it's the minimum standard which must be met. You can always do something better but this is the minimum that the government requires you to meet as an sutomobile manufacturer. Correct? MS. DE FILIPPO: Which paragraph. MS. DE FILIPPO: Second paragraph, last sentence. A. Okay. Q. Did I read that correctly? A. The PDOF Q. "These velocities." A. The last sentence? Q. Right. "These velocities are far beyond any known rear impact design constant or objective." MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Right. You must meet that speed and you must meet the leak meet that speed and you must meet the leak Q. Now, are you basing that statement on what you were told by the reconstruction person in this case? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my Q. Now, are you basing that statement on what you were told by the reconstruction person in this case? MR. BRADLEY: Just my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: The 68 to 77 is information that I derived from the reconstructionist. The statement about		, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4	•
minimum, achieving them doesn't limit one from doing something different, which in fact Chrysler did. Q. I'm talking about the fact that 9 Q. I'm talking about the fact that 9 When we call something minimum standards, 10 it's the minimum standard which must be 11 met. You can always do something better 12 but this is the minimum that the 13 but this is the minimum that the 13 but manufacturer. Correct? 15 automobile manufacturer. Correct? 15 met WITNESS: Right. You must 18 meet that speed and you must meet the leak 19 rate requirements following that speed. 20 A. Okay. Q. Did I read that correctly? A. The PDOF Q. "These velocities." A. The last sentence? Q. Right. "These velocities are far beyond any known rear impact design constant or objective." A. Right. Q. Now, are you basing that statement on what you were told by the reconstruction person in this case? Q. At the very minimum. 21 MR. BRADLEY: Just my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 22 objection. THE WITNESS: The 68 to 77 is information that I derived from the reconstructionist. The statement about		•		•
from doing something different, which in fact Chrysler did. Q. I'm talking about the fact that when we call something minimum standards, it's the minimum standard which must be met. You can always do something better but this is the minimum that the government requires you to meet as an sutomobile manufacturer. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Right. You must meet that speed and you must meet the leak rate requirements following that speed. Q. At the very minimum. MS. DE FILIPPO: Second paragraph, last sentence. A. Okay. Q. Did I read that correctly? A. The PDOF Q. "These velocities." A. The last sentence? Q. Right. "These velocities are far beyond any known rear impact design constant or objective." A. Right. Q. Now, are you basing that statement on what you were told by the reconstruction person in this case? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: You have the THE WITNESS: You have the THE WITNESS: The 68 to 77 is information that I derived from the reconstructionist. The statement about	1	-		•
fact Chrysler did. Q. I'm talking about the fact that when we call something minimum standards, it's the minimum standard which must be met. You can always do something better but this is the minimum that the government requires you to meet as an automobile manufacturer. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Right. You must meet that speed and you must meet the leak nother than the speed. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: You have the Bast sentence. A. Okay. A. The PDOF Q. "These velocities." A. The last sentence? Q. Right. "These velocities are far beyond any known rear impact design constant or objective." A. Right. Q. Now, are you basing that statement on what you were told by the reconstruction person in this case? MR. BRADLEY: Just my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: The 68 to 77 is objection. THE WITNESS: You have the A. The PDOF Q. "These velocities." A. The last sentence? A. Right. Q. Now, are you basing that statement on what you were told by the reconstruction person in this case? MR. BRADLEY: Just my objection. THE WITNESS: The 68 to 77 is information that I derived from the reconstructionist. The statement about				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
9 Q. I'm talking about the fact that 10 when we call something minimum standards, 11 it's the minimum standard which must be 11 A. The PDOF 12 met. You can always do something better 13 but this is the minimum that the 14 government requires you to meet as an 15 automobile manufacturer. Correct? 16 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 17 objection. You can answer. 18 THE WITNESS: Right. You must 19 meet that speed and you must meet the leak 19 meet that speed and you must meet the leak 20 rate requirements following that speed. 21 Q. At the very minimum. 22 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 23 objection. 24 THE WITNESS: You have the 29 THE WITNESS: You have the 20 reconstruction ist. The statement about		•	8	·
when we call something minimum standards, 10 Q. Did I read that correctly? it's the minimum standard which must be met. You can always do something better 12 Q. "These velocities." but this is the minimum that the 13 A. The last sentence? government requires you to meet as an 14 Q. Right. "These velocities are far beyond any known rear impact design constant or objective." MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 16 constant or objective." MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 18 Q. Now, are you basing that statement on what you were told by the rate requirements following that speed. Q. Now, are you basing that statement on what you were told by the reconstruction person in this case? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 21 MR. BRADLEY: Just my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 22 THE WITNESS: The 68 to 77 is objection. THE WITNESS: You have the 24 reconstructionist. The statement about			1	
it's the minimum standard which must be met. You can always do something better but this is the minimum that the government requires you to meet as an automobile manufacturer. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Right. You must meet that speed and you must meet the leak construction person in this case? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Right. You must meet that speed and you must meet the leak objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: You have the THE WITNESS: You have the A. The PDOF Q. "These velocities." A. The PDOF Q. Right. "These velocities." A. Right. Q. Now, are you basing that statement on what you were told by the reconstruction person in this case? MR. BRADLEY: Just my objection. THE WITNESS: The 68 to 77 is information that I derived from the reconstructionist. The statement about	1	•	1	_
met. You can always do something better but this is the minimum that the government requires you to meet as an MR. BRADLEY: Just note my meet that speed and you must meet the leak meet that speed and you must meet the leak meet that speed and you must meet the leak meet the very minimum. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my meet that speed and you must meet the leak meet t	į.			•
but this is the minimum that the government requires you to meet as an 14 Q. Right. "These velocities are far 15 automobile manufacturer. Correct? 16 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 17 objection. You can answer. 18 THE WITNESS: Right. You must 19 meet that speed and you must meet the leak 20 rate requirements following that speed. 21 Q. At the very minimum. 22 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 23 objection. 24 THE WITNESS: You have the 26 A. The last sentence? Q. Right. "These velocities are far beyond any known rear impact design constant or objective." A. Right. Q. Now, are you basing that statement on what you were told by the reconstruction person in this case? MR. BRADLEY: Just my objection. THE WITNESS: The 68 to 77 is information that I derived from the reconstructionist. The statement about	1		1	
government requires you to meet as an automobile manufacturer. Correct? 15 beyond any known rear impact design constant or objective." MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 16 constant or objective." MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 16 constant or objective." A. Right. THE WITNESS: Right. You must 18 queet that speed and you must meet the leak 19 statement on what you were told by the rate requirements following that speed. Q. At the very minimum. 21 queet manufacturer. Correct? 15 beyond any known rear impact design constant or objective." A. Right. Q. Now, are you basing that statement on what you were told by the reconstruction person in this case? MR. BRADLEY: Just my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just my objection. THE WITNESS: The 68 to 77 is information that I derived from the reconstructionist. The statement about			1	•
automobile manufacturer. Correct? 16 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 16 constant or objective." 17 objection. You can answer. 18 THE WITNESS: Right. You must 19 meet that speed and you must meet the leak 20 rate requirements following that speed. 21 Q. At the very minimum. 22 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 23 objection. 24 THE WITNESS: You have the 25 beyond any known rear impact design 26 constant or objective." A. Right. Q. Now, are you basing that statement on what you were told by the reconstruction person in this case? MR. BRADLEY: Just my objection. THE WITNESS: The 68 to 77 is information that I derived from the reconstructionist. The statement about				
16 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 17 objection. You can answer. 18 THE WITNESS: Right. You must 19 meet that speed and you must meet the leak 20 rate requirements following that speed. 21 Q. At the very minimum. 22 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 23 objection. 24 THE WITNESS: You have the 26 constant or objective." A. Right. Q. Now, are you basing that statement on what you were told by the reconstruction person in this case? MR. BRADLEY: Just my objection. THE WITNESS: The 68 to 77 is information that I derived from the reconstructionist. The statement about	ł	• •		` -
objection. You can answer. THE WITNESS: Right. You must meet that speed and you must meet the leak rate requirements following that speed. Q. Now, are you basing that statement on what you were told by the reconstruction person in this case? MR. BRADLEY: Just my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: The 68 to 77 is information that I derived from the THE WITNESS: You have the	1			
THE WITNESS: Right. You must 18 Q. Now, are you basing that 19 meet that speed and you must meet the leak 20 rate requirements following that speed. 21 Q. At the very minimum. 22 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 23 objection. 24 THE WITNESS: You have the 26 Now, are you basing that 27 statement on what you were told by the 28 reconstruction person in this case? MR. BRADLEY: Just my objection. 29 THE WITNESS: The 68 to 77 is 20 information that I derived from the 21 reconstructionist. The statement about	1	•	1	•
meet that speed and you must meet the leak rate requirements following that speed. Q. At the very minimum. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: You have the 24 reconstruction person in this case? THE WITNESS: The 68 to 77 is information that I derived from the reconstructionist. The statement about	1	-	ŧ	
rate requirements following that speed. 2	1	-		•
Q. At the very minimum. 21 MR. BRADLEY: Just my objection. 22 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 23 objection. 24 THE WITNESS: You have the 24 reconstructionist. The statement about		<u>.</u>	i	
MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 22 THE WITNESS: The 68 to 77 is 23 objection. 24 THE WITNESS: You have the 24 reconstructionist. The statement about				<u>.</u>
23 objection. 23 information that I derived from the reconstructionist. The statement about	1			The state of the s
24 THE WITNESS: You have the 24 reconstructionist. The statement about	l .			
	1	· ·		
barrier conditions, the speed of the 25 rear impact design constant and objective	24			
• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	25	barrier conditions, the speed of the	25	rear impact design constant and objective

			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Page 138		Page 140
1	is solely mine.	1	manufacturer doesn't consider highway
2	Q. But the velocity that you're	2	speeds when they're designing the rear end
3	referring to is not yours, it's his?	3	fuel system integrity of a vehicle?
4	A. No. That's right.	4	MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.
5	Q. So if he told you a velocity, a	5	I don't think he said that at all.
6	different number, then you would have to	6	THE WITNESS: Highway speed is
7	determine if you believe that velocity was	7	different than impact speed. Usually you
8	beyond any known rear impact design	8	scrub off some speed prior to impact. If
9	constant or objective. Correct?	9	you're driving down the highway at
10	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	10	70 miles an hour you usually don't have
	•	11	impact at 70. You apply the brakes, you
11 12	objection. The velocity that he's talking	12	slide sideways, you hit a guardrail, and
Į.	Q. The velocity that he's talking	13	you scrub off some speed, thankfully,
13	about, I don't see that listed in that	14	prior to impact. So typically impact
14	paragraph. What is that velocity?	15	speeds are much lower than highway speed.
15	A. Sixty-eight to 77.		
16	Q. I thought that was the speed.	16	Q. But my question is different. My
17	A. Same thing.	17	question is, are you saying that auto
18	Q. So speed and velocity you're	18	manufacturers, when they're designing the
19	equating for purposes of your discussion?	19	fuel system integrity vis-à-vis rear end
20	A. Yes. You could take the word	20	hits, don't take into account highway
21	velocity out and put speed in.	21	speed limits?
22	Q. Was there a change in velocity at	22	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
23	the time of impact?	23	objection. This is beyond the scope of
24	A. Oh, yes.	24	his report.
25	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	25	Q. And you would agree with me when
	Page 139		Page 141
1	objection.	1	you travel, for instance, 95 down to
2	Q. So what was the velocity at the	2	Florida, there are speeds of 70 miles an
3	time of impact? Because it wasn't 68 or	3	hour and minimum speeds of 50. Correct?
4	77 miles per hour. Correct?	4	A. Yeah.
5	MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.	5	Q. Now, did you know the speed of
6	If you know.	6	the Alcala vehicle at any time?
7	THE WITNESS: I believe the speed	7	MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.
8	at impact was 68 to 77.	8	THE WITNESS: I depended on the
9	Q. But I'm asking you about the	9	reconstructionists for the speed data that
10	velocity. Was there a change in the	10	I got.
11	velocity	11	Q. Were you at all concerned about
12	A. You mean the Delta V?	12	what the Alcala driver said about her
13	Q. Yes.	13	speed prior to impact?
1		14	A. I read her deposition.
14	A. I don't know what that is. I could look that up. I'm sure it's in a	15	Q. What did she say?
15	-	16	
16	reconstructionist's report.	17	
17	Q. Did that matter to you when		
18	you're determining whether or not this was	18	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
19	a defective design?	19	objection.
20	A. Well, when you get into this	20	THE WITNESS: I don't remember.
21	range, no. If we're down in the	21	Q. If you recall.
22	thirty-fives or forties, but remember I	22	A. I don't remember.
23	told you around 45 is a pretty severe	23	Q. Do you remember if she said if
101			
24	crash.	24	she agreed with your reconstructionist or
24	crash. Q. So are you saying that a	25	not with respect to speed?

1 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 2 objection. He just said he doesn't know. 3 THE WITNESS: You know, I read 4 her deposition but the physical evidence speaks volumes compared to her opinion. I can understand why she and many other people like her aren't real sure and we're talking about impact speed not highway speeds. So I didn't put a lot of credibility into her statement. 10 Q. Did you formulate an opinion at any time that you think she sped up from her highway speed? 11 Q. Did you formulate an opinion at any time that you think she sped up from her highway speed? 12 any time that you think she sped up from her highway speed? 13 A. No. 15 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. 16 objection. 17 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I sake my speed data solely on the reconstruction of the physical evidence. 20 Q. Now, on page six of your report you indicate that "The presence of a fuel tank skid plate or trailer hitch would likely have made no difference in the outcome." 24 Q. Yeah. 25 Correct? 26 Q. "The presence of a fuel tank skid plate or trailer hitch would likely have made no difference in the outcome." 3 A. Where are you? 4 Q. Yeah. 5 A. "In this case the presence of?" 6 Q. "The presence of a fuel tank skid plate or trailer hitch would likely have made no difference in the outcome." 3 A. Yes, I read that. 4 Q. Weah. 5 A. Yes, I read that. 9 Q. What is that based on? 1 A. Yes, I read that. 9 Q. What is that based on? 1 A. Yes, I read that. 9 Q. What is that based on? 1 A. Yes, I read that. 9 Q. What is that based on? 1 A. Yes, I read that. 9 Q. What is that based on? 1 A. Yes, I read that. 9 Q. What is that based on? 1 A. Yes, I read that. 9 Q. What is that based on? 1 A. Yes, I read that. 9 Q. Doy ou believe that a skid plate or trailer hitch would likely have made no difference in the outcome." 14 A. Yes, I read that. 15 as me 30 I results with or without a skid plate or trailer hitch would likely have made no difference in the outcome." 16 Q. Doy ou believe that a skid plate or trailer hitch would likely have made no d
Objection. He just said he doesn't know. THE WITNESS: You know, I read her deposition but the physical evidence speaks volumes compared to her opinion. I can understand why she and many other people like her aren't real sure and were talking about impact speed not highway speeds. So I didn't put a lot of credibility into her statement. Q. Did you formulate an opinion at any time that you think she sped up from her highway speed? A. No. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know. I base my speed data solely on the reconstruction of the physical evidence. THE WITNESS: I don't know. I base my speed data solely on the reconstruction of the physical evidence. Q. Now, on page six of your report you indicate that "The presence of a fuel tank skid plate or trailer hitch would likely have made no difference in the outcome in this case." Q. First full paragraph. A. Where are you? Q. Yeah. A. Where are you? A. Yes, I read that. Q. That's your opinion. Correct? A. Yes, Q. Can you tell me what vehicles we're talking about? A. Yes. Cherokee and Grand Cherokee, and I this some of the Japanese as well. Q. And cash as an impact deflection device? AMR BRADLEY: Note my objection THE WITNESS: Not in a crash, no Q. And you're basing those opinions on crashes you've looked at after the fact? A. Yes. Q. But crash testing. A. Yes. Q. For all intents and purposes, what you're looking at is a done deal either way. Correct? A. Yes. Q. On page seven at the very top you say that "Numerous vehicles produced be Ford, General Motors, Mercedes Benz a many others had tanks located rearward the rear axle?" Correct? Q. Can you tell me what vehicles we're talking about? A. Sure. The General Motors Blazer the Mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Je Cherokee and Grand Cherokee, and I thi some of the Japanese as well. Q. And cash at an impact deflection device? A. Yes. Q. First full paragraph? A. Yes. Q. Can you tell me what the Mercedes G55 had as a protection for the tank? M. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. If anything. M. BRADLE
O. Do you believe that it can also act as an impact deflection device? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: You know, I read her highway speeds. So I didn't put a lot of credibility into her statement. O. Did you formulate an opinion at any time that you think she sped up from her highway speed? A. No. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Not in a crash, not a skid plate or trailer hith would likely have made no difference in the outcome." A. Where are you? O. Do you believe that it can also act as an impact deflection device? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: Not in a crash, not act as an impact deflection device? MR. BRADLEY: Not may objection. THE WITNESS: Not in a crash, not act as an impact deflection device? MR. BRADLEY: Not may objection. THE WITNESS: Not in a crash, not act as an impact deflection device? MR. BRADLEY: Not may objection. THE WITNESS: Not in a crash, not act as an impact deflection device? MR. BRADLEY: Not may objection. THE WITNESS: Not in a crash, not act as an impact deflection device? MR. BRADLEY: Not may objection. THE WITNESS: Not in a crash, not act as an impact deflection device? MR. BRADLEY: Not may objection. THE WITNESS: Not in a crash, not act as an impact deflection device? MR. BRADLEY: Not may objection. Q. And you're basing those opinions on crashes you've looked at after the fact? A. Yes. Q. For all intents and purposes, what you're looking at is a done deal either way. Correct? A. Yes. Q. For all intents and purposes, what you're looking at is a done deal either way. Correct? A. Yes. Q. On page seven at the very top you say that "Numerous vehicles produced be ford, General Motors, Mercedes Benz at many others had tanks located rearward the rear axle?" Correct? A. With a correct? A. With a correct and in the presence of a fuel tank skid plate or trailer hitch would likely have made no difference in the outcome." A. Yes. Cherokee and Grand Cherokee, and I this as ame 301 results with or without a skid plate of trailer hitch.
THE WITNESS: You know, I read her deposition but the physical evidence speaks volumes compared to her opinion. I can understand why she and many other people like her aren't real sure and were talking about impact speed not highway speeds. So I didn't put a lot of credibility into her statement. Q. Did you formulate an opinion at Q. Did you formulate an opinion at Q. Did you formulate an opinion at Q. Did you formulate an opinion at Q. Did you formulate an opinion at Q. Did you formulate an opinion at A. No. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know. I Base my speed data solely on the Q. Now, on page six of your report Q. Now, on page six of your report Q. Now, on page six of your report Q. Now, on page six of your report Q. Now, on page six of your report Q. Now, on page six of your report Q. Now, on page six of your report Q. First full paragraph. A. Where are you? Q. First full paragraph. Q. Yeah. A. "In this case the presence of a fuel tank skid plate or trailer hitch would likely have made no difference in the outcome." A. Yes, I read that. Q. Yesh. A. "In this case the presence of "? Q. That's your opinion. Correct? A. Yes, I read that Q. What is that based on? A. Yes, I read that Q. What is that based on? A. The severity of this accident and my knowledge that this vehicle has the Same 301 results with or without a skid plate or trailer hitch. Q. Do you believe that a skid plate Q. Do you believe that a skid plate D. Do
her deposition but the physical evidence speaks volumes compared to her opinion. I can understand why she and many other people like her aren't real sure and we're talking about impact speed not highway speeds. So I didn't put a lot of credibility into her statement. Q. Did you formulate an opinion at any time that you think she sped up from the highway speed? A. No. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Ust note my objection arrash, nc Q. And our basing sow on crashes you've looked at after the fact? A. Yes. Q. And A. And crash testing. Q. But crash testing that you looked at the vehicle after the test? A. Yes. Q. For all intents and purposes, what you're looking at is a done deal either way. Correct? A. Yes. Q. For all intents and purposes, what you're looking at is a done deal either way. Correct? A. Yes. Q. On page seven at the very top you say that "Numerous vehicles produced b Ford, General Motors, Mercedes Benz a many others had tanks located rearward the rear axle?" Correct? A. Sure. The General Motors Blazer the Mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Je Cherokee and Grand Cherokee, and I this some of the Japanese as well. Q. And can you tell me what the Mercedes G55 had as a protection for the tank? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. If anything. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. If anything. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. If anything. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. If anything. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. If anything. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. If anything. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. If anything. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. If anything. MR. BRADLEY: John't thi
5 speaks volumes compared to her opinion. I 6 can understand why she and many other 7 people like her aren't real sure and we're 8 talking about impact speed not highway 9 speeds. So I didn't put a lot of 9 speeds. So I didn't
6 can understand why she and many other people like her aren't real sure and we're stalking about impact speed not highway speeds. So I didn't put a lot of credibility into her statement. 10 can be provided at after the fact? A. Yes. Q. And
people like her aren't real sure and we're talking about impact speed not highway speeds. So I didn't put a lot of 9 9 A. Yes. Did you formulate an opinion at 11
seeds. So I didn't put a lot of 9
9 speeds. So I didn't put a lot of 10 credibility into her statement. 10 Q. Did you formulate an opinion at 11 A. And crash testing. 12 any time that you think she sped up from 12 Q. But crash testing that you looked at the vehicle after the test? A. No. 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. For all intents and purposes, what you're looking at is a done deal either way. Correct? 16 base my speed data solely on the 18 reconstruction of the physical evidence. 19 Q. On page seven at the very top you say that "Numerous vehicles produced be reconstruction of the physical evidence. 19 Q. On page seven at the very top you say that "Numerous vehicles produced be reconstruction of the physical evidence. 19 Q. On page seven at the very top you say that "Numerous vehicles produced be red that "The presence of a fuel 21 you indicate that "The presence of a fuel 22 tank skid plate or trailer hitch would 22 ikiely have made no difference in the 23 outcome in this case." 24 O. First full paragraph. 25 Correct? 25 A. Um-hum. Page 143 A. Where are you? 1 Q. Can you tell me what vehicles we're talking about? A. Sure. The General Motors Blazer the Mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Je Cherokee and Grand Cherokee, and I this some of the Japanese as well. Q. And can you tell me what the Mercedes G55 had as a protection for the tank? A. Yes. 10 Q. What is that based on? 12 Q. What is that based on? 13 A. The severity of this accident and 14 my knowledge that this vehicle has the 14 same 301 results with or without a skid plate or trailer hitch. 16 plate or trailer hitch. 16 plate or trailer hitch. 16 plate or trailer hitch. 16 plate or trailer hitch. 16 plate or trailer hitch. 16 plate or trailer hitch. 16 plate or trailer hitch. 16 plate or trailer hitch. 16 plate or trailer hitch. 16 plate or trailer hitch. 16 plate or trailer hitch. 16 plate or trailer hitch. 16 plate or trailer hitch. 16 plate or trailer hitch. 16 plate or trailer hitch. 16 plate or trailer hitch. 17 plate or trailer hitch. 17 plate or trailer hitch. 18 plate or trailer hitch. 18 pla
credibility into her statement. Q. Did you formulate an opinion at 12 any time that you think she sped up from 12 her highway speed? A. No. 14 A. Yes. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 15 A. Yes. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 15 base my speed data solely on the 19 reconstruction of the physical evidence. 20 Q. Now, on page six of your report 20 you indicate that "The presence of a fuel 21 tank skid plate or trailer hitch would 18 base." A. Where are you? 24 O. First full paragraph. 3 A. Third paragraph? 4 Q. Yeah. 4 Third paragraph? 4 Q. Yeah. 5 A. "In this case the presence of a fuel tank skid plate or trailer hitch would likely have made no difference in the outcome." 5 A. Yes, I read that. 9 Page 143 Page 144 Page 15 A. Yes, I read that. 9 Page 15 Cherokee and Grand Cherokee, and I this some of the Japanese as well. 9 A. Yes, I read that. 9 Page 15 Cherokee and Grand Cherokee, and I this some of the Japanese as well. 10 Q. That's your opinion. Correct? 10 A. Yes, I read that. 9 Page 15 Cherokee and Grand Cherokee, and I this some of the Japanese as well. 11 A. Yes.
11 Q. Did you formulate an opinion at any time that you think she sped up from 12 any time that you think she sped up from 13 her highway speed? 13 at the vehicle after the test? 14 A. No. 14 A. Yes. Q. For all intents and purposes, what you're looking at is a done deal either way. Correct? 15 A. Yes. Q. For all intents and purposes, what you're looking at is a done deal either way. Correct? 16 A. Yes. Q. On page seven at the very top you say that "Numerous vehicles produced by ou indicate that "The presence of a fuel 21 tank skid plate or trailer hitch would likely have made no difference in the outcome." 16 Q. First full paragraph. 17 Q. Yeah. 18 A. Where are you? 19 Q. Can you tell me what vehicles we're talking about? 19 Q. That's your opinion. Correct? 10 Q. And can you tell me what the made no difference in the outcome." 19 Q. That's your opinion. Correct? 10 Q. That's your opinion. Correct? 11 A. Yes. 11 A. The severity of this accident and my knowledge that this vehicle has the 15 same 301 results with or without a skid plate or trailer hitch. Q. Do you believe that a skid plate or trailer hitch. 10 Q. Do you believe that a skid plate on the outcome in the customs
12 any time that you think she sped up from her highway speed? 13 her highway speed? 14 A. No. 15 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 15 Q. For all intents and purposes, what you're looking at is a done deal either way. Correct? 16 objection. 17 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I 17 Her with word of the physical evidence. 19 reconstruction of the physical evidence. 19 Q. Now, on page six of your report you indicate that "The presence of a fuel 21 you indicate that "The presence of a fuel 22 tank skid plate or trailer hitch would likely have made no difference in the outcome in this case." 24 outcome in this case." 25 Correct? 26 A. Where are you? 27 Q. First full paragraph. 28 A. Third paragraph? 39 A. Third paragraph? 40 Q. Yeah. 41 A. Yes. 42 Q. On page seven at the very top you say that "Numerous vehicles produced be Ford, General Motors, Mercedes Benz a many others had tanks located rearward the rear axle?" 29 Correct? 20 Q. Can you tell me what vehicles we're talking about? 21 A. Where are you? 22 Q. First full paragraph. 23 A. Third paragraph? 34 A. Third paragraph? 45 A. "In this case the presence of"? 46 Q. "The presence of a fuel tank skid plate or trailer hitch would likely have made no difference in the outcome." 40 A. Yes, I read that. 41 A. Yes. 42 Correct? 42 many others had tanks located rearward the rear axle?" 43 Correct? 44 Oun-hum. 45 Page 143 4 Q. Can you tell me what vehicles we're talking about? 4 A. Sure. The General Motors Blazer we're talking about? 5 Cherokee and Grand Cherokee, and I this some of the Japanese as well. 6 Q. That's your opinion. Correct? 7 Q. And can you tell me what the mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Je Cherokee and Grand Cherokee, and I this some of the Japanese as well. 7 Q. And can you tell me what the mercedes G55 had as a protection for the tank? 8 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. 9 Q. If anything. 9 MR. BRADLEY: I don't think he testified there was one. 10 Q. If anything. 11 MR. BRADLEY: I don't think he testified there was one. 12 Q. Do you believe that a skid plate
her highway speed? 14 A. No. 15 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 16 objection. 17 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I 18 base my speed data solely on the 19 reconstruction of the physical evidence. 19 you indicate that "The presence of a fuel 21 tank skid plate or trailer hitch would 23 likely have made no difference in the 24 outcome in this case." 25 Correct? 26 Q. For all intents and purposes, what you're looking at is a done deal either way. Correct? A. Yes. Port, General Motors, Mercedes Benz a many others had tanks located rearward the rear axle?" Correct? 25 A. Um-hum. Page 143 1 A. Where are you? 2 Q. First full paragraph. 3 A. Third paragraph? 4 Q. Yeah. 5 A. "In this case the presence of "? 6 Q. "The presence of a fuel tank skid plate or trailer hitch would likely have made no difference in the outcome." 9 A. Yes, I read that. 10 Q. That's your opinion. Correct? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. What is that based on? 13 A. The severity of this accident and my knowledge that this vehicle has the same 301 results with or without a skid plate or trailer hitch. 17 Q. Do you believe that a skid plate 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. For all intents and purposes, what you're looking at is a done deal either way. Correct? A. Yes. Q. On page seven at the very top you say that "Numerous vehicles produced be Ford, General Motors, Mercedes Benz a many others had tanks located rearward the rear axle?" Correct? A. Um-hum. Page 143 Q. Can you tell me what vehicles we're talking about? A. Sure. The General Motors Blazer the Mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Je Cherokee and Grand Cherokee, and I this some of the Japansee as well. Q. And can you tell me what the Mercedes G55 had as a protection for the tank? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. If anything. MR. BRADLEY: I don't think he testified there was one. THE WITNESS: My memory is the the G55 had a polypropylene stone shield not a skid plate but a stone shield on the
A. No. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know. I base my speed data solely on the reconstruction of the physical evidence. Q. Now, on page six of your report you indicate that "The presence of a fuel likely have made no difference in the outcome in this case." A. Where are you? Q. First full paragraph. A. Whird paragraph? A. Win this case the presence of "? A. "In this case the presence of a fuel tank skid plate or trailer hitch would likely have made no difference in the outcome." A. Yes, I read that. Q. That's your opinion. Correct? A. What is that based on? A. The severity of this accident and my knowledge that this vehicle has the same 301 results with or without a skid plate or trailer hitch. Q. Do you believe that a skid plate A. Whore without a skid plate or trailer hitch. Q. Do you believe that a skid plate A. Whore without a skid plate or trailer hitch. A. The Severity of this accident and the same 301 results with or without a skid plate or trailer hitch. A. Do you believe that a skid plate A. The without a skid plate or trailer hitch. A. The severity of this accident and the same 301 results with or without a skid plate or trailer hitch. A. Do you believe that a skid plate A. Do you believe that a skid plate A. Do you believe that a skid plate A. A. The severity of this accident and the same 301 results with or without a skid plate or trailer hitch. A. Do you believe that a skid plate A. A. The severity of this accident and the same 301 results with or without a skid plate or trailer hitch. A. Do you believe that a skid plate but a stone shield on the
MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 16 objection. 17 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I 18 base my speed data solely on the 19 reconstruction of the physical evidence. 19 Q. Now, on page six of your report 20 Q. Now, on page six of your report 21 you indicate that "The presence of a fuel 22 tank skid plate or trailer hitch would 23 likely have made no difference in the 24 outcome in this case." 25 Correct? 26 A. Where are you? 27 Q. First full paragraph. 3 A. Third paragraph? 4 Q. Yeah. 4 Q. Yeah. 5 A. "In this case the presence of a fuel tank skid 6 plate or trailer hitch would likely have 8 made no difference in the outcome." 9 A. Yes, I read that. 10 Q. That's your opinion. Correct? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. What is that based on? 13 A. The severity of this accident and 14 my knowledge that this vehicle has the 15 same 301 results with or without a skid 16 plate or trailer hitch. 17 Q. Do you believe that a skid plate 18 day evidence. 19 A. Yes. 10 Q. Do you believe that a skid plate 10 Q. Do you believe that a skid plate 11 A. Yes and can be unterested a side plate or trailer hitch. 12 Q. Do you believe that a skid plate on trailer hitch. 16 GS had a polypropylene stone shield on the
objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know. I base my speed data solely on the creconstruction of the physical evidence. On age six of your report construction of the physical evidence. On age seven at the very top you say that "Numerous vehicles produced by you indicate that "The presence of a fuel cut tank skid plate or trailer hitch would likely have made no difference in the outcome in this case." Correct? Page 143 A. Where are you? Correct? A. Um-hum. Page 1 A. Where are you? Correct? A. Um-hum. Page 1 A. Where are you? Correct? A. Um-hum. Page 1 A. Where are you? Correct? A. Sure. The General Motors Blazer the Mercedes Benz a many others had tanks located rearward the rear axle?" A. Um-hum. Page 1 A. Where are you? Correct? A. Um-hum. Page 1 A. Sure. The General Motors Blazer the Mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Jench Cherokee and Grand Cherokee, and I this some of the Japanese as well. A. Yes, I read that. A. Yes, Correct? On that's your opinion. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. If anything. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. If anything. MR. BRADLEY: I don't think he testified there was one. THE WITNESS: My memory is the G55 had a polypropylene stone shield on the
THE WITNESS: I don't know. I base my speed data solely on the creconstruction of the physical evidence. Q. Now, on page six of your report you indicate that "The presence of a fuel tank skid plate or trailer hitch would likely have made no difference in the outcome in this case." Correct? Page 143 A. Where are you? A. Where are you? A. Third paragraph. A. Third paragraph? A. Third paragraph? A. Win this case the presence of a fuel tank skid plate or trailer hitch. A. Yes. Q. On page seven at the very top you say that "Numerous vehicles produced be Ford, General Motors, Mercedes Benz a many others had tanks located rearward the rear axle?" Correct? A. Um-hum. Page 143 Q. Can you tell me what vehicles we're talking about? A. Sure. The General Motors Blazer the Mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Je Cherokee and Grand Cherokee, and I this some of the Japanese as well. Q. And can you tell me what the Mercedes G55 had as a protection for the tank? A. Yes, I read that. Q. That's your opinion. Correct? 10 Q. What is that based on? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. What is that based on? 13 A. The severity of this accident and my knowledge that this vehicle has the same 301 results with or without a skid plate or trailer hitch. Q. Do you believe that a skid plate
base my speed data solely on the reconstruction of the physical evidence. Q. Now, on page six of your report you indicate that "The presence of a fuel tank skid plate or trailer hitch would likely have made no difference in the outcome in this case." Correct? Page 143 A. Where are you? Correct? A. Um-hum. Page 143 A. Sure. The General Motors Blazer the Mercedes Benz a governe talking about? A. Where are you? A. Third paragraph. A. Third paragraph? A. "In this case the presence of"? Q. "The presence of a fuel tank skid plate or trailer hitch would likely have made no difference in the outcome." A. Yes, I read that. Q. That's your opinion. Correct? A. The severity of this accident and my knowledge that this vehicle has the plate or trailer hitch. Q. Do you believe that a skid plate A. Yes, On page seven at the very top you say that "Numerous vehicles produced by the rear axle?" Q. Can you tell me what vehicles we're talking about? A. Sure. The General Motors Blazer the Mercedes Benz 255, the Hummer, Je Cherokee and Grand Cherokee, and I this some of the Japanese as well. Q. And can you tell me what the Mercedes G55 had as a protection for the tank? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. If anything. MR. BRADLEY:
reconstruction of the physical evidence. Q. Now, on page six of your report you indicate that "The presence of a fuel tank skid plate or trailer hitch would likely have made no difference in the outcome in this case." Page 143 A. Where are you? Q. First full paragraph. A. Third paragraph? A. "In this case the presence of a fuel tank skid plate or trailer hitch would likely have made no difference in the outcome." A. Yes, 1 read that. Q. That's your opinion. Correct? Q. What is that based on? A. The severity of this accident and my knowledge that this vehicle has the plate or trailer hitch. Q. Do you believe that a skid plate Q. Now, on page six of your report 20 say that "Numerous vehicles produced by say that "Numerous vehicles produced by say that "Numerous vehicles produced by say that "Numerous vehicles produced by say that "Numerous vehicles produced by say that "Numerous vehicles produced by say that "Numerous vehicles produced by say that "Numerous vehicles produced by say that "Numerous vehicles produced by say that "Numerous vehicles produced by say that "Numerous vehicles produced by say that "Numerous vehicles produced by say that "Numerous vehicles produced by say that "Numerous vehicles produced by Ford, General Motors, Mercedes Benz at many others had tanks located rearward the rear axle?" Correct? A. Um-hum. Page 1 Q. Can you tell me what vehicles we're talking about? A. Sure. The General Motors, Mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Je Cherokee and Grand Cherokee, and I this some of the Japanese as well. Q. And can you tell me what the Mercedes G55 had as a protection for the tank? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. If anything. MR. BRADLEY: I don't think he testified there was one. THE WITNESS: My memory is the the G55 had a polypropylene stone shield on the
20 Q. Now, on page six of your report 21 you indicate that "The presence of a fuel 22 tank skid plate or trailer hitch would 23 likely have made no difference in the 24 outcome in this case." 25 Correct? 26 A. Um-hum. Page 143 1 A. Where are you? 2 Q. First full paragraph. 3 A. Third paragraph? 4 Q. Yeah. 5 A. "In this case the presence of "? 6 Q. "The presence of a fuel tank skid 7 plate or trailer hitch would likely have 8 made no difference in the outcome." 9 A. Yes, I read that. 10 Q. That's your opinion. Correct? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. What is that based on? 13 A. The severity of this accident and 14 my knowledge that this vehicle has the 15 same 301 results with or without a skid 16 plate or trailer hitch. 17 Q. Do you believe that a skid plate 18 you indicate that "The presence of a fuel 21 Ford, General Motors, Mercedes Benz a many others had tanks located rearward the rear axle?" 22 Correct? 23 dhar "Numerous vehicles produced b Ford, General Motors, Mercedes Benz a many others had tanks located rearward the rear axle?" 24 Correct? 25 A. Um-hum. Page 143 Q. Can you tell me what vehicles we're talking about? A. Sure. The General Motors Blazer the Mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Jee Cherokee and Grand Cherokee, and I this some of the Japanese as well. Q. And can you tell me what the Mercedes G55 had as a protection for the tank? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. If anything. MR. BRADLEY: I don't think he testified there was one. THE WITNESS: My memory is the the G55 had a polypropylene stone shield on the plate or trailer hitch. Q. Do you believe that a skid plate
you indicate that "The presence of a fuel tank skid plate or trailer hitch would likely have made no difference in the outcome in this case." A. Where are you? Q. First full paragraph. A. Third paragraph? A. "In this case the presence of "? Q. "The presence of a fuel tank skid plate or trailer hitch would likely have made no difference in the outcome." A. Yes, I read that. Q. What is that based on? A. The severity of this accident and tank shid plate or trailer hitch. Q. Do you believe that a skid plate Page 143 Ford, General Motors, Mercedes Benz a many others had tanks located rearward the rear axle?" Correct? A. Um-hum. Page 1 Q. Can you tell me what vehicles we're talking about? A. Sure. The General Motors Blazer the Mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Jethan Sure. The General Motors Blazer the Mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Jethan Sure. The General Motors Blazer the Mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Jethan Sure. The General Motors Blazer the Mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Jethan Sure. The General Motors Blazer the Mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Jethan Sure. The General Motors Blazer the Mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Jethan Sure. The General Motors Blazer the Mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Jethan Sure. The General Motors Blazer the Mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Jethan Sure. The General Motors Blazer the Mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Jethan Sure. The General Motors Blazer the Mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Jethan Sure. The General Motors Blazer the Mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Jethan Sure. The General Motors Blazer the Mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Jethan Sure. The General Motors Blazer the Mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Jethan Sure. The General Motors Blazer the Mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Jethan Sure. The General Motors Blazer the Mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Jethan Sure. The General Motors Blazer the Mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Jethan Sure. The General Motors Blazer the Mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Jethan Sure. The Genzer the Mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Jethan Sure. The Genzer t
tank skid plate or trailer hitch would likely have made no difference in the outcome in this case." 24
likely have made no difference in the outcome in this case." 24
24 outcome in this case." 25 Correct? 26 A. Um-hum. Page 143 A. Where are you? 2 Q. First full paragraph. 3 A. Third paragraph? 4 Q. Yeah. 5 A. "In this case the presence of"? 6 Q. "The presence of a fuel tank skid 7 plate or trailer hitch would likely have 8 made no difference in the outcome." 9 A. Yes, I read that. 10 Q. That's your opinion. Correct? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. What is that based on? 13 A. The severity of this accident and 14 my knowledge that this vehicle has the 15 same 301 results with or without a skid 16 plate or trailer hitch. 17 Q. Do you believe that a skid plate Page 1 A. Um-hum. Page 1 A. Um-hum. Page 1 Q. Can you tell me what vehicles we're talking about? A. Sure. The General Motors Blazer the Mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Jee Cherokee and Grand Cherokee, and I this some of the Japanese as well. Q. And can you tell me what the Mercedes G55 had as a protection for the tank? Q. And can you tell me what the Mercedes G55 had as a protection for the tank? Q. And can you tell me what the Mercedes G55 had as a protection for the tank? Q. And can you tell me what the Mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Jee Cherokee and Grand Cherokee, and I this some of the Japanese as well. Q. And can you tell me what the Mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Jee Cherokee and Grand Cherokee, and I this some of the Japanese as well. Q. And can you tell me what the Mercedes G55 had as a protection for the tank? Q. If anything. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. If anything. MR. BRADLEY: I don't think he testified there was one. THE WITNESS: My memory is the G55 had a polypropylene stone shield on the
Page 143 A. Where are you? Q. First full paragraph. A. Third paragraph? A. "In this case the presence of"? A. "The presence of a fuel tank skid plate or trailer hitch would likely have made no difference in the outcome." A. Yes, I read that. Q. That's your opinion. Correct? A. Yes. Q. What is that based on? A. The severity of this accident and my knowledge that this vehicle has the same 301 results with or without a skid plate or taking late or taking about? A. Um-hum. Page 1 Q. Can you tell me what vehicles we're talking about? A. Sure. The General Motors Blazer the Mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Jee Cherokee and Grand Cherokee, and I this some of the Japanese as well. Q. And can you tell me what the Mercedes G55 had as a protection for the tank? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. If anything. MR. BRADLEY: I don't think he testified there was one. THE WITNESS: My memory is the G55 had a polypropylene stone shield on the
Page 143 A. Where are you? Q. First full paragraph. A. Third paragraph? A. Third paragraph? A. "In this case the presence of"? A. "In this case the presence of a fuel tank skid Q. "The presence of a fuel tank skid plate or trailer hitch would likely have made no difference in the outcome." A. Yes, I read that. Q. That's your opinion. Correct? A. Yes. Q. What is that based on? A. Yes. Q. What is that based on? A. The severity of this accident and my knowledge that this vehicle has the same 301 results with or without a skid plate or trailer hitch. Q. Do you believe that a skid plate Page 1 Q. Can you tell me what vehicles we're talking about? A. Sure. The General Motors Blazer the Mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Jee Cherokee and Grand Cherokee, and I this some of the Japanese as well. Q. And can you tell me what the Mercedes G55 had as a protection for the tank? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. If anything. MR. BRADLEY: I don't think he testified there was one. THE WITNESS: My memory is the the G55 had a polypropylene stone shield on the
A. Where are you? Q. First full paragraph. A. Third paragraph? A. Third paragraph? A. "In this case the presence of"? A. "The presence of a fuel tank skid plate or trailer hitch would likely have made no difference in the outcome." A. Yes, I read that. Q. That's your opinion. Correct? A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Yes. Cherokee and Grand Cherokee, and I this some of the Japanese as well. Q. And can you tell me what the Mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Jet of Cherokee and Grand Cherokee, and I this some of the Japanese as well. Q. And can you tell me what the Mercedes G55 had as a protection for the tank? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. What is that based on? A. Yes. A. The severity of this accident and my knowledge that this vehicle has the same 301 results with or without a skid plate or trailer hitch. Q. Do you believe that a skid plate D. Do you believe that a skid plate D. Can you tell me what vehicles we're talking about? A. Sure. The General Motors Blazer the we're talking about? A. Sure. The General Motors Blazer the we're talking about? A. Sure. The General Motors Blazer the Mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Jet of Cherokee and Grand Cherokee, and I this some of the Japanese as well. Q. And can you tell me what the some of the Japanese as well. Q. And can you tell me what I have some of the Japanese as well. Q. And can you tell me what I have some of the Japanese as well. Q. And can you tell me what I have some of the Japanese as well. Q. And can you tell me what I have some of the Japanese as well. Q. And can you tell me what I have some of the Japanese as well. Q. And can you tell me what I have some of the Japanese as well. Q. And can you tell me what I have some of the Japanese as well. Q. And can you tell me what I have some of the Japanese as well. Q. And can you tell me what I have some of the Japanese as well. Q. And can you tell me what I have some of the Japanese as well. Q. And can you tell me what I have some of the Japanese as well. Q. And can you tell me what
Q. First full paragraph. A. Third paragraph? Q. Yeah. A. "In this case the presence of"? A. "In this case the presence of a fuel tank skid plate or trailer hitch would likely have made no difference in the outcome." A. Yes, I read that. Q. That's your opinion. Correct? A. Yes. Q. What is that based on? A. Yes. Q. What is that based on? A. The severity of this accident and my knowledge that this vehicle has the same 301 results with or without a skid Q. Do you believe that a skid plate we're talking about? A. Sure. The General Motors Blazer the Mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Jec. Cherokee and Grand Cherokee, and I this some of the Japanese as well. Q. And can you tell me what the Mercedes G55 had as a protection for the tank? Q. And can you tell me what the tank? Q. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. If anything. MR. BRADLEY: I don't think he testified there was one. THE WITNESS: My memory is the the G55 had a polypropylene stone shield on the
Q. First full paragraph. A. Third paragraph? A. Third paragraph? Q. Yeah. A. "In this case the presence of"? A. "The presence of a fuel tank skid plate or trailer hitch would likely have made no difference in the outcome." A. Yes, I read that. Q. That's your opinion. Correct? A. Yes. Q. What is that based on? A. Yes. Q. What is that based on? A. The severity of this accident and my knowledge that this vehicle has the same 301 results with or without a skid Q. Do you believe that a skid plate we're talking about? A. Sure. The General Motors Blazer the Mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Jec. Cherokee and Grand Cherokee, and I this some of the Japanese as well. Q. And can you tell me what the Mercedes G55 had as a protection for the tank? Q. And can you tell me what the tank? Q. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. If anything. MR. BRADLEY: I don't think he testified there was one. THE WITNESS: My memory is the the G55 had a polypropylene stone shield on the
A. Third paragraph? Q. Yeah. A. "In this case the presence of"? A. "In this case the presence of"? Cherokee and Grand Cherokee, and I this some of the Japanese as well. Plate or trailer hitch would likely have made no difference in the outcome." A. Yes, I read that. Q. That's your opinion. Correct? A. Yes. Q. What is that based on? A. The severity of this accident and my knowledge that this vehicle has the same 301 results with or without a skid plate or trailer hitch. Q. Do you believe that a skid plate A. Sure. The General Motors Blazer the Mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Je the Mercedes G55, the Hummer, Je the Mercedes and Cherokee, and I this some of the Japanese as well. Q. And can you tell me what the Mercedes G55 had as a protection for the tank? Q. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. If anything. MR. BRADLEY: I don't think he testified there was one. THE WITNESS: My memory is the G55 had a polypropylene stone shield not a skid plate but a stone shield on the
4 the Mercedes Benz G55, the Hummer, Jens G. "In this case the presence of"? 5 A. "In this case the presence of"? 6 Q. "The presence of a fuel tank skid of the Japanese as well. 7 plate or trailer hitch would likely have made no difference in the outcome." 8 Mercedes G55 had as a protection for the Mercedes G55 had as a protection for the tank? 10 Q. That's your opinion. Correct? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. What is that based on? 13 A. The severity of this accident and 14 my knowledge that this vehicle has the 14 testified there was one. 15 same 301 results with or without a skid plate or trailer hitch. 16 Q. Do you believe that a skid plate on taskid plate but a stone shield on the
A. "In this case the presence of"? Q. "The presence of a fuel tank skid plate or trailer hitch would likely have made no difference in the outcome." A. Yes, I read that. Q. That's your opinion. Correct? A. Yes. Q. What is that based on? A. The severity of this accident and my knowledge that this vehicle has the same 301 results with or without a skid plate or trailer hitch. Q. Do you believe that a skid plate Cherokee and Grand Cherokee, and I this some of the Japanese as well. Q. And can you tell me what the Mercedes G55 had as a protection for the tank? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. If anything. MR. BRADLEY: I don't think he testified there was one. THE WITNESS: My memory is the G55 had a polypropylene stone shield not a skid plate but a stone shield on the
Q. "The presence of a fuel tank skid plate or trailer hitch would likely have made no difference in the outcome." A. Yes, I read that. Q. And can you tell me what the Mercedes G55 had as a protection for the tank? A. Yes, I read that. Q. That's your opinion. Correct? A. Yes. Q. What is that based on? A. The severity of this accident and my knowledge that this vehicle has the same 301 results with or without a skid plate or trailer hitch. Q. Do you believe that a skid plate Some of the Japanese as well. Q. And can you tell me what the Mercedes G55 had as a protection for the tank? Q. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. If anything. MR. BRADLEY: I don't think he testified there was one. THE WITNESS: My memory is the G55 had a polypropylene stone shield on the
plate or trailer hitch would likely have made no difference in the outcome." A. Yes, I read that. Q. That's your opinion. Correct? A. Yes. Q. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. What is that based on? A. The severity of this accident and my knowledge that this vehicle has the same 301 results with or without a skid plate or trailer hitch. Q. Do you believe that a skid plate 7 Q. And can you tell me what the Mercedes G55 had as a protection for the MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. If anything. MR. BRADLEY: I don't think he testified there was one. THE WITNESS: My memory is the the G55 had a polypropylene stone shield not a skid plate but a stone shield on the
made no difference in the outcome." A. Yes, I read that. Q. That's your opinion. Correct? A. Yes. Q. What is that based on? A. The severity of this accident and my knowledge that this vehicle has the same 301 results with or without a skid plate or trailer hitch. Q. Do you believe that a skid plate Mercedes G55 had as a protection for the tank? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. If anything. MR. BRADLEY: I don't think he testified there was one. THE WITNESS: My memory is the G55 had a polypropylene stone shield on the
9 A. Yes, I read that. 10 Q. That's your opinion. Correct? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. What is that based on? 13 A. The severity of this accident and 14 my knowledge that this vehicle has the 15 same 301 results with or without a skid 16 plate or trailer hitch. 17 Q. Do you believe that a skid plate 9 tank? 10 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. 12 Q. If anything. 13 MR. BRADLEY: I don't think he 14 testified there was one. 15 THE WITNESS: My memory is the G55 had a polypropylene stone shield on the
Q. That's your opinion. Correct? A. Yes. Q. What is that based on? A. The severity of this accident and my knowledge that this vehicle has the same 301 results with or without a skid plate or trailer hitch. Q. Do you believe that a skid plate MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. If anything. MR. BRADLEY: I don't think he testified there was one. THE WITNESS: My memory is the G55 had a polypropylene stone shield on the
A. Yes. Q. What is that based on? A. The severity of this accident and my knowledge that this vehicle has the same 301 results with or without a skid plate or trailer hitch. Q. Do you believe that a skid plate 11 objection. Q. If anything. MR. BRADLEY: I don't think he testified there was one. THE WITNESS: My memory is the the G55 had a polypropylene stone shield on the
Q. What is that based on? A. The severity of this accident and my knowledge that this vehicle has the same 301 results with or without a skid plate or trailer hitch. Q. If anything. MR. BRADLEY: I don't think he testified there was one. THE WITNESS: My memory is the G55 had a polypropylene stone shield on the not a skid plate but a stone shield on the
A. The severity of this accident and my knowledge that this vehicle has the same 301 results with or without a skid plate or trailer hitch. Q. Do you believe that a skid plate A. The severity of this accident and my knowledge that this vehicle has the testified there was one. THE WITNESS: My memory is the G55 had a polypropylene stone shield on the
my knowledge that this vehicle has the same 301 results with or without a skid plate or trailer hitch. Q. Do you believe that a skid plate testified there was one. 14 testified there was one. 15 THE WITNESS: My memory is the G55 had a polypropylene stone shield on the
same 301 results with or without a skid plate or trailer hitch. Q. Do you believe that a skid plate 17 THE WITNESS: My memory is the G55 had a polypropylene stone shield not a skid plate but a stone shield on the
plate or trailer hitch. Q. Do you believe that a skid plate 16 the G55 had a polypropylene stone shield not a skid plate but a stone shield on the
Q. Do you believe that a skid plate 17 not a skid plate but a stone shield on the
± 18 is a way to protect the tank? ± 18 bottom and then essentially the same box
19 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 19 structure that the Grand Cherokee has.
20 objection. 20 Q. And the GM Blazer, do you know
21 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry? 21 what protected, if anything, the tank in
Q. Do you believe that a skid plate 22 the GM Blazer?
is a way to protect the fuel tank? 23 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
24 A. Oh, I think it can offer a 24 objection.
25 measure of additional protection to a fuel 25 THE WITNESS: No, I did not stu

	Page 146		Page 148
	-	_	
1	the GM version or scheme for protection.	1	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
2	I only looked at the tank location.	2	objection.
3	Q. Would you agree with the	3	Q. Wouldn't you agree?
4	proposition that the tank can be anywhere	4	A. Yes, but the focus is not on the
5	as long as it's protected?	5	word protection, the focus is on the word
6	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	6	energy management. If you manage all the
7	objection.	7	energy you don't need any protection at
8	THE WITNESS: Well, I guess to	8	all. That's a good goal. But as a
9	some extent, yeah, that's true. You have	9	practical matter, it's hard to get to.
10	to design it for impact no matter where	10	Q. If you manage all the energy, you
11	you put it.	11	have protected the tank, wouldn't you
12	Q. So from a design point of view,	12	agree?
13	regardless of where you put it, the key to	13	A. Yes, I guess. It's a semantics
14	safety regarding fuel system integrity is	14	thing.
15	how you protect that tank. Correct?	15	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
16	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	16	objection.
17	objection.	17	Q. With respect to the Mercedes, is
18	THE WITNESS: That's one of the	18	the Mercedes G55 a light duty vehicle?
19	key components, yes. It's not just	19	MR. BRADLEY: If you know.
20	location. It's location, execution,	20	THE WITNESS: It's a sport
21	testing.	21	utility.
22	Q. Protection?	22	Q. Would you consider that light
23	A. Results.	23	duty, medium duty?
24	Q. But isn't the key the protection?	24	A. It doesn't have a duty cycle.
25	What did you do to design and protect the	25	Q. It doesn't? You don't have
	Page 147		Page 149
1	tank for safety vis-à-vis your passengers?	1	A. It's a sport utility.
2	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	2	Multipurpose vehicles do not have duty
3	objection. I think he already answered.	3	cycles.
4	THE WITNESS: It's really energy	4	Q. Are all sport utility vehicles
5	management. It's how you manage that	5	classified as either light, medium or
6	energy so that it's not directed directly	6	heavy duty?
7	at the tank and so that it is absorbed and	7	A. No.
8	used up I put protection maybe second	8	Q. They're classified all the same?
9	or third below energy management.	9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Well, when you're managing	10	Q. So what do you call them?
11	energy, in effect you're protecting the	11	A. The federal classification for
12	tank itself from rupture?	12	sport utility is an MPV.
13	A. It contributes to the protection	13	Q. Multipurpose vehicle?
14	of the tank. That's the ultimate goal, is	14	A. Yes.
15	to stop the tank from being damaged.	15	MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.
16	Q. Right. So it's protecting from	16	I don't see how this is relevant and
17		17	beyond his expert report.
18	damage?	18	THE WITNESS: Trucks and buses
	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	19	have duty cycles. Passenger cars and MPVs
19	objection.	20	do not. But I would characterize the
20	THE WITNESS: But the way to do	21	
21	that is through energy management.	21	Grand Cherokee and the G55 as a light duty
	A Harraran ran hara ta da it it'e a	1.7.	vehicle.
22	Q. However you have to do it, it's a	1	
22 23	design to protect the tank from rupturing	23	Q. Okay. With respect to page eight
22		1	

	Page 150		Page 152
1	Q. Before you get there, would you	1	Q. Never?
2	go back to page seven for a minute? I note	2	A. Yes.
3	that you say on page seven and I'm going	3	Q. Are you still saying that today?
4	to read, "A Mercedes Benz medium duty	4	A. Yes.
5	sport utility is produced with a rear of	5	Q. Have you looked at the A-10
6	rear axle fuel tank and has been available	6	documents which have been produced in this
7	all over the world since the seventies."	7	case for quite sometime now?
8	Wasn't that your use of the term	8	A. Yes.
9	medium duty with a sport utility?	9	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
10	A. Yeah. Mercedes has yet another	10	objection. I believe the judge already
11	sport utility.	11	ruled on the A-10.
12	Q. But I'm just trying to clarify	12	MS. DE FILIPPO: No, he hasn't.
13	because you said you don't classify sport	13	MR. BRADLEY: I believe he already
14	utility as medium or light. But your	14	has.
15	sentence says, "Mercedes Benz medium duty	15	MS. DE FILIPPO: You don't know
16	sport utility."	16	and you can object and we can move on.
17	Is that a mistake, a typo?	17	Q. I'd like to show you the
18	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	18	documents submitted by Chrysler, David
19	objection.	19	Dillon, to NHTSA which references the skid
20	THE WITNESS: No. There is yet	20	plate and I'm going to mark this document
21	another sport utility made by Mercedes	21	whatever the next number is.
22	Benz that is not the G55 but another one	22	MR. BRADLEY: I'm just going to
23	that also has rear of the rear axle fuel	23	have a continuing objection to this as
	tank and I believe it's not sold in the	24	beyond the scope of his expert report.
24	U.S.	25	MS. DE FILIPPO: It's right in his
25	U.S.		
		1	
	Page 151		Page 153
1	Q. What is that Mercedes, can you	1	report. I'm reading from his report.
1 2	_	1 2	report. I'm reading from his report. MR. BRADLEY: No, the document
	Q. What is that Mercedes, can you		report. I'm reading from his report. MR. BRADLEY: No, the document that you're marking.
2	Q. What is that Mercedes, can you tell me?	2	report. I'm reading from his report. MR. BRADLEY: No, the document that you're marking. MS. DE FILIPPO: That's not beyond
2 3	Q. What is that Mercedes, can you tell me? A. I don't remember. I have to look that up.	2	report. I'm reading from his report. MR. BRADLEY: No, the document that you're marking.
2 3 4	Q. What is that Mercedes, can you tell me?A. I don't remember. I have to look that up.Q. So you're saying it's a different	2 3 4	report. I'm reading from his report. MR. BRADLEY: No, the document that you're marking. MS. DE FILIPPO: That's not beyond
2 3 4 5	Q. What is that Mercedes, can you tell me? A. I don't remember. I have to look that up.	2 3 4 5	report. I'm reading from his report. MR. BRADLEY: No, the document that you're marking. MS. DE FILIPPO: That's not beyond the scope of his report. He makes
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. What is that Mercedes, can you tell me? A. I don't remember. I have to look that up. Q. So you're saying it's a different one than the G55? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6	report. I'm reading from his report. MR. BRADLEY: No, the document that you're marking. MS. DE FILIPPO: That's not beyond the scope of his report. He makes reference to it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. What is that Mercedes, can you tell me? A. I don't remember. I have to look that up. Q. So you're saying it's a different one than the G55? A. Yes. Q. Now, in your report you make 	2 3 4 5 6 7	report. I'm reading from his report. MR. BRADLEY: No, the document that you're marking. MS. DE FILIPPO: That's not beyond the scope of his report. He makes reference to it. MR. BRADLEY: I'm allowed to state
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. What is that Mercedes, can you tell me? A. I don't remember. I have to look that up. Q. So you're saying it's a different one than the G55? A. Yes. Q. Now, in your report you make reference to the skid plate, on page 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	report. I'm reading from his report. MR. BRADLEY: No, the document that you're marking. MS. DE FILIPPO: That's not beyond the scope of his report. He makes reference to it. MR. BRADLEY: I'm allowed to state my objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. What is that Mercedes, can you tell me? A. I don't remember. I have to look that up. Q. So you're saying it's a different one than the G55? A. Yes. Q. Now, in your report you make reference to the skid plate, on page eight, and you're indicating that the skid	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	report. I'm reading from his report. MR. BRADLEY: No, the document that you're marking. MS. DE FILIPPO: That's not beyond the scope of his report. He makes reference to it. MR. BRADLEY: I'm allowed to state my objection. MS. DE FILIPPO: But you can just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. What is that Mercedes, can you tell me? A. I don't remember. I have to look that up. Q. So you're saying it's a different one than the G55? A. Yes. Q. Now, in your report you make reference to the skid plate, on page eight, and you're indicating that the skid plate was never a safety device, in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	report. I'm reading from his report. MR. BRADLEY: No, the document that you're marking. MS. DE FILIPPO: That's not beyond the scope of his report. He makes reference to it. MR. BRADLEY: I'm allowed to state my objection. MS. DE FILIPPO: But you can just object and you can stop telling him what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. What is that Mercedes, can you tell me? A. I don't remember. I have to look that up. Q. So you're saying it's a different one than the G55? A. Yes. Q. Now, in your report you make reference to the skid plate, on page eight, and you're indicating that the skid plate was never a safety device, in the second paragraph. Correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	report. I'm reading from his report. MR. BRADLEY: No, the document that you're marking. MS. DE FILIPPO: That's not beyond the scope of his report. He makes reference to it. MR. BRADLEY: I'm allowed to state my objection. MS. DE FILIPPO: But you can just object and you can stop telling him what your objection is because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. What is that Mercedes, can you tell me? A. I don't remember. I have to look that up. Q. So you're saying it's a different one than the G55? A. Yes. Q. Now, in your report you make reference to the skid plate, on page eight, and you're indicating that the skid plate was never a safety device, in the second paragraph. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	report. I'm reading from his report. MR. BRADLEY: No, the document that you're marking. MS. DE FILIPPO: That's not beyond the scope of his report. He makes reference to it. MR. BRADLEY: I'm allowed to state my objection. MS. DE FILIPPO: But you can just object and you can stop telling him what your objection is because MR. BRADLEY: I'm allowed to put
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. What is that Mercedes, can you tell me? A. I don't remember. I have to look that up. Q. So you're saying it's a different one than the G55? A. Yes. Q. Now, in your report you make reference to the skid plate, on page eight, and you're indicating that the skid plate was never a safety device, in the second paragraph. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	report. I'm reading from his report. MR. BRADLEY: No, the document that you're marking. MS. DE FILIPPO: That's not beyond the scope of his report. He makes reference to it. MR. BRADLEY: I'm allowed to state my objection. MS. DE FILIPPO: But you can just object and you can stop telling him what your objection is because MR. BRADLEY: I'm allowed to put my objection on the record, which I just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. What is that Mercedes, can you tell me? A. I don't remember. I have to look that up. Q. So you're saying it's a different one than the G55? A. Yes. Q. Now, in your report you make reference to the skid plate, on page eight, and you're indicating that the skid plate was never a safety device, in the second paragraph. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Are you on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	report. I'm reading from his report. MR. BRADLEY: No, the document that you're marking. MS. DE FILIPPO: That's not beyond the scope of his report. He makes reference to it. MR. BRADLEY: I'm allowed to state my objection. MS. DE FILIPPO: But you can just object and you can stop telling him what your objection is because MR. BRADLEY: I'm allowed to put my objection on the record, which I just did.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. What is that Mercedes, can you tell me? A. I don't remember. I have to look that up. Q. So you're saying it's a different one than the G55? A. Yes. Q. Now, in your report you make reference to the skid plate, on page eight, and you're indicating that the skid plate was never a safety device, in the second paragraph. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Are you on the first paragraph?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	report. I'm reading from his report. MR. BRADLEY: No, the document that you're marking. MS. DE FILIPPO: That's not beyond the scope of his report. He makes reference to it. MR. BRADLEY: I'm allowed to state my objection. MS. DE FILIPPO: But you can just object and you can stop telling him what your objection is because MR. BRADLEY: I'm allowed to put my objection on the record, which I just did. MS. DE FILIPPO: No. You're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. What is that Mercedes, can you tell me? A. I don't remember. I have to look that up. Q. So you're saying it's a different one than the G55? A. Yes. Q. Now, in your report you make reference to the skid plate, on page eight, and you're indicating that the skid plate was never a safety device, in the second paragraph. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Are you on the first paragraph? Q. The first three paragraphs. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	report. I'm reading from his report. MR. BRADLEY: No, the document that you're marking. MS. DE FILIPPO: That's not beyond the scope of his report. He makes reference to it. MR. BRADLEY: I'm allowed to state my objection. MS. DE FILIPPO: But you can just object and you can stop telling him what your objection is because MR. BRADLEY: I'm allowed to put my objection on the record, which I just did. MS. DE FILIPPO: No. You're allowed to say I object. You're objecting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. What is that Mercedes, can you tell me? A. I don't remember. I have to look that up. Q. So you're saying it's a different one than the G55? A. Yes. Q. Now, in your report you make reference to the skid plate, on page eight, and you're indicating that the skid plate was never a safety device, in the second paragraph. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Are you on the first paragraph? Q. The first three paragraphs. The first three paragraphs.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	report. I'm reading from his report. MR. BRADLEY: No, the document that you're marking. MS. DE FILIPPO: That's not beyond the scope of his report. He makes reference to it. MR. BRADLEY: I'm allowed to state my objection. MS. DE FILIPPO: But you can just object and you can stop telling him what your objection is because MR. BRADLEY: I'm allowed to put my objection on the record, which I just did. MS. DE FILIPPO: No. You're allowed to say I object. You're objecting to form only. You're not objecting to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. What is that Mercedes, can you tell me? A. I don't remember. I have to look that up. Q. So you're saying it's a different one than the G55? A. Yes. Q. Now, in your report you make reference to the skid plate, on page eight, and you're indicating that the skid plate was never a safety device, in the second paragraph. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Are you on the first paragraph? Q. The first three paragraphs. The first three paragraphs. A. Mopar and Chrysler never	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	report. I'm reading from his report. MR. BRADLEY: No, the document that you're marking. MS. DE FILIPPO: That's not beyond the scope of his report. He makes reference to it. MR. BRADLEY: I'm allowed to state my objection. MS. DE FILIPPO: But you can just object and you can stop telling him what your objection is because MR. BRADLEY: I'm allowed to put my objection on the record, which I just did. MS. DE FILIPPO: No. You're allowed to say I object. You're objecting to form only. You're not objecting to anything but form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. What is that Mercedes, can you tell me? A. I don't remember. I have to look that up. Q. So you're saying it's a different one than the G55? A. Yes. Q. Now, in your report you make reference to the skid plate, on page eight, and you're indicating that the skid plate was never a safety device, in the second paragraph. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Are you on the first paragraph? Q. The first three paragraphs. The first three paragraphs. A. Mopar and Chrysler never characterized the optional skid plate as a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	report. I'm reading from his report. MR. BRADLEY: No, the document that you're marking. MS. DE FILIPPO: That's not beyond the scope of his report. He makes reference to it. MR. BRADLEY: I'm allowed to state my objection. MS. DE FILIPPO: But you can just object and you can stop telling him what your objection is because MR. BRADLEY: I'm allowed to put my objection on the record, which I just did. MS. DE FILIPPO: No. You're allowed to say I object. You're objecting to form only. You're not objecting to anything but form. MR. BRADLEY: Okay, let's just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. What is that Mercedes, can you tell me? A. I don't remember. I have to look that up. Q. So you're saying it's a different one than the G55? A. Yes. Q. Now, in your report you make reference to the skid plate, on page eight, and you're indicating that the skid plate was never a safety device, in the second paragraph. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Are you on the first paragraph? Q. The first three paragraphs. The first three paragraphs. A. Mopar and Chrysler never characterized the optional skid plate as a safety device or safety retrofit and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	report. I'm reading from his report. MR. BRADLEY: No, the document that you're marking. MS. DE FILIPPO: That's not beyond the scope of his report. He makes reference to it. MR. BRADLEY: I'm allowed to state my objection. MS. DE FILIPPO: But you can just object and you can stop telling him what your objection is because MR. BRADLEY: I'm allowed to put my objection on the record, which I just did. MS. DE FILIPPO: No. You're allowed to say I object. You're objecting to form only. You're not objecting to anything but form. MR. BRADLEY: Okay, let's just continue.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. What is that Mercedes, can you tell me? A. I don't remember. I have to look that up. Q. So you're saying it's a different one than the G55? A. Yes. Q. Now, in your report you make reference to the skid plate, on page eight, and you're indicating that the skid plate was never a safety device, in the second paragraph. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Are you on the first paragraph? Q. The first three paragraphs. The first three paragraphs. A. Mopar and Chrysler never characterized the optional skid plate as a safety device or safety retrofit and the skid plate does not function in that role.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	report. I'm reading from his report. MR. BRADLEY: No, the document that you're marking. MS. DE FILIPPO: That's not beyond the scope of his report. He makes reference to it. MR. BRADLEY: I'm allowed to state my objection. MS. DE FILIPPO: But you can just object and you can stop telling him what your objection is because MR. BRADLEY: I'm allowed to put my objection on the record, which I just did. MS. DE FILIPPO: No. You're allowed to say I object. You're objecting to form only. You're not objecting to anything but form. MR. BRADLEY: Okay, let's just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. What is that Mercedes, can you tell me? A. I don't remember. I have to look that up. Q. So you're saying it's a different one than the G55? A. Yes. Q. Now, in your report you make reference to the skid plate, on page eight, and you're indicating that the skid plate was never a safety device, in the second paragraph. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Are you on the first paragraph? Q. The first three paragraphs. The first three paragraphs. A. Mopar and Chrysler never characterized the optional skid plate as a safety device or safety retrofit and the skid plate does not function in that role. Yeah, that's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	report. I'm reading from his report. MR. BRADLEY: No, the document that you're marking. MS. DE FILIPPO: That's not beyond the scope of his report. He makes reference to it. MR. BRADLEY: I'm allowed to state my objection. MS. DE FILIPPO: But you can just object and you can stop telling him what your objection is because MR. BRADLEY: I'm allowed to put my objection on the record, which I just did. MS. DE FILIPPO: No. You're allowed to say I object. You're objecting to form only. You're not objecting to anything but form. MR. BRADLEY: Okay, let's just continue. (Letter dated October 15, 2010 is received and marked Banta-34 for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. What is that Mercedes, can you tell me? A. I don't remember. I have to look that up. Q. So you're saying it's a different one than the G55? A. Yes. Q. Now, in your report you make reference to the skid plate, on page eight, and you're indicating that the skid plate was never a safety device, in the second paragraph. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Are you on the first paragraph? Q. The first three paragraphs. The first three paragraphs. A. Mopar and Chrysler never characterized the optional skid plate as a safety device or safety retrofit and the skid plate does not function in that role.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	report. I'm reading from his report. MR. BRADLEY: No, the document that you're marking. MS. DE FILIPPO: That's not beyond the scope of his report. He makes reference to it. MR. BRADLEY: I'm allowed to state my objection. MS. DE FILIPPO: But you can just object and you can stop telling him what your objection is because MR. BRADLEY: I'm allowed to put my objection on the record, which I just did. MS. DE FILIPPO: No. You're allowed to say I object. You're objecting to form only. You're not objecting to anything but form. MR. BRADLEY: Okay, let's just continue. (Letter dated October 15, 2010 is

	Page 154		Page 156
1	Q. Mr. Banta, you remember earlier	1	onboard refueling vapor recovery control
2	we talked about when there's a preliminary	2	valve. During investigation of the
3	evaluation and it goes from the defect	3	control valve leak, it was determined that
4	petition and then the government decides	4	2002 Jeep Grand Cherokee vehicles with a
5	they're going to make it a preliminary	5	skid plate did not experience the leak."
6	evaluation and they send out questions and	6	This sentence I'm quoting. I
7	the manufacturers answer questions.	7	quoted the whole thing. The next sentence
8	Correct?	8	is, "Although the primary purpose of a
9	A. Yes.	9	skid plate is not to protect the fuel tank
10	Q. And that happened in this case	10	in rear end collisions, as an interim
11	involving the Grand Cherokee. It's	11	measure, the skid plate was made standard
12	ongoing. Correct?	12	for production vehicles during the time
13	A. Yes.	13	period December 14th, 2001 to September 4,
14	MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.	14	2002 when a reinforced ORVR control valve
15	Q. I'm going to read the question	15	was being developed.
16	which the government indicated that they	16	Once the reinforced ORVR control
17	would like Chrysler to answer.	17	valve and reinforced brush guard began to
18	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	18	be used on production of Jeep Grand
19	objection. Can you also show it to him?	19	Cherokees after September 4, 2002, the
20	Q. Number nine. "Provide	20	skid plate returned to optional."
21	information on each unique version of skid	21	So now your statement is that it
22	guard, brush guard or other protective	22	was never used as, and never characterized
23	guard manufactured, marketed or sold by	23	as a safety device or safety retrofit.
24	Chrysler intended for use with the subject	24	But isn't it true that in fact it was used
25	vehicle fuel tank and installed either as	25	and characterized when there was a problem
	Page 155		Page 157
1	Page 155	1	Page 157
1 2	original equipment or available as	1	as a safety device?
2	original equipment or available as optional equipment. For each unique	2	as a safety device? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
2 3	original equipment or available as optional equipment. For each unique version of the guard, provide the	2 3	as a safety device? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection.
2 3 4	original equipment or available as optional equipment. For each unique version of the guard, provide the following information: G, whether the	2 3 4	as a safety device? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Pursuant to this TSB A-10?
2 3 4 5	original equipment or available as optional equipment. For each unique version of the guard, provide the following information: G, whether the guard was withdrawn from production and/or	2 3 4 5	as a safety device? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Pursuant to this TSB A-10? MR. BRADLEY: He's not looking at
2 3 4 5 6	original equipment or available as optional equipment. For each unique version of the guard, provide the following information: G, whether the guard was withdrawn from production and/or sale and if so, when. Also provide the	2 3 4 5 6	as a safety device? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Pursuant to this TSB A-10? MR. BRADLEY: He's not looking at a doucment you just read.
2 3 4 5 6 7	original equipment or available as optional equipment. For each unique version of the guard, provide the following information: G, whether the guard was withdrawn from production and/or sale and if so, when. Also provide the above information for any new or modified	2 3 4 5 6 7	as a safety device? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Pursuant to this TSB A-10? MR. BRADLEY: He's not looking at a doucment you just read. MS. DE FILIPPO: No. I'm asking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	original equipment or available as optional equipment. For each unique version of the guard, provide the following information: G, whether the guard was withdrawn from production and/or sale and if so, when. Also provide the above information for any new or modified version of the guard that Chrysler is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	as a safety device? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Pursuant to this TSB A-10? MR. BRADLEY: He's not looking at a doucment you just read. MS. DE FILIPPO: No. I'm asking him a question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	original equipment or available as optional equipment. For each unique version of the guard, provide the following information: G, whether the guard was withdrawn from production and/or sale and if so, when. Also provide the above information for any new or modified version of the guard that Chrysler is aware of which may be offered for sale	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	as a safety device? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Pursuant to this TSB A-10? MR. BRADLEY: He's not looking at a doucment you just read. MS. DE FILIPPO: No. I'm asking him a question. MR. BRADLEY: Yeah, but you just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	original equipment or available as optional equipment. For each unique version of the guard, provide the following information: G, whether the guard was withdrawn from production and/or sale and if so, when. Also provide the above information for any new or modified version of the guard that Chrysler is aware of which may be offered for sale within the next 120 days."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	as a safety device? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Pursuant to this TSB A-10? MR. BRADLEY: He's not looking at a doucment you just read. MS. DE FILIPPO: No. I'm asking him a question. MR. BRADLEY: Yeah, but you just read it from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	original equipment or available as optional equipment. For each unique version of the guard, provide the following information: G, whether the guard was withdrawn from production and/or sale and if so, when. Also provide the above information for any new or modified version of the guard that Chrysler is aware of which may be offered for sale within the next 120 days." And the answer was, "G, The 1999	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	as a safety device? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Pursuant to this TSB A-10? MR. BRADLEY: He's not looking at a doucment you just read. MS. DE FILIPPO: No. I'm asking him a question. MR. BRADLEY: Yeah, but you just read it from MS. DE FILIPPO: If you're going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	original equipment or available as optional equipment. For each unique version of the guard, provide the following information: G, whether the guard was withdrawn from production and/or sale and if so, when. Also provide the above information for any new or modified version of the guard that Chrysler is aware of which may be offered for sale within the next 120 days." And the answer was, "G, The 1999 to 2004 Jeep Grand Cherokee WJ standard	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	as a safety device? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Pursuant to this TSB A-10? MR. BRADLEY: He's not looking at a doucment you just read. MS. DE FILIPPO: No. I'm asking him a question. MR. BRADLEY: Yeah, but you just read it from MS. DE FILIPPO: If you're going to say I didn't read it right, we'll argue
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	original equipment or available as optional equipment. For each unique version of the guard, provide the following information: G, whether the guard was withdrawn from production and/or sale and if so, when. Also provide the above information for any new or modified version of the guard that Chrysler is aware of which may be offered for sale within the next 120 days." And the answer was, "G, The 1999 to 2004 Jeep Grand Cherokee WJ standard brush guard was withdrawn from production	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	as a safety device? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Pursuant to this TSB A-10? MR. BRADLEY: He's not looking at a doucment you just read. MS. DE FILIPPO: No. I'm asking him a question. MR. BRADLEY: Yeah, but you just read it from MS. DE FILIPPO: If you're going to say I didn't read it right, we'll argue about that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	original equipment or available as optional equipment. For each unique version of the guard, provide the following information: G, whether the guard was withdrawn from production and/or sale and if so, when. Also provide the above information for any new or modified version of the guard that Chrysler is aware of which may be offered for sale within the next 120 days." And the answer was, "G, The 1999 to 2004 Jeep Grand Cherokee WJ standard brush guard was withdrawn from production between December 14th and September 4th,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	as a safety device? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Pursuant to this TSB A-10? MR. BRADLEY: He's not looking at a doucment you just read. MS. DE FILIPPO: No. I'm asking him a question. MR. BRADLEY: Yeah, but you just read it from MS. DE FILIPPO: If you're going to say I didn't read it right, we'll argue about that. MR. BRADLEY: Well, I don't know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	original equipment or available as optional equipment. For each unique version of the guard, provide the following information: G, whether the guard was withdrawn from production and/or sale and if so, when. Also provide the above information for any new or modified version of the guard that Chrysler is aware of which may be offered for sale within the next 120 days." And the answer was, "G, The 1999 to 2004 Jeep Grand Cherokee WJ standard brush guard was withdrawn from production between December 14th and September 4th, 2002. During this time period a skid	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	as a safety device? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Pursuant to this TSB A-10? MR. BRADLEY: He's not looking at a doucment you just read. MS. DE FILIPPO: No. I'm asking him a question. MR. BRADLEY: Yeah, but you just read it from MS. DE FILIPPO: If you're going to say I didn't read it right, we'll argue about that. MR. BRADLEY: Well, I don't know. MS. DE FILIPPO: Well, I'm telling
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	original equipment or available as optional equipment. For each unique version of the guard, provide the following information: G, whether the guard was withdrawn from production and/or sale and if so, when. Also provide the above information for any new or modified version of the guard that Chrysler is aware of which may be offered for sale within the next 120 days." And the answer was, "G, The 1999 to 2004 Jeep Grand Cherokee WJ standard brush guard was withdrawn from production between December 14th and September 4th, 2002. During this time period a skid plate was standard equipment. During Jeep	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	as a safety device? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Pursuant to this TSB A-10? MR. BRADLEY: He's not looking at a doucment you just read. MS. DE FILIPPO: No. I'm asking him a question. MR. BRADLEY: Yeah, but you just read it from MS. DE FILIPPO: If you're going to say I didn't read it right, we'll argue about that. MR. BRADLEY: Well, I don't know. MS. DE FILIPPO: Well, I'm telling you I did.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	original equipment or available as optional equipment. For each unique version of the guard, provide the following information: G, whether the guard was withdrawn from production and/or sale and if so, when. Also provide the above information for any new or modified version of the guard that Chrysler is aware of which may be offered for sale within the next 120 days." And the answer was, "G, The 1999 to 2004 Jeep Grand Cherokee WJ standard brush guard was withdrawn from production between December 14th and September 4th, 2002. During this time period a skid plate was standard equipment. During Jeep Grand Cherokee WJ 2003 model year	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	as a safety device? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Pursuant to this TSB A-10? MR. BRADLEY: He's not looking at a doucment you just read. MS. DE FILIPPO: No. I'm asking him a question. MR. BRADLEY: Yeah, but you just read it from MS. DE FILIPPO: If you're going to say I didn't read it right, we'll argue about that. MR. BRADLEY: Well, I don't know. MS. DE FILIPPO: Well, I'm telling you I did. MR. BRADLEY: You're reading from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	original equipment or available as optional equipment. For each unique version of the guard, provide the following information: G, whether the guard was withdrawn from production and/or sale and if so, when. Also provide the above information for any new or modified version of the guard that Chrysler is aware of which may be offered for sale within the next 120 days." And the answer was, "G, The 1999 to 2004 Jeep Grand Cherokee WJ standard brush guard was withdrawn from production between December 14th and September 4th, 2002. During this time period a skid plate was standard equipment. During Jeep Grand Cherokee WJ 2003 model year developmental rear crash tests conducted	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	as a safety device? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Pursuant to this TSB A-10? MR. BRADLEY: He's not looking at a doucment you just read. MS. DE FILIPPO: No. I'm asking him a question. MR. BRADLEY: Yeah, but you just read it from MS. DE FILIPPO: If you're going to say I didn't read it right, we'll argue about that. MR. BRADLEY: Well, I don't know. MS. DE FILIPPO: Well, I'm telling you I did. MR. BRADLEY: You're reading from a document that you're not giving the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	original equipment or available as optional equipment. For each unique version of the guard, provide the following information: G, whether the guard was withdrawn from production and/or sale and if so, when. Also provide the above information for any new or modified version of the guard that Chrysler is aware of which may be offered for sale within the next 120 days." And the answer was, "G, The 1999 to 2004 Jeep Grand Cherokee WJ standard brush guard was withdrawn from production between December 14th and September 4th, 2002. During this time period a skid plate was standard equipment. During Jeep Grand Cherokee WJ 2003 model year developmental rear crash tests conducted in the 2001 calendar year a fuel tank leak	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	as a safety device? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Pursuant to this TSB A-10? MR. BRADLEY: He's not looking at a doucment you just read. MS. DE FILIPPO: No. I'm asking him a question. MR. BRADLEY: Yeah, but you just read it from MS. DE FILIPPO: If you're going to say I didn't read it right, we'll argue about that. MR. BRADLEY: Well, I don't know. MS. DE FILIPPO: Well, I'm telling you I did. MR. BRADLEY: You're reading from a document that you're not giving the witness the opportunity to look at.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	original equipment or available as optional equipment. For each unique version of the guard, provide the following information: G, whether the guard was withdrawn from production and/or sale and if so, when. Also provide the above information for any new or modified version of the guard that Chrysler is aware of which may be offered for sale within the next 120 days." And the answer was, "G, The 1999 to 2004 Jeep Grand Cherokee WJ standard brush guard was withdrawn from production between December 14th and September 4th, 2002. During this time period a skid plate was standard equipment. During Jeep Grand Cherokee WJ 2003 model year developmental rear crash tests conducted in the 2001 calendar year a fuel tank leak was discovered from the onboard refueling	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	as a safety device? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Pursuant to this TSB A-10? MR. BRADLEY: He's not looking at a doucment you just read. MS. DE FILIPPO: No. I'm asking him a question. MR. BRADLEY: Yeah, but you just read it from MS. DE FILIPPO: If you're going to say I didn't read it right, we'll argue about that. MR. BRADLEY: Well, I don't know. MS. DE FILIPPO: Well, I'm telling you I did. MR. BRADLEY: You're reading from a document that you're not giving the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	original equipment or available as optional equipment. For each unique version of the guard, provide the following information: G, whether the guard was withdrawn from production and/or sale and if so, when. Also provide the above information for any new or modified version of the guard that Chrysler is aware of which may be offered for sale within the next 120 days." And the answer was, "G, The 1999 to 2004 Jeep Grand Cherokee WJ standard brush guard was withdrawn from production between December 14th and September 4th, 2002. During this time period a skid plate was standard equipment. During Jeep Grand Cherokee WJ 2003 model year developmental rear crash tests conducted in the 2001 calendar year a fuel tank leak was discovered from the onboard refueling vapor recovery system control valve in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	as a safety device? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Pursuant to this TSB A-10? MR. BRADLEY: He's not looking at a doucment you just read. MS. DE FILIPPO: No. I'm asking him a question. MR. BRADLEY: Yeah, but you just read it from MS. DE FILIPPO: If you're going to say I didn't read it right, we'll argue about that. MR. BRADLEY: Well, I don't know. MS. DE FILIPPO: Well, I'm telling you I did. MR. BRADLEY: You're reading from a document that you're not giving the witness the opportunity to look at. MS. DE FILIPPO: Counsel, he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	original equipment or available as optional equipment. For each unique version of the guard, provide the following information: G, whether the guard was withdrawn from production and/or sale and if so, when. Also provide the above information for any new or modified version of the guard that Chrysler is aware of which may be offered for sale within the next 120 days." And the answer was, "G, The 1999 to 2004 Jeep Grand Cherokee WJ standard brush guard was withdrawn from production between December 14th and September 4th, 2002. During this time period a skid plate was standard equipment. During Jeep Grand Cherokee WJ 2003 model year developmental rear crash tests conducted in the 2001 calendar year a fuel tank leak was discovered from the onboard refueling vapor recovery system control valve in excess of FMVSS 301 requirements. Recall	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	as a safety device? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Pursuant to this TSB A-10? MR. BRADLEY: He's not looking at a doucment you just read. MS. DE FILIPPO: No. I'm asking him a question. MR. BRADLEY: Yeah, but you just read it from MS. DE FILIPPO: If you're going to say I didn't read it right, we'll argue about that. MR. BRADLEY: Well, I don't know. MS. DE FILIPPO: Well, I'm telling you I did. MR. BRADLEY: You're reading from a document that you're not giving the witness the opportunity to look at. MS. DE FILIPPO: Counsel, he doesn't have to look at it if I read it verbatim.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	original equipment or available as optional equipment. For each unique version of the guard, provide the following information: G, whether the guard was withdrawn from production and/or sale and if so, when. Also provide the above information for any new or modified version of the guard that Chrysler is aware of which may be offered for sale within the next 120 days." And the answer was, "G, The 1999 to 2004 Jeep Grand Cherokee WJ standard brush guard was withdrawn from production between December 14th and September 4th, 2002. During this time period a skid plate was standard equipment. During Jeep Grand Cherokee WJ 2003 model year developmental rear crash tests conducted in the 2001 calendar year a fuel tank leak was discovered from the onboard refueling vapor recovery system control valve in excess of FMVSS 301 requirements. Recall A-10 was conducted to repair all affected	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	as a safety device? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Pursuant to this TSB A-10? MR. BRADLEY: He's not looking at a doucment you just read. MS. DE FILIPPO: No. I'm asking him a question. MR. BRADLEY: Yeah, but you just read it from MS. DE FILIPPO: If you're going to say I didn't read it right, we'll argue about that. MR. BRADLEY: Well, I don't know. MS. DE FILIPPO: Well, I'm telling you I did. MR. BRADLEY: You're reading from a document that you're not giving the witness the opportunity to look at. MS. DE FILIPPO: Counsel, he doesn't have to look at it if I read it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	original equipment or available as optional equipment. For each unique version of the guard, provide the following information: G, whether the guard was withdrawn from production and/or sale and if so, when. Also provide the above information for any new or modified version of the guard that Chrysler is aware of which may be offered for sale within the next 120 days." And the answer was, "G, The 1999 to 2004 Jeep Grand Cherokee WJ standard brush guard was withdrawn from production between December 14th and September 4th, 2002. During this time period a skid plate was standard equipment. During Jeep Grand Cherokee WJ 2003 model year developmental rear crash tests conducted in the 2001 calendar year a fuel tank leak was discovered from the onboard refueling vapor recovery system control valve in excess of FMVSS 301 requirements. Recall	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	as a safety device? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Pursuant to this TSB A-10? MR. BRADLEY: He's not looking at a doucment you just read. MS. DE FILIPPO: No. I'm asking him a question. MR. BRADLEY: Yeah, but you just read it from MS. DE FILIPPO: If you're going to say I didn't read it right, we'll argue about that. MR. BRADLEY: Well, I don't know. MS. DE FILIPPO: Well, I'm telling you I did. MR. BRADLEY: You're reading from a document that you're not giving the witness the opportunity to look at. MS. DE FILIPPO: Counsel, he doesn't have to look at it if I read it verbatim. MR. BRADLEY: If you're not going

			11 (10.500
	Page 158		Page 160
1	question.	1	MR. BRADLEY: You haven't given
2	MS. DE FILIPPO: If you direct him	2	him the opportunity.
3	not to answer the question, he's going to	3	MS. DE FILIPPO: Counsel, fine,
4	be back here on your dime because I just	4	direct him not to answer.
5	read it. He didn't have a problem with	5	MR. BRADLEY: I direct you not to
6	it. He didn't say, let me see it, you're	6	answer.
7	saying it. He didn't have the problem.	7	MS. DE FILIPPO: Fine. It's on
8	You can't interrupt like that. He's a big	8	the record.
9	boy. He's got brains, he knows what he's	9	Q. Mr. Banta, do you need to look at
10	doing. He has done 50 I want to say he	10	the document to review what I just read to
11	has done hundreds of depositions, hundreds	11	you?
12	on this issue that we're here about.	12	MR. BRADLEY: Don't answer.
13	MR. BRADLEY: And I'm sure	13	MS. DE FILIPPO: He can answer
14	MS. DE FILIPPO: So don't even	14	that. Have you lost your mind? Get the
15	think you need to interrupt for this man.	15	Judge on the phone. If I need to ask him
16	MR. BRADLEY: I didn't interrupt	16	that question, he can certainly ask you
17	him.	17	tell me what grounds he can't answer a
18	MS. DE FILIPPO: Yes, you did.	18	plain straight out question.
1	You didn't let him answer. You said he	19	MR. BRADLEY: You're not giving
19	can't answer and he didn't say he can't	20	him the document.
20		21	MS. DE FILIPPO: I didn't ask him
21	answer. MR. BRADLEY: Angel, I'm saying he	22	about the document. I asked him if he
22	can't answer if he can't look at the	23	needs it.
23	document that you're referencing.	24	MR. BRADLEY: Angel, prior to your
24	MS. DE FILIPPO: But he didn't say	25	questioning you had read extensively from
25	MS. DE FILIFFO. But he didn't say	20	
	Page 159		Page 161
1	that.	1	the document.
2	MR. BRADLEY: I'm saying that.	2	MS. DE FILIPPO: That's why I read
3	MS. DE FILIPPO: But he didn't.	3	it extensively, so that we could move on.
4	MR. BRADLEY: I'm directing him	4	MR. BRADLEY: But you're not
5	not to answer a question about a	5	allowing him to review the document.
6	document	6	MS. DE FILIPPO: If he needs to,
7	MS. DE FILIPPO: You cannot direct	7	he'll tell me don't you think?
8	him not to answer when I read it in its	8	MR. BRADLEY: I'm here as one of
9	entirety and he did not say he had a	9	the attorneys representing
10	problem.	10	MS. DE FILIPPO: And what is your
11	MR. BRADLEY: I'm directing him	11	and you're not
12	not to answer	12	MR. BRADLEY: Loman Auto Group.
13	MS. DE FILIPPO: You cannot do	13	MS. DE FILIPPO: And you think
14	that.	14	that your role is to tell him not to
15	MR. BRADLEY: I have not	15	answer when he can?
1 - 0	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	16	MR. BRADLEY: No.
116	interninted voll when vollve spokell. Illi	1.0	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
16	interrupted you when you've spoken. I'm directing him not to answer a question	17	MS. DE FILIPPO: If he says he
17	directing him not to answer a question	1	
17 18	directing him not to answer a question about a document that although you marked	17	MS. DE FILIPPO: If he says he
17 18 19	directing him not to answer a question about a document that although you marked as an exhibit you will not let him look at	17 18	MS. DE FILIPPO: If he says he can, you can't tell him not to. So I can
17 18 19 20	directing him not to answer a question about a document that although you marked as an exhibit you will not let him look at when you just read extensively from it.	17 18 19	MS. DE FILIPPO: If he says he can, you can't tell him not to. So I can ask him if he can. MR. BRADLEY: I am telling him not
17 18 19 20 21	directing him not to answer a question about a document that although you marked as an exhibit you will not let him look at when you just read extensively from it. MS. DE FILIPPO: Because?	17 18 19 20	MS. DE FILIPPO: If he says he can, you can't tell him not to. So I can ask him if he can.
17 18 19 20 21 22	directing him not to answer a question about a document that although you marked as an exhibit you will not let him look at when you just read extensively from it. MS. DE FILIPPO: Because? MR. BRADLEY: Because he hasn't	17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. DE FILIPPO: If he says he can, you can't tell him not to. So I can ask him if he can. MR. BRADLEY: I am telling him not to answer any I am instructing him not to answer any question about the document
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	directing him not to answer a question about a document that although you marked as an exhibit you will not let him look at when you just read extensively from it. MS. DE FILIPPO: Because? MR. BRADLEY: Because he hasn't looked at it.	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. DE FILIPPO: If he says he can, you can't tell him not to. So I can ask him if he can. MR. BRADLEY: I am telling him not to answer any I am instructing him not to answer any question about the document when you wouldn't let him look at it.
17 18 19 20 21 22	directing him not to answer a question about a document that although you marked as an exhibit you will not let him look at when you just read extensively from it. MS. DE FILIPPO: Because? MR. BRADLEY: Because he hasn't	17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. DE FILIPPO: If he says he can, you can't tell him not to. So I can ask him if he can. MR. BRADLEY: I am telling him not to answer any I am instructing him not to answer any question about the document

	Page 162		Page 164
1	say he wanted it.	1	reason?
2	THE WITNESS: Angel, offer me the	2	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
3	document.	3	objection.
4	Q. Do you need the document?	4	Q. Pursuant to A-10.
5	A. No.	5	A. Well, you read the answer.
6	Q. Thank you.	6	Q. Yes.
7	A. Thank you.	7	A. Although the primary purpose of
8	Q. Now can you answer the question?	8	the skid plate is not to protect the fuel
9	A. Sure.	9	tank in rear end collision, as an interim
10	MR. BRADLEY: No. Don't answer	10	measure the skid plate was made standard
11	any questions about the document that she	11	during this short production period when a
12	won't let you look at.	12	reinforced ORVR valve was being developed.
13	Q. Have you ever seen this document	13	So the skid plate did serve a role
14	before?	14	correcting the forces that would go into
15	MR. BRADLEY: How does he know?	15	the ORVR valve.
16	You won't even give it to him.	16	Q. And as an interim measure, and I
17	MS. DE FILIPPO: Because I read it	1.7	understand we're talking about interim
18	to him, so he knows.	18	measure, it was used in order to keep the
19	MR. BRADLEY: How can you say he's	19	tank safe until the problem could be
20	seen the document when you just read it to	20	resolved?
21	him?	21	A. It was an unintended result of
22	Q. You know what, Mr. Banta, you	22	the skid plate being added.
23	know that there were questions and	23	MR. BRADLEY: I'd like to note my
24	answers. We talked about that. Correct?	24	continuing objection as to the A-10
25	A. Yes.	25	recall.
	Page 163		Page 165
1	Q. And you know that this is an	1	0 77 1 1 1 0
1		į 1	Q. Was it a Mopar skid plate?
2	ongoing now it's an engineering	2	Q. Was it a Mopar skid plate?A. No.
2 3	ongoing now it's an engineering		
		2	A. No.
3	ongoing now it's an engineering analysis. You've been keeping up with	2	A. No.Q. What kind of skid plate?
3 4	ongoing now it's an engineering analysis. You've been keeping up with this. This is exactly what you do, fires.	2 3 4	A. No.Q. What kind of skid plate?A. It was a production skid plate.
3 4 5	ongoing now it's an engineering analysis. You've been keeping up with this. This is exactly what you do, fires. This is about fires. Correct?	2 3 4 5	A. No.Q. What kind of skid plate?A. It was a production skid plate.They're the same plate.
3 4 5 6	ongoing now it's an engineering analysis. You've been keeping up with this. This is exactly what you do, fires. This is about fires. Correct? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6	A. No.Q. What kind of skid plate?A. It was a production skid plate.They're the same plate.Q. I'm going to show you what's been
3 4 5 6 7	ongoing now it's an engineering analysis. You've been keeping up with this. This is exactly what you do, fires. This is about fires. Correct? A. Yes. Q. You've seen these questions and	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. No. Q. What kind of skid plate? A. It was a production skid plate. They're the same plate. Q. I'm going to show you what's been marked as an exhibit in a prior deposition
3 4 5 6 7 8	ongoing now it's an engineering analysis. You've been keeping up with this. This is exactly what you do, fires. This is about fires. Correct? A. Yes. Q. You've seen these questions and answers?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. No. Q. What kind of skid plate? A. It was a production skid plate. They're the same plate. Q. I'm going to show you what's been marked as an exhibit in a prior deposition in July 2011. And it is the A-10 safety recall that we're talking about. Would you take a look at it?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ongoing now it's an engineering analysis. You've been keeping up with this. This is exactly what you do, fires. This is about fires. Correct? A. Yes. Q. You've seen these questions and answers? A. It's about fuel leakage.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. No. Q. What kind of skid plate? A. It was a production skid plate. They're the same plate. Q. I'm going to show you what's been marked as an exhibit in a prior deposition in July 2011. And it is the A-10 safety recall that we're talking about. Would you take a look at it? MR. BRADLEY: Just for the record,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ongoing now it's an engineering analysis. You've been keeping up with this. This is exactly what you do, fires. This is about fires. Correct? A. Yes. Q. You've seen these questions and answers? A. It's about fuel leakage. Q. You've seen these questions and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. No. Q. What kind of skid plate? A. It was a production skid plate. They're the same plate. Q. I'm going to show you what's been marked as an exhibit in a prior deposition in July 2011. And it is the A-10 safety recall that we're talking about. Would you take a look at it? MR. BRADLEY: Just for the record, from July 20, 2011?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ongoing now it's an engineering analysis. You've been keeping up with this. This is exactly what you do, fires. This is about fires. Correct? A. Yes. Q. You've seen these questions and answers? A. It's about fuel leakage. Q. You've seen these questions and answers?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. No. Q. What kind of skid plate? A. It was a production skid plate. They're the same plate. Q. I'm going to show you what's been marked as an exhibit in a prior deposition in July 2011. And it is the A-10 safety recall that we're talking about. Would you take a look at it? MR. BRADLEY: Just for the record, from July 20, 2011? MS. DE FILIPPO: I just read that.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ongoing now it's an engineering analysis. You've been keeping up with this. This is exactly what you do, fires. This is about fires. Correct? A. Yes. Q. You've seen these questions and answers? A. It's about fuel leakage. Q. You've seen these questions and answers? A. I know what the answer is.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. No. Q. What kind of skid plate? A. It was a production skid plate. They're the same plate. Q. I'm going to show you what's been marked as an exhibit in a prior deposition in July 2011. And it is the A-10 safety recall that we're talking about. Would you take a look at it? MR. BRADLEY: Just for the record, from July 20, 2011? MS. DE FILIPPO: I just read that. MR. BRADLEY: You said July. I'm
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ongoing now it's an engineering analysis. You've been keeping up with this. This is exactly what you do, fires. This is about fires. Correct? A. Yes. Q. You've seen these questions and answers? A. It's about fuel leakage. Q. You've seen these questions and answers? A. I know what the answer is. Q. Do you think I did anything in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. No. Q. What kind of skid plate? A. It was a production skid plate. They're the same plate. Q. I'm going to show you what's been marked as an exhibit in a prior deposition in July 2011. And it is the A-10 safety recall that we're talking about. Would you take a look at it? MR. BRADLEY: Just for the record, from July 20, 2011? MS. DE FILIPPO: I just read that. MR. BRADLEY: You said July. I'm just clarifying. Exhibit P-21. Off the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ongoing now it's an engineering analysis. You've been keeping up with this. This is exactly what you do, fires. This is about fires. Correct? A. Yes. Q. You've seen these questions and answers? A. It's about fuel leakage. Q. You've seen these questions and answers? A. I know what the answer is. Q. Do you think I did anything in not reading the correct answer? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. A. I think you read it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. No. Q. What kind of skid plate? A. It was a production skid plate. They're the same plate. Q. I'm going to show you what's been marked as an exhibit in a prior deposition in July 2011. And it is the A-10 safety recall that we're talking about. Would you take a look at it? MR. BRADLEY: Just for the record, from July 20, 2011? MS. DE FILIPPO: I just read that. MR. BRADLEY: You said July. I'm just clarifying. Exhibit P-21. Off the record.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ongoing now it's an engineering analysis. You've been keeping up with this. This is exactly what you do, fires. This is about fires. Correct? A. Yes. Q. You've seen these questions and answers? A. It's about fuel leakage. Q. You've seen these questions and answers? A. I know what the answer is. Q. Do you think I did anything in not reading the correct answer? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. A. I think you read it. Q. You know what, I'm going to give	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. No. Q. What kind of skid plate? A. It was a production skid plate. They're the same plate. Q. I'm going to show you what's been marked as an exhibit in a prior deposition in July 2011. And it is the A-10 safety recall that we're talking about. Would you take a look at it? MR. BRADLEY: Just for the record, from July 20, 2011? MS. DE FILIPPO: I just read that. MR. BRADLEY: You said July. I'm just clarifying. Exhibit P-21. Off the record. (Whereupon, a discussion is held
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ongoing now it's an engineering analysis. You've been keeping up with this. This is exactly what you do, fires. This is about fires. Correct? A. Yes. Q. You've seen these questions and answers? A. It's about fuel leakage. Q. You've seen these questions and answers? A. I know what the answer is. Q. Do you think I did anything in not reading the correct answer? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. A. I think you read it. Q. You know what, I'm going to give it to you because I'm going to give it to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. No. Q. What kind of skid plate? A. It was a production skid plate. They're the same plate. Q. I'm going to show you what's been marked as an exhibit in a prior deposition in July 2011. And it is the A-10 safety recall that we're talking about. Would you take a look at it? MR. BRADLEY: Just for the record, from July 20, 2011? MS. DE FILIPPO: I just read that. MR. BRADLEY: You said July. I'm just clarifying. Exhibit P-21. Off the record. (Whereupon, a discussion is held off the record.)
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ongoing now it's an engineering analysis. You've been keeping up with this. This is exactly what you do, fires. This is about fires. Correct? A. Yes. Q. You've seen these questions and answers? A. It's about fuel leakage. Q. You've seen these questions and answers? A. I know what the answer is. Q. Do you think I did anything in not reading the correct answer? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. A. I think you read it. Q. You know what, I'm going to give it to you because I'm going to give it to you and you may look at it. Here you go.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. No. Q. What kind of skid plate? A. It was a production skid plate. They're the same plate. Q. I'm going to show you what's been marked as an exhibit in a prior deposition in July 2011. And it is the A-10 safety recall that we're talking about. Would you take a look at it? MR. BRADLEY: Just for the record, from July 20, 2011? MS. DE FILIPPO: I just read that. MR. BRADLEY: You said July. I'm just clarifying. Exhibit P-21. Off the record. (Whereupon, a discussion is held off the record.) MR. BRADLEY: I just want to note
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ongoing now it's an engineering analysis. You've been keeping up with this. This is exactly what you do, fires. This is about fires. Correct? A. Yes. Q. You've seen these questions and answers? A. It's about fuel leakage. Q. You've seen these questions and answers? A. I know what the answer is. Q. Do you think I did anything in not reading the correct answer? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. A. I think you read it. Q. You know what, I'm going to give it to you because I'm going to give it to you and you may look at it. Here you go. A. I don't need it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. No. Q. What kind of skid plate? A. It was a production skid plate. They're the same plate. Q. I'm going to show you what's been marked as an exhibit in a prior deposition in July 2011. And it is the A-10 safety recall that we're talking about. Would you take a look at it? MR. BRADLEY: Just for the record, from July 20, 2011? MS. DE FILIPPO: I just read that. MR. BRADLEY: You said July. I'm just clarifying. Exhibit P-21. Off the record. (Whereupon, a discussion is held off the record.) MR. BRADLEY: I just want to note my continuing objection to questioning
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ongoing now it's an engineering analysis. You've been keeping up with this. This is exactly what you do, fires. This is about fires. Correct? A. Yes. Q. You've seen these questions and answers? A. It's about fuel leakage. Q. You've seen these questions and answers? A. I know what the answer is. Q. Do you think I did anything in not reading the correct answer? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. A. I think you read it. Q. You know what, I'm going to give it to you because I'm going to give it to you and you may look at it. Here you go. A. I don't need it. MR. BRADLEY: Please look at it,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. No. Q. What kind of skid plate? A. It was a production skid plate. They're the same plate. Q. I'm going to show you what's been marked as an exhibit in a prior deposition in July 2011. And it is the A-10 safety recall that we're talking about. Would you take a look at it? MR. BRADLEY: Just for the record, from July 20, 2011? MS. DE FILIPPO: I just read that. MR. BRADLEY: You said July. I'm just clarifying. Exhibit P-21. Off the record. (Whereupon, a discussion is held off the record.) MR. BRADLEY: I just want to note my continuing objection to questioning about A-10 recall.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ongoing now it's an engineering analysis. You've been keeping up with this. This is exactly what you do, fires. This is about fires. Correct? A. Yes. Q. You've seen these questions and answers? A. It's about fuel leakage. Q. You've seen these questions and answers? A. I know what the answer is. Q. Do you think I did anything in not reading the correct answer? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. A. I think you read it. Q. You know what, I'm going to give it to you because I'm going to give it to you and you may look at it. Here you go. A. I don't need it. MR. BRADLEY: Please look at it, Bob.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. No. Q. What kind of skid plate? A. It was a production skid plate. They're the same plate. Q. I'm going to show you what's been marked as an exhibit in a prior deposition in July 2011. And it is the A-10 safety recall that we're talking about. Would you take a look at it? MR. BRADLEY: Just for the record, from July 20, 2011? MS. DE FILIPPO: I just read that. MR. BRADLEY: You said July. I'm just clarifying. Exhibit P-21. Off the record. (Whereupon, a discussion is held off the record.) MR. BRADLEY: I just want to note my continuing objection to questioning about A-10 recall. Q. So the A-10 that we were talking
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ongoing now it's an engineering analysis. You've been keeping up with this. This is exactly what you do, fires. This is about fires. Correct? A. Yes. Q. You've seen these questions and answers? A. It's about fuel leakage. Q. You've seen these questions and answers? A. I know what the answer is. Q. Do you think I did anything in not reading the correct answer? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. A. I think you read it. Q. You know what, I'm going to give it to you because I'm going to give it to you and you may look at it. Here you go. A. I don't need it. MR. BRADLEY: Please look at it, Bob. Q. All I'm asking you is, as an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. No. Q. What kind of skid plate? A. It was a production skid plate. They're the same plate. Q. I'm going to show you what's been marked as an exhibit in a prior deposition in July 2011. And it is the A-10 safety recall that we're talking about. Would you take a look at it? MR. BRADLEY: Just for the record, from July 20, 2011? MS. DE FILIPPO: I just read that. MR. BRADLEY: You said July. I'm just clarifying. Exhibit P-21. Off the record. (Whereupon, a discussion is held off the record.) MR. BRADLEY: I just want to note my continuing objection to questioning about A-10 recall. Q. So the A-10 that we were talking about when we were talking about the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ongoing now it's an engineering analysis. You've been keeping up with this. This is exactly what you do, fires. This is about fires. Correct? A. Yes. Q. You've seen these questions and answers? A. It's about fuel leakage. Q. You've seen these questions and answers? A. I know what the answer is. Q. Do you think I did anything in not reading the correct answer? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. A. I think you read it. Q. You know what, I'm going to give it to you because I'm going to give it to you and you may look at it. Here you go. A. I don't need it. MR. BRADLEY: Please look at it, Bob.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. No. Q. What kind of skid plate? A. It was a production skid plate. They're the same plate. Q. I'm going to show you what's been marked as an exhibit in a prior deposition in July 2011. And it is the A-10 safety recall that we're talking about. Would you take a look at it? MR. BRADLEY: Just for the record, from July 20, 2011? MS. DE FILIPPO: I just read that. MR. BRADLEY: You said July. I'm just clarifying. Exhibit P-21. Off the record. (Whereupon, a discussion is held off the record.) MR. BRADLEY: I just want to note my continuing objection to questioning about A-10 recall. Q. So the A-10 that we were talking

			n 100
	Page 166		Page 168
1	A. Yeah. You gave me the recall.	1	accessory sales catalogue. It has all the
2	Q. TSB.	2	stuff you can buy from Mopar after you buy
3	A. It's the recall instruction to	3	your vehicle.
4	the DR mechanic. There are two	4	Q. And that's something that the
5	publications actually three. There's	5	dealer has. Correct?
6	the notification to the feds, there's a	6	A. Yes.
7	customer letter and then the DR letter.	7	MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.
8	This is the DR letter that tells the	8	Q. There's a place let me just
9	mechanic what to do.	9	direct you so that we save a little time.
10	Q. And you looked at it. Right?	10	There's a place in it that says here,
11	A. Yes.	11	"Other Mopar accessories not shown here
12	Q. And I just want to note that in	12	include consoles, engine block heaters,
13	this document it says that, "Note: This	13	skid plates, storage, guards, et cetera."
14	recall applies only to the above vehicles	14	So the Mopar accessories that the
15	that are equipped with a fuel tank brush	15	dealer was aware of was the skid plates.
16	guard without sales code XEE."	16	Correct?
17	Do you know what sales code XEE	17	A. Yes.
18	is?	18	MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.
19	A. I'm pretty confident that's the	19	Q. This brochure actually goes to
20	skid plate. In other words, if it has a	20	all the dealers to be given to their
21	skid plate. In other words, if it has a skid plate you don't need to fix it.	21	customers. Correct?
22	- · ·	22	A. Yes.
	Q. Because it already has been	23	Q. And it also says here, "Since the
23	the skid plate is the repair. Correct?	24	time of printing some of the information
24	A. It improves the condition so it	25	you'll find in this catalogue may have
25	won't fail.	23	you'll find in this catalogue may have
		And a mount of the comment of	manufacture garante structure
	Page 167	and the second s	Page 169
1	Page 167 Q. Well, it says up here, "Those	1	Page 169 been updated. See your dealer for the
1 2		1 2	_
1	Q. Well, it says up here, "Those vehicles that have already been repaired		been updated. See your dealer for the
2	Q. Well, it says up here, "Those vehicles that have already been repaired by having a skid plate installed do not	2	been updated. See your dealer for the latest Mopar product information."
2 3	Q. Well, it says up here, "Those vehicles that have already been repaired by having a skid plate installed do not require any additional service."	2	been updated. See your dealer for the latest Mopar product information." So basically it's advising both the dealer and the customer that the
2 3 4	Q. Well, it says up here, "Those vehicles that have already been repaired by having a skid plate installed do not require any additional service." So the skid plate is the repair?	2 3 4	been updated. See your dealer for the latest Mopar product information." So basically it's advising both the dealer and the customer that the dealer has the information regarding these
2 3 4 5 6	Q. Well, it says up here, "Those vehicles that have already been repaired by having a skid plate installed do not require any additional service." So the skid plate is the repair? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.	2 3 4 5	been updated. See your dealer for the latest Mopar product information." So basically it's advising both the dealer and the customer that the dealer has the information regarding these products, including the skid plate.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Well, it says up here, "Those vehicles that have already been repaired by having a skid plate installed do not require any additional service." So the skid plate is the repair? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: That's right.	2 3 4 5 6 7	been updated. See your dealer for the latest Mopar product information." So basically it's advising both the dealer and the customer that the dealer has the information regarding these products, including the skid plate. Correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Well, it says up here, "Those vehicles that have already been repaired by having a skid plate installed do not require any additional service." So the skid plate is the repair? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: That's right. Q. So	2 3 4 5 6	been updated. See your dealer for the latest Mopar product information." So basically it's advising both the dealer and the customer that the dealer has the information regarding these products, including the skid plate. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Well, it says up here, "Those vehicles that have already been repaired by having a skid plate installed do not require any additional service." So the skid plate is the repair? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: That's right. Q. So A. Skid plate is an effective	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	been updated. See your dealer for the latest Mopar product information." So basically it's advising both the dealer and the customer that the dealer has the information regarding these products, including the skid plate. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Well, it says up here, "Those vehicles that have already been repaired by having a skid plate installed do not require any additional service." So the skid plate is the repair? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: That's right. Q. So A. Skid plate is an effective correction for that problem.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	been updated. See your dealer for the latest Mopar product information." So basically it's advising both the dealer and the customer that the dealer has the information regarding these products, including the skid plate. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Including all the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Well, it says up here, "Those vehicles that have already been repaired by having a skid plate installed do not require any additional service." So the skid plate is the repair? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: That's right. Q. So A. Skid plate is an effective correction for that problem. Q. So tell me again now, the Mopar	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	been updated. See your dealer for the latest Mopar product information." So basically it's advising both the dealer and the customer that the dealer has the information regarding these products, including the skid plate. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Including all the accessories listed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Well, it says up here, "Those vehicles that have already been repaired by having a skid plate installed do not require any additional service." So the skid plate is the repair? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: That's right. Q. So A. Skid plate is an effective correction for that problem. Q. So tell me again now, the Mopar skid plate is different than this XEE skid	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	been updated. See your dealer for the latest Mopar product information." So basically it's advising both the dealer and the customer that the dealer has the information regarding these products, including the skid plate. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Including all the accessories listed. Q. Everything listed here and maybe
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Well, it says up here, "Those vehicles that have already been repaired by having a skid plate installed do not require any additional service." So the skid plate is the repair? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: That's right. Q. So A. Skid plate is an effective correction for that problem. Q. So tell me again now, the Mopar skid plate is different than this XEE skid plate? Is that what you're saying?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	been updated. See your dealer for the latest Mopar product information." So basically it's advising both the dealer and the customer that the dealer has the information regarding these products, including the skid plate. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Including all the accessories listed. Q. Everything listed here and maybe more as the indication is here. If you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Well, it says up here, "Those vehicles that have already been repaired by having a skid plate installed do not require any additional service." So the skid plate is the repair? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: That's right. Q. So A. Skid plate is an effective correction for that problem. Q. So tell me again now, the Mopar skid plate is different than this XEE skid plate? Is that what you're saying? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	been updated. See your dealer for the latest Mopar product information." So basically it's advising both the dealer and the customer that the dealer has the information regarding these products, including the skid plate. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Including all the accessories listed. Q. Everything listed here and maybe more as the indication is here. If you call Chrysler there might be more?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Well, it says up here, "Those vehicles that have already been repaired by having a skid plate installed do not require any additional service." So the skid plate is the repair? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: That's right. Q. So A. Skid plate is an effective correction for that problem. Q. So tell me again now, the Mopar skid plate is different than this XEE skid plate? Is that what you're saying? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: I believe there's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	been updated. See your dealer for the latest Mopar product information." So basically it's advising both the dealer and the customer that the dealer has the information regarding these products, including the skid plate. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Including all the accessories listed. Q. Everything listed here and maybe more as the indication is here. If you call Chrysler there might be more? A. There might be.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Well, it says up here, "Those vehicles that have already been repaired by having a skid plate installed do not require any additional service." So the skid plate is the repair? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: That's right. Q. So A. Skid plate is an effective correction for that problem. Q. So tell me again now, the Mopar skid plate is different than this XEE skid plate? Is that what you're saying? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: I believe there's only one skid plate.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	been updated. See your dealer for the latest Mopar product information." So basically it's advising both the dealer and the customer that the dealer has the information regarding these products, including the skid plate. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Including all the accessories listed. Q. Everything listed here and maybe more as the indication is here. If you call Chrysler there might be more? A. There might be. Q. Now, you indicated that on page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Well, it says up here, "Those vehicles that have already been repaired by having a skid plate installed do not require any additional service." So the skid plate is the repair? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: That's right. Q. So A. Skid plate is an effective correction for that problem. Q. So tell me again now, the Mopar skid plate is different than this XEE skid plate? Is that what you're saying? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: I believe there's only one skid plate. Q. Is that the Mopar skid plate?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	been updated. See your dealer for the latest Mopar product information." So basically it's advising both the dealer and the customer that the dealer has the information regarding these products, including the skid plate. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Including all the accessories listed. Q. Everything listed here and maybe more as the indication is here. If you call Chrysler there might be more? A. There might be. Q. Now, you indicated that on page eight, that three-fourths of SUVs had fuel
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Well, it says up here, "Those vehicles that have already been repaired by having a skid plate installed do not require any additional service." So the skid plate is the repair? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: That's right. Q. So A. Skid plate is an effective correction for that problem. Q. So tell me again now, the Mopar skid plate is different than this XEE skid plate? Is that what you're saying? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: I believe there's only one skid plate. Q. Is that the Mopar skid plate? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	been updated. See your dealer for the latest Mopar product information." So basically it's advising both the dealer and the customer that the dealer has the information regarding these products, including the skid plate. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Including all the accessories listed. Q. Everything listed here and maybe more as the indication is here. If you call Chrysler there might be more? A. There might be. Q. Now, you indicated that on page eight, that three-fourths of SUVs had fuel tanks behind the axle. Three quarters of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Well, it says up here, "Those vehicles that have already been repaired by having a skid plate installed do not require any additional service." So the skid plate is the repair? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: That's right. Q. So A. Skid plate is an effective correction for that problem. Q. So tell me again now, the Mopar skid plate is different than this XEE skid plate? Is that what you're saying? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: I believe there's only one skid plate. Q. Is that the Mopar skid plate? A. Yes. Q. Let me just show you something	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	been updated. See your dealer for the latest Mopar product information." So basically it's advising both the dealer and the customer that the dealer has the information regarding these products, including the skid plate. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Including all the accessories listed. Q. Everything listed here and maybe more as the indication is here. If you call Chrysler there might be more? A. There might be. Q. Now, you indicated that on page eight, that three-fourths of SUVs had fuel tanks behind the axle. Three quarters of all SUVs. I just want to know where you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Well, it says up here, "Those vehicles that have already been repaired by having a skid plate installed do not require any additional service." So the skid plate is the repair? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: That's right. Q. So A. Skid plate is an effective correction for that problem. Q. So tell me again now, the Mopar skid plate is different than this XEE skid plate? Is that what you're saying? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: I believe there's only one skid plate. Q. Is that the Mopar skid plate? A. Yes. Q. Let me just show you something that I previously marked in another	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	been updated. See your dealer for the latest Mopar product information." So basically it's advising both the dealer and the customer that the dealer has the information regarding these products, including the skid plate. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Including all the accessories listed. Q. Everything listed here and maybe more as the indication is here. If you call Chrysler there might be more? A. There might be. Q. Now, you indicated that on page eight, that three-fourths of SUVs had fuel tanks behind the axle. Three quarters of all SUVs. I just want to know where you got that information.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Well, it says up here, "Those vehicles that have already been repaired by having a skid plate installed do not require any additional service." So the skid plate is the repair? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: That's right. Q. So A. Skid plate is an effective correction for that problem. Q. So tell me again now, the Mopar skid plate is different than this XEE skid plate? Is that what you're saying? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: I believe there's only one skid plate. Q. Is that the Mopar skid plate? A. Yes. Q. Let me just show you something that I previously marked in another deposition, Robertson, on June 15th of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	been updated. See your dealer for the latest Mopar product information." So basically it's advising both the dealer and the customer that the dealer has the information regarding these products, including the skid plate. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Including all the accessories listed. Q. Everything listed here and maybe more as the indication is here. If you call Chrysler there might be more? A. There might be. Q. Now, you indicated that on page eight, that three-fourths of SUVs had fuel tanks behind the axle. Three quarters of all SUVs. I just want to know where you got that information. MR. BRADLEY: Where are you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Well, it says up here, "Those vehicles that have already been repaired by having a skid plate installed do not require any additional service." So the skid plate is the repair? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: That's right. Q. So A. Skid plate is an effective correction for that problem. Q. So tell me again now, the Mopar skid plate is different than this XEE skid plate? Is that what you're saying? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: I believe there's only one skid plate. Q. Is that the Mopar skid plate? A. Yes. Q. Let me just show you something that I previously marked in another deposition, Robertson, on June 15th of 2011. This is a well, you tell me what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	been updated. See your dealer for the latest Mopar product information." So basically it's advising both the dealer and the customer that the dealer has the information regarding these products, including the skid plate. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Including all the accessories listed. Q. Everything listed here and maybe more as the indication is here. If you call Chrysler there might be more? A. There might be. Q. Now, you indicated that on page eight, that three-fourths of SUVs had fuel tanks behind the axle. Three quarters of all SUVs. I just want to know where you got that information. MR. BRADLEY: Where are you? THE WITNESS: Page eight.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Well, it says up here, "Those vehicles that have already been repaired by having a skid plate installed do not require any additional service." So the skid plate is the repair? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: That's right. Q. So A. Skid plate is an effective correction for that problem. Q. So tell me again now, the Mopar skid plate is different than this XEE skid plate? Is that what you're saying? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: I believe there's only one skid plate. Q. Is that the Mopar skid plate? A. Yes. Q. Let me just show you something that I previously marked in another deposition, Robertson, on June 15th of 2011. This is a well, you tell me what it is.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	been updated. See your dealer for the latest Mopar product information." So basically it's advising both the dealer and the customer that the dealer has the information regarding these products, including the skid plate. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Including all the accessories listed. Q. Everything listed here and maybe more as the indication is here. If you call Chrysler there might be more? A. There might be. Q. Now, you indicated that on page eight, that three-fourths of SUVs had fuel tanks behind the axle. Three quarters of all SUVs. I just want to know where you got that information. MR. BRADLEY: Where are you? THE WITNESS: Page eight. MR. BRADLEY: I meant on page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Well, it says up here, "Those vehicles that have already been repaired by having a skid plate installed do not require any additional service." So the skid plate is the repair? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: That's right. Q. So A. Skid plate is an effective correction for that problem. Q. So tell me again now, the Mopar skid plate is different than this XEE skid plate? Is that what you're saying? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: I believe there's only one skid plate. Q. Is that the Mopar skid plate? A. Yes. Q. Let me just show you something that I previously marked in another deposition, Robertson, on June 15th of 2011. This is a well, you tell me what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	been updated. See your dealer for the latest Mopar product information." So basically it's advising both the dealer and the customer that the dealer has the information regarding these products, including the skid plate. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Including all the accessories listed. Q. Everything listed here and maybe more as the indication is here. If you call Chrysler there might be more? A. There might be. Q. Now, you indicated that on page eight, that three-fourths of SUVs had fuel tanks behind the axle. Three quarters of all SUVs. I just want to know where you got that information. MR. BRADLEY: Where are you? THE WITNESS: Page eight.

	Down 170		Daga 172
	Page 170		Page 172
1	three-fourths of all sport utilities	1	this.
2	offered for sale had fuel tanks located	2	MR. BRADLEY: We can go off the
3	behind the rear axle."	3	record.
4	A. I don't recall. I don't remember	4	(Whereupon, a discussion is held
5	where I got that.	5	off the record.)
6	Q. Is there a place where I can go	6	Q. It says in O&C-2, it says that
7	and find that out?	7	"JTE staff discussions offered the skid
8	A. I don't know.	8	plate as standard equipment was rejected
9	Q. If you want to verify that	9	by JTE Engineering Programs Management,"
10	statement in your report, where would you	10	and it goes through the following bases
11	go?	11	for that.
12	 A. I would have to go back and look 	12	And you say, "The entire section
13	at the data.	13	is untrue. There was no evidence offered
14	Q. But where?	14	that substantiates the allegations made in
15	A. I keep some literature written by	15	this section. I was present in both the
16	other people and perhaps I found it there.	16	Vehicle Safety Office and Product
17	I'm not sure. But I will attempt to find	17	Analysis."
18	out where the source of that number is.	18	But he's referring to Jeep Truck
19	Q. That would be great. So O&C-2.	19	Engineering. Is that a different office?
20	A. Pardon me?	20	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
21	Q. O&C-2 on page eight, you're	21	objection.
22	taking issue with something I think that	22	Q. I'm sorry, the Product Analysis
23	Paul Sheridan said and I just want to make	23	or Engineering Program.
24	sure we're talking about the right	24	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
25	departments here.	25	objection. Do you need to look at his
	Page 171		Page 173
1	You were present in the Vehicle	1	O&C-2?
2	Safety Office and the Product Analysis	1	
1		2	THE WITNESS: I need to see
3	•	2	THE WITNESS: I need to see O&C-2. I think there must be more to it
3	Department and Mr. Sheridan was commenting	3	O&C-2. I think there must be more to it
ŀ	Department and Mr. Sheridan was commenting about something that I believe happened in	1	
4 5	Department and Mr. Sheridan was commenting about something that I believe happened in Jeep Truck and Engineering, the	3 4	O&C-2. I think there must be more to it than that. MR. BRADLEY: Just for the record,
4	Department and Mr. Sheridan was commenting about something that I believe happened in Jeep Truck and Engineering, the Engineering Program Management Department.	3 4 5	O&C-2. I think there must be more to it than that. MR. BRADLEY: Just for the record, this is from Mr. Sheridan's report.
4 5 6	Department and Mr. Sheridan was commenting about something that I believe happened in Jeep Truck and Engineering, the Engineering Program Management Department. A. I'm sorry, where are you?	3 4 5 6	O&C-2. I think there must be more to it than that. MR. BRADLEY: Just for the record, this is from Mr. Sheridan's report. Q. Mr. Banta, my question to you is
4 5 6 7	Department and Mr. Sheridan was commenting about something that I believe happened in Jeep Truck and Engineering, the Engineering Program Management Department. A. I'm sorry, where are you? Q. O&C-2 on page eight. Do you	3 4 5 6 7	O&C-2. I think there must be more to it than that. MR. BRADLEY: Just for the record, this is from Mr. Sheridan's report.
4 5 6 7 8	Department and Mr. Sheridan was commenting about something that I believe happened in Jeep Truck and Engineering, the Engineering Program Management Department. A. I'm sorry, where are you? Q. O&C-2 on page eight. Do you recall what you were referring to there	3 4 5 6 7 8	O&C-2. I think there must be more to it than that. MR. BRADLEY: Just for the record, this is from Mr. Sheridan's report. Q. Mr. Banta, my question to you is merely, are we talking about two different
4 5 6 7 8 9	Department and Mr. Sheridan was commenting about something that I believe happened in Jeep Truck and Engineering, the Engineering Program Management Department. A. I'm sorry, where are you? Q. O&C-2 on page eight. Do you recall what you were referring to there about Mr. Sheridan's report?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	O&C-2. I think there must be more to it than that. MR. BRADLEY: Just for the record, this is from Mr. Sheridan's report. Q. Mr. Banta, my question to you is merely, are we talking about two different offices? A. Yes.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Department and Mr. Sheridan was commenting about something that I believe happened in Jeep Truck and Engineering, the Engineering Program Management Department. A. I'm sorry, where are you? Q. O&C-2 on page eight. Do you recall what you were referring to there about Mr. Sheridan's report? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	O&C-2. I think there must be more to it than that. MR. BRADLEY: Just for the record, this is from Mr. Sheridan's report. Q. Mr. Banta, my question to you is merely, are we talking about two different offices? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Can you tell me what if
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Department and Mr. Sheridan was commenting about something that I believe happened in Jeep Truck and Engineering, the Engineering Program Management Department. A. I'm sorry, where are you? Q. O&C-2 on page eight. Do you recall what you were referring to there about Mr. Sheridan's report? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	O&C-2. I think there must be more to it than that. MR. BRADLEY: Just for the record, this is from Mr. Sheridan's report. Q. Mr. Banta, my question to you is merely, are we talking about two different offices? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Can you tell me what if you understand the term "recall yield"?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Department and Mr. Sheridan was commenting about something that I believe happened in Jeep Truck and Engineering, the Engineering Program Management Department. A. I'm sorry, where are you? Q. O&C-2 on page eight. Do you recall what you were referring to there about Mr. Sheridan's report? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't remember.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	O&C-2. I think there must be more to it than that. MR. BRADLEY: Just for the record, this is from Mr. Sheridan's report. Q. Mr. Banta, my question to you is merely, are we talking about two different offices? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Can you tell me what if you understand the term "recall yield"? A. What?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Department and Mr. Sheridan was commenting about something that I believe happened in Jeep Truck and Engineering, the Engineering Program Management Department. A. I'm sorry, where are you? Q. O&C-2 on page eight. Do you recall what you were referring to there about Mr. Sheridan's report? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't remember. Q. I'm going to get to it. Just	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	O&C-2. I think there must be more to it than that. MR. BRADLEY: Just for the record, this is from Mr. Sheridan's report. Q. Mr. Banta, my question to you is merely, are we talking about two different offices? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Can you tell me what if you understand the term "recall yield"? A. What? Q. Recall yield.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Department and Mr. Sheridan was commenting about something that I believe happened in Jeep Truck and Engineering, the Engineering Program Management Department. A. I'm sorry, where are you? Q. O&C-2 on page eight. Do you recall what you were referring to there about Mr. Sheridan's report? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't remember. Q. I'm going to get to it. Just give me a minute.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	O&C-2. I think there must be more to it than that. MR. BRADLEY: Just for the record, this is from Mr. Sheridan's report. Q. Mr. Banta, my question to you is merely, are we talking about two different offices? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Can you tell me what if you understand the term "recall yield"? A. What? Q. Recall yield. A. Well, I translate that to mean
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Department and Mr. Sheridan was commenting about something that I believe happened in Jeep Truck and Engineering, the Engineering Program Management Department. A. I'm sorry, where are you? Q. O&C-2 on page eight. Do you recall what you were referring to there about Mr. Sheridan's report? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't remember. Q. I'm going to get to it. Just give me a minute. I'm going to read O&C-2 and you	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	O&C-2. I think there must be more to it than that. MR. BRADLEY: Just for the record, this is from Mr. Sheridan's report. Q. Mr. Banta, my question to you is merely, are we talking about two different offices? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Can you tell me what if you understand the term "recall yield"? A. What? Q. Recall yield. A. Well, I translate that to mean completion rate, how many of them were
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Department and Mr. Sheridan was commenting about something that I believe happened in Jeep Truck and Engineering, the Engineering Program Management Department. A. I'm sorry, where are you? Q. O&C-2 on page eight. Do you recall what you were referring to there about Mr. Sheridan's report? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't remember. Q. I'm going to get to it. Just give me a minute. I'm going to read O&C-2 and you can read along with me but it's electronic	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	O&C-2. I think there must be more to it than that. MR. BRADLEY: Just for the record, this is from Mr. Sheridan's report. Q. Mr. Banta, my question to you is merely, are we talking about two different offices? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Can you tell me what if you understand the term "recall yield"? A. What? Q. Recall yield. A. Well, I translate that to mean completion rate, how many of them were actually done. Yield is a term the auto
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Department and Mr. Sheridan was commenting about something that I believe happened in Jeep Truck and Engineering, the Engineering Program Management Department. A. I'm sorry, where are you? Q. O&C-2 on page eight. Do you recall what you were referring to there about Mr. Sheridan's report? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't remember. Q. I'm going to get to it. Just give me a minute. I'm going to read O&C-2 and you can read along with me but it's electronic and I hope your counsel doesn't think I	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	O&C-2. I think there must be more to it than that. MR. BRADLEY: Just for the record, this is from Mr. Sheridan's report. Q. Mr. Banta, my question to you is merely, are we talking about two different offices? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Can you tell me what if you understand the term "recall yield"? A. What? Q. Recall yield. A. Well, I translate that to mean completion rate, how many of them were actually done. Yield is a term the auto industry doesn't use, but they use a
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Department and Mr. Sheridan was commenting about something that I believe happened in Jeep Truck and Engineering, the Engineering Program Management Department. A. I'm sorry, where are you? Q. O&C-2 on page eight. Do you recall what you were referring to there about Mr. Sheridan's report? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't remember. Q. I'm going to get to it. Just give me a minute. I'm going to read O&C-2 and you can read along with me but it's electronic and I hope your counsel doesn't think I would ever misread anything. I'm pretty	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	O&C-2. I think there must be more to it than that. MR. BRADLEY: Just for the record, this is from Mr. Sheridan's report. Q. Mr. Banta, my question to you is merely, are we talking about two different offices? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Can you tell me what if you understand the term "recall yield"? A. What? Q. Recall yield. A. Well, I translate that to mean completion rate, how many of them were actually done. Yield is a term the auto industry doesn't use, but they use a completion rate. For example, when I
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Department and Mr. Sheridan was commenting about something that I believe happened in Jeep Truck and Engineering, the Engineering Program Management Department. A. I'm sorry, where are you? Q. O&C-2 on page eight. Do you recall what you were referring to there about Mr. Sheridan's report? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't remember. Q. I'm going to get to it. Just give me a minute. I'm going to read O&C-2 and you can read along with me but it's electronic and I hope your counsel doesn't think I would ever misread anything. I'm pretty old and I do know how to read.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	O&C-2. I think there must be more to it than that. MR. BRADLEY: Just for the record, this is from Mr. Sheridan's report. Q. Mr. Banta, my question to you is merely, are we talking about two different offices? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Can you tell me what if you understand the term "recall yield"? A. What? Q. Recall yield. A. Well, I translate that to mean completion rate, how many of them were actually done. Yield is a term the auto industry doesn't use, but they use a completion rate. For example, when I estimate the cost of a recall, I would
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Department and Mr. Sheridan was commenting about something that I believe happened in Jeep Truck and Engineering, the Engineering Program Management Department. A. I'm sorry, where are you? Q. O&C-2 on page eight. Do you recall what you were referring to there about Mr. Sheridan's report? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't remember. Q. I'm going to get to it. Just give me a minute. I'm going to read O&C-2 and you can read along with me but it's electronic and I hope your counsel doesn't think I would ever misread anything. I'm pretty old and I do know how to read. A. Do you know last night I had	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	O&C-2. I think there must be more to it than that. MR. BRADLEY: Just for the record, this is from Mr. Sheridan's report. Q. Mr. Banta, my question to you is merely, are we talking about two different offices? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Can you tell me what if you understand the term "recall yield"? A. What? Q. Recall yield. A. Well, I translate that to mean completion rate, how many of them were actually done. Yield is a term the auto industry doesn't use, but they use a completion rate. For example, when I estimate the cost of a recall, I would estimate it at, say, a 75 percent
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Department and Mr. Sheridan was commenting about something that I believe happened in Jeep Truck and Engineering, the Engineering Program Management Department. A. I'm sorry, where are you? Q. O&C-2 on page eight. Do you recall what you were referring to there about Mr. Sheridan's report? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't remember. Q. I'm going to get to it. Just give me a minute. I'm going to read O&C-2 and you can read along with me but it's electronic and I hope your counsel doesn't think I would ever misread anything. I'm pretty old and I do know how to read. A. Do you know last night I had dinner, this waitress	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	O&C-2. I think there must be more to it than that. MR. BRADLEY: Just for the record, this is from Mr. Sheridan's report. Q. Mr. Banta, my question to you is merely, are we talking about two different offices? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Can you tell me what if you understand the term "recall yield"? A. What? Q. Recall yield. A. Well, I translate that to mean completion rate, how many of them were actually done. Yield is a term the auto industry doesn't use, but they use a completion rate. For example, when I estimate the cost of a recall, I would estimate it at, say, a 75 percent completion, 80, 85, 90, and 95.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Department and Mr. Sheridan was commenting about something that I believe happened in Jeep Truck and Engineering, the Engineering Program Management Department. A. I'm sorry, where are you? Q. O&C-2 on page eight. Do you recall what you were referring to there about Mr. Sheridan's report? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't remember. Q. I'm going to get to it. Just give me a minute. I'm going to read O&C-2 and you can read along with me but it's electronic and I hope your counsel doesn't think I would ever misread anything. I'm pretty old and I do know how to read. A. Do you know last night I had dinner, this waitress MR. BRADLEY: There's no question	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	O&C-2. I think there must be more to it than that. MR. BRADLEY: Just for the record, this is from Mr. Sheridan's report. Q. Mr. Banta, my question to you is merely, are we talking about two different offices? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Can you tell me what if you understand the term "recall yield"? A. What? Q. Recall yield. A. Well, I translate that to mean completion rate, how many of them were actually done. Yield is a term the auto industry doesn't use, but they use a completion rate. For example, when I estimate the cost of a recall, I would estimate it at, say, a 75 percent completion, 80, 85, 90, and 95. Q. And I'm more focusing on what
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Department and Mr. Sheridan was commenting about something that I believe happened in Jeep Truck and Engineering, the Engineering Program Management Department. A. I'm sorry, where are you? Q. O&C-2 on page eight. Do you recall what you were referring to there about Mr. Sheridan's report? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't remember. Q. I'm going to get to it. Just give me a minute. I'm going to read O&C-2 and you can read along with me but it's electronic and I hope your counsel doesn't think I would ever misread anything. I'm pretty old and I do know how to read. A. Do you know last night I had dinner, this waitress	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	O&C-2. I think there must be more to it than that. MR. BRADLEY: Just for the record, this is from Mr. Sheridan's report. Q. Mr. Banta, my question to you is merely, are we talking about two different offices? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Can you tell me what if you understand the term "recall yield"? A. What? Q. Recall yield. A. Well, I translate that to mean completion rate, how many of them were actually done. Yield is a term the auto industry doesn't use, but they use a completion rate. For example, when I estimate the cost of a recall, I would estimate it at, say, a 75 percent completion, 80, 85, 90, and 95.

	Page 174		Page 176
1	percentage of all recalls that people just	1	you expressed your opinion to a reasonable
2	don't bother doing. Correct?	2	degree of automotive engineering
3	A. That's right, depending on the	3	certainty.
4	nature of it.	4	Is that your statement today
5	Q. Wouldn't you agree with me that a	5	also?
6	person who thinks there might be a fire	6	A. Yes.
7	would be more likely to pay attention to	7	Q. So my understanding is you're
8	the recall as opposed to like if your	8	leaving at five?
9	radio doesn't work right?	9	MR. BRADLEY: Yes, the deposition
10	MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.	10	is going to end at five.
11	THE WITNESS: Very high	11	THE WITNESS: I guess I am.
12	completion.	12	Q. So then we're going to do this as
13	Q. Very high?	13	fast as we possibly can.
14	A. For a fire. Almost a hundred	14	So you know that you testified in
15	percent.	15	your first deposition about where you
16	MR. BRADLEY: You answered the	16	worked went up through a Mr. Bolts
17	question.	17	(phonetic). Correct?
18	Q. Now, on page eight I'm sorry,	18	A. Yes.
19	on page nine you say, "Methodology:"	19	Q. And in that office what was
20	Wait. Before we get to that, on	20	that office's name again with Mr. Bolts?
21	the top you list studies, "Analysis of	21	 A. Vehicle Safety Quality and
22	Fatal Crashes Accompanied By Fire" and I	22	Reliability I believe.
23	think on the page before you list some	23	Q. There was also a man there in the
24	other "Analysis of the Real-World Crash	24	nineties named Dawkins. Correct?
25	Performance."	25	A. Dale Dawkins.
	Page 175		Page 177
1	Did you use any of the	1	Q. What was he, safety director?
2	information in either of these studies to	2	 A. Director of product analysis.
3	base any of your opinions in this case?	3	Prior to that he was a vice-president of
4	A. No. It's difficult to take a	4	product planning I believe.
5	study and apply it to one.	5	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
6	Q. When you get to the methodology	6	objection.
7	section of your report on page nine where	7	Q. Now, I just want you to look at a
8	you say, "In addition, it was performed	8	document that was already premarked in the
9	using generally accepted scientific	9	Castaing deposition of 6-14-11. Have you
10	research and principles."	10	ever seen this document before?
11	Can you just tell me what they	11	MR. BRADLEY: Specifically
12	were, those scientific research and	12	Exhibit 6?
13	principles?	13	THE WITNESS: Oh, I know about
14	A. Yes, to the extent that I did a	14	this.
15	technical analysis, I did it using the	15	Q. Well, my question to you is this.
110	and the second of the second	16	If you look at the document along with me,
16	methods and principles that I've learned		
17	over the years both inside and outside the	17	and it says, "The law says" it says in
17 18	over the years both inside and outside the company and classes I've taken and people	17 18	and it says, "The law says" it says in the middle of the page, "The law says all
17 18 19	over the years both inside and outside the company and classes I've taken and people I've worked with.	17 18 19	and it says, "The law says" it says in the middle of the page, "The law says all you have to do is pass, Chrysler Safety
17 18 19 20	over the years both inside and outside the company and classes I've taken and people I've worked with. Q. Are we talking about technical	17 18 19 20	and it says, "The law says" it says in the middle of the page, "The law says all you have to do is pass, Chrysler Safety Director Dale Dawkins said in an interview
17 18 19 20 21	over the years both inside and outside the company and classes I've taken and people I've worked with. Q. Are we talking about technical analysis of the fire, cause and origin?	17 18 19 20 21	and it says, "The law says" it says in the middle of the page, "The law says all you have to do is pass, Chrysler Safety Director Dale Dawkins said in an interview before he retired in December. You build
17 18 19 20 21 22	over the years both inside and outside the company and classes I've taken and people I've worked with. Q. Are we talking about technical analysis of the fire, cause and origin? A. Yes.	17 18 19 20 21 22	and it says, "The law says" it says in the middle of the page, "The law says all you have to do is pass, Chrysler Safety Director Dale Dawkins said in an interview before he retired in December. You build a margin in single vehicle tests to
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	over the years both inside and outside the company and classes I've taken and people I've worked with. Q. Are we talking about technical analysis of the fire, cause and origin? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Anything else?	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and it says, "The law says" it says in the middle of the page, "The law says all you have to do is pass, Chrysler Safety Director Dale Dawkins said in an interview before he retired in December. You build a margin in single vehicle tests to accommodate variations in testing. We do
17 18 19 20 21 22	over the years both inside and outside the company and classes I've taken and people I've worked with. Q. Are we talking about technical analysis of the fire, cause and origin? A. Yes.	17 18 19 20 21 22	and it says, "The law says" it says in the middle of the page, "The law says all you have to do is pass, Chrysler Safety Director Dale Dawkins said in an interview before he retired in December. You build a margin in single vehicle tests to

	Page 178		Page 180
1	Do you recall him making those	1	(Copy of photograph is received
2	statements?	2	and marked Banta-35 for identification.)
3	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	3	
4	objection.	4	Q. In your prior deposition in this
5	THE WITNESS: Honestly, I don't.	5	case you were shown a photograph which was
6	Q. If I tell you that in the case of	6	from the Highway Institute and it was
7	Jimenez versus Chrysler in 1998 he was	7	fuzzy and I think you made a comment about
8	questioned about these very statements on	8	it. So I went back and looked for another
9	the stand and admitted to them?	9	photograph and I'm showing you what's been
10	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	10	marked what is that?
11	objection.	11	MR. BRADLEY: 35.
12	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	12	MS. DE FILIPPO: I'm sorry?
13	Q. Do you have any reason to doubt	13	MR. BRADLEY: 35.
14	that he made those statements?	14	Q. Would you agree with me that that
15	A. I just don't know one way or the	15	photograph accurately depicts the concept
16	other.	16	of under-ride?
17	Q. You do know about what was going	17	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
18	on at the time?	18	objection.
19	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	19	THE WITNESS: Well, it depicts
20	objection.	20	under-ride. I don't know how accurate
21	Q. Tell me what was going on.	21	these two vehicles are.
22	A. Cirrus and Stratus were midsize	22	Q. Well, is there any indication
23	cars that among other things had seat	23	from looking at the photograph that the
24	belts in them and the government tested	24	Institute For Highway Safety changed in
25	the seat belts in a manner that Chrysler	25	any way the dimensions of the vehicles in
123	the seat beits in a manner that emyster		any way are amineriored or the vermones in
	The state of the s		
MANAGEMENT OF A STATE OF THE ST	Page 179		Page 181
1	Page 179 believed was improper. And they failed a	1	that photograph?
1 2	-	2	
1	believed was improper. And they failed a	1	that photograph? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection.
2	believed was improper. And they failed a bolt, the head of a bolt, on a seat belt	2	that photograph? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I'd have to know
2 3	believed was improper. And they failed a bolt, the head of a bolt, on a seat belt anchorage and then wanted Chrysler to	2 3	that photograph? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection.
2 3 4	believed was improper. And they failed a bolt, the head of a bolt, on a seat belt anchorage and then wanted Chrysler to recall them. Chrysler pointed out it was	2 3 4	that photograph? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I'd have to know the background of the vehicles. The Grand Cherokee appears to have a body that's
2 3 4 5	believed was improper. And they failed a bolt, the head of a bolt, on a seat belt anchorage and then wanted Chrysler to recall them. Chrysler pointed out it was tested wrong. And then Chrysler did a	2 3 4 5	that photograph? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I'd have to know the background of the vehicles. The Grand
2 3 4 5 6	believed was improper. And they failed a bolt, the head of a bolt, on a seat belt anchorage and then wanted Chrysler to recall them. Chrysler pointed out it was tested wrong. And then Chrysler did a stunt of putting a crane through the	2 3 4 5 6	that photograph? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I'd have to know the background of the vehicles. The Grand Cherokee appears to have a body that's
2 3 4 5 6 7	believed was improper. And they failed a bolt, the head of a bolt, on a seat belt anchorage and then wanted Chrysler to recall them. Chrysler pointed out it was tested wrong. And then Chrysler did a stunt of putting a crane through the windshield of a car and lifting the entire	2 3 4 5 6 7	that photograph? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I'd have to know the background of the vehicles. The Grand Cherokee appears to have a body that's high in here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	believed was improper. And they failed a bolt, the head of a bolt, on a seat belt anchorage and then wanted Chrysler to recall them. Chrysler pointed out it was tested wrong. And then Chrysler did a stunt of putting a crane through the windshield of a car and lifting the entire car with the bolt to demonstrate how	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that photograph? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I'd have to know the background of the vehicles. The Grand Cherokee appears to have a body that's high in here. Q. I'm sorry, I didn't hear you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	believed was improper. And they failed a bolt, the head of a bolt, on a seat belt anchorage and then wanted Chrysler to recall them. Chrysler pointed out it was tested wrong. And then Chrysler did a stunt of putting a crane through the windshield of a car and lifting the entire car with the bolt to demonstrate how strong it was. And NHTSA stood their ground and ordered a recall and Chrysler	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that photograph? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I'd have to know the background of the vehicles. The Grand Cherokee appears to have a body that's high in here. Q. I'm sorry, I didn't hear you. A. The Grand Cherokee appears to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	believed was improper. And they failed a bolt, the head of a bolt, on a seat belt anchorage and then wanted Chrysler to recall them. Chrysler pointed out it was tested wrong. And then Chrysler did a stunt of putting a crane through the windshield of a car and lifting the entire car with the bolt to demonstrate how strong it was. And NHTSA stood their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that photograph? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I'd have to know the background of the vehicles. The Grand Cherokee appears to have a body that's high in here. Q. I'm sorry, I didn't hear you. A. The Grand Cherokee appears to have a body that's high and the bullet
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	believed was improper. And they failed a bolt, the head of a bolt, on a seat belt anchorage and then wanted Chrysler to recall them. Chrysler pointed out it was tested wrong. And then Chrysler did a stunt of putting a crane through the windshield of a car and lifting the entire car with the bolt to demonstrate how strong it was. And NHTSA stood their ground and ordered a recall and Chrysler stood their ground and said we're not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that photograph? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I'd have to know the background of the vehicles. The Grand Cherokee appears to have a body that's high in here. Q. I'm sorry, I didn't hear you. A. The Grand Cherokee appears to have a body that's high and the bullet vehicle appears to have a body that's low
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	believed was improper. And they failed a bolt, the head of a bolt, on a seat belt anchorage and then wanted Chrysler to recall them. Chrysler pointed out it was tested wrong. And then Chrysler did a stunt of putting a crane through the windshield of a car and lifting the entire car with the bolt to demonstrate how strong it was. And NHTSA stood their ground and ordered a recall and Chrysler stood their ground and said we're not doing it. And frankly, I don't know what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that photograph? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I'd have to know the background of the vehicles. The Grand Cherokee appears to have a body that's high in here. Q. I'm sorry, I didn't hear you. A. The Grand Cherokee appears to have a body that's high and the bullet vehicle appears to have a body that's low and I don't know why that is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	believed was improper. And they failed a bolt, the head of a bolt, on a seat belt anchorage and then wanted Chrysler to recall them. Chrysler pointed out it was tested wrong. And then Chrysler did a stunt of putting a crane through the windshield of a car and lifting the entire car with the bolt to demonstrate how strong it was. And NHTSA stood their ground and ordered a recall and Chrysler stood their ground and said we're not doing it. And frankly, I don't know what happened beyond that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that photograph? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I'd have to know the background of the vehicles. The Grand Cherokee appears to have a body that's high in here. Q. I'm sorry, I didn't hear you. A. The Grand Cherokee appears to have a body that's high and the bullet vehicle appears to have a body that's low and I don't know why that is. Q. So you think that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	believed was improper. And they failed a bolt, the head of a bolt, on a seat belt anchorage and then wanted Chrysler to recall them. Chrysler pointed out it was tested wrong. And then Chrysler did a stunt of putting a crane through the windshield of a car and lifting the entire car with the bolt to demonstrate how strong it was. And NHTSA stood their ground and ordered a recall and Chrysler stood their ground and said we're not doing it. And frankly, I don't know what happened beyond that. Q. Was it ever Chrysler's position	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that photograph? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I'd have to know the background of the vehicles. The Grand Cherokee appears to have a body that's high in here. Q. I'm sorry, I didn't hear you. A. The Grand Cherokee appears to have a body that's high and the bullet vehicle appears to have a body that's low and I don't know why that is. Q. So you think that A. But I understand the concept.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	believed was improper. And they failed a bolt, the head of a bolt, on a seat belt anchorage and then wanted Chrysler to recall them. Chrysler pointed out it was tested wrong. And then Chrysler did a stunt of putting a crane through the windshield of a car and lifting the entire car with the bolt to demonstrate how strong it was. And NHTSA stood their ground and ordered a recall and Chrysler stood their ground and said we're not doing it. And frankly, I don't know what happened beyond that. Q. Was it ever Chrysler's position that the law says all you have to do is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that photograph? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I'd have to know the background of the vehicles. The Grand Cherokee appears to have a body that's high in here. Q. I'm sorry, I didn't hear you. A. The Grand Cherokee appears to have a body that's high and the bullet vehicle appears to have a body that's low and I don't know why that is. Q. So you think that A. But I understand the concept. This appears to be under-ride.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	believed was improper. And they failed a bolt, the head of a bolt, on a seat belt anchorage and then wanted Chrysler to recall them. Chrysler pointed out it was tested wrong. And then Chrysler did a stunt of putting a crane through the windshield of a car and lifting the entire car with the bolt to demonstrate how strong it was. And NHTSA stood their ground and ordered a recall and Chrysler stood their ground and said we're not doing it. And frankly, I don't know what happened beyond that. Q. Was it ever Chrysler's position that the law says all you have to do is pass?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that photograph? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I'd have to know the background of the vehicles. The Grand Cherokee appears to have a body that's high in here. Q. I'm sorry, I didn't hear you. A. The Grand Cherokee appears to have a body that's high and the bullet vehicle appears to have a body that's low and I don't know why that is. Q. So you think that A. But I understand the concept. This appears to be under-ride. Q. Do you think it's you think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	believed was improper. And they failed a bolt, the head of a bolt, on a seat belt anchorage and then wanted Chrysler to recall them. Chrysler pointed out it was tested wrong. And then Chrysler did a stunt of putting a crane through the windshield of a car and lifting the entire car with the bolt to demonstrate how strong it was. And NHTSA stood their ground and ordered a recall and Chrysler stood their ground and said we're not doing it. And frankly, I don't know what happened beyond that. Q. Was it ever Chrysler's position that the law says all you have to do is pass? MR. BRADLEY: Please note my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that photograph? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I'd have to know the background of the vehicles. The Grand Cherokee appears to have a body that's high in here. Q. I'm sorry, I didn't hear you. A. The Grand Cherokee appears to have a body that's high and the bullet vehicle appears to have a body that's low and I don't know why that is. Q. So you think that A. But I understand the concept. This appears to be under-ride. Q. Do you think it's you think that photograph is not accurately
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	believed was improper. And they failed a bolt, the head of a bolt, on a seat belt anchorage and then wanted Chrysler to recall them. Chrysler pointed out it was tested wrong. And then Chrysler did a stunt of putting a crane through the windshield of a car and lifting the entire car with the bolt to demonstrate how strong it was. And NHTSA stood their ground and ordered a recall and Chrysler stood their ground and said we're not doing it. And frankly, I don't know what happened beyond that. Q. Was it ever Chrysler's position that the law says all you have to do is pass? MR. BRADLEY: Please note my continuing objection to this questioning. Q. As far as you know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that photograph? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I'd have to know the background of the vehicles. The Grand Cherokee appears to have a body that's high in here. Q. I'm sorry, I didn't hear you. A. The Grand Cherokee appears to have a body that's high and the bullet vehicle appears to have a body that's low and I don't know why that is. Q. So you think that A. But I understand the concept. This appears to be under-ride. Q. Do you think it's you think that photograph is not accurately depicting real life, the real life Jeep
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	believed was improper. And they failed a bolt, the head of a bolt, on a seat belt anchorage and then wanted Chrysler to recall them. Chrysler pointed out it was tested wrong. And then Chrysler did a stunt of putting a crane through the windshield of a car and lifting the entire car with the bolt to demonstrate how strong it was. And NHTSA stood their ground and ordered a recall and Chrysler stood their ground and said we're not doing it. And frankly, I don't know what happened beyond that. Q. Was it ever Chrysler's position that the law says all you have to do is pass? MR. BRADLEY: Please note my continuing objection to this questioning. Q. As far as you know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that photograph? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I'd have to know the background of the vehicles. The Grand Cherokee appears to have a body that's high in here. Q. I'm sorry, I didn't hear you. A. The Grand Cherokee appears to have a body that's high and the bullet vehicle appears to have a body that's low and I don't know why that is. Q. So you think that A. But I understand the concept. This appears to be under-ride. Q. Do you think it's you think that photograph is not accurately depicting real life, the real life Jeep and the real life that's another
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	believed was improper. And they failed a bolt, the head of a bolt, on a seat belt anchorage and then wanted Chrysler to recall them. Chrysler pointed out it was tested wrong. And then Chrysler did a stunt of putting a crane through the windshield of a car and lifting the entire car with the bolt to demonstrate how strong it was. And NHTSA stood their ground and ordered a recall and Chrysler stood their ground and said we're not doing it. And frankly, I don't know what happened beyond that. Q. Was it ever Chrysler's position that the law says all you have to do is pass? MR. BRADLEY: Please note my continuing objection to this questioning. Q. As far as you know. A. No. You have to pass but you have to do that with some level of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that photograph? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I'd have to know the background of the vehicles. The Grand Cherokee appears to have a body that's high in here. Q. I'm sorry, I didn't hear you. A. The Grand Cherokee appears to have a body that's high and the bullet vehicle appears to have a body that's low and I don't know why that is. Q. So you think that A. But I understand the concept. This appears to be under-ride. Q. Do you think it's you think that photograph is not accurately depicting real life, the real life Jeep and the real life that's another Chrysler vehicle in that photograph, isn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	believed was improper. And they failed a bolt, the head of a bolt, on a seat belt anchorage and then wanted Chrysler to recall them. Chrysler pointed out it was tested wrong. And then Chrysler did a stunt of putting a crane through the windshield of a car and lifting the entire car with the bolt to demonstrate how strong it was. And NHTSA stood their ground and ordered a recall and Chrysler stood their ground and said we're not doing it. And frankly, I don't know what happened beyond that. Q. Was it ever Chrysler's position that the law says all you have to do is pass? MR. BRADLEY: Please note my continuing objection to this questioning. Q. As far as you know. A. No. You have to pass but you have to do that with some level of redundancy.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that photograph? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I'd have to know the background of the vehicles. The Grand Cherokee appears to have a body that's high in here. Q. I'm sorry, I didn't hear you. A. The Grand Cherokee appears to have a body that's high and the bullet vehicle appears to have a body that's low and I don't know why that is. Q. So you think that A. But I understand the concept. This appears to be under-ride. Q. Do you think it's you think that photograph is not accurately depicting real life, the real life Jeep and the real life that's another Chrysler vehicle in that photograph, isn't it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	believed was improper. And they failed a bolt, the head of a bolt, on a seat belt anchorage and then wanted Chrysler to recall them. Chrysler pointed out it was tested wrong. And then Chrysler did a stunt of putting a crane through the windshield of a car and lifting the entire car with the bolt to demonstrate how strong it was. And NHTSA stood their ground and ordered a recall and Chrysler stood their ground and said we're not doing it. And frankly, I don't know what happened beyond that. Q. Was it ever Chrysler's position that the law says all you have to do is pass? MR. BRADLEY: Please note my continuing objection to this questioning. Q. As far as you know. A. No. You have to pass but you have to do that with some level of redundancy. MS. DE FILIPPO: Can you mark this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that photograph? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I'd have to know the background of the vehicles. The Grand Cherokee appears to have a body that's high in here. Q. I'm sorry, I didn't hear you. A. The Grand Cherokee appears to have a body that's high and the bullet vehicle appears to have a body that's low and I don't know why that is. Q. So you think that A. But I understand the concept. This appears to be under-ride. Q. Do you think it's you think that photograph is not accurately depicting real life, the real life Jeep and the real life that's another Chrysler vehicle in that photograph, isn't it? A. I don't know. I don't know what it is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	believed was improper. And they failed a bolt, the head of a bolt, on a seat belt anchorage and then wanted Chrysler to recall them. Chrysler pointed out it was tested wrong. And then Chrysler did a stunt of putting a crane through the windshield of a car and lifting the entire car with the bolt to demonstrate how strong it was. And NHTSA stood their ground and ordered a recall and Chrysler stood their ground and said we're not doing it. And frankly, I don't know what happened beyond that. Q. Was it ever Chrysler's position that the law says all you have to do is pass? MR. BRADLEY: Please note my continuing objection to this questioning. Q. As far as you know. A. No. You have to pass but you have to do that with some level of redundancy.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that photograph? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I'd have to know the background of the vehicles. The Grand Cherokee appears to have a body that's high in here. Q. I'm sorry, I didn't hear you. A. The Grand Cherokee appears to have a body that's high and the bullet vehicle appears to have a body that's low and I don't know why that is. Q. So you think that A. But I understand the concept. This appears to be under-ride. Q. Do you think it's you think that photograph is not accurately depicting real life, the real life Jeep and the real life that's another Chrysler vehicle in that photograph, isn't it? A. I don't know. I don't know what it is.

	Page 182		Page 184
1	A. It looks like a Cloud vehicle but	1	objection. I'm not sure if they're from
1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2	the same
2	I'm not sure. I don't see a name plate on	3	MS. DE FILIPPO: Would you stop
3	it.	3 4	telling him why you're objecting? You're
4	Q. Is it a Stratus maybe?		objecting to form, or not.
5	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	5 6	• •
6	objection.		MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
7	Q. Are you saying that the	7 8	objection. MS. DE FILIPPO: All your
8	photograph in some way doesn't accurately	9	objections are preserved.
9	depict the Jeep and the Stratus?	10	THE WITNESS: Yeah, this appears
10	A. No. What I'm saying is that I	11	the color one is deeper engagement than
11	can't tell you that this Jeep and this	12	the black and white.
12	bullet car are production type vehicles	13	Q. So what does that mean to you
13	because one appears to be high, one	14	looking at it?
14	appears to be low.	15	
15	Q. When you say that are you talking	1	
16	about higher than they appear in real	16 17	Q. So you can tell there's more of an under-ride in the color one. However,
17	life?	18	·
18	A. Yes.	19	this one is in the process of under-rision
19	Q. And lower than it appears in real	20	(sic), so to speak?
20	life?	21	A. It may under-ride, yeah. It's
21	A. Look at the spacing between the	21	starting to.
22	wheel and the body here.	23	Q. We talked about in your last deposition a woman named Ginny Fischbach.
23	Q. But Mr. Banta, this is already		
24	under-riding. In other words, they're not	24	Do you remember that? A. I do.
25	nose to butt. The Stratus, if you will,	25	A. 1 do.
	Page 183		Page 185
1	the vehicle on the left is already	1	Q. At the time we did not have her
2	under-riding. Correct?	2	you said she gave a power point
3	A. That's quite under.	3	presentation at some point in time. You
4	Q. So when a vehicle goes under	4	knew her and she talked about testing for
5	another vehicle, wouldn't you expect that	5	fuel system integrity in that power point
6	the target vehicle would look a little	6	presentation. Correct?
7	higher?	7	A. Yes.
8	MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.	8	MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.
9	THE WITNESS: The question was,	9	MS. DE FILIPPO: I just want to
10	is that classic under-ride. I don't know.	10	mark that document and make sure that
11	It's only into the fascia. I don't know	11	we're talking about the same thing.
12	what would happen if it kept on going. I	12	Q. I'm going to show you a document
13	just don't know. Do you have more? Do you	13	which was marked at the Castaing dep on
14	have	14	June 14th as Exhibit 9. It's in a bound
15	Q. I think the Insurance Institute	15	book. I can take it out if you need to,
16	For Highway Safety does. I mean that's	16	otherwise you can just look through it.
17	where it came from. I just researched it	17	MR. BRADLEY: Exhibit 9 in the
18	because in Banta on 6-28-12 we marked	18	Castaing dep?
19	Banta-4 and it was a little fuzzy and you	19	MS. DE FILIPPO: Yes.
20	said something about that so I thought,	20	Q. Is that what we were talking
21	well, this is much clearer.	21	about in terms of your bullet point
22	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	22	presentation?
23	objection.	23	A. Yes.
24	Q. For the concept of under-ride.	24	Q. You were aware of that. Correct?
25	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	25	A. My notes reflected I was looking
2.5			

	Page 186		Page 188
1	for it. I couldn't find it. You have it.	1	objection.
2	Q. I noticed that we had produced it	2	THE WITNESS: It was a real
3	in a different deposition.	3	concern. Yes. It was a genuine concern.
4	A. Yeah, this is the one. She	4	Q. And there's also another section
5	actually taught a class on this.	5	there that says, "No design change is
6	Q. So you were aware of that when,	6	negligible."
7	what year?	7	Can you tell me what you think
8	A. Early nineties maybe.	8	she meant by that?
		9	A. Yeah.
9	Q. Or early nineties because if you look at that page, the rules were going to	10	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
10		11	objection.
11	come.	12	THE WITNESS: If you make a
12	A. '97, yeah.	13	design change, you have to do it
13	Q. So it's probably in the early		thoroughly, completely and properly. You
14	nineties?	14	can't treat a design change as a casual
15	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	15	0 0
16	objection.	16	thing.
17	THE WITNESS: I think, yes.	17	Q. What does that mean to you, that
18	Q. Now, in that document there is a	18	if you make a design change, you need to
19	notation that says, "Be careful not to	19	test for that?
20	discount as anomaly."	20	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
21	MR. BRADLEY: Do you know what	21	objection.
22	page?	22	THE WITNESS: Not necessarily.
23	THE WITNESS: Test issues and	23	Depends on the nature of it. That's a
24	post test inspection?	24	judgement of the test engineer.
25	Q. "Be careful not to discount as	25	Q. If the test engineer believes a
	Page 187		Page 189
1	anomaly." What does that mean?	1	design change is significant and says
2	A. When you evaluate the post test	2	that, these are significant design
3	vehicle and you look at something and say,	3	changes, then would you agree that the
4	I'm not happy with that, it doesn't look	4	vehicle should be tested with those
5	good, maybe your partner might say or the	5	changes?
1	guy next to you, that's an anomaly, it	6	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
6	•	7	objection to form.
7	doesn't happen all the time.	8	THE WITNESS: If the design
8	Q. It's okay to dismiss it?	U	
	A Till a war was a stable test and	a	-
9	A. It's a non repeatable test and	9	change is believed to have an effect on
10	she's pointing out the ills of doing that.	10	change is believed to have an effect on compliance then it must be retested.
10 11	she's pointing out the ills of doing that. Q. Don't do that?	10 11	change is believed to have an effect on compliance then it must be retested. Q. In your report, in any of your
10 11 12	she's pointing out the ills of doing that. Q. Don't do that? A. That's right.	10 11 12	change is believed to have an effect on compliance then it must be retested. Q. In your report, in any of your reports did you ever indicate anywhere
10 11 12 13	she's pointing out the ills of doing that.Q. Don't do that?A. That's right.Q. So the standard is you don't do	10 11 12 13	change is believed to have an effect on compliance then it must be retested. Q. In your report, in any of your reports did you ever indicate anywhere that the Jeep was hit twice in the rear by
10 11 12	she's pointing out the ills of doing that. Q. Don't do that? A. That's right. Q. So the standard is you don't do that?	10 11 12 13 14	change is believed to have an effect on compliance then it must be retested. Q. In your report, in any of your reports did you ever indicate anywhere that the Jeep was hit twice in the rear by the Sienna?
10 11 12 13	she's pointing out the ills of doing that. Q. Don't do that? A. That's right. Q. So the standard is you don't do that? A. That's right.	10 11 12 13 14 15	change is believed to have an effect on compliance then it must be retested. Q. In your report, in any of your reports did you ever indicate anywhere that the Jeep was hit twice in the rear by the Sienna? A. I don't know. We went through
10 11 12 13 14	she's pointing out the ills of doing that. Q. Don't do that? A. That's right. Q. So the standard is you don't do that?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	change is believed to have an effect on compliance then it must be retested. Q. In your report, in any of your reports did you ever indicate anywhere that the Jeep was hit twice in the rear by the Sienna? A. I don't know. We went through this once before.
10 11 12 13 14 15	she's pointing out the ills of doing that. Q. Don't do that? A. That's right. Q. So the standard is you don't do that? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	change is believed to have an effect on compliance then it must be retested. Q. In your report, in any of your reports did you ever indicate anywhere that the Jeep was hit twice in the rear by the Sienna? A. I don't know. We went through this once before. Q. No, I don't think we did the two
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	she's pointing out the ills of doing that. Q. Don't do that? A. That's right. Q. So the standard is you don't do that? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Does that mean to you that if you	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	change is believed to have an effect on compliance then it must be retested. Q. In your report, in any of your reports did you ever indicate anywhere that the Jeep was hit twice in the rear by the Sienna? A. I don't know. We went through this once before. Q. No, I don't think we did the two time hit. I'm trying to figure out if you
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	she's pointing out the ills of doing that. Q. Don't do that? A. That's right. Q. So the standard is you don't do that? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	change is believed to have an effect on compliance then it must be retested. Q. In your report, in any of your reports did you ever indicate anywhere that the Jeep was hit twice in the rear by the Sienna? A. I don't know. We went through this once before. Q. No, I don't think we did the two time hit. I'm trying to figure out if you said in your report if the Jeep was hit
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	she's pointing out the ills of doing that. Q. Don't do that? A. That's right. Q. So the standard is you don't do that? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Does that mean to you that if you	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	change is believed to have an effect on compliance then it must be retested. Q. In your report, in any of your reports did you ever indicate anywhere that the Jeep was hit twice in the rear by the Sienna? A. I don't know. We went through this once before. Q. No, I don't think we did the two time hit. I'm trying to figure out if you
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	she's pointing out the ills of doing that. Q. Don't do that? A. That's right. Q. So the standard is you don't do that? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Does that mean to you that if you find a problem like you described when you look at the test or the test results and	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	change is believed to have an effect on compliance then it must be retested. Q. In your report, in any of your reports did you ever indicate anywhere that the Jeep was hit twice in the rear by the Sienna? A. I don't know. We went through this once before. Q. No, I don't think we did the two time hit. I'm trying to figure out if you said in your report if the Jeep was hit
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	she's pointing out the ills of doing that. Q. Don't do that? A. That's right. Q. So the standard is you don't do that? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Does that mean to you that if you find a problem like you described when you look at the test or the test results and you see something that is a problem or	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	change is believed to have an effect on compliance then it must be retested. Q. In your report, in any of your reports did you ever indicate anywhere that the Jeep was hit twice in the rear by the Sienna? A. I don't know. We went through this once before. Q. No, I don't think we did the two time hit. I'm trying to figure out if you said in your report if the Jeep was hit twice.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	she's pointing out the ills of doing that. Q. Don't do that? A. That's right. Q. So the standard is you don't do that? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Does that mean to you that if you find a problem like you described when you look at the test or the test results and you see something that is a problem or potential problem, you don't say, oh,	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	change is believed to have an effect on compliance then it must be retested. Q. In your report, in any of your reports did you ever indicate anywhere that the Jeep was hit twice in the rear by the Sienna? A. I don't know. We went through this once before. Q. No, I don't think we did the two time hit. I'm trying to figure out if you said in your report if the Jeep was hit twice. MR. BRADLEY: But you said specifically in the rear. MS. DE FILIPPO: Yes, in the rear.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	she's pointing out the ills of doing that. Q. Don't do that? A. That's right. Q. So the standard is you don't do that? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Does that mean to you that if you find a problem like you described when you look at the test or the test results and you see something that is a problem or	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	change is believed to have an effect on compliance then it must be retested. Q. In your report, in any of your reports did you ever indicate anywhere that the Jeep was hit twice in the rear by the Sienna? A. I don't know. We went through this once before. Q. No, I don't think we did the two time hit. I'm trying to figure out if you said in your report if the Jeep was hit twice. MR. BRADLEY: But you said specifically in the rear.

	Page 190		Page 192
1	MS. DE FILIPPO: Hit twice in the	1	A. Yes. That's the same vehicle we
2	rear.	2	were talking about earlier.
3	THE WITNESS: I don't remember.	3	Q. That's exactly right.
4	Q. Okay.	4	A. Yes.
5	A. I think I did in the second	5	Q. I want you to look at these
6	supplemental.	6	photographs. I think I only have one of
7	Q. You think you said it was hit	7	each. Maybe I have two.
8	twice?	8	MR. BRADLEY: Can we just mark
9	MR. BRADLEY: In the rear?	9	these?
10	THE WITNESS: Yeah.	10	MS. DE FILIPPO: Yeah. Why don't
11	Q. Does it matter to you?	11	we mark them. Why don't we mark the
12	A. No, I'm sorry. There was a	12	packet. Let's just do that. Let's mark
13	second collision. There was a rear and	13	the packet of these because it will be
14	then a front rear.	14	obvious what it is. You can tell what it
15	Q. But not two rear?	15	is.
16	A. No.	16	
17	Q. Now, in the rear end collision	17	(Packet of photos is received and
18	A. Are we done with this?	18	marked Banta-35 for identification.)
19	Q. Yes. You've indicated this is in	19	, in the second
20	fact the document that you read and that	20	MS. DE FILIPPO: Camera stills
21	was a viable document that Chrysler tested	21	taken from the CARCO test at 40 miles an
22	to or at least was taught to test?	22	hour.
23	A. Yes.	23	Q. I want you to look at camera view
24	MR. BRADLEY: Objection.	24	one. One is minus 50. These are
25	THE WITNESS: And I had that in my	25	milliseconds. Right? These are
25			Page 193
	Page 191	-	
1	notes and I looked for it and I could not	1	milliseconds?
2	find it.	2	A. Probably. On Thatla have they do it. Bight?
3	MS. DE FILIPPO: But I will give	3	Q. That's how they do it. Right?A. I didn't take this but I would
4	you a copy.	4	- ***
5	THE WITNESS: I would love to have	5	expect it to be.
6	it. If you have it electronically I	6	Q. So if you look at what I want
7	prefer it that way, but I'll take paper.	7	you to look at, if you look at where the
8	MS. DE FILIPPO: Okay. I'll look	8	car is, the Jeep, this is a Grand Cherokee
9	for the electronic.	9	'96. Correct?
10	THE WITNESS: Is that dated? Is	10	A. I don't know.
11	there a date on it.	11	Q. I'm going to represent to you
12	MS. DE FILIPPO: I don't know.	12	it's a '96.
13	Are you allowed to ask me any questions?	13	A. Okay.
14	THE WITNESS: Am I.	14	Q. ZJ. And I want you to look at
15	MS. DE FILIPPO: How about if we	15	the photograph, first of all, to see a
16	look at it later. Is that okay?	16	vantage point. The vantage point I want
17	THE WITNESS: That's fine.	17	you to look at is the crane in the middle
18	MS. DE FILIPPO: Because your	18	because I'm going to ask you questions
19	counsel wants you out of here by five.	19	about it.
20	THE WITNESS: Okay.	20	So if you look at this crane and
21	Q. Mr. Banta, I want to show you	21	you see where the car is in relation to
22	some photographs which I'm going to	22	the crane
23	represent to you I have taken from the	23	A. Right.
24	video of the CARCO test as still	24	Q. So at minus .05 milliseconds
25	photographs.	25	before any crash occurs, that Jeep is in
123	1 0 1		

	Page 194		Page 196
1	the position where it's in vis-à-vis the	1	A. They're touching.
2	crane. Correct?	2	Q. And but we know from that same
3	A. Yes.	3	time frame, camera view one, that the Jeep
4	MR. BRADLEY: Objection.	4	hasn't moved. Correct?
5	Q. In camera view two you see the	5	A. Yes.
6	exact same position because it's the exact	6	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
7	same time.	7	objection.
8	MR. BRADLEY: Objection.	8	Q. And when you look at .052, the
9	Q. And no damage has occurred and	9	next one
10	nothing has happened at that point.	10	A. Plus 052?
11	Correct?	11	Q. Correct.
12	A. Yes.	12	A. They're colliding now.
13	Q. What you know is going to happen,	13	Q. Are you calling that under-ride?
14	because you've already seen this, is that	14	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
15	the bullet vehicle is going to strike the	15	objection.
16	Jeep at 40 miles an hour.	16	THE WITNESS: There is a slight
17	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	17	under-ride component. This is not classic
18	objection.	18	under-ride but there is a slight
19	Q. Correct? That's what you know	19	under-ride component. Could I see 00
20	from the film?	20	again?
21	A. I know the hit's coming.	21	MR. BRADLEY: No. She's just
22	Q. So now if you look at 000, which	22	asking from this photograph.
23	is the next set of camera views, I see, at	23	Q. I just want you to look at this
24	least what I see in that photograph is the	24	photograph.
25	Jeep and the Taurus like just about	25	So you're saying there's a slight
23	Jeep and the Taurus fike just about	25	50 you're saying more's a slight
1	Page 195		Page 197
	- my		Page 197
1	kissing. Correct?	1	under-ride component to 052 on camera view
1 2		1 2	under-ride component to 052 on camera view one. Correct?
1	kissing. Correct?		under-ride component to 052 on camera view one. Correct? A. But this is not classic
2	kissing. Correct? A. Yes, they're touching.	2	under-ride component to 052 on camera view one. Correct?
2 3	kissing. Correct? A. Yes, they're touching. Q. They're touching. If you look at	2	under-ride component to 052 on camera view one. Correct? A. But this is not classic
2 3 4	kissing. Correct? A. Yes, they're touching. Q. They're touching. If you look at the vantage point, nobody has moved in	2 3 4	under-ride component to 052 on camera view one. Correct? A. But this is not classic under-ride.
2 3 4 5	kissing. Correct? A. Yes, they're touching. Q. They're touching. If you look at the vantage point, nobody has moved in terms of the Jeep. The Jeep has not	2 3 4 5	under-ride component to 052 on camera view one. Correct? A. But this is not classic under-ride. Q. Okay. Why?
2 3 4 5 6	kissing. Correct? A. Yes, they're touching. Q. They're touching. If you look at the vantage point, nobody has moved in terms of the Jeep. The Jeep has not moved?	2 3 4 5 6	under-ride component to 052 on camera view one. Correct? A. But this is not classic under-ride. Q. Okay. Why? A. Because classic under-ride lifts
2 3 4 5 6 7	kissing. Correct? A. Yes, they're touching. Q. They're touching. If you look at the vantage point, nobody has moved in terms of the Jeep. The Jeep has not moved? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	2 3 4 5 6 7	under-ride component to 052 on camera view one. Correct? A. But this is not classic under-ride. Q. Okay. Why? A. Because classic under-ride lifts the target up in the air. You literally
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	kissing. Correct? A. Yes, they're touching. Q. They're touching. If you look at the vantage point, nobody has moved in terms of the Jeep. The Jeep has not moved? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	under-ride component to 052 on camera view one. Correct? A. But this is not classic under-ride. Q. Okay. Why? A. Because classic under-ride lifts the target up in the air. You literally go under it and lift it up in the air.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	kissing. Correct? A. Yes, they're touching. Q. They're touching. If you look at the vantage point, nobody has moved in terms of the Jeep. The Jeep has not moved? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	under-ride component to 052 on camera view one. Correct? A. But this is not classic under-ride. Q. Okay. Why? A. Because classic under-ride lifts the target up in the air. You literally go under it and lift it up in the air. Q. I want you to look at this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	kissing. Correct? A. Yes, they're touching. Q. They're touching. If you look at the vantage point, nobody has moved in terms of the Jeep. The Jeep has not moved? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Right? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	under-ride component to 052 on camera view one. Correct? A. But this is not classic under-ride. Q. Okay. Why? A. Because classic under-ride lifts the target up in the air. You literally go under it and lift it up in the air. Q. I want you to look at this collision at 40 miles an hour. And look
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	kissing. Correct? A. Yes, they're touching. Q. They're touching. If you look at the vantage point, nobody has moved in terms of the Jeep. The Jeep has not moved? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Right? A. Yes. Q. Now, the Jeep has dummies in it. You see them. Right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	under-ride component to 052 on camera view one. Correct? A. But this is not classic under-ride. Q. Okay. Why? A. Because classic under-ride lifts the target up in the air. You literally go under it and lift it up in the air. Q. I want you to look at this collision at 40 miles an hour. And look at the Jeep.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	kissing. Correct? A. Yes, they're touching. Q. They're touching. If you look at the vantage point, nobody has moved in terms of the Jeep. The Jeep has not moved? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Right? A. Yes. Q. Now, the Jeep has dummies in it. You see them. Right? A. Anthropomorphic models.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	under-ride component to 052 on camera view one. Correct? A. But this is not classic under-ride. Q. Okay. Why? A. Because classic under-ride lifts the target up in the air. You literally go under it and lift it up in the air. Q. I want you to look at this collision at 40 miles an hour. And look at the Jeep. Now, if you look at the Jeep you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	kissing. Correct? A. Yes, they're touching. Q. They're touching. If you look at the vantage point, nobody has moved in terms of the Jeep. The Jeep has not moved? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Right? A. Yes. Q. Now, the Jeep has dummies in it. You see them. Right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	under-ride component to 052 on camera view one. Correct? A. But this is not classic under-ride. Q. Okay. Why? A. Because classic under-ride lifts the target up in the air. You literally go under it and lift it up in the air. Q. I want you to look at this collision at 40 miles an hour. And look at the Jeep. Now, if you look at the Jeep you see there's defamation of the Jeep.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	kissing. Correct? A. Yes, they're touching. Q. They're touching. If you look at the vantage point, nobody has moved in terms of the Jeep. The Jeep has not moved? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Right? A. Yes. Q. Now, the Jeep has dummies in it. You see them. Right? A. Anthropomorphic models. Q. And they're there?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	under-ride component to 052 on camera view one. Correct? A. But this is not classic under-ride. Q. Okay. Why? A. Because classic under-ride lifts the target up in the air. You literally go under it and lift it up in the air. Q. I want you to look at this collision at 40 miles an hour. And look at the Jeep. Now, if you look at the Jeep you see there's defamation of the Jeep. There's a lot of crush. Correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	kissing. Correct? A. Yes, they're touching. Q. They're touching. If you look at the vantage point, nobody has moved in terms of the Jeep. The Jeep has not moved? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Right? A. Yes. Q. Now, the Jeep has dummies in it. You see them. Right? A. Anthropomorphic models. Q. And they're there? A. They appear to be.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	under-ride component to 052 on camera view one. Correct? A. But this is not classic under-ride. Q. Okay. Why? A. Because classic under-ride lifts the target up in the air. You literally go under it and lift it up in the air. Q. I want you to look at this collision at 40 miles an hour. And look at the Jeep. Now, if you look at the Jeep you see there's defamation of the Jeep. There's a lot of crush. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	kissing. Correct? A. Yes, they're touching. Q. They're touching. If you look at the vantage point, nobody has moved in terms of the Jeep. The Jeep has not moved? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Right? A. Yes. Q. Now, the Jeep has dummies in it. You see them. Right? A. Anthropomorphic models. Q. And they're there? A. They appear to be. Q. You see their silhouettes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	under-ride component to 052 on camera view one. Correct? A. But this is not classic under-ride. Q. Okay. Why? A. Because classic under-ride lifts the target up in the air. You literally go under it and lift it up in the air. Q. I want you to look at this collision at 40 miles an hour. And look at the Jeep. Now, if you look at the Jeep you see there's defamation of the Jeep. There's a lot of crush. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. Q. There's crush in that area where
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	kissing. Correct? A. Yes, they're touching. Q. They're touching. If you look at the vantage point, nobody has moved in terms of the Jeep. The Jeep has not moved? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Right? A. Yes. Q. Now, the Jeep has dummies in it. You see them. Right? A. Anthropomorphic models. Q. And they're there? A. They appear to be. Q. You see their silhouettes. Correct? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	under-ride component to 052 on camera view one. Correct? A. But this is not classic under-ride. Q. Okay. Why? A. Because classic under-ride lifts the target up in the air. You literally go under it and lift it up in the air. Q. I want you to look at this collision at 40 miles an hour. And look at the Jeep. Now, if you look at the Jeep you see there's defamation of the Jeep. There's a lot of crush. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. Q. There's crush in that area where the tank is. Correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	kissing. Correct? A. Yes, they're touching. Q. They're touching. If you look at the vantage point, nobody has moved in terms of the Jeep. The Jeep has not moved? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Right? A. Yes. Q. Now, the Jeep has dummies in it. You see them. Right? A. Anthropomorphic models. Q. And they're there? A. They appear to be. Q. You see their silhouettes. Correct? A. Yes. Q. And when you look at the next	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	under-ride component to 052 on camera view one. Correct? A. But this is not classic under-ride. Q. Okay. Why? A. Because classic under-ride lifts the target up in the air. You literally go under it and lift it up in the air. Q. I want you to look at this collision at 40 miles an hour. And look at the Jeep. Now, if you look at the Jeep you see there's defamation of the Jeep. There's a lot of crush. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. Q. There's crush in that area where the tank is. Correct? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	kissing. Correct? A. Yes, they're touching. Q. They're touching. If you look at the vantage point, nobody has moved in terms of the Jeep. The Jeep has not moved? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Right? A. Yes. Q. Now, the Jeep has dummies in it. You see them. Right? A. Anthropomorphic models. Q. And they're there? A. They appear to be. Q. You see their silhouettes. Correct? A. Yes. Q. And when you look at the next camera view two, it shows you that they're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	under-ride component to 052 on camera view one. Correct? A. But this is not classic under-ride. Q. Okay. Why? A. Because classic under-ride lifts the target up in the air. You literally go under it and lift it up in the air. Q. I want you to look at this collision at 40 miles an hour. And look at the Jeep. Now, if you look at the Jeep you see there's defamation of the Jeep. There's a lot of crush. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. Q. There's crush in that area where the tank is. Correct? A. Yes. Q. It looks to me, I mean you can correct me if I'm wrong, that this bullet
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	kissing. Correct? A. Yes, they're touching. Q. They're touching. If you look at the vantage point, nobody has moved in terms of the Jeep. The Jeep has not moved? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Right? A. Yes. Q. Now, the Jeep has dummies in it. You see them. Right? A. Anthropomorphic models. Q. And they're there? A. They appear to be. Q. You see their silhouettes. Correct? A. Yes. Q. And when you look at the next camera view two, it shows you that they're just about touching. Right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	under-ride component to 052 on camera view one. Correct? A. But this is not classic under-ride. Q. Okay. Why? A. Because classic under-ride lifts the target up in the air. You literally go under it and lift it up in the air. Q. I want you to look at this collision at 40 miles an hour. And look at the Jeep. Now, if you look at the Jeep you see there's defamation of the Jeep. There's a lot of crush. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. Q. There's crush in that area where the tank is. Correct? A. Yes. Q. It looks to me, I mean you can correct me if I'm wrong, that this bullet vehicle probably hit the tank?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	kissing. Correct? A. Yes, they're touching. Q. They're touching. If you look at the vantage point, nobody has moved in terms of the Jeep. The Jeep has not moved? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Right? A. Yes. Q. Now, the Jeep has dummies in it. You see them. Right? A. Anthropomorphic models. Q. And they're there? A. They appear to be. Q. You see their silhouettes. Correct? A. Yes. Q. And when you look at the next camera view two, it shows you that they're just about touching. Right? A. I think they are touching.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	under-ride component to 052 on camera view one. Correct? A. But this is not classic under-ride. Q. Okay. Why? A. Because classic under-ride lifts the target up in the air. You literally go under it and lift it up in the air. Q. I want you to look at this collision at 40 miles an hour. And look at the Jeep. Now, if you look at the Jeep you see there's defamation of the Jeep. There's a lot of crush. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. Q. There's crush in that area where the tank is. Correct? A. Yes. Q. It looks to me, I mean you can correct me if I'm wrong, that this bullet vehicle probably hit the tank? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	kissing. Correct? A. Yes, they're touching. Q. They're touching. If you look at the vantage point, nobody has moved in terms of the Jeep. The Jeep has not moved? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Right? A. Yes. Q. Now, the Jeep has dummies in it. You see them. Right? A. Anthropomorphic models. Q. And they're there? A. They appear to be. Q. You see their silhouettes. Correct? A. Yes. Q. And when you look at the next camera view two, it shows you that they're just about touching. Right? A. I think they are touching. Maybe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	under-ride component to 052 on camera view one. Correct? A. But this is not classic under-ride. Q. Okay. Why? A. Because classic under-ride lifts the target up in the air. You literally go under it and lift it up in the air. Q. I want you to look at this collision at 40 miles an hour. And look at the Jeep. Now, if you look at the Jeep you see there's defamation of the Jeep. There's a lot of crush. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. Q. There's crush in that area where the tank is. Correct? A. Yes. Q. It looks to me, I mean you can correct me if I'm wrong, that this bullet vehicle probably hit the tank? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	kissing. Correct? A. Yes, they're touching. Q. They're touching. If you look at the vantage point, nobody has moved in terms of the Jeep. The Jeep has not moved? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Right? A. Yes. Q. Now, the Jeep has dummies in it. You see them. Right? A. Anthropomorphic models. Q. And they're there? A. They appear to be. Q. You see their silhouettes. Correct? A. Yes. Q. And when you look at the next camera view two, it shows you that they're just about touching. Right? A. I think they are touching.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	under-ride component to 052 on camera view one. Correct? A. But this is not classic under-ride. Q. Okay. Why? A. Because classic under-ride lifts the target up in the air. You literally go under it and lift it up in the air. Q. I want you to look at this collision at 40 miles an hour. And look at the Jeep. Now, if you look at the Jeep you see there's defamation of the Jeep. There's a lot of crush. Correct? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. Q. There's crush in that area where the tank is. Correct? A. Yes. Q. It looks to me, I mean you can correct me if I'm wrong, that this bullet vehicle probably hit the tank? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my

			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Page 198		Page 200
1	Q. Well, the tank would be right	1	THE WITNESS: Yes.
2	there where the nose of the vehicle is.	2	Q. So chances are
3	Correct?	3	A. Before impact, the tank would be
4	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	4	behind.
5	objection.	5	Q. Before impact. But now it looks
6	THE WITNESS: Yeah, but it's into	6	like there must have been some engagement
7	the soft part of the front end of the	7	with the tank.
	bullet. What we need is, you know, a	8	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
8 9	later we need an ending of that.	9	objection.
	Q. Give me a minute. The camera	10	THE WITNESS: Something moved.
10		11	Q. But the Jeep itself didn't move.
11	view I want you to look at the vantage	12	The car itself didn't move?
12	point, though. This Jeep has not really	13	A. That's right.
13	moved?		MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
14	A. That's right.	14	- I
15	Q. And it got hit?	15	objection.
16	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	16	Q. Now when you look at .119 and you
17	objection.	17	see the vantage point of the Jeep
18	Q. With this kind of course that you	18	MR. BRADLEY: I don't think we
19	see here. It hasn't moved the Jeep at	19	have that.
20	all. Correct?	20	Q. At 119 milliseconds I'm going
21	A. Correct.	21	to give you mine. 119?
22	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	22	A. Yeah, the Jeep has been moved.
23	objection.	23	We still have more excursion of the
24	THE WITNESS: It's going to,	24	bullet. It looks like the Jeep might be
25	though.	25	going up in the air. I'm not sure but I
	Page 199		Page 201
1	Q. But it didn't at this point.	1	think it probably is.
2	With this hit with this crush there's no	2	Q. So that's full, in your opinion,
3	movement. Correct?	3	if it's up in the air like that, that's
4	A. That's correct.	4	full under-ride at that point. Correct?
5	Q. Now you see camera view two. The	5	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
	same amount of the bullet vehicle, you can	6	objection.
6	see how much of it is underneath the Jeep?	7	THE WITNESS: I think it is. No,
	-	8	I'm sorry. That's not full under-ride but
8	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	9	that's probably full engagement. I don't
9	objection.		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
10	Q. Right?	10	think it's going any deeper.
11	A. Yes.	11	Q. You don't think it's going any
12	Q. Now, 052, camera view three, same	12	deeper at 40 miles an hour?
13	amount of time, you can see more of and a	13	A. No.
14	closer up and you see that the bullet	14	Q. But we're already into the tire.
15	vehicle, the fascia, if you will, of the	15	Correct?
16	bullet vehicle is actually touching the	16	A. Um-hum.
17	tire of the Jeep. Correct?	17	Q. We're already past the back area?
18	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	18	A. We're loading the rear axle.
1		19	 Q. And relative to where the vantage
19	objection.		
ł	objection. THE WITNESS: I think that's	20	point was, movement is not that great?
19	•	20 21	point was, movement is not that great? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.
19 20	THE WITNESS: I think that's	20	point was, movement is not that great? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: That's right.
19 20 21	THE WITNESS: I think that's correct.	20 21	point was, movement is not that great? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.
19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: I think that's correct. Q. So the tank would be behind that	20 21 22	point was, movement is not that great? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: That's right. That's the "typical" compress the golf ball before it takes off.
19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: I think that's correct. Q. So the tank would be behind that tire. Correct?	20 21 22 23	point was, movement is not that great? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: That's right. That's the "typical" compress the golf

	Page 202		Page 204
1	milliseconds. And then the next one,	1	Q. So in this scenario, the tank is
2	camera view two, is the same amount of	2	hit and hit in the fashion that we've
3	time. It's just another view of what you	3	depicted here where most of the rear is
4	see?	4	gone and we're already up to the axle, the
5	A. Yeah.	5	tires. Then it's safe to say that the
6	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	6	tank is going to rupture. That's when
7	objection.	7	it's going to rupture. Correct?
8		8	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
9		9	objection.
1		10	THE WITNESS: Probably. Again,
10	MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.	11	· · · · ·
11	Q. So at 119, under-ride, haven't	12	in this crash.
12	moved very much?	1	Q. In this 40-mile an hour crash,
13	A. There's an under-ride component.	13	yes.
14	It's not pure under-ride but there is an	14	A. Yes.
15	under-ride component.	15	Q. Now, I want to talk about the
16	Q. And we've hit the tank?	16	testing because you said that you went to
17	MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.	17	the test. You were called by Zylik, I
18	THE WITNESS: Yes.	18	think you said, and Teets and whoever was
19	Q. Camera view two at 245, do you	19	doing the testing and you were the fire
20	have that? Still the vehicles are	20	guy that would look at the test results.
21	together. Correct?	21	Correct?
22	A. Yes.	22	A. Yeah.
23	Q. And then at 845, I think you have	23	Q. And the vehicle?
24	that. You see the vehicles are separated?	24	MR. BRADLEY: The CARCO test?
25	A. Yeah.	25	MS. DE FILIPPO: No.
	Page 203		Page 205
1	Q. They separate. Correct?	1	THE WITNESS: Typical crash test.
2	A. Yeah.	2	Q. Yes. Would you agree with me
3	Q. They remained separated. They	3	that the that there is a portion of the
4	never once came together again. Correct?	4	Jeep which is called the crush zone? Crush
5	MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.	5	zone.
6	Q. They remained separated. And	6	MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.
7	when they come to rest, they come to rest	7	Q. Crush zone in a rear end hit.
8	in separate areas?	8	A. Before impact, no. After impact,
	•	9	
9	MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.	10	yes. O Wall in a roor and hit where is
10	Q. At 1598. Correct? Here's 1598.	1	Q. Well, in a rear end hit where is
11	A. Yes.	11	the crush zone?
12	Q. And so you would agree with me	12	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
13	then with very minimal movement of the	13	objection.
14	vehicle itself, the Ford actually shoots	14	Q. After impact.
15	out the initial impact to the Jeep in the	15	A. Whatever is deformed.
16	40-mile an hour crash, engages the tank	16	Q. But do the designers such as
17	before the vehicle actually starts moving	17	Zylik or Teets or the testers refer to an
18	toward the Ford?	18	area of the car that is the crush zone in
19	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	19	general?
20	objection.	20	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
21	Q. Before the separation and before	21	objection.
22	it's actually even going forward.	22	THE WITNESS: Yes.
23	Correct?	23	Q. And if I tell you that Mr. Zylik
24	A. Yes. That's the golf ball	24	said that the crush zone was from the rear
25	compression kinematic energy theory.	25	of the vehicle to the sill of the rear
		<u></u>	

	Page 206		Page 208
1	wheel opening, would you agree with that,	1	opening, where would you where was the
2	that that's the crush zone?	2	crush zone?
3	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	3	ANSWER: This is the crush zone,
4	objection.	4	along with a zone that may have crushed
5	THE WITNESS: From the rear of	5	forward of that area."
6	the vehicle to	6	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
7	Q. Forward to the sill of the rear	7	objection.
8	wheel opening.	8	THE WITNESS: Is he speaking
9	A. No.	9	about the result of a test or a crash?
10	Q. So you don't agree with him?	10	Q. No.
11	A. No.	11	A. What's he talking about?
12	Q. Did you read his deposition?	12	Q. The question started with,
13	A. Mr. Zylik has had a lot of	13	"QUESTION: Is there a point on
14	depositions. In this case?	14	the vehicle where crush does not occur as
15	Q. In this case.	15	a result of the 301 barrier testing in the
16	A. I think I did, yes.	16	ZJ?
17	Q. And did you recall do you	17	ANSWER: Well, crush, we measure
18	recall that he defined crush zone?	18	the overall crush of the vehicle between
19		19	two given points. And within those points
20	A. No, I don't remember that.	20	some areas crush, some areas don't crush.
21	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	21	
22	objection. THE WITNESS: Crush zone defined	22	QUESTION: So what are the points
1		23	you measure? ANSWER: We measure from the rear
23	is many things to many people. If you	24	
24	read Mr. Teets' deposition, for example,	25	of the vehicle. It's usually at the sill
25	he would say that if you ask ten people	23	forward of the rear wheel opening.
	Page 207		Page 209
1	where the crush zone is you might get ten	1	QUESTION: And in the Jeep ZJ when
2	different answers. Generally	1	
1	different answers. Scherally	2	you measure from the rear of the vehicle
3	Q. Did he say that in this case?	2	you measure from the rear of the vehicle to the sill of the rear wheel opening,
3 4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	•
ì	Q. Did he say that in this case?	3	to the sill of the rear wheel opening,
4	Q. Did he say that in this case?A. Yeah, I believe Teets said that in his deposition.	3 4	to the sill of the rear wheel opening, where was the crush zone?
4 5	Q. Did he say that in this case?A. Yeah, I believe Teets said that	3 4 5	to the sill of the rear wheel opening, where was the crush zone? ANSWER: This is the crush zone,
4 5 6	Q. Did he say that in this case?A. Yeah, I believe Teets said that in his deposition.Q. What does Zylik do again?A. Pardon me?	3 4 5 6	to the sill of the rear wheel opening, where was the crush zone? ANSWER: This is the crush zone, along with the zone that may have crushed
4 5 6 7	Q. Did he say that in this case?A. Yeah, I believe Teets said that in his deposition.Q. What does Zylik do again?A. Pardon me?Q. What does he do?	3 4 5 6 7	to the sill of the rear wheel opening, where was the crush zone? ANSWER: This is the crush zone, along with the zone that may have crushed forward."
4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Did he say that in this case? A. Yeah, I believe Teets said that in his deposition. Q. What does Zylik do again? A. Pardon me? Q. What does he do? A. He's a test engineer. 	3 4 5 6 7 8	to the sill of the rear wheel opening, where was the crush zone? ANSWER: This is the crush zone, along with the zone that may have crushed forward." MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection for the record.
4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Did he say that in this case? A. Yeah, I believe Teets said that in his deposition. Q. What does Zylik do again? A. Pardon me? Q. What does he do? A. He's a test engineer. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to the sill of the rear wheel opening, where was the crush zone? ANSWER: This is the crush zone, along with the zone that may have crushed forward." MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Did he say that in this case? A. Yeah, I believe Teets said that in his deposition. Q. What does Zylik do again? A. Pardon me? Q. What does he do? A. He's a test engineer. Q. So if he's looking at a vehicle crush zone and defines it a certain way, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to the sill of the rear wheel opening, where was the crush zone? ANSWER: This is the crush zone, along with the zone that may have crushed forward." MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection for the record. THE WITNESS: He's talking about
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Did he say that in this case? A. Yeah, I believe Teets said that in his deposition. Q. What does Zylik do again? A. Pardon me? Q. What does he do? A. He's a test engineer. Q. So if he's looking at a vehicle crush zone and defines it a certain way, you wouldn't defer to him as the test 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to the sill of the rear wheel opening, where was the crush zone? ANSWER: This is the crush zone, along with the zone that may have crushed forward." MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection for the record. THE WITNESS: He's talking about the resultant of a crash being the crush zone, which is different than a defined
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Did he say that in this case? A. Yeah, I believe Teets said that in his deposition. Q. What does Zylik do again? A. Pardon me? Q. What does he do? A. He's a test engineer. Q. So if he's looking at a vehicle crush zone and defines it a certain way, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to the sill of the rear wheel opening, where was the crush zone? ANSWER: This is the crush zone, along with the zone that may have crushed forward." MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection for the record. THE WITNESS: He's talking about the resultant of a crash being the crush zone, which is different than a defined area prior to a crash.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Did he say that in this case? A. Yeah, I believe Teets said that in his deposition. Q. What does Zylik do again? A. Pardon me? Q. What does he do? A. He's a test engineer. Q. So if he's looking at a vehicle crush zone and defines it a certain way, you wouldn't defer to him as the test engineer? A. No. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to the sill of the rear wheel opening, where was the crush zone? ANSWER: This is the crush zone, along with the zone that may have crushed forward." MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection for the record. THE WITNESS: He's talking about the resultant of a crash being the crush zone, which is different than a defined area prior to a crash. Q. Well, if you were a test engineer
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Did he say that in this case? A. Yeah, I believe Teets said that in his deposition. Q. What does Zylik do again? A. Pardon me? Q. What does he do? A. He's a test engineer. Q. So if he's looking at a vehicle crush zone and defines it a certain way, you wouldn't defer to him as the test engineer? A. No. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to the sill of the rear wheel opening, where was the crush zone? ANSWER: This is the crush zone, along with the zone that may have crushed forward." MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection for the record. THE WITNESS: He's talking about the resultant of a crash being the crush zone, which is different than a defined area prior to a crash. Q. Well, if you were a test engineer and you're measuring the crush in a rear
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Did he say that in this case? A. Yeah, I believe Teets said that in his deposition. Q. What does Zylik do again? A. Pardon me? Q. What does he do? A. He's a test engineer. Q. So if he's looking at a vehicle crush zone and defines it a certain way, you wouldn't defer to him as the test engineer? A. No. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to the sill of the rear wheel opening, where was the crush zone? ANSWER: This is the crush zone, along with the zone that may have crushed forward." MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection for the record. THE WITNESS: He's talking about the resultant of a crash being the crush zone, which is different than a defined area prior to a crash. Q. Well, if you were a test engineer
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Did he say that in this case? A. Yeah, I believe Teets said that in his deposition. Q. What does Zylik do again? A. Pardon me? Q. What does he do? A. He's a test engineer. Q. So if he's looking at a vehicle crush zone and defines it a certain way, you wouldn't defer to him as the test engineer? A. No. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. You wouldn't? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to the sill of the rear wheel opening, where was the crush zone? ANSWER: This is the crush zone, along with the zone that may have crushed forward." MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection for the record. THE WITNESS: He's talking about the resultant of a crash being the crush zone, which is different than a defined area prior to a crash. Q. Well, if you were a test engineer and you're measuring the crush in a rear end hit when you're doing the 301 testing
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Did he say that in this case? A. Yeah, I believe Teets said that in his deposition. Q. What does Zylik do again? A. Pardon me? Q. What does he do? A. He's a test engineer. Q. So if he's looking at a vehicle crush zone and defines it a certain way, you wouldn't defer to him as the test engineer? A. No. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. You wouldn't? A. No. The known crush zone is 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to the sill of the rear wheel opening, where was the crush zone? ANSWER: This is the crush zone, along with the zone that may have crushed forward." MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection for the record. THE WITNESS: He's talking about the resultant of a crash being the crush zone, which is different than a defined area prior to a crash. Q. Well, if you were a test engineer and you're measuring the crush in a rear end hit when you're doing the 301 testing and he's saying he's always measuring from the back of the car to the sill behind the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Did he say that in this case? A. Yeah, I believe Teets said that in his deposition. Q. What does Zylik do again? A. Pardon me? Q. What does he do? A. He's a test engineer. Q. So if he's looking at a vehicle crush zone and defines it a certain way, you wouldn't defer to him as the test engineer? A. No. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. You wouldn't? A. No. The known crush zone is outside the box formed by the frame and 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to the sill of the rear wheel opening, where was the crush zone? ANSWER: This is the crush zone, along with the zone that may have crushed forward." MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection for the record. THE WITNESS: He's talking about the resultant of a crash being the crush zone, which is different than a defined area prior to a crash. Q. Well, if you were a test engineer and you're measuring the crush in a rear end hit when you're doing the 301 testing and he's saying he's always measuring from the back of the car to the sill behind the rear wheel well, he's defining that as the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Did he say that in this case? A. Yeah, I believe Teets said that in his deposition. Q. What does Zylik do again? A. Pardon me? Q. What does he do? A. He's a test engineer. Q. So if he's looking at a vehicle crush zone and defines it a certain way, you wouldn't defer to him as the test engineer? A. No. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. You wouldn't? A. No. The known crush zone is outside the box formed by the frame and the longitudinals. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to the sill of the rear wheel opening, where was the crush zone? ANSWER: This is the crush zone, along with the zone that may have crushed forward." MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection for the record. THE WITNESS: He's talking about the resultant of a crash being the crush zone, which is different than a defined area prior to a crash. Q. Well, if you were a test engineer and you're measuring the crush in a rear end hit when you're doing the 301 testing and he's saying he's always measuring from the back of the car to the sill behind the rear wheel well, he's defining that as the crush zone, wouldn't you agree?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Did he say that in this case? A. Yeah, I believe Teets said that in his deposition. Q. What does Zylik do again? A. Pardon me? Q. What does he do? A. He's a test engineer. Q. So if he's looking at a vehicle crush zone and defines it a certain way, you wouldn't defer to him as the test engineer? A. No. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. You wouldn't? A. No. The known crush zone is outside the box formed by the frame and the longitudinals. Q. Let me read for you what he says 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to the sill of the rear wheel opening, where was the crush zone? ANSWER: This is the crush zone, along with the zone that may have crushed forward." MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection for the record. THE WITNESS: He's talking about the resultant of a crash being the crush zone, which is different than a defined area prior to a crash. Q. Well, if you were a test engineer and you're measuring the crush in a rear end hit when you're doing the 301 testing and he's saying he's always measuring from the back of the car to the sill behind the rear wheel well, he's defining that as the crush zone, wouldn't you agree? A. No. That's the area he measures
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Did he say that in this case? A. Yeah, I believe Teets said that in his deposition. Q. What does Zylik do again? A. Pardon me? Q. What does he do? A. He's a test engineer. Q. So if he's looking at a vehicle crush zone and defines it a certain way, you wouldn't defer to him as the test engineer? A. No. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. You wouldn't? A. No. The known crush zone is outside the box formed by the frame and the longitudinals. Q. Let me read for you what he says on page 52 of his deposition. He says 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to the sill of the rear wheel opening, where was the crush zone? ANSWER: This is the crush zone, along with the zone that may have crushed forward." MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection for the record. THE WITNESS: He's talking about the resultant of a crash being the crush zone, which is different than a defined area prior to a crash. Q. Well, if you were a test engineer and you're measuring the crush in a rear end hit when you're doing the 301 testing and he's saying he's always measuring from the back of the car to the sill behind the rear wheel well, he's defining that as the crush zone, wouldn't you agree? A. No. That's the area he measures to identify the crush zone.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Did he say that in this case? A. Yeah, I believe Teets said that in his deposition. Q. What does Zylik do again? A. Pardon me? Q. What does he do? A. He's a test engineer. Q. So if he's looking at a vehicle crush zone and defines it a certain way, you wouldn't defer to him as the test engineer? A. No. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. You wouldn't? A. No. The known crush zone is outside the box formed by the frame and the longitudinals. Q. Let me read for you what he says on page 52 of his deposition. He says "QUESTION: And in the Jeep ZJ, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to the sill of the rear wheel opening, where was the crush zone? ANSWER: This is the crush zone, along with the zone that may have crushed forward." MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection for the record. THE WITNESS: He's talking about the resultant of a crash being the crush zone, which is different than a defined area prior to a crash. Q. Well, if you were a test engineer and you're measuring the crush in a rear end hit when you're doing the 301 testing and he's saying he's always measuring from the back of the car to the sill behind the rear wheel well, he's defining that as the crush zone, wouldn't you agree? A. No. That's the area he measures to identify the crush zone. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Did he say that in this case? A. Yeah, I believe Teets said that in his deposition. Q. What does Zylik do again? A. Pardon me? Q. What does he do? A. He's a test engineer. Q. So if he's looking at a vehicle crush zone and defines it a certain way, you wouldn't defer to him as the test engineer? A. No. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. You wouldn't? A. No. The known crush zone is outside the box formed by the frame and the longitudinals. Q. Let me read for you what he says on page 52 of his deposition. He says 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to the sill of the rear wheel opening, where was the crush zone? ANSWER: This is the crush zone, along with the zone that may have crushed forward." MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection for the record. THE WITNESS: He's talking about the resultant of a crash being the crush zone, which is different than a defined area prior to a crash. Q. Well, if you were a test engineer and you're measuring the crush in a rear end hit when you're doing the 301 testing and he's saying he's always measuring from the back of the car to the sill behind the rear wheel well, he's defining that as the crush zone, wouldn't you agree? A. No. That's the area he measures to identify the crush zone.

1 test. 2 Q. And the tank is right in that 3 area? 3 Q. Mr. Banta, in test 5208 it say. 4 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 5 objection. 6 THE WITNESS: Yeah, could be. 7 Q. Well, in the ZJ it is. 8 A. Yeah. But my point is when you 9 start with an uncrushed vehicle, an 10 unwrecked vehicle there is no crush zone 11 except to the extent that the areas 12 outside the frame rails and the 13 longitudinals have no protection. So 14 they're vulnerable by their nature. And 15 then after the crush you measure after 16 the crash you measure the area that's 17 deformed and that is the crush zone. But 18 it's not real estate prior to a crash. 19 Q. Don't you, at any time as a 20 design engineer or do you know if the 21 design engineer sconsidered areas where in 22 a collision, whether it be a rear end hit 23 or even a front, where the vehicle could 24 absorb the crush and therefore protect the 25 passenger? 1 identification.) Q. Mr. Banta, in test 5208 it say. primary MVSS 301 validation. Cor A. Yeah, 1995 301 validation. Q. And so what does that mean, validation test? What does that mean, validation test? What does that mean, validation test? What does that mean, validation test? What does that mean, validation test? What does that mean, validation test? What does that mean, validation test? Solve the extent that is run after you have certified the vehicle for compli from time to time you run another to make sure nothing has chang you gather additional test data. Sometimes these vehicles actually has frontal impact and then they'll con right back and hit the rear as a validation because the vehicle is the and it's been prepared. Q. Well, so are you saying that the particular test you're looking at, 520 what's the date that it was run? A. July 26, 1994. Q. So it was run in '94 and it's labeled a '95 validation. What happ the results of a validation test? MR. BRADLEY: Just note measure the mean and the primary MVSS 301 validation. 2 Mell, so are you saying that the particular test you're looking at, 520 what's the da	a ance. est ed. ave had ne re
Q. And the tank is right in that area? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Yeah, could be. Q. Well, in the ZJ it is. A. Yeah. But my point is when you start with an uncrushed vehicle, an unwrecked vehicle there is no crush zone except to the extent that the areas longitudinals have no protection. So they're vulnerable by their nature. And then after the crush you measure — after the crash you measure the area that's deformed and that is the crush zone. But it's not real estate prior to a crash. Q. Don't you, at any time as a design engineers—or do you know if the design engineers considered areas where in a collision, whether it be a rear end hit or even a front, where the vehicle could absorb the crush and therefore protect the passenger? Q. Mr. Banta, in test 5208 it says primary MVSS 301 validation. Cor A. Yeah, 1995 301 validation. Cor A. It's a test that is was run after you gather additional test data. Sometimes these vehicles actually had a frontal impact and then they'll con right back	a ance. est ed. ave had ne re
area? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Yeah, could be. Q. Well, in the ZJ it is. A. Yeah. But my point is when you start with an uncrushed vehicle, an unwrecked vehicle there is no crush zone except to the extent that the areas longitudinals have no protection. So they're vulnerable by their nature. And they re vulnerable by the area that's deformed and that is the crush zone. But it's not real estate prior to a crash. Q. Don't you, at any time as a design engineers considered areas where in a collision, whether it be a rear end hit or even a front, where the vehicle could absorb the crush and therefore protect the passenger? James A. Yeah, 1995 301 validation. Cor A. Yeah, 1995 301 validation. Cor A. Yeah, 1995 301 validation. Q. And so what does that mean, validation test? What does that mean, validation test? What does that mean, validation test? What does that mean, validation test? What does that mean, validation test? What does that mean, validation test? Sometimes these vehicle for compli for compliance and the vehicle for compliance and the vehicle for compliance and the vehicle for compliance and the vehicle for compliance and the vehicle for compliance and the vehicle for compliance and the vehicle for compliance and the vehicle for compliance and the vehicle for compliance and the vehicle for compliance and the vehicle for compliance and the vehicle for compliance and validation test? What does that mean, validation test? What does that mean, validation. Q. Mor. Banta, in test 5208 it say: A. Yeah, 1995 301 validation. Q. Mr. Banta, in test 5208 it say: A. Yeah, 1995 301 validation. Q. From time to time you run another to just to make sure nothing has chang you gather additional test data. Sometimes these vehicles actually has a frontal impact and then they'll con right back and hit the rear as a validation because the vehicle is the and it's been prepared. Q. Well, so are you saying that to what's the date that it was run? A. J	a ance. est ed. ave had ne re
MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Yeah, could be. Q. Well, in the ZJ it is. A. Yeah, But my point is when you start with an uncrushed vehicle, an unwrecked vehicle there is no crush zone leaved to the extent that the areas longitudinals have no protection. So then after the crush you measure — after the crash you measure the area that's for design engineer — or do you know if the leaved absorb the crush and therefore protect the leaved absorb the crush and therefore protect the leaved absorb the crush and therefore protect the leaved absorb the crush and therefore protect my and the results of a validation. Cor A. Yeah, 1995 301 validation. Cor A. Yeah, 1995 301 validation. Cor A. Yeah, 1995 301 validation. Q. And so what does that mean, validation test? What happer the results of a validation. Cor A. Yeah, 1995 301 validation. Cor A. Yeah, 1995 301 validation. Cor A. Yeah, 1995 301 validation. Cor A. Yeah, 1995 301 validation. Cor A. Yeah, 1995 301 validation. Cor A. Yeah, 1995 301 validation. Cor A. Yeah, 1995 301 validation. Cor A. Yeah, 1995 301 validation test? What happer the results of a valid	a ance. est ed. ave had ne re
5 objection. 6 THE WITNESS: Yeah, could be. 7 Q. Well, in the ZJ it is. 8 A. Yeah. But my point is when you 9 start with an uncrushed vehicle, an 10 unwrecked vehicle there is no crush zone 11 except to the extent that the areas 12 outside the frame rails and the 13 longitudinals have no protection. So 14 they're vulnerable by their nature. And 15 then after the crush you measure after 16 the crash you measure the area that's 17 deformed and that is the crush zone. But 18 it's not real estate prior to a crash. 19 Q. Don't you, at any time as a 20 design engineer or do you know if the 21 design engineers considered areas where in 22 a collision, whether it be a rear end hit 23 or even a front, where the vehicle could 24 absorb the crush and therefore protect the 25 passenger? A. Yeah, 1995 301 validation. Q. And so what does that mean, validation test? What does that mean, validation test? What does that mean, validation test? What does that mean, validation test? What does that mean, validation test? What does that mean, validation test? What does that mean, validation test? What does that mean, validation test? What does that mean, validation test? What does that mean, validation test? What does that mean, validation test? What does that mean, validation test? What does that mean, validation test? What does that mean, validation test? What does that mean, validation test? What does that mean, validation test? What does that mean, validation test? What does that mean, validation test? What does that mean, validation test? What happer to a crush and the orush and the orush zone in the validation test? Under the vehicle or compli	a ance. est ed. ave had ne re
THE WITNESS: Yeah, could be. Q. Well, in the ZJ it is. A. Yeah. But my point is when you start with an uncrushed vehicle, an unwrecked vehicle there is no crush zone texcept to the extent that the areas longitudinals have no protection. So then after the crush you measure after the crash you measure the area that's deformed and that is the crush zone. But it's not real estate prior to a crash. Q. Well, in the ZJ it is. A. Yeah. But my point is when you start with an uncrushed vehicle, an yalidation test? What does that mean, validation test? What is the set that is run after you have certified the vehicle for compli from time to time you run another to import the vehicle to time you run another to import the vehicle sate. Sometimes these vehicles actually ha front all impact and then they'll con right back and hit the rear as a validation because the vehicle is the and it's been prepared. Q. Well, so are you saying that to particular test you're looking at, 520 what's the date that it was run? A. July 26, 1994. Q. So it was run in '94 and it's design engineer absorb the crush and therefore protect the passenger? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	ance. est ed. ave had ne re
THE WITNESS: Yeah, could be. Q. Well, in the ZJ it is. A. Yeah. But my point is when you start with an uncrushed vehicle, an unwrecked vehicle there is no crush zone cexcept to the extent that the areas longitudinals have no protection. So then after the crush you measure after the crash you measure the area that's deformed and that is the crush zone. But it's not real estate prior to a crash. Q. And so what does that mean, validation test? What happens the crush and the area and the particular test you run another to import to make sure nothing has chang you gather additional test data. Sometimes these vehicle is dead. Sometimes these vehicles actually ha a frontal impact and then they'll con right back and hit the rear as a validation because the vehicle is the and it's been prepared. Q. Well, so are you saying that the particular test you're looking at, 520 what's the date that it was run? A. July 26, 1994. Q. So it was run in '94 and it's labeled a '95 validation. What happens the results of a validation test? MR. BRADLEY: Just note may and the results of a validation test?	ance. est ed. ave had ne re
Q. Well, in the ZJ it is. A. Yeah. But my point is when you start with an uncrushed vehicle, an unwrecked vehicle there is no crush zone texcept to the extent that the areas loutside the frame rails and the longitudinals have no protection. So then after the crush you measure — after the crash you measure the area that's deformed and that is the crush zone. But it's not real estate prior to a crash. Q. Don't you, at any time as a lesign engineers—or do you know if the design engineers considered areas where in design engineers considered areas where in considerable in the ZJ it is. A. It's a test that is run after you have certified the vehicle for compliance and the vehicle for compliance and the vehicle in the sure outside the vehicle in the vehicle sure and it is to make sure nothing has chang You gather additional test data. Sometimes these vehicles actually has a frontal impact and then they'll con right back and hit the rear as a validation because the vehicle is the and it's been prepared. Q. Well, so are you saying that it and it's been prepared. Q. Well, so are you saying that it are it was run? A. July 26, 1994. Q. So it was run in '94 and it's or even a front, where the vehicle could absorb the crush and therefore protect the passenger? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	ance. est ed. ave had ne re
A. Yeah. But my point is when you start with an uncrushed vehicle, an unwrecked vehicle there is no crush zone texcept to the extent that the areas coutside the frame rails and the longitudinals have no protection. So then after the crush you measure after the crash you measure the area that's deformed and that is the crush zone. But design engineers or do you know if the coutside the frame rails and the 20 design engineers considered areas where in coutside the frame rails and the at rish a test that is run after you have certified the vehicle for compliance to the vehicle for compliance to the vehicle for compliance to the vehicle the vehicle of the vehicle is the subject to the extent that the areas 11 just to make sure nothing has chang You gather additional test data. Sometimes these vehicles actually has a frontal impact and then they'll con right back and hit the rear as a validation because the vehicle is the and it's been prepared. Repair of the crash you measure the area that's design engineer or do you know if the could design engineers considered areas where in design engineers considered areas where in	est ed. ave had ne re
have certified the vehicle for compliance of the extent with an uncrushed vehicle, an unwrecked vehicle there is no crush zone 10 From time to time you run another to insert the except to the extent that the areas 11 just to make sure nothing has chang you gather additional test data. 13 longitudinals have no protection. So 13 Sometimes these vehicles actually have they're vulnerable by their nature. And 14 a frontal impact and then they'll condition then after the crush you measure after 15 right back and hit the rear as a 16 the crash you measure the area that's 16 validation because the vehicle is the 17 deformed and that is the crush zone. But 17 and it's been prepared. 18 it's not real estate prior to a crash. 18 Q. Well, so are you saying that to particular test you're looking at, 520 design engineer or do you know if the 20 what's the date that it was run? A. July 26, 1994. 20 design engineers considered areas where in 21 a collision, whether it be a rear end hit 22 Q. So it was run in '94 and it's or even a front, where the vehicle could 23 labeled a '95 validation. What happ 24 absorb the crush and therefore protect the 25 mR. BRADLEY: Just note my 25 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 26 may 10 may	est ed. ave had ne re
unwrecked vehicle there is no crush zone 10	est ed. ave had ne re
outside the frame rails and the longitudinals have no protection. So then after the crush you measure after deformed and that is the crush zone. But li's not real estate prior to a crash. Q. Don't you, at any time as a design engineers considered areas where in a collision, whether it be a rear end hit or even a front, where the vehicle could absorb the crush and therefore protect the passenger? Just to make sure nothing has chang You gather additional test data. Sometimes these vehicles actually has a frontal impact and then they'll con right back and hit the rear as a validation because the vehicle is the and it's been prepared. Q. Well, so are you saying that the particular test you're looking at, 520 what's the date that it was run? A. July 26, 1994. Q. So it was run in '94 and it's labeled a '95 validation. What happy the results of a validation test? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	ed. ave had ne re
outside the frame rails and the longitudinals have no protection. So they're vulnerable by their nature. And they're vulnerable by their nature. And then after the crush you measure after the crash you measure the area that's deformed and that is the crush zone. But it's not real estate prior to a crash. Q. Don't you, at any time as a design engineer or do you know if the casign engineers considered areas where in design engineers considered areas where in a collision, whether it be a rear end hit or even a front, where the vehicle could absorb the crush and therefore protect the passenger? You gather additional test data. Sometimes these vehicles actually ha a frontal impact and then they'll con right back and hit the rear as a validation because the vehicle is the and it's been prepared. Q. Well, so are you saying that the particular test you're looking at, 520 what's the date that it was run? A. July 26, 1994. Q. So it was run in '94 and it's labeled a '95 validation. What happy the results of a validation test? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	ave had ne re
longitudinals have no protection. So 14 they're vulnerable by their nature. And 15 then after the crush you measure after 16 the crash you measure the area that's 17 deformed and that is the crush zone. But 18 it's not real estate prior to a crash. 19 Q. Don't you, at any time as a 20 design engineer or do you know if the 21 design engineers considered areas where in 22 a collision, whether it be a rear end hit 23 or even a front, where the vehicle could 24 absorb the crush and therefore protect the 25 passenger? 13 Sometimes these vehicles actually h a frontal impact and then they'll con right back and hit the rear as a validation because the vehicle is the and it's been prepared. 18 Q. Well, so are you saying that to particular test you're looking at, 520 what's the date that it was run? A. July 26, 1994. Q. So it was run in '94 and it's labeled a '95 validation. What happ the results of a validation test? MR. BRADLEY: Just note m	ne re his
they're vulnerable by their nature. And then after the crush you measure after the crash you measure the area that's the crash you measure the area that's deformed and that is the crush zone. But it's not real estate prior to a crash. Q. Don't you, at any time as a design engineer or do you know if the design engineers considered areas where in a collision, whether it be a rear end hit or even a front, where the vehicle could absorb the crush and therefore protect the passenger? they're vulnerable by their nature. And 14 a frontal impact and then they'll con right back and hit the rear as a validation because the vehicle is the and it's been prepared. Q. Well, so are you saying that the particular test you're looking at, 520 what's the date that it was run? A. July 26, 1994. Q. So it was run in '94 and it's labeled a '95 validation. What happy the results of a validation test? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	ne re his
then after the crush you measure after the crash you measure the area that's the crash you measure the area that's deformed and that is the crush zone. But it's not real estate prior to a crash. Q. Well, so are you saying that to particular test you're looking at, 520 design engineer or do you know if the design engineers considered areas where in a collision, whether it be a rear end hit or even a front, where the vehicle could absorb the crush and therefore protect the passenger? then after the crush you measure after list back and hit the rear as a validation because the vehicle is the and it's been prepared. Q. Well, so are you saying that to particular test you're looking at, 520 what's the date that it was run? A. July 26, 1994. Q. So it was run in '94 and it's labeled a '95 validation. What happy the results of a validation test? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	re his
the crash you measure the area that's deformed and that is the crush zone. But it's not real estate prior to a crash. Q. Don't you, at any time as a design engineer — or do you know if the design engineers considered areas where in a collision, whether it be a rear end hit or even a front, where the vehicle could absorb the crush and therefore protect the passenger? the crash you measure the area that's validation because the vehicle is the and it's been prepared. Q. Well, so are you saying that to particular test you're looking at, 520 what's the date that it was run? A. July 26, 1994. Q. So it was run in '94 and it's labeled a '95 validation. What happ the results of a validation test? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	his
deformed and that is the crush zone. But it's not real estate prior to a crash. Q. Don't you, at any time as a design engineer or do you know if the design engineers considered areas where in a collision, whether it be a rear end hit or even a front, where the vehicle could absorb the crush and therefore protect the passenger? deformed and that is the crush zone. But 17 and it's been prepared. Q. Well, so are you saying that to particular test you're looking at, 520 what's the date that it was run? A. July 26, 1994. Q. So it was run in '94 and it's labeled a '95 validation. What happy the results of a validation test? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	his
it's not real estate prior to a crash. Q. Well, so are you saying that to particular test you're looking at, 520 design engineer or do you know if the design engineers considered areas where in a collision, whether it be a rear end hit a collision, where the vehicle could absorb the crush and therefore protect the passenger? Is a collision, whether it be a rear end hit absorb the crush and therefore protect the passenger? Is a collision, well, so are you saying that to particular test you're looking at, 520 what's the date that it was run? A. July 26, 1994. Q. So it was run in '94 and it's labeled a '95 validation. What happed the results of a validation test? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	
Q. Don't you, at any time as a design engineer or do you know if the design engineers considered areas where in a collision, whether it be a rear end hit absorb the crush and therefore protect the passenger? Q. Don't you, at any time as a particular test you're looking at, 520 what's the date that it was run? A. July 26, 1994. Q. So it was run in '94 and it's labeled a '95 validation. What happed the results of a validation test? MR. BRADLEY: Just note meaning the particular test you're looking at, 520 what's the date that it was run? A. July 26, 1994. Q. So it was run in '94 and it's labeled a '95 validation. What happed the results of a validation test? MR. BRADLEY: Just note meaning the particular test you're looking at, 520 what's the date that it was run? A. July 26, 1994. Q. So it was run in '94 and it's labeled a '95 validation. What happed the results of a validation test?	
design engineer or do you know if the design engineers considered areas where in a collision, whether it be a rear end hit or even a front, where the vehicle could absorb the crush and therefore protect the passenger? design engineer or do you know if the 20 A. July 26, 1994. Q. So it was run in '94 and it's labeled a '95 validation. What happ the results of a validation test? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	
design engineers considered areas where in a collision, whether it be a rear end hit or even a front, where the vehicle could absorb the crush and therefore protect the passenger? A. July 26, 1994. Q. So it was run in '94 and it's labeled a '95 validation. What happed the results of a validation test? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	
22 a collision, whether it be a rear end hit 23 or even a front, where the vehicle could 24 absorb the crush and therefore protect the 25 passenger? 20 Q. So it was run in '94 and it's labeled a '95 validation. What happ 24 the results of a validation test? 25 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	
or even a front, where the vehicle could 23 labeled a '95 validation. What happ absorb the crush and therefore protect the passenger? 25 mr. BRADLEY: Just note m	
24 absorb the crush and therefore protect the 24 the results of a validation test? 25 passenger? 25 MR. BRADLEY: Just note m	
25 passenger? 25 MR. BRADLEY: Just note m	ens to
Francisco de la constanta de l	
Page 211	<i>y</i>
1490 211	ge 213
1 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 1 objection.	
2 objection. 2 THE WITNESS: The information	tion and
3 THE WITNESS: Yes. But even that 3 the vehicle are made available to	
4 area deforms. 4 concerned people. It's brought back	to
5 Q. I understand. And you want it to 5 the viewing room and the videos are	
6 because you don't want the energy to get 6 available for analyzing and the test	
7 pushed in to where the passengers are. 7 reports are made available.	
8 Correct? 8 Q. DO the test reports and the	
9 MR. BRADLEY: Objection. 9 validation test go to NHTSA?	
10 Q. That's the whole point of a crush 10 A. No. 11 zone? 11 Q. Do the test reports and the	
	an
1	41.1
1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	7
	r
talked about that Chrysler provided? Did 16 continuing objection.	+ ad
you have an opportunity? 17 Q. When you do a validation tes	t and
18 A. I have looked at those from time 18 it fails, the car fails, who should be	
19 to time. 19 apprised of that failure?	
Q. I want to look at test 5208. 20 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	7
MS. DE FILIPPO: Let's mark it the 21 objection.	
22 next number. 22 THE WITNESS: Depends on	the
23 cause of the failure.	
24 (Safety Test Vehicle Crash Test 24 Q. Well, if there's fuel leakage.	
letter is received and marked Banta-36 for 25 A. Depends on the cause.	

	Page 214		Page 216
1	Q. So in this particular test why	1	has to be made.
2	did the test fail?	2	Q. Let's assume that when Chrysler
3	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	3	provided this information, that's all they
4	objection.	4	provided with respect to this test. What
5	THE WITNESS: I don't know. I	5	would you do to find out what should a
6	haven't got to a failure yet. If you give	6	person do to find out why the test failed
7	me a minute I'll read it. There was no	7	and what was done, if anything?
8	fuel leakage during impact nor during the	8	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
9	subsequent 30 minutes. There was fuel	9	continuing objection to this line of
10	leakage during the static role in excess	10	questioning.
11	of the federal standard. So it appears	11	THE WITNESS: I can give you the
12	that something opened up in a vent	12	short answer of that, that vehicle crash
13	surface.	13	tests are typically kept for some document
14	Let's see. This is a C1 Pilot	14	retention schedule and the schedules
15	production, four liter, manual. Is there	15	reactivate every time a new case uses it.
16	a question?	16	So these VCs tend to stay around forever.
17	Q. Why did it fail?	17	The file jacket this thing is part of is
18	A. I don't know.	18	not on the same retention schedule and it
19	Q. Okay.	19	was probably discarded five years ago. In
20	A. It does not tell us why.	20	fact, this was done in '94. This was a
21	Q. Am I missing documents?	21	long time ago. I suspect that jacket is
22	A. There should be	22	gone. And it could be something as simple
23	MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.	23	as a note from a test technician saying
24	THE WITNESS: There should be	24	this was a development fuel tank that had
25	some additional file information to	25	an ORVR from some other guy and the ORVR
	Page 215	and the second	Page 217
		1	
	explain why it failed and what the cause	1	failed. I don't know.
2	was, what action was taken.	2	Q. But we don't have that listed
3	Q. That's what I wanted to ask you.	3	here. We have it as a primary or
4	What was the cause and what action was	4	validation test for fuel system integrity
5	taken?	5	in a primary 1995 301 validation.
6	A. I don't know.	6	A. Right.
7	Q. Is it fair to say, though, that	7	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
8	whatever the cause was, some action should	8	objection.
9	have been taken?	9	THE WITNESS: And a production
10	A. Oh, yeah.	10	built vehicle.
11	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	11	Q. Right.
12	objection.	12	A. We don't know what happened or
13	THE WITNESS: Every test failure	13	why this happened.
14	has an explanation.	14	Q. Without speculating, can you tell
15	Q. Has to have an action?	15	me what most probably happened?
16	A. Explanation.	16	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
17	Q. And an action to it?	17	objection. If you can.
18	A. If necessary.	18	Q. You know, without a guess but
19	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	19	with an educated knowledge of what went
20	objection.	20	on.
21	Q. Well, where would I go to find	21	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
22	this?	22	objection.
23	A. I don't know. But I can assure	23	THE WITNESS: I have seen a
		0.4	
24 25	you that for every test failure some investigation is done, some explanation	24 25	number of these failures on rollover, like this one was. This vehicle tested under

pressure. Okay? So I would expect that something in the fuel system wasn't properly assembled, like a clamp on a fuel hose or the cap wasn't secured. That's my guess. Q. So you're guessing a manufacturing type issue, not a design issue? A. Assembly issue. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my continuing objection. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: Probably related to the chest, like when fuel was drained out and stoddard solvent was put in. They took the hose off the bottom of the tank the hose didn't get put on properly or the cap put on properly and it leaked on rollover. Q. But we need to know for sure because we can't just consider that as an anomaly or something that happened. A. I understand. Q. With 5380, I want to show you test 5380. We'll mark that. That was previously marked in the Castaing dep on Page 219 Iike and act like a '96 ZJ, domestic ZJ. Correct? A. Yes. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my continuing objection. Q. Now, that test failed. And what I read there is that it failed because it had excessive fuel leakage during impact and the subsequent 30 minutes, where the partial separation of the vent line fitting from the tank. A. Well, let's see here. Yes, that's right. Q. So what was done? A. I know about this test. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my continuing objection. THE WITNESS: This vehicle had a prototype. This is a prototype build configuration where they took a '93 and turned it into a '96. Q. Right. A. And they had a vent line attachment that was welded on, ultrasonically welded to the tank and it
2 something in the fuel system wasn't 3 properly assembled, like a clamp on a fuel 4 hose or the cap wasn't secured. That's my 5 guess. 6 Q. So you're guessing a 7 manufacturing type issue, not a design 8 issue? 9 A. Assembly issue. 10 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 11 THE WITNESS: Probably related to 12 the chest, like when fuel was drained out 13 and stoddard solvent was put in. They 14 took the hose off the bottom of the tank 15 to drain, put stoddard solvent in. Maybe 16 the hose didn't get put on properly or the 17 cap put on properly and it leaked on 18 rollover. 19 Q. But we need to know for sure 19 Decause we can't just consider that as an 20 anomaly or something that happened. 21 A. I understand. 22 A. I understand. 23 Q. With 5380, I want to show you 24 test 5380. We'll mark that. That was 25 previously marked in the Castaing dep on Page 219 Correct? A. Yes. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my continuing objection. Q. Now, that test failed. And what I read there is that it failed because it had excessive fuel leakage during impact and the subsequent 30 minutes, where the partial separation of the vent line fitting from the tank. A. Well, let's see here. Yes, that's right. Q. So what was done? A. I know about this test. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my continuing objection. Q. Now, that test failed. And what I read there is that it failed because it had excessive fuel leakage during impact and the subsequent 30 minutes, where the partial separation of the vent line fitting from the tank. A. Well, let's see here. Yes, that's right. Q. So what was done? A. I know about this test. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my continuing objection. THE WITNESS: This vehicle had a prototype. This is a prototype build configuration where they took a '93 and turned it into a '96. Q. Right. A. And they had a vent line attachment that was welded on, ultrasonically welded to the tank and it
properly assembled, like a clamp on a fuel hose or the cap wasn't secured. That's my guess. Q. So you're guessing a famunufacturing type issue, not a design fissue? A. Assembly issue. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my continuing objection. Q. Now, that test failed. And what I read there is that it failed because it had excessive fuel leakage during impact and the subsequent 30 minutes, where the partial separation of the vent line fitting from the tank. A. Well, let's see here. Yes, that's right. A. Well, let's see here. Yes, that's right. Q. So what was done? A. I know about this test. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my continuing objection. A. Well, let's see here. Yes, that's right. Q. So what was done? A. I know about this test. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my continuing objection. A. Well, let's see here. Yes, that's right. Q. So what was done? A. I know about this test. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my continuing objection. THE WITNESS: This vehicle had a prototype. This is a prototype build configuration where they took a '93 and turned it into a '96. Q. Right. A. And they had a vent line attachment that was welded on, ultrasonically welded to the tank and it
hose or the cap wasn't secured. That's my guess. Q. So you're guessing a famunufacturing type issue, not a design fissue? A. Assembly issue. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: Probably related to fitting from the tank. the chest, like when fuel was drained out and stoddard solvent was put in. They took the hose off the bottom of the tank the hose didn't get put on properly or the cap put on properly and it leaked on rollover. Q. So what was done? A. I know about this test. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my continuing objection. Q. Now, that test failed. And what I read there is that it failed because it had excessive fuel leakage during impact and the subsequent 30 minutes, where the partial separation of the vent line fitting from the tank. A. Well, let's see here. Yes, that's right. Q. So what was done? A. I know about this test. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my continuing objection. THE WITNESS: This vehicle had a prototype. This is a prototype build configuration where they took a '93 and turned it into a '96. Q. Right. A. And they had a vent line attachment that was welded on, ultrasonically welded to the tank and it
hose or the cap wasn't secured. That's my guess. Q. So you're guessing a 6 Q. So you're guessing a 6 manufacturing type issue, not a design 7 I read there is that it failed because it had excessive fuel leakage during impact and the subsequent 30 minutes, where the partial separation of the vent line fitting from the tank. A. Assembly issue. 9 and the subsequent 30 minutes, where the partial separation of the vent line fitting from the tank. THE WITNESS: Probably related to 11 fitting from the tank. THE WITNESS: Probably related to 12 fitting from the tank. A. Well, let's see here. Yes, that's right. Q. So what was done? A. I know about this test. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my continuing objection. A. Well, let's see here. Yes, that's right. Q. So what was done? A. I know about this test. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my continuing objection. THE WITNESS: This vehicle had a prototype. This is a prototype build configuration where they took a '93 and turned it into a '96. Q. Right. A. And they had a vent line attachment that was welded on, ultrasonically welded to the tank and it
5 guess. 6 Q. So you're guessing a 7 manufacturing type issue, not a design 8 issue? 9 A. Assembly issue. 10 MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. 11 THE WITNESS: Probably related to 12 the chest, like when fuel was drained out 13 and stoddard solvent was put in. They 14 took the hose off the bottom of the tank 15 to drain, put stoddard solvent in. Maybe 16 the hose didn't get put on properly or the 17 cap put on properly and it leaked on 18 rollover. 19 Q. But we need to know for sure 19 Q. But we need to know for sure 19 Q. But we need to know for sure 20 because we can't just consider that as an 21 anomaly or something that happened. 22 A. I understand. 23 Q. With 5380, I want to show you 24 test 5380. We'll mark that. That was 25 page 219 Continuing objection. Q. Now, that test failed. And what I read there is that it failed because it had excessive fuel leakage during impact and the subsequent 30 minutes, where the partial separation of the vent line fitting from the tank. A. Well, let's see here. Yes, that's right. Q. So what was done? A. I know about this test. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my continuing objection. THE WITNESS: This vehicle had a prototype. This is a prototype build configuration where they took a '93 and turned it into a '96. Q. Right. A. And they had a vent line attachment that was welded on, ultrasonically welded to the tank and it
manufacturing type issue, not a design sissue? A. Assembly issue. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: Probably related to and the subsequent 30 minutes, where the partial separation of the vent line fitting from the tank. A. Well, let's see here. Yes, that's right. Cap put on properly and it leaked on rollover. Q. But we need to know for sure Q. But we need to know for sure Decause we can't just consider that as an anomaly or something that happened. A. I understand. Q. With 5380, I want to show you 24 test 5380. We'll mark that. That was 25 page 219 I read there is that it failed because it had excessive fuel leakage during impact and the subsequent 30 minutes, where the partial separation of the vent line fitting from the tank. A. Well, let's see here. Yes, that's right. Q. So what was done? A. I know about this test. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my continuing objection. THE WITNESS: This vehicle had a prototype. This is a prototype build configuration where they took a '93 and turned it into a '96. Q. Right. A. And they had a vent line attachment that was welded on, ultrasonically welded to the tank and it
issue? A. Assembly issue. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: Probably related to the chest, like when fuel was drained out took the hose off the bottom of the tank the hose didn't get put on properly or the rollover. But we need to know for sure Q. But we need to know for sure Q. But we need to know for sure A. I understand. Q. With 5380, I want to show you A. I understand. Q. With 5380, I want to show you MR. BRADLEY: Mark that. That was Page 219 But we leakage during impact and the subsequent 30 minutes, where the partial separation of the vent line fitting from the tank. A. Well, let's see here. Yes, that's right. A. I know about this test. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my continuing objection. THE WITNESS: This vehicle had a prototype. This is a prototype build configuration where they took a '93 and turned it into a '96. Q. Right. A. And they had a vent line attachment that was welded on, ultrasonically welded to the tank and it
had excessive fuel leakage during impact and the subsequent 30 minutes, where the partial separation of the vent line fitting from the tank. 12 the chest, like when fuel was drained out took the hose off the bottom of the tank to drain, put stoddard solvent in. Maybe to drain, prototype to drain, put stoddard solvent in. Maybe to drain, put stoddard solvent in. Maybe to drain, put stoddard solvent in. Maybe to drain, pat stoddard solvent in. Maybe to drain, put stoddard solvent in. Maybe to drain, pat stoddard solvent in.
MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: Probably related to the chest, like when fuel was drained out and stoddard solvent was put in. They took the hose off the bottom of the tank to drain, put stoddard solvent in. Maybe to drain, put stoddard solvent in. Maybe to drain, put stoddard solvent in. Maybe to drain, put opporerly or the the hose didn't get put on properly or the rollover. But we need to know for sure Q. But we need to know for sure Decause we can't just consider that as an anomaly or something that happened. A. I whell, let's see here. Yes, that's right. Q. So what was done? A. I know about this test. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my continuing objection. THE WITNESS: This vehicle had a prototype. This is a prototype build configuration where they took a '93 and turned it into a '96. Q. Right. A. And they had a vent line attachment that was welded on, ultrasonically welded to the tank and it
THE WITNESS: Probably related to the chest, like when fuel was drained out and stoddard solvent was put in. They took the hose off the bottom of the tank the hose off the bottom of the tank to drain, put stoddard solvent in. Maybe the hose didn't get put on properly or the cap put on properly and it leaked on rollover. But we need to know for sure Q. But we need to know for sure Q. But we need to know for sure Decause we can't just consider that as an anomaly or something that happened. A. I understand. Q. With 5380, I want to show you A. I understand. Q. Right. A. Well, let's see here. Yes, that's right. A. I know about this test. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my continuing objection. THE WITNESS: This vehicle had a prototype. This is a prototype build configuration where they took a '93 and turned it into a '96. Q. Right. A. And they had a vent line attachment that was welded on, ultrasonically welded to the tank and it
the chest, like when fuel was drained out and stoddard solvent was put in. They took the hose off the bottom of the tank to drain, put stoddard solvent in. Maybe the hose didn't get put on properly or the cap put on properly and it leaked on Rollover. Q. So what was done? A. I know about this test. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my continuing objection. THE WITNESS: This vehicle had a prototype. This is a prototype build configuration where they took a '93 and turned it into a '96. Q. Right. Q. Right. A. Well, let's see here. Yes, that's right. A. I know about this test. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my continuing objection. THE WITNESS: This vehicle had a prototype. This is a prototype build configuration where they took a '93 and turned it into a '96. Q. Right. A. And they had a vent line attachment that was welded on, ultrasonically welded to the tank and it
and stoddard solvent was put in. They took the hose off the bottom of the tank to drain, put stoddard solvent in. Maybe the hose didn't get put on properly or the cap put on properly and it leaked on rollover. Q. So what was done? A. I know about this test. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my continuing objection. THE WITNESS: This vehicle had a prototype. This is a prototype build configuration where they took a '93 and turned it into a '96. Q. With 5380, I want to show you test 5380. We'll mark that. That was previously marked in the Castaing dep on The witness of the bottom of the tank Q. So what was done? A. I know about this test. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my continuing objection. THE WITNESS: This vehicle had a prototype. This is a prototype build configuration where they took a '93 and turned it into a '96. Q. Right. A. And they had a vent line attachment that was welded on, ultrasonically welded to the tank and it
took the hose off the bottom of the tank to drain, put stoddard solvent in. Maybe the hose didn't get put on properly or the cap put on properly and it leaked on rollover. Q. But we need to know for sure because we can't just consider that as an anomaly or something that happened. A. I know about this test. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my continuing objection. THE WITNESS: This vehicle had a prototype. This is a prototype build configuration where they took a '93 and turned it into a '96. A. I understand. Q. With 5380, I want to show you test 5380. We'll mark that. That was previously marked in the Castaing dep on Page 219
to drain, put stoddard solvent in. Maybe the hose didn't get put on properly or the cap put on properly and it leaked on rollover. Q. But we need to know for sure because we can't just consider that as an anomaly or something that happened. A. I know about this test. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my continuing objection. THE WITNESS: This vehicle had a prototype. This is a prototype build configuration where they took a '93 and turned it into a '96. Q. Right. Q. With 5380, I want to show you test 5380. We'll mark that. That was previously marked in the Castaing dep on Page 219 A. I know about this test. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my continuing objection. THE WITNESS: This vehicle had a prototype. This is a prototype build configuration where they took a '93 and turned it into a '96. Q. Right. A. And they had a vent line attachment that was welded on, ultrasonically welded to the tank and it
the hose didn't get put on properly or the cap put on properly and it leaked on rollover. Q. But we need to know for sure Decause we can't just consider that as an anomaly or something that happened. A. I understand. Q. With 5380, I want to show you test 5380. We'll mark that. That was previously marked in the Castaing dep on Page 219 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my continuing objection. THE WITNESS: This vehicle had a prototype. This is a prototype build configuration where they took a '93 and turned it into a '96. Q. Right. A. And they had a vent line attachment that was welded on, ultrasonically welded to the tank and it
cap put on properly and it leaked on rollover. 18 rollover. 19 Q. But we need to know for sure 19 prototype. This is a prototype build 20 because we can't just consider that as an 20 configuration where they took a '93 and 21 anomaly or something that happened. 21 A. I understand. 22 Q. With 5380, I want to show you 23 A. And they had a vent line 24 test 5380. We'll mark that. That was 24 previously marked in the Castaing dep on 25 previously marked in the Castaing dep on 26 Page 219
rollover. Q. But we need to know for sure because we can't just consider that as an anomaly or something that happened. A. I understand. Q. With 5380, I want to show you test 5380. We'll mark that. That was previously marked in the Castaing dep on 18 THE WITNESS: This vehicle had a prototype. This is a prototype build configuration where they took a '93 and turned it into a '96. Q. Right. A. And they had a vent line attachment that was welded on, ultrasonically welded to the tank and it
Q. But we need to know for sure because we can't just consider that as an anomaly or something that happened. A. I understand. Q. With 5380, I want to show you test 5380. We'll mark that. That was previously marked in the Castaing dep on Page 219 Page 219 prototype. This is a prototype build configuration where they took a '93 and turned it into a '96. Q. Right. A. And they had a vent line attachment that was welded on, ultrasonically welded to the tank and it
because we can't just consider that as an anomaly or something that happened. A. I understand. Q. With 5380, I want to show you 24 test 5380. We'll mark that. That was previously marked in the Castaing dep on 25 Page 219 because we can't just consider that as an 20 configuration where they took a '93 and turned it into a '96. Q. Right. A. And they had a vent line attachment that was welded on, ultrasonically welded to the tank and it
21 anomaly or something that happened. 22 A. I understand. 23 Q. With 5380, I want to show you 24 test 5380. We'll mark that. That was 25 previously marked in the Castaing dep on 26 Page 219 27 turned it into a '96. Q. Right. A. And they had a vent line attachment that was welded on, ultrasonically welded to the tank and it
A. I understand. Q. With 5380, I want to show you test 5380. We'll mark that. That was previously marked in the Castaing dep on Page 219 Q. Right. A. And they had a vent line attachment that was welded on, ultrasonically welded to the tank and it
Q. With 5380, I want to show you test 5380. We'll mark that. That was previously marked in the Castaing dep on Page 219 Page 219 A. And they had a vent line attachment that was welded on, ultrasonically welded to the tank and it
test 5380. We'll mark that. That was previously marked in the Castaing dep on Page 219 test 5380. We'll mark that. That was previously marked in the Castaing dep on Page 219 Page 219
25 previously marked in the Castaing dep on 25 ultrasonically welded to the tank and it Page 219 Page 221
Page 219 Page 221
June 14, '11, but we're going to mark it 1 was a prototype part that was inadequately
2 today. 2 welded by the supplier of the prototype
3 MS. DE FILIPPO: Let's mark it. 3 parts.
4 Q. Where does it say that?
5 (Chrysler Motors Safety Test 5 A. It doesn't, but I know about this
6 Vehicle Crash Test Request is received and 6 test.
7 marked Banta-37 for identification.) 7 Q. Wait a minute. So correct me if
8 I'm wrong. We're involved in litigation
9 Q. Mr. Banta, please look at what's 9 with Chrysler and we want to know what's
been marked Banta-37. It's a 1995 10 going on with the testing and they provide
testing, February 15th, '95. Correct? 11 us with this document and there's nothing
12 A. February? 12 indicated about what you're saying. Don't
Q. February 15th testing, 5380. Is you think that information should be with
that what you have? 14 this file?
15 A. Do you read that as February? 15 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
Q. Do you have 5380? 16 objection. This is beyond the scope of
17 A. Yes. 17 his expert report. But you can answer.
Q. And when you look at that test, 18 THE WITNESS: No. The
that is a primary 1996 USA 301 validation. 19 investigation of the cause and the failure
20 Again, a validation test. Correct? 20 is separate from this testing. This is
101 A Dista
21 A. Right. 21 stored in a computer somewhere as
Q. It is a car that was retrofitted 22 scanned-in documents. The investigation
Q. It is a car that was retrofitted 22 scanned-in documents. The investigation 23 to be a '96 ZJ for rear impact. Correct? 23 is done by somebody with a file folder on
Q. It is a car that was retrofitted 22 scanned-in documents. The investigation

		1	
	Page 222		Page 224
1	saying is in this litigation you know what	1	They're gone. We still have these
2	happened here.	2	documents. What we don't have are the
3	A. I do. I know this test.	3	follow-up files about these tests. For
4	Q. And you're recalling something	4	example, every vehicle I went to look at
5	that happened in 1995 with no	5	on the rack at Impact Analysis I wrote
6	documentation whatsoever. What is it that	6	notes about, but I threw those away a long
7	makes you remember in the year 2012 a	7	time ago.
8	specific test that was done in 1995?	8	Q. If we're going to confine your
9	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	9	deposition today, I'm going to tell you
10	objection.	10	now there's 29 tests. What other of those
11	THE WITNESS: Once in awhile I	11	other 29 tests do you have an independent
12	remember these things. I remember this	12	recollection of apart from the record
13	one.	13	itself?
14	Q. Well, okay, I'm going to take	14	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
15	your word that you remember. But if I	15	objection.
16	want to verify	16	THE WITNESS: Some I remember and
	· ·	17	some I don't. That last one I didn't
17	A. Thank you.	1	
18	Q what you're saying and	18	remember.
19	understand more what really happened here,	19	Q. I understand that.
20	I have nowhere to go to do that. Is that	20	A. This one I do.
21	correct?	21	Q. But you've looked at all these
22	MR. BRADLEY: Objection.	22	tests, all these 29 tests?
23	Q. You don't have any notes from	23	A. For years.
24	'95?	24	Q. I know that. And what I'm trying
25	A. No, I don't.	25	to find out is if I ask you to go through
	Page 223		Page 225
1	Q. So I have nowhere to go to verify	1	these tests and report back what
2	what you say. Correct?	_	
2		2	information you have apart from what's in
3	A. I don't know where you can go.	2 3	information you have apart from what's in the documents, could you do that?
4			the documents, could you do that?
	A. I don't know where you can go. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	3	the documents, could you do that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
4 5	A. I don't know where you can go. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection.	3 4 5	the documents, could you do that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection.
4	A. I don't know where you can go. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I can just tell you	3 4	the documents, could you do that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Only what I have in
4 5 6 7	A. I don't know where you can go. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I can just tell you I remember this. I know it was a weld	3 4 5 6 7	the documents, could you do that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Only what I have in my mind.
4 5 6 7 8	A. I don't know where you can go. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I can just tell you I remember this. I know it was a weld failure on a prototype part.	3 4 5 6 7 8	the documents, could you do that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Only what I have in my mind. Q. That's what I'm saying. If I
4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I don't know where you can go. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I can just tell you I remember this. I know it was a weld failure on a prototype part. Q. Why wouldn't the weld failure be	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the documents, could you do that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Only what I have in my mind. Q. That's what I'm saying. If I say because your counsel is pressing us
4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I don't know where you can go. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I can just tell you I remember this. I know it was a weld failure on a prototype part. Q. Why wouldn't the weld failure be noted in the area where it says what went	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the documents, could you do that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Only what I have in my mind. Q. That's what I'm saying. If I say because your counsel is pressing us for time right now, he's saying that he's
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. I don't know where you can go. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I can just tell you I remember this. I know it was a weld failure on a prototype part. Q. Why wouldn't the weld failure be noted in the area where it says what went on here? There's a specific area	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the documents, could you do that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Only what I have in my mind. Q. That's what I'm saying. If I say because your counsel is pressing us for time right now, he's saying that he's not going to let me go through these
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. I don't know where you can go. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I can just tell you I remember this. I know it was a weld failure on a prototype part. Q. Why wouldn't the weld failure be noted in the area where it says what went on here? There's a specific area A. All these papers are done by the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the documents, could you do that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Only what I have in my mind. Q. That's what I'm saying. If I say because your counsel is pressing us for time right now, he's saying that he's not going to let me go through these tests, I want to know what information you
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I don't know where you can go. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I can just tell you I remember this. I know it was a weld failure on a prototype part. Q. Why wouldn't the weld failure be noted in the area where it says what went on here? There's a specific area A. All these papers are done by the testers.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the documents, could you do that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Only what I have in my mind. Q. That's what I'm saying. If I say because your counsel is pressing us for time right now, he's saying that he's not going to let me go through these tests, I want to know what information you have apart from what I have.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I don't know where you can go. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I can just tell you I remember this. I know it was a weld failure on a prototype part. Q. Why wouldn't the weld failure be noted in the area where it says what went on here? There's a specific area A. All these papers are done by the testers. Q. I understand.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the documents, could you do that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Only what I have in my mind. Q. That's what I'm saying. If I say because your counsel is pressing us for time right now, he's saying that he's not going to let me go through these tests, I want to know what information you have apart from what I have. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I don't know where you can go. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I can just tell you I remember this. I know it was a weld failure on a prototype part. Q. Why wouldn't the weld failure be noted in the area where it says what went on here? There's a specific area A. All these papers are done by the testers. Q. I understand. A. All this follow-up stuff is done	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the documents, could you do that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Only what I have in my mind. Q. That's what I'm saying. If I say because your counsel is pressing us for time right now, he's saying that he's not going to let me go through these tests, I want to know what information you have apart from what I have. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. You can ask him questions about the tests.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I don't know where you can go. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I can just tell you I remember this. I know it was a weld failure on a prototype part. Q. Why wouldn't the weld failure be noted in the area where it says what went on here? There's a specific area A. All these papers are done by the testers. Q. I understand. A. All this follow-up stuff is done by the responsible engineers.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the documents, could you do that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Only what I have in my mind. Q. That's what I'm saying. If I say because your counsel is pressing us for time right now, he's saying that he's not going to let me go through these tests, I want to know what information you have apart from what I have. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. You can ask him questions about the tests. THE WITNESS: Just what I have in
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I don't know where you can go. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I can just tell you I remember this. I know it was a weld failure on a prototype part. Q. Why wouldn't the weld failure be noted in the area where it says what went on here? There's a specific area A. All these papers are done by the testers. Q. I understand. A. All this follow-up stuff is done by the responsible engineers. Q. But the testers do say, you know,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the documents, could you do that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Only what I have in my mind. Q. That's what I'm saying. If I say because your counsel is pressing us for time right now, he's saying that he's not going to let me go through these tests, I want to know what information you have apart from what I have. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. You can ask him questions about the tests. THE WITNESS: Just what I have in my head. I remember this one. And
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I don't know where you can go. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I can just tell you I remember this. I know it was a weld failure on a prototype part. Q. Why wouldn't the weld failure be noted in the area where it says what went on here? There's a specific area A. All these papers are done by the testers. Q. I understand. A. All this follow-up stuff is done by the responsible engineers. Q. But the testers do say, you know, resulting from partial separation of the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the documents, could you do that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Only what I have in my mind. Q. That's what I'm saying. If I say because your counsel is pressing us for time right now, he's saying that he's not going to let me go through these tests, I want to know what information you have apart from what I have. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. You can ask him questions about the tests. THE WITNESS: Just what I have in my head. I remember this one. And probably one or two more maybe that I also
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I don't know where you can go. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I can just tell you I remember this. I know it was a weld failure on a prototype part. Q. Why wouldn't the weld failure be noted in the area where it says what went on here? There's a specific area A. All these papers are done by the testers. Q. I understand. A. All this follow-up stuff is done by the responsible engineers. Q. But the testers do say, you know, resulting from partial separation of the vent line fitting from the tank. Why	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the documents, could you do that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Only what I have in my mind. Q. That's what I'm saying. If I say because your counsel is pressing us for time right now, he's saying that he's not going to let me go through these tests, I want to know what information you have apart from what I have. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. You can ask him questions about the tests. THE WITNESS: Just what I have in my head. I remember this one. And probably one or two more maybe that I also remember.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I don't know where you can go. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I can just tell you I remember this. I know it was a weld failure on a prototype part. Q. Why wouldn't the weld failure be noted in the area where it says what went on here? There's a specific area A. All these papers are done by the testers. Q. I understand. A. All this follow-up stuff is done by the responsible engineers. Q. But the testers do say, you know, resulting from partial separation of the vent line fitting from the tank. Why don't they say anything about bad weld or	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the documents, could you do that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Only what I have in my mind. Q. That's what I'm saying. If I say because your counsel is pressing us for time right now, he's saying that he's not going to let me go through these tests, I want to know what information you have apart from what I have. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. You can ask him questions about the tests. THE WITNESS: Just what I have in my head. I remember this one. And probably one or two more maybe that I also remember. Q. Can you identify any
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I don't know where you can go. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I can just tell you I remember this. I know it was a weld failure on a prototype part. Q. Why wouldn't the weld failure be noted in the area where it says what went on here? There's a specific area A. All these papers are done by the testers. Q. I understand. A. All this follow-up stuff is done by the responsible engineers. Q. But the testers do say, you know, resulting from partial separation of the vent line fitting from the tank. Why don't they say anything about bad weld or problem with welding?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the documents, could you do that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Only what I have in my mind. Q. That's what I'm saying. If I say because your counsel is pressing us for time right now, he's saying that he's not going to let me go through these tests, I want to know what information you have apart from what I have. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. You can ask him questions about the tests. THE WITNESS: Just what I have in my head. I remember this one. And probably one or two more maybe that I also remember. Q. Can you identify any A. But this was so odd I remember
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I don't know where you can go. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I can just tell you I remember this. I know it was a weld failure on a prototype part. Q. Why wouldn't the weld failure be noted in the area where it says what went on here? There's a specific area A. All these papers are done by the testers. Q. I understand. A. All this follow-up stuff is done by the responsible engineers. Q. But the testers do say, you know, resulting from partial separation of the vent line fitting from the tank. Why don't they say anything about bad weld or problem with welding? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the documents, could you do that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Only what I have in my mind. Q. That's what I'm saying. If I say because your counsel is pressing us for time right now, he's saying that he's not going to let me go through these tests, I want to know what information you have apart from what I have. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. You can ask him questions about the tests. THE WITNESS: Just what I have in my head. I remember this one. And probably one or two more maybe that I also remember. Q. Can you identify any A. But this was so odd I remember this weld failure quite well.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I don't know where you can go. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I can just tell you I remember this. I know it was a weld failure on a prototype part. Q. Why wouldn't the weld failure be noted in the area where it says what went on here? There's a specific area A. All these papers are done by the testers. Q. I understand. A. All this follow-up stuff is done by the responsible engineers. Q. But the testers do say, you know, resulting from partial separation of the vent line fitting from the tank. Why don't they say anything about bad weld or problem with welding? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: They send the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the documents, could you do that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Only what I have in my mind. Q. That's what I'm saying. If I say because your counsel is pressing us for time right now, he's saying that he's not going to let me go through these tests, I want to know what information you have apart from what I have. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. You can ask him questions about the tests. THE WITNESS: Just what I have in my head. I remember this one. And probably one or two more maybe that I also remember. Q. Can you identify any A. But this was so odd I remember this weld failure quite well. Q. Can you identify any of the tests
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I don't know where you can go. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I can just tell you I remember this. I know it was a weld failure on a prototype part. Q. Why wouldn't the weld failure be noted in the area where it says what went on here? There's a specific area A. All these papers are done by the testers. Q. I understand. A. All this follow-up stuff is done by the responsible engineers. Q. But the testers do say, you know, resulting from partial separation of the vent line fitting from the tank. Why don't they say anything about bad weld or problem with welding? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the documents, could you do that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Only what I have in my mind. Q. That's what I'm saying. If I say because your counsel is pressing us for time right now, he's saying that he's not going to let me go through these tests, I want to know what information you have apart from what I have. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. You can ask him questions about the tests. THE WITNESS: Just what I have in my head. I remember this one. And probably one or two more maybe that I also remember. Q. Can you identify any A. But this was so odd I remember this weld failure quite well.

	Page 226	1	Page 228
		7	-
	know you remember something happened?	1	Correct?
2	A. No.	2	MR. BRADLEY: Note my continuing
3	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	3	objection.
4	objection.	4	Q. Has to be acted on if there's a
5	THE WITNESS: But when I saw this	5	problem?
6	'93 production built Canadian ZJ, I	6	A. Yes, has to be explained.
7	remembered this one. That triggered my	7	Q. Do you know if there was any test
8	active memory.	8	that Chrysler had involving the Jeep ZJ
9	Q. I'm going to make a request so I	9	that was a passing test which had no tow
10	can move forward that you advise me at	10	package, no bracket, no skid plate and a
11	some later time which of these 29 tests	11	compact spare?
12	you have independent information about	12	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
13	apart from what's in the record.	13	continuing objection.
14	A. I can do that. It will take	14	THE WITNESS: No, I don't know.
15	awhile but	15	Q. You don't?
16	MR. BRADLEY: We'll take it under	16	A. No.
17	advisement.	17	Q. So if I tell you as you sit here
18	THE WITNESS: Let me write that	18	today that there was no test that passed
19	down.	19	of a Jeep without a tow package, without a
20	Q. Thank you.	20	bracket of any kind, without a skid plate
21	A. Which 29? Could you give me a	21	and with a compact spare
22	list?	22	A. With a compact?
23	Q. Yes, I can give you a list.	23	Q. With it. In other words, without
24	Mr. Banta, can you tell me what a	24	a full size spare, I'm telling you that
25	development when a test is marked	25	there's no test in those 29 tests that I
	Page 227		Page 229
1	development test, what that means as	1	looked at that passed with those with
2	opposed to validation?	2	that configuration.
3	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	3	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
4	continuing objection.	4	objection.
5	THE WITNESS: Development	5	Q. Are you indicating that you can
6	typically means the tests that lead up to	6	dispute that or that you can take issue
7	full compliance. Validation is typically	7	with my statement?
8	after compliance.	8	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
9	Q. Is there any other designation	9	objection.
10	for tests?	10	THE WITNESS: I don't know.
11	A. I'll tell you the whole story.	11	Q. So you don't know if you can
12	•	10	
1 1 4	There are development tests that lead to	12	agree with me. Correct?
13	There are development tests that lead to compliance. So one may say development	13	agree with me. Correct? A. I don't know one way or the
	compliance. So one may say development and it will later be	1	T
13	compliance. So one may say development and it will later be	13	A. I don't know one way or the
13 14	compliance. So one may say development	13 14	A. I don't know one way or the other. I have not looked at them in that
13 14 15	compliance. So one may say development and it will later be Q. Compliance? A. Used as the basis for compliance.	13 14 15	A. I don't know one way or the other. I have not looked at them in that sense. Q. Okay.
13 14 15 16	compliance. So one may say development and it will later be Q. Compliance? A. Used as the basis for compliance. And then validations are typically after	13 14 15 16	A. I don't know one way or the other. I have not looked at them in that sense. Q. Okay. A. I've not gone into that kind of
13 14 15 16 17	compliance. So one may say development and it will later be Q. Compliance? A. Used as the basis for compliance. And then validations are typically after compliance. But I caution you that not	13 14 15 16 17	A. I don't know one way or the other. I have not looked at them in that sense. Q. Okay. A. I've not gone into that kind of parameter search.
13 14 15 16 17 18	compliance. So one may say development and it will later be Q. Compliance? A. Used as the basis for compliance. And then validations are typically after compliance. But I caution you that not all validations are good vehicles. Some	13 14 15 16 17	A. I don't know one way or the other. I have not looked at them in that sense. Q. Okay. A. I've not gone into that kind of parameter search. Q. If I'm correct and there was no
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	compliance. So one may say development and it will later be Q. Compliance? A. Used as the basis for compliance. And then validations are typically after compliance. But I caution you that not all validations are good vehicles. Some are previously tested vehicles.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. I don't know one way or the other. I have not looked at them in that sense. Q. Okay. A. I've not gone into that kind of parameter search. Q. If I'm correct and there was no test of a ZJ without a tow package,
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	compliance. So one may say development and it will later be Q. Compliance? A. Used as the basis for compliance. And then validations are typically after compliance. But I caution you that not all validations are good vehicles. Some are previously tested vehicles. Q. So for purposes of, though,	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I don't know one way or the other. I have not looked at them in that sense. Q. Okay. A. I've not gone into that kind of parameter search. Q. If I'm correct and there was no test of a ZJ without a tow package, without a bracket of any kind, without a
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	compliance. So one may say development and it will later be Q. Compliance? A. Used as the basis for compliance. And then validations are typically after compliance. But I caution you that not all validations are good vehicles. Some are previously tested vehicles. Q. So for purposes of, though, acting on a problem that's found, no	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I don't know one way or the other. I have not looked at them in that sense. Q. Okay. A. I've not gone into that kind of parameter search. Q. If I'm correct and there was no test of a ZJ without a tow package, without a bracket of any kind, without a skid plate and without a full size spare
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	compliance. So one may say development and it will later be Q. Compliance? A. Used as the basis for compliance. And then validations are typically after compliance. But I caution you that not all validations are good vehicles. Some are previously tested vehicles. Q. So for purposes of, though, acting on a problem that's found, no matter what the test is, whether it's	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I don't know one way or the other. I have not looked at them in that sense. Q. Okay. A. I've not gone into that kind of parameter search. Q. If I'm correct and there was no test of a ZJ without a tow package, without a bracket of any kind, without a skid plate and without a full size spare that passed in the 29 tests we were
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	compliance. So one may say development and it will later be Q. Compliance? A. Used as the basis for compliance. And then validations are typically after compliance. But I caution you that not all validations are good vehicles. Some are previously tested vehicles. Q. So for purposes of, though, acting on a problem that's found, no	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I don't know one way or the other. I have not looked at them in that sense. Q. Okay. A. I've not gone into that kind of parameter search. Q. If I'm correct and there was no test of a ZJ without a tow package, without a bracket of any kind, without a skid plate and without a full size spare

		,	03 (1 ages 200 co 200)
	Page 230	The state of the s	Page 232
1	that wasn't tested and passed?	1	Q. Every car failed so long as they
2	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	2	were in that configuration.
3	objection. This is beyond the scope.	3	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
4	THE WITNESS: I don't expect that	4	objection. He hasn't seen all the tests.
5	any of those conditions would qualify as a	5	MS. DE FILIPPO: Yes, he has.
6	worst case. We don't need trailer tow, a	6	THE WITNESS: If that's the case,
7	bracket or a skid plate or a spare tire to	7	it's mere happenstance. It's not because
8	make the vehicle pass. There is no in	8	of anything that the trailer tow, the
9	the Jeep there is no good case or bad	9	bracket, skid plate or the spare
10	case.	10	contributed to.
11	Q. Well, I'm stripping that vehicle	11	Q. Contributed to
12	down from having any structural	12	A. There's not a cause and effect
13	reenforcement when I eliminate the tow	13	relationship there.
14	package because wouldn't you agree the	14	Q. Well, if a car in the condition
15	bracket that we're talking about that	15	
16	reinforced this Jeep was actually one half	16	that I've just told you, such as the Susan
ľ	•	i .	Kline vehicle, did not pass and did fail
17	of the tow package?	17	then wouldn't you say that the Susan Kline
1	MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection to	18	vehicle did not pass 301?
19	structural reenforcement.	19	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
20	THE WITNESS: It was a left side	20	objection.
21	of the trailer tow hitch.	21	THE WITNESS: No. What I would
22	Q. And that gave more	22	say is that we have to understand why
23	A. That was in '97 but not in this	23	those failures occurred and determine
24	vehicle.	24	whether or not the reason for the failure
25	Q. I understand that. But I'm	25	was the absence of a trailer tow or the
	Page 231		Page 233
1	saying that gave more structural rigidity	1	absence of a skid plate or some other
2	to that vehicle. Correct?	2	tire. And I don't think we've ever made
3	MR. BRADLEY: Objection.	3	that determination.
4	THE WITNESS: To the '97, yes.	4	Q. Well
5	Q. So I'm taking away the bracket of	5	A. In fact, I know we haven't.
6	any kind on my vehicle, no tow package	6	Q. You're asking to make a
7	giving any extra structural rigidity with	7	determination about absence of things when
8	the tow, both sides of the tow bar.	8	I'm saying to you that so long as all of
9	A. I understand what you're saying.	9	those things were missing, the car didn't
10	Q. No skid plate and no full size	10	pass.
11	spare standing up on its side in the back	11	A. Well, that may be true but it's
12	of the car. And I am saying that there	12	not necessarily because of that. You
13	was never a passing test when a vehicle	13	know, it's like the sunshine on the
14		14	sidewalks in New York causing heart
15	was not equipped with some of these	15	
1	things.	1	attacks. I don't mean sunshine causes
16	MR. BRADLEY: Object.	16	heart attack. The same thing here. It
17	THE WITNESS: I don't know.	17	doesn't mean these things caused those
18	Q. I'm telling you to assume that.	18	vehicles to fail.
19	A. Well, were there failing tests?	19	Q. No, to pass.
20	Q. Yes.	20	A. Well, the absence of these things
1 0 1	A Thomassanal	21	didn't cause those failures that you're
21	A. There were?	:	
22	Q. Oh, sure.	22	talking about.
22 23	Q. Oh, sure.A. How many?	22 23	talking about. Q. Are we ever going to know the
22 23 24	Q. Oh, sure.A. How many?Q. Probably all of them.	22 23 24	talking about. Q. Are we ever going to know the cause of the failures then if we can't get
22 23	Q. Oh, sure.A. How many?	22 23	talking about. Q. Are we ever going to know the

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Page 234		Page 236
1	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	1	MS. DE FILIPPO: Yes, it is.
2	objection.	2	"QUESTION: Without specific
3	THE WITNESS: I don't think the	3	reference to 1995 in general in
4	documents exist anymore.	4	engineering from an engineering
5	Q. So can you point to me where a	5	standpoint, is there a difference in
6	Susan Kline vehicle passed is what my	6	reaction of a vehicle to the 301 testing
7	question is.	7	whether or not it has a compact or a full
8	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	8	size spare?
9	objection.	9	ANSWER: There would be a
10	THE WITNESS: I have not	10	difference in that the vehicle weight
11	undertaken that kind of study.	11	would be different and we balance the
12	Q. And my question to you is, and	12	vehicle to represent the production
13	you can advise me at any time you want,	13	weight. Whether it becomes part of the
14	whether or not a Susan Kline vehicle ever	14	test and influencing the test, if you were
15	passed.	15	to ask me that today the only thing I can
16	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	16	tell you is it would be something we would
17	objection as to Susan Kline vehicle.	17	look at."
18	THE WITNESS: Well, of course it	18	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
19	did. We have the compliance reports.	19	objection.
20	Q. But your compliance reports that	20	THE WITNESS: He didn't say that
21	you're basing compliance on prior to the	21	the spare tire has any impact feature. He
22	Susan Kline vehicle leaving the	22	said it had to do with weight. Maybe one
23	manufacturer is based on two tests, 4561	23	weighs 30 pounds, one weighs 20 pounds.
23	and 4472. Correct?	24	Q. Isn't weight important in terms
		25	of impact?
25	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	23	or impact:
	Page 235		Page 237
1	objection.	1	A. Not at those differences.
1 2	-	2	A. Not at those differences.Q. Okay. That's your opinion.
	objection.		A. Not at those differences.Q. Okay. That's your opinion.Correct?
2	objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know.	2 3 4	A. Not at those differences.Q. Okay. That's your opinion.Correct?A. Well
2 3	objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know. Q. Well, I'm going to tell you it	2 3	A. Not at those differences.Q. Okay. That's your opinion.Correct?
2 3 4	objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know. Q. Well, I'm going to tell you it was.	2 3 4	A. Not at those differences.Q. Okay. That's your opinion.Correct?A. Well
2 3 4 5	objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know. Q. Well, I'm going to tell you it was. A. Okay.	2 3 4 5	 A. Not at those differences. Q. Okay. That's your opinion. Correct? A. Well Q. But this is the design or testing
2 3 4 5 6	objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know. Q. Well, I'm going to tell you it was. A. Okay. Q. And 4561 has in it a full size	2 3 4 5 6	 A. Not at those differences. Q. Okay. That's your opinion. Correct? A. Well Q. But this is the design or testing engineer.
2 3 4 5 6 7	objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know. Q. Well, I'm going to tell you it was. A. Okay. Q. And 4561 has in it a full size spare and non production rear prop shaft	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Not at those differences. Q. Okay. That's your opinion. Correct? A. Well Q. But this is the design or testing engineer. A. But he didn't say that a full size spare mitigates or changes the VC test result.
2 3 4 5 6 7	objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know. Q. Well, I'm going to tell you it was. A. Okay. Q. And 4561 has in it a full size spare and non production rear prop shaft that's one inch short.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Not at those differences. Q. Okay. That's your opinion. Correct? A. Well Q. But this is the design or testing engineer. A. But he didn't say that a full size spare mitigates or changes the VC test result. Q. That wasn't the question. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know. Q. Well, I'm going to tell you it was. A. Okay. Q. And 4561 has in it a full size spare and non production rear prop shaft that's one inch short. A. That makes no difference.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Not at those differences. Q. Okay. That's your opinion. Correct? A. Well Q. But this is the design or testing engineer. A. But he didn't say that a full size spare mitigates or changes the VC test result. Q. That wasn't the question. The question was whether or not there's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know. Q. Well, I'm going to tell you it was. A. Okay. Q. And 4561 has in it a full size spare and non production rear prop shaft that's one inch short. A. That makes no difference. Neither one of those makes no difference.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Not at those differences. Q. Okay. That's your opinion. Correct? A. Well Q. But this is the design or testing engineer. A. But he didn't say that a full size spare mitigates or changes the VC test result. Q. That wasn't the question. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know. Q. Well, I'm going to tell you it was. A. Okay. Q. And 4561 has in it a full size spare and non production rear prop shaft that's one inch short. A. That makes no difference. Neither one of those makes no difference. Q. You say it makes no difference	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Not at those differences. Q. Okay. That's your opinion. Correct? A. Well Q. But this is the design or testing engineer. A. But he didn't say that a full size spare mitigates or changes the VC test result. Q. That wasn't the question. The question was whether or not there's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know. Q. Well, I'm going to tell you it was. A. Okay. Q. And 4561 has in it a full size spare and non production rear prop shaft that's one inch short. A. That makes no difference. Neither one of those makes no difference. Q. You say it makes no difference and that's your opinion as a fire guy.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Not at those differences. Q. Okay. That's your opinion. Correct? A. Well Q. But this is the design or testing engineer. A. But he didn't say that a full size spare mitigates or changes the VC test result. Q. That wasn't the question. The question was whether or not there's a difference in the testing when you have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know. Q. Well, I'm going to tell you it was. A. Okay. Q. And 4561 has in it a full size spare and non production rear prop shaft that's one inch short. A. That makes no difference. Neither one of those makes no difference. Q. You say it makes no difference and that's your opinion as a fire guy. But if I tell you that engineering	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Not at those differences. Q. Okay. That's your opinion. Correct? A. Well Q. But this is the design or testing engineer. A. But he didn't say that a full size spare mitigates or changes the VC test result. Q. That wasn't the question. The question was whether or not there's a difference in the testing when you have a full size or a compact spare.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know. Q. Well, I'm going to tell you it was. A. Okay. Q. And 4561 has in it a full size spare and non production rear prop shaft that's one inch short. A. That makes no difference. Neither one of those makes no difference. Q. You say it makes no difference and that's your opinion as a fire guy. But if I tell you that engineering experts, design experts and testing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Not at those differences. Q. Okay. That's your opinion. Correct? A. Well Q. But this is the design or testing engineer. A. But he didn't say that a full size spare mitigates or changes the VC test result. Q. That wasn't the question. The question was whether or not there's a difference in the testing when you have a full size or a compact spare. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know. Q. Well, I'm going to tell you it was. A. Okay. Q. And 4561 has in it a full size spare and non production rear prop shaft that's one inch short. A. That makes no difference. Neither one of those makes no difference. Q. You say it makes no difference and that's your opinion as a fire guy. But if I tell you that engineering experts, design experts and testing experts believe that there is a difference	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Not at those differences. Q. Okay. That's your opinion. Correct? A. Well Q. But this is the design or testing engineer. A. But he didn't say that a full size spare mitigates or changes the VC test result. Q. That wasn't the question. The question was whether or not there's a difference in the testing when you have a full size or a compact spare. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my continuing objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know. Q. Well, I'm going to tell you it was. A. Okay. Q. And 4561 has in it a full size spare and non production rear prop shaft that's one inch short. A. That makes no difference. Neither one of those makes no difference and that's your opinion as a fire guy. But if I tell you that engineering experts, design experts and testing experts believe that there is a difference in how the tests react with a compact or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Not at those differences. Q. Okay. That's your opinion. Correct? A. Well Q. But this is the design or testing engineer. A. But he didn't say that a full size spare mitigates or changes the VC test result. Q. That wasn't the question. The question was whether or not there's a difference in the testing when you have a full size or a compact spare. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my continuing objection. THE WITNESS: But the difference
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know. Q. Well, I'm going to tell you it was. A. Okay. Q. And 4561 has in it a full size spare and non production rear prop shaft that's one inch short. A. That makes no difference. Neither one of those makes no difference. Q. You say it makes no difference and that's your opinion as a fire guy. But if I tell you that engineering experts, design experts and testing experts believe that there is a difference in how the tests react with a compact or full size spare and that would you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Not at those differences. Q. Okay. That's your opinion. Correct? A. Well Q. But this is the design or testing engineer. A. But he didn't say that a full size spare mitigates or changes the VC test result. Q. That wasn't the question. The question was whether or not there's a difference in the testing when you have a full size or a compact spare. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my continuing objection. THE WITNESS: But the difference didn't rise to the level of causing tests
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know. Q. Well, I'm going to tell you it was. A. Okay. Q. And 4561 has in it a full size spare and non production rear prop shaft that's one inch short. A. That makes no difference. Neither one of those makes no difference and that's your opinion as a fire guy. But if I tell you that engineering experts, design experts and testing experts believe that there is a difference in how the tests react with a compact or full size spare and that would you disagree with them? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Not at those differences. Q. Okay. That's your opinion. Correct? A. Well Q. But this is the design or testing engineer. A. But he didn't say that a full size spare mitigates or changes the VC test result. Q. That wasn't the question. The question was whether or not there's a difference in the testing when you have a full size or a compact spare. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my continuing objection. THE WITNESS: But the difference didn't rise to the level of causing tests to pass or fail.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know. Q. Well, I'm going to tell you it was. A. Okay. Q. And 4561 has in it a full size spare and non production rear prop shaft that's one inch short. A. That makes no difference. Neither one of those makes no difference. Q. You say it makes no difference and that's your opinion as a fire guy. But if I tell you that engineering experts, design experts and testing experts believe that there is a difference in how the tests react with a compact or full size spare and that would you disagree with them? A. Yes. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Not at those differences. Q. Okay. That's your opinion. Correct? A. Well Q. But this is the design or testing engineer. A. But he didn't say that a full size spare mitigates or changes the VC test result. Q. That wasn't the question. The question was whether or not there's a difference in the testing when you have a full size or a compact spare. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my continuing objection. THE WITNESS: But the difference didn't rise to the level of causing tests to pass or fail. Q. Well, we don't know that, do we?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know. Q. Well, I'm going to tell you it was. A. Okay. Q. And 4561 has in it a full size spare and non production rear prop shaft that's one inch short. A. That makes no difference. Neither one of those makes no difference. Q. You say it makes no difference and that's your opinion as a fire guy. But if I tell you that engineering experts, design experts and testing experts believe that there is a difference in how the tests react with a compact or full size spare and that would you disagree with them? A. Yes. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. Q. So I'm going to read to you Mr.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Not at those differences. Q. Okay. That's your opinion. Correct? A. Well Q. But this is the design or testing engineer. A. But he didn't say that a full size spare mitigates or changes the VC test result. Q. That wasn't the question. The question was whether or not there's a difference in the testing when you have a full size or a compact spare. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my continuing objection. THE WITNESS: But the difference didn't rise to the level of causing tests to pass or fail. Q. Well, we don't know that, do we? He didn't say. He didn't say it didn't. He said he looked at it. He said we look
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know. Q. Well, I'm going to tell you it was. A. Okay. Q. And 4561 has in it a full size spare and non production rear prop shaft that's one inch short. A. That makes no difference. Neither one of those makes no difference. Q. You say it makes no difference and that's your opinion as a fire guy. But if I tell you that engineering experts, design experts and testing experts believe that there is a difference in how the tests react with a compact or full size spare and that would you disagree with them? A. Yes. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. Q. So I'm going to read to you Mr. Zylik's deposition, on page 166 where he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Not at those differences. Q. Okay. That's your opinion. Correct? A. Well Q. But this is the design or testing engineer. A. But he didn't say that a full size spare mitigates or changes the VC test result. Q. That wasn't the question. The question was whether or not there's a difference in the testing when you have a full size or a compact spare. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my continuing objection. THE WITNESS: But the difference didn't rise to the level of causing tests to pass or fail. Q. Well, we don't know that, do we? He didn't say. He didn't say it didn't. He said he looked at it. He said we look at it and we pay attention to it. So it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know. Q. Well, I'm going to tell you it was. A. Okay. Q. And 4561 has in it a full size spare and non production rear prop shaft that's one inch short. A. That makes no difference. Neither one of those makes no difference. Q. You say it makes no difference and that's your opinion as a fire guy. But if I tell you that engineering experts, design experts and testing experts believe that there is a difference in how the tests react with a compact or full size spare and that would you disagree with them? A. Yes. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. Q. So I'm going to read to you Mr. Zylik's deposition, on page 166 where he says	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Not at those differences. Q. Okay. That's your opinion. Correct? A. Well Q. But this is the design or testing engineer. A. But he didn't say that a full size spare mitigates or changes the VC test result. Q. That wasn't the question. The question was whether or not there's a difference in the testing when you have a full size or a compact spare. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my continuing objection. THE WITNESS: But the difference didn't rise to the level of causing tests to pass or fail. Q. Well, we don't know that, do we? He didn't say. He didn't say it didn't. He said he looked at it. He said we look at it and we pay attention to it. So it must be significant, otherwise wouldn't he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know. Q. Well, I'm going to tell you it was. A. Okay. Q. And 4561 has in it a full size spare and non production rear prop shaft that's one inch short. A. That makes no difference. Neither one of those makes no difference. Q. You say it makes no difference and that's your opinion as a fire guy. But if I tell you that engineering experts, design experts and testing experts believe that there is a difference in how the tests react with a compact or full size spare and that would you disagree with them? A. Yes. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. Q. So I'm going to read to you Mr. Zylik's deposition, on page 166 where he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Not at those differences. Q. Okay. That's your opinion. Correct? A. Well Q. But this is the design or testing engineer. A. But he didn't say that a full size spare mitigates or changes the VC test result. Q. That wasn't the question. The question was whether or not there's a difference in the testing when you have a full size or a compact spare. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my continuing objection. THE WITNESS: But the difference didn't rise to the level of causing tests to pass or fail. Q. Well, we don't know that, do we? He didn't say. He didn't say it didn't. He said he looked at it. He said we look at it and we pay attention to it. So it

	Page 238	***************************************	Page 240
1	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	1	component for under-ride management.
2	objection because you're referring to a	2	Q. And if a fuel tank hangs below a
3	deposition that we haven't established Mr.	3	rigid structure does it become more of a
4	Banta has even read.	4	target for an under-riding vehicle?
5	MS. DE FILIPPO: It doesn't	5	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
6	matter.	6	objection to form.
7	THE WITNESS: He was not asked	7	THE WITNESS: It can, yes.
8	the question if the spare tire contributes	8	Q. When was the first time you ever
9	to a pass or failure.	9	saw the Baker memo?
10	Q. Did Chrysler make any design	10	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
11	considerations in the ZJ to lessen the	11	-
12		12	objection.
	potential for under-ride?		THE WITNESS: Oh, goodness. 1984
13	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	13	or 5 maybe.
14	objection. It's beyond the scope of his	14	Q. And you already testified I
15	expert report. You can answer.	15	believe that a sport utility is a
16	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, I didn't	16	multipurpose vehicle. Correct?
17	hear the question.	17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Did Chrysler make any design	18	Q. And you never spoke to any of the
19	considerations in the ZJ to lessen the	19	people listed on that Baker memo including
20	potential for under-ride?	20	Sinclair or Baker regarding the memo.
21	A. Chrysler designed the vehicle to	21	Correct?
22	have the box structure envelope around the	22	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
23	fuel tank for load transfer and made	23	objection.
24	energy absorbing body structures to work	24	THE WITNESS: I didn't know about
25	in the test requirements for 301 and in	25	the memo until years after it was
	Page 239		Page 241
1	the real world. But there is not a	1	published. Wasn't it published in the
2	specific device or component added just to	2	late seventies? Seventy-nine maybe?
3	mitigate under-ride.	3	Q. You were there in the seventies,
4	Q. Just so that we're clear on that,	4	weren't you?
5	Mr. Banta, you had your video taped	5	A. Oh, yeah, I was there. In fact,
6	deposition taken July 31st, 2007 in the	6	at the time I learned of the memo Baker
7	case of Jarmon versus Davidson and Daimler	7	was working for me.
8	Chrysler. Right?		<u> </u>
		8	Q. You would agree that rear end
9	A. Probably, yeah.	9	hits are foreseeable events in the real
10	Q. And I'm reading from your	10	world?
11	deposition.	11	A. Oh, yes.
12	"QUESTION: Were there any design	12	Q. Would you also agree that on
13			
1	considerations for structures or other	13	highway driving so is a chain collision a
14	components for the ZJ to lessen the	14	foreseeable event in the real world?
14 15	components for the ZJ to lessen the potential for under-ride?"	14 15	foreseeable event in the real world? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.
14 15 16	components for the ZJ to lessen the potential for under-ride?" And your answer was: "No, we	14 15 16	foreseeable event in the real world? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: Yes.
14 15 16 17	components for the ZJ to lessen the potential for under-ride?" And your answer was: "No, we depend on managing the energy at the	14 15	foreseeable event in the real world? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.
14 15 16	components for the ZJ to lessen the potential for under-ride?" And your answer was: "No, we	14 15 16	foreseeable event in the real world? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. Q. Do you agree that a motor vehicle should protect passengers from enhanced
14 15 16 17	components for the ZJ to lessen the potential for under-ride?" And your answer was: "No, we depend on managing the energy at the	14 15 16 17	foreseeable event in the real world? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. Q. Do you agree that a motor vehicle
14 15 16 17 18	components for the ZJ to lessen the potential for under-ride?" And your answer was: "No, we depend on managing the energy at the impact."	14 15 16 17 18	foreseeable event in the real world? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. Q. Do you agree that a motor vehicle should protect passengers from enhanced
14 15 16 17 18 19	components for the ZJ to lessen the potential for under-ride?" And your answer was: "No, we depend on managing the energy at the impact." MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	14 15 16 17 18 19	foreseeable event in the real world? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. Q. Do you agree that a motor vehicle should protect passengers from enhanced injuries after a collision?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	components for the ZJ to lessen the potential for under-ride?" And your answer was: "No, we depend on managing the energy at the impact." MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	foreseeable event in the real world? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. Q. Do you agree that a motor vehicle should protect passengers from enhanced injuries after a collision? A. Yes.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	components for the ZJ to lessen the potential for under-ride?" And your answer was: "No, we depend on managing the energy at the impact." MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: That's essentially	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	foreseeable event in the real world? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. Q. Do you agree that a motor vehicle should protect passengers from enhanced injuries after a collision? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree with the General
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	components for the ZJ to lessen the potential for under-ride?" And your answer was: "No, we depend on managing the energy at the impact." MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: That's essentially what I just said.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	foreseeable event in the real world? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. Q. Do you agree that a motor vehicle should protect passengers from enhanced injuries after a collision? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree with the General Motors stipulation in open court about the protection of passengers? And I'll read it
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	components for the ZJ to lessen the potential for under-ride?" And your answer was: "No, we depend on managing the energy at the impact." MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: That's essentially what I just said. Q. So you agree with your prior	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	foreseeable event in the real world? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. Q. Do you agree that a motor vehicle should protect passengers from enhanced injuries after a collision? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree with the General Motors stipulation in open court about the

			02 (10300 111 00 110)
	Page 242		Page 244
1	objection to this line of questioning.	1	said that the litigation costs this much
2	THE WITNESS: You mean the IV	2	and we can spend this much and here's our
3	thing? You're talking about the Mosley	3	threshold, the threshold you just
4	stipulation?	4	described. And I think that was the Frye
5	Q. Yeah. I'll read to you what the	5	presentation.
6	stipulation was. This was in court in the	6	Q. Okay. Do you have a copy of
7	Southern District of Mississippi in the	7	that?
8	year 2000. And it says, "General Motors	8	A. I think I have it somewhere.
9	agrees that it was a written goal of	9	Q. Can you give that to me also?
10	General Motors that the recommended level	10	I'll exchange my Fischbach for your Frye.
11	for fuel system performance is given for	11	A. I think you have it.
12		12	Q. You think I have it?
1	front, side and rear impacts and rollover	13	A. I do.
13	premised on the concept that occupants		
14	involved in collisions which produced	14	
15	occupant impact forces below the threshold	15	
16	level of fatality should be free of the	16	Q. No.
17	hazard of post collision fuel-fed fires."	17	A. I'll look for it. If I have it,
18	Do you agree with that?	18	I'll give it to you.
19	A. No.	19	Q. You can send it to me
20	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	20	electronically?
21	objection.	21	MR. BRADLEY: We'll take it under
22	Q. You don't?	22	advisement.
23	A. No.	23	Q. Were you involved in the case of
24	Q. So do you believe that Chrysler	24	Smith versus Chrysler in Florida?
25	also disagrees with GM's stipulation as	25	A. Smith?
	Page 243		Page 245
1	voiced in open court?	1	Q. Smith.
2	A. Yes.	2	A. Do you have a first name?
3	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	3	Q. Well, it was a Florida case. Do
4	objection.	4	you recall that?
5	Q. Were you aware of it, though?	5	A. Plaintiff attorney? Do you
6	A. Yes.	6	remember him?
7	Q. You're aware of this stipulation.	7	Q. No, I don't have that offhand.
8	Right?	8	It's back in my office. So off the top of
9	A. Yes.	9	your head
10	Q. How long have you been aware of	10	A. Was it Sandra Smith?
11		11	Q. I don't know.
i	the GM position?	12	A. Ted Leopold?
12	A. I don't remember. A long time.	13	-
13	Q. At least since 2000, and probably	14	Q. Yes.
14	before. Right?		A. It's coming back.
15	A. A long time.	15	Q. Were you involved in that?
16	Q. Do you know where the stipulation	16	A. I think so.
17	came from, engineering-wise?	17	Q. Is that a low speed collision?
18	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	18	A. That was a freak collision.
19	objection.	19	Q. Why do you say freak?
20	THE WITNESS: I think it was as a	20	MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.
21	result of a presentation that was made by	21	THE WITNESS: I don't remember
22	an employee of the General Motors Tech	22	the exact details.
23	Center where he essentially made that	23	Q. Was it a stop light and a rear
24	statement in the letter along with some	24	end hit, a very low speed?
	Statement in the letter along with some		
25	supporting financial costs data where he	25	A. I think so.

	Page 246		Page 248
1	Q. Very minor impact?	1	A. I did not. That's correct.
2	A. Well	2	Q. Did you see a picture of the
3	Q. Why do you say it's freak?	3	vehicle in that case?
4	A. It was very unusual.	4	A. I may have. I don't have a
5	Q. Why?	5	mental image of it but I may have.
6	A. It just didn't fit the pattern of	6	Something tells me the Austin case may
7	most. It seemed to me that when I	7	have been more than just a vehicle impact.
8	examined the Smith vehicle I was surprised	8	Maybe it hit a utility pole or something
9	that there was a lesser proportion of	9	also. There was some marking and sparking
10	damage than I would have expected.	10	from the electrical wires for a
11	Q. Right. The crush was negligible,	11	streetlight.
12	wouldn't you say?	12	Q. Mr. Banta, did you review the two
13	A. There was not as much crush as I	13	tests that the federal government did with
14	would have expected from a vehicle caught	14	the Taurus impacting the Ford Explorer at
15	on fire.	15	70 and 75 miles an hour?
16	MR. BRADLEY: Objection.	16	MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.
17	Q. Did you ever come to a conclusion	17	THE WITNESS: Yes.
18	as to what caused the fire in that case?	18	Q. Did you note there was no fuel
19	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	19	spill or breach of the tank in those two
20	objection.	20	tests?
21	THE WITNESS: Obviously, the	21	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
22	crash caused the fire. The impact caused	22	objection.
23	the fire.	23	Q. I know those two tests were not
24	Q. Did the tank rupture or did the	24	initially to the first one was not
25	fuel filler hoses pull out of the tank?	25	initially to inspect fuel spill. Did you
	Page 247		Page 249
1	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	1	know that there was no fuel spill in those
2	objection. It's beyond his expert report.	2	tests?
3	THE WITNESS: I don't remember.	3	A. I don't remember anything
4	I don't remember that kind of detail.	4	significant about them, but I think you're
5	Q. Okay. You issued a report in	5	right.
6	that case, though?	6	Q. And as a fire person and as a
7	A. I don't remember that either.	7	person who just is involved in this
8	Q. You know you saw the vehicle,	8	particular case, did you do any further
9	though?	9	investigation into those tests to
10	A. I have a pretty high confidence	10	determine anything about fuel system
11	level that I saw the vehicle.	11	integrity?
12	Q. How about the Austin Sierra case,	12	A. No.
13	were you in that?	13	Q. In the 50-mile per hour CARCO
14	A. Yes.	14	test, you looked at that test. Right?
15	Q. And you issued a report in that?	15	A. Yes.
	A No avoit a minute I was in the	16	() That tast there was a skid plate
16	A. No, wait a minute. I was in the	1	Q. That test there was a skid plate.
16 17	Austin Sierra case, I did not see the	17	Correct?
16 17 18	Austin Sierra case, I did not see the vehicle. That was in New York?	17 18	Correct? A. I don't remember.
16 17 18 19	Austin Sierra case, I did not see the vehicle. That was in New York? Q. Yes.	17 18 19	Correct? A. I don't remember. Q. Do you remember if the tank
16 17 18 19 20	Austin Sierra case, I did not see the vehicle. That was in New York? Q. Yes. A. No, I did not see the vehicle.	17 18 19 20	Correct? A. I don't remember. Q. Do you remember if the tank breached like the 40-mile an hour one or
16 17 18 19 20 21	Austin Sierra case, I did not see the vehicle. That was in New York? Q. Yes. A. No, I did not see the vehicle. Q. You didn't see the vehicle in	17 18 19 20 21	Correct? A. I don't remember. Q. Do you remember if the tank breached like the 40-mile an hour one or if it was a problem with the fuel filler
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Austin Sierra case, I did not see the vehicle. That was in New York? Q. Yes. A. No, I did not see the vehicle. Q. You didn't see the vehicle in that case?	17 18 19 20 21 22	Correct? A. I don't remember. Q. Do you remember if the tank breached like the 40-mile an hour one or if it was a problem with the fuel filler hose pulling from the tank because of the
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Austin Sierra case, I did not see the vehicle. That was in New York? Q. Yes. A. No, I did not see the vehicle. Q. You didn't see the vehicle in that case? A. I'm sorry?	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Correct? A. I don't remember. Q. Do you remember if the tank breached like the 40-mile an hour one or if it was a problem with the fuel filler hose pulling from the tank because of the relative movement?
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Austin Sierra case, I did not see the vehicle. That was in New York? Q. Yes. A. No, I did not see the vehicle. Q. You didn't see the vehicle in that case?	17 18 19 20 21 22	Correct? A. I don't remember. Q. Do you remember if the tank breached like the 40-mile an hour one or if it was a problem with the fuel filler hose pulling from the tank because of the

1	5 050		2 050
	Page 250		Page 252
1	Q. You you did look at the films?	1	Q. Behavior of the movement of the
2	A. Oh, yeah.	2	rail vis-à-vis the tank. Correct?
3	Q. And if you re-looked at the films	3	A. Yes.
4	you could then again indicate what	4	Q. That's really the rigidity we're
5	happened in those cases. Correct?	5	talking about.
6	A. I'll try.	6	MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.
7	Q. Well, you'll give your opinion as	7	THE WITNESS: Yes.
8	to what you think happened with respect to	8	Q. As you said before, it's for
9	that? Why was a bracket added to the '97	9	those things to move together in a crash.
10	car as far as you're concerned?	10	A. I think what it does, when you
11	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	11	put that bracket on it makes this beam,
12	objection.	12	the distance of the bracket, stronger and
13	THE WITNESS: The 97 ZJ had a new	13	it changes the bend points, you know,
14	fuel tank. It was a co-extruded multi	14	where it deforms.
15	level tank, multilayer tank that was also	15	Q. Right.
16	reshaped and some believed that it would	16	A. It moves the deformation away
17	require a new certification test. So it	17	from wherever it was before.
18	was tested again and again and it would	18	Q. And you're hitting again with a
19	not pass. So impact performance people	19	flat plywood board coming at you,
20	determined that it needed a reinforcement	20	nondeformable flat moving barrier. So
21	on that left rail and when they put the	21	you're not hitting sideways or under-ride.
22	reinforcement on, it passed easily.	22	It's just a flat out hit in the back so
23	Q. Let me stop you right there. So	23	that that bracket then strengthened that
24	what was the tell me the mechanism that	24	rail from that flat hit?
25	allowed a reinforcing bracket to make it	25	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
23	allowed a reinforcing blacket to make it	2.5	MIK. DIVADLET. Just note my
		i	
to the course of the decisions in the decision of the course of the cour	Page 251		Page 253
1	Page 251 so that the tank didn't fail.	1	Page 253 objection.
1 2		1 2	
	so that the tank didn't fail.		objection.
2	so that the tank didn't fail. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.	2	objection. THE WITNESS: The bracket obviously strengthened the rail but I
2 3	so that the tank didn't fail. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: There was a new tank. The tank was reshaped.	2	objection. THE WITNESS: The bracket
2 3 4	so that the tank didn't fail. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: There was a new tank. The tank was reshaped. Q. I understand.	2 3 4	objection. THE WITNESS: The bracket obviously strengthened the rail but I think the design intent there was to move
2 3 4 5	so that the tank didn't fail. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: There was a new tank. The tank was reshaped. Q. I understand.	2 3 4 5	objection. THE WITNESS: The bracket obviously strengthened the rail but I think the design intent there was to move the bend points.
2 3 4 5 6	so that the tank didn't fail. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: There was a new tank. The tank was reshaped. Q. I understand. A. So the old compliance test wasn't valid for the new tank.	2 3 4 5 6	objection. THE WITNESS: The bracket obviously strengthened the rail but I think the design intent there was to move the bend points. Q. You agree with the fact that
2 3 4 5 6 7	so that the tank didn't fail. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: There was a new tank. The tank was reshaped. Q. I understand. A. So the old compliance test wasn't valid for the new tank.	2 3 4 5 6 7	objection. THE WITNESS: The bracket obviously strengthened the rail but I think the design intent there was to move the bend points. Q. You agree with the fact that Chrysler never did any vehicle-to-vehicle
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	so that the tank didn't fail. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: There was a new tank. The tank was reshaped. Q. I understand. A. So the old compliance test wasn't valid for the new tank. Q. But what about the bracket protected the tank?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	objection. THE WITNESS: The bracket obviously strengthened the rail but I think the design intent there was to move the bend points. Q. You agree with the fact that Chrysler never did any vehicle-to-vehicle testing? A. That's right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	so that the tank didn't fail. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: There was a new tank. The tank was reshaped. Q. I understand. A. So the old compliance test wasn't valid for the new tank. Q. But what about the bracket protected the tank? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	objection. THE WITNESS: The bracket obviously strengthened the rail but I think the design intent there was to move the bend points. Q. You agree with the fact that Chrysler never did any vehicle-to-vehicle testing?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	so that the tank didn't fail. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: There was a new tank. The tank was reshaped. Q. I understand. A. So the old compliance test wasn't valid for the new tank. Q. But what about the bracket protected the tank? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	objection. THE WITNESS: The bracket obviously strengthened the rail but I think the design intent there was to move the bend points. Q. You agree with the fact that Chrysler never did any vehicle-to-vehicle testing? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	so that the tank didn't fail. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: There was a new tank. The tank was reshaped. Q. I understand. A. So the old compliance test wasn't valid for the new tank. Q. But what about the bracket protected the tank? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	objection. THE WITNESS: The bracket obviously strengthened the rail but I think the design intent there was to move the bend points. Q. You agree with the fact that Chrysler never did any vehicle-to-vehicle testing? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Do you agree that in some areas
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	so that the tank didn't fail. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: There was a new tank. The tank was reshaped. Q. I understand. A. So the old compliance test wasn't valid for the new tank. Q. But what about the bracket protected the tank? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: The thought is that the bracket gave additional strength to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	objection. THE WITNESS: The bracket obviously strengthened the rail but I think the design intent there was to move the bend points. Q. You agree with the fact that Chrysler never did any vehicle-to-vehicle testing? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Do you agree that in some areas the government does not have the resources
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	so that the tank didn't fail. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: There was a new tank. The tank was reshaped. Q. I understand. A. So the old compliance test wasn't valid for the new tank. Q. But what about the bracket protected the tank? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: The thought is that the bracket gave additional strength to the left frame rail resulting in a change	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	objection. THE WITNESS: The bracket obviously strengthened the rail but I think the design intent there was to move the bend points. Q. You agree with the fact that Chrysler never did any vehicle-to-vehicle testing? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Do you agree that in some areas the government does not have the resources to cover everything that every possible
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	so that the tank didn't fail. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: There was a new tank. The tank was reshaped. Q. I understand. A. So the old compliance test wasn't valid for the new tank. Q. But what about the bracket protected the tank? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: The thought is that the bracket gave additional strength to the left frame rail resulting in a change in the way the left frame rail distorted	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	objection. THE WITNESS: The bracket obviously strengthened the rail but I think the design intent there was to move the bend points. Q. You agree with the fact that Chrysler never did any vehicle-to-vehicle testing? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Do you agree that in some areas the government does not have the resources to cover everything that every possible safety issue that should be covered?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	so that the tank didn't fail. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: There was a new tank. The tank was reshaped. Q. I understand. A. So the old compliance test wasn't valid for the new tank. Q. But what about the bracket protected the tank? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: The thought is that the bracket gave additional strength to the left frame rail resulting in a change in the way the left frame rail distorted in the impact. Therefore, passing the 301	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	objection. THE WITNESS: The bracket obviously strengthened the rail but I think the design intent there was to move the bend points. Q. You agree with the fact that Chrysler never did any vehicle-to-vehicle testing? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Do you agree that in some areas the government does not have the resources to cover everything that every possible safety issue that should be covered? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	so that the tank didn't fail. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: There was a new tank. The tank was reshaped. Q. I understand. A. So the old compliance test wasn't valid for the new tank. Q. But what about the bracket protected the tank? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: The thought is that the bracket gave additional strength to the left frame rail resulting in a change in the way the left frame rail distorted in the impact. Therefore, passing the 301 test.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	objection. THE WITNESS: The bracket obviously strengthened the rail but I think the design intent there was to move the bend points. Q. You agree with the fact that Chrysler never did any vehicle-to-vehicle testing? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Do you agree that in some areas the government does not have the resources to cover everything that every possible safety issue that should be covered? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	so that the tank didn't fail. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: There was a new tank. The tank was reshaped. Q. I understand. A. So the old compliance test wasn't valid for the new tank. Q. But what about the bracket protected the tank? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: The thought is that the bracket gave additional strength to the left frame rail resulting in a change in the way the left frame rail distorted in the impact. Therefore, passing the 301 test. Q. So it gave it more structural	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	objection. THE WITNESS: The bracket obviously strengthened the rail but I think the design intent there was to move the bend points. Q. You agree with the fact that Chrysler never did any vehicle-to-vehicle testing? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Do you agree that in some areas the government does not have the resources to cover everything that every possible safety issue that should be covered? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Yeah. They hit the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	so that the tank didn't fail. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: There was a new tank. The tank was reshaped. Q. I understand. A. So the old compliance test wasn't valid for the new tank. Q. But what about the bracket protected the tank? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: The thought is that the bracket gave additional strength to the left frame rail resulting in a change in the way the left frame rail distorted in the impact. Therefore, passing the 301 test. Q. So it gave it more structural rigidity in the back?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	objection. THE WITNESS: The bracket obviously strengthened the rail but I think the design intent there was to move the bend points. Q. You agree with the fact that Chrysler never did any vehicle-to-vehicle testing? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Do you agree that in some areas the government does not have the resources to cover everything that every possible safety issue that should be covered? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Yeah. They hit the obvious ones.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	so that the tank didn't fail. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: There was a new tank. The tank was reshaped. Q. I understand. A. So the old compliance test wasn't valid for the new tank. Q. But what about the bracket protected the tank? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: The thought is that the bracket gave additional strength to the left frame rail resulting in a change in the way the left frame rail distorted in the impact. Therefore, passing the 301 test. Q. So it gave it more structural rigidity in the back? A. That's the belief.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	objection. THE WITNESS: The bracket obviously strengthened the rail but I think the design intent there was to move the bend points. Q. You agree with the fact that Chrysler never did any vehicle-to-vehicle testing? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Do you agree that in some areas the government does not have the resources to cover everything that every possible safety issue that should be covered? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Yeah. They hit the obvious ones. Q. And there are some areas
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	so that the tank didn't fail. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: There was a new tank. The tank was reshaped. Q. I understand. A. So the old compliance test wasn't valid for the new tank. Q. But what about the bracket protected the tank? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: The thought is that the bracket gave additional strength to the left frame rail resulting in a change in the way the left frame rail distorted in the impact. Therefore, passing the 301 test. Q. So it gave it more structural rigidity in the back? A. That's the belief. Q. And the 301 test, you're hitting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	objection. THE WITNESS: The bracket obviously strengthened the rail but I think the design intent there was to move the bend points. Q. You agree with the fact that Chrysler never did any vehicle-to-vehicle testing? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Do you agree that in some areas the government does not have the resources to cover everything that every possible safety issue that should be covered? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Yeah. They hit the obvious ones. Q. And there are some areas A. Some limitations the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	so that the tank didn't fail. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: There was a new tank. The tank was reshaped. Q. I understand. A. So the old compliance test wasn't valid for the new tank. Q. But what about the bracket protected the tank? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: The thought is that the bracket gave additional strength to the left frame rail resulting in a change in the way the left frame rail distorted in the impact. Therefore, passing the 301 test. Q. So it gave it more structural rigidity in the back? A. That's the belief. Q. And the 301 test, you're hitting with a barrier that's flat. Right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	objection. THE WITNESS: The bracket obviously strengthened the rail but I think the design intent there was to move the bend points. Q. You agree with the fact that Chrysler never did any vehicle-to-vehicle testing? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Do you agree that in some areas the government does not have the resources to cover everything that—every possible safety issue that should be covered? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Yeah. They hit the obvious ones. Q. And there are some areas— A. Some limitations the manufacturers have.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	so that the tank didn't fail. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: There was a new tank. The tank was reshaped. Q. I understand. A. So the old compliance test wasn't valid for the new tank. Q. But what about the bracket protected the tank? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: The thought is that the bracket gave additional strength to the left frame rail resulting in a change in the way the left frame rail distorted in the impact. Therefore, passing the 301 test. Q. So it gave it more structural rigidity in the back? A. That's the belief. Q. And the 301 test, you're hitting with a barrier that's flat. Right? A. Not necessarily structural	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	objection. THE WITNESS: The bracket obviously strengthened the rail but I think the design intent there was to move the bend points. Q. You agree with the fact that Chrysler never did any vehicle-to-vehicle testing? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Do you agree that in some areas the government does not have the resources to cover everything that every possible safety issue that should be covered? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Yeah. They hit the obvious ones. Q. And there are some areas A. Some limitations the manufacturers have. Q. Well, the government resources
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	so that the tank didn't fail. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: There was a new tank. The tank was reshaped. Q. I understand. A. So the old compliance test wasn't valid for the new tank. Q. But what about the bracket protected the tank? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: The thought is that the bracket gave additional strength to the left frame rail resulting in a change in the way the left frame rail distorted in the impact. Therefore, passing the 301 test. Q. So it gave it more structural rigidity in the back? A. That's the belief. Q. And the 301 test, you're hitting with a barrier that's flat. Right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	objection. THE WITNESS: The bracket obviously strengthened the rail but I think the design intent there was to move the bend points. Q. You agree with the fact that Chrysler never did any vehicle-to-vehicle testing? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Do you agree that in some areas the government does not have the resources to cover everything that—every possible safety issue that should be covered? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Yeah. They hit the obvious ones. Q. And there are some areas— A. Some limitations the manufacturers have.

		·	
	Page 254		Page 256
1	A. Yes.	1	objection.
2	Q. And they can't pass on the costs	2	THE WITNESS: I think between the
3	by selling a vehicle.	3	Blazer and Explorer they were both strong
4	A. And they depend on the auto	4	competitors. Both sold more than the
5	manufacturers to do the testing.	5	Grand Cherokee.
6	Q. That's right. And in fact, they	6	Q. With the exception of the ZJ and
7	don't even have or did not have an FMVSS	7	the XJ or the old Ford Pinto, can you give
8	for something like steering. Correct?	8	me an example of a very minor rear end hit
9	MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.	9	in a vehicle which resulted in a fuel tank
10	Q. No FMVSS that covered issues	10	fire?
11	regarding steering?	11	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
12	MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.	12	objection as to minor and comparing to
13	THE WITNESS: No FMVSS for fire.	13	other vehicles.
14	Q. Right, per se. Per se.	14	THE WITNESS: Can you read that
15	A. Yeah. There's the end direct	15	back?
16	301, 302, 303, 304.	16	(Whereupon the previous question
17	Q. But in steering safety or	17	is read back.)
18	steering column safety there's no FMVSS at	18	THE WITNESS: Not limited to the
19	all?	19	rear end.
20	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	20	Q. Would you also agree that the
21	objection.	21	tank in the ZJ could have been located
22	THE WITNESS: I think there is	22	mid-ship?
23	for columns.	23	MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.
24	Q. But not for the actual steering	24	A. It could with a significant
25	mechanism?	25	degree of tear-off. It could not in the
		ļ	
	Page 255		Page 257
1	Page 255	1	Page 257
1 2	A. I think you're correct.	1	ZJ or WJ be located mid-ship but for a
2	A. I think you're correct.Q. Would you agree that NHTSA does	2	ZJ or WJ be located mid-ship but for a pretty significant tear-up. Frame rails
2 3	A. I think you're correct.Q. Would you agree that NHTSA does not allow compliance based on the test of	2 3	ZJ or WJ be located mid-ship but for a pretty significant tear-up. Frame rails would have to move, the body would have to
2 3 4	A. I think you're correct. Q. Would you agree that NHTSA does not allow compliance based on the test of a vehicle if the parts are different than	2 3 4	ZJ or WJ be located mid-ship but for a pretty significant tear-up. Frame rails would have to move, the body would have to change. It could be done but it would not
2 3 4 5	A. I think you're correct. Q. Would you agree that NHTSA does not allow compliance based on the test of a vehicle if the parts are different than what will be the production vehicle?	2 3 4 5	ZJ or WJ be located mid-ship but for a pretty significant tear-up. Frame rails would have to move, the body would have to change. It could be done but it would not be the ZJ anymore. That's essentially what
2 3 4 5 6	A. I think you're correct. Q. Would you agree that NHTSA does not allow compliance based on the test of a vehicle if the parts are different than what will be the production vehicle? A. That's right.	2 3 4 5 6	ZJ or WJ be located mid-ship but for a pretty significant tear-up. Frame rails would have to move, the body would have to change. It could be done but it would not be the ZJ anymore. That's essentially what we did on the WK, we extended the wheel
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. I think you're correct. Q. Would you agree that NHTSA does not allow compliance based on the test of a vehicle if the parts are different than what will be the production vehicle? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	2 3 4 5 6 7	ZJ or WJ be located mid-ship but for a pretty significant tear-up. Frame rails would have to move, the body would have to change. It could be done but it would not be the ZJ anymore. That's essentially what we did on the WK, we extended the wheel base and moved the frame rails, made room
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I think you're correct. Q. Would you agree that NHTSA does not allow compliance based on the test of a vehicle if the parts are different than what will be the production vehicle? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ZJ or WJ be located mid-ship but for a pretty significant tear-up. Frame rails would have to move, the body would have to change. It could be done but it would not be the ZJ anymore. That's essentially what we did on the WK, we extended the wheel base and moved the frame rails, made room for it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I think you're correct. Q. Would you agree that NHTSA does not allow compliance based on the test of a vehicle if the parts are different than what will be the production vehicle? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Do you agree that Chrysler was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ZJ or WJ be located mid-ship but for a pretty significant tear-up. Frame rails would have to move, the body would have to change. It could be done but it would not be the ZJ anymore. That's essentially what we did on the WK, we extended the wheel base and moved the frame rails, made room for it. Q. Why did you do that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I think you're correct. Q. Would you agree that NHTSA does not allow compliance based on the test of a vehicle if the parts are different than what will be the production vehicle? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Do you agree that Chrysler was not aware of any problem locating the tank	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ZJ or WJ be located mid-ship but for a pretty significant tear-up. Frame rails would have to move, the body would have to change. It could be done but it would not be the ZJ anymore. That's essentially what we did on the WK, we extended the wheel base and moved the frame rails, made room for it. Q. Why did you do that? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. I think you're correct. Q. Would you agree that NHTSA does not allow compliance based on the test of a vehicle if the parts are different than what will be the production vehicle? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Do you agree that Chrysler was not aware of any problem locating the tank in the rear and, therefore, no problems of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ZJ or WJ be located mid-ship but for a pretty significant tear-up. Frame rails would have to move, the body would have to change. It could be done but it would not be the ZJ anymore. That's essentially what we did on the WK, we extended the wheel base and moved the frame rails, made room for it. Q. Why did you do that? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: Why did we move it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. I think you're correct. Q. Would you agree that NHTSA does not allow compliance based on the test of a vehicle if the parts are different than what will be the production vehicle? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Do you agree that Chrysler was not aware of any problem locating the tank in the rear and, therefore, no problems of location were ever taken into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ZJ or WJ be located mid-ship but for a pretty significant tear-up. Frame rails would have to move, the body would have to change. It could be done but it would not be the ZJ anymore. That's essentially what we did on the WK, we extended the wheel base and moved the frame rails, made room for it. Q. Why did you do that? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: Why did we move it? Q. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. I think you're correct. Q. Would you agree that NHTSA does not allow compliance based on the test of a vehicle if the parts are different than what will be the production vehicle? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Do you agree that Chrysler was not aware of any problem locating the tank in the rear and, therefore, no problems of location were ever taken into consideration?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ZJ or WJ be located mid-ship but for a pretty significant tear-up. Frame rails would have to move, the body would have to change. It could be done but it would not be the ZJ anymore. That's essentially what we did on the WK, we extended the wheel base and moved the frame rails, made room for it. Q. Why did you do that? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: Why did we move it? Q. Yes. A. We wanted a more contemporary
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I think you're correct. Q. Would you agree that NHTSA does not allow compliance based on the test of a vehicle if the parts are different than what will be the production vehicle? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Do you agree that Chrysler was not aware of any problem locating the tank in the rear and, therefore, no problems of location were ever taken into consideration? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ZJ or WJ be located mid-ship but for a pretty significant tear-up. Frame rails would have to move, the body would have to change. It could be done but it would not be the ZJ anymore. That's essentially what we did on the WK, we extended the wheel base and moved the frame rails, made room for it. Q. Why did you do that? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: Why did we move it? Q. Yes. A. We wanted a more contemporary location and we needed more real estate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I think you're correct. Q. Would you agree that NHTSA does not allow compliance based on the test of a vehicle if the parts are different than what will be the production vehicle? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Do you agree that Chrysler was not aware of any problem locating the tank in the rear and, therefore, no problems of location were ever taken into consideration? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ZJ or WJ be located mid-ship but for a pretty significant tear-up. Frame rails would have to move, the body would have to change. It could be done but it would not be the ZJ anymore. That's essentially what we did on the WK, we extended the wheel base and moved the frame rails, made room for it. Q. Why did you do that? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: Why did we move it? Q. Yes. A. We wanted a more contemporary location and we needed more real estate underneath the vehicle for a spare tire.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I think you're correct. Q. Would you agree that NHTSA does not allow compliance based on the test of a vehicle if the parts are different than what will be the production vehicle? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Do you agree that Chrysler was not aware of any problem locating the tank in the rear and, therefore, no problems of location were ever taken into consideration? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ZJ or WJ be located mid-ship but for a pretty significant tear-up. Frame rails would have to move, the body would have to change. It could be done but it would not be the ZJ anymore. That's essentially what we did on the WK, we extended the wheel base and moved the frame rails, made room for it. Q. Why did you do that? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: Why did we move it? Q. Yes. A. We wanted a more contemporary location and we needed more real estate underneath the vehicle for a spare tire. Q. But what's more contemporary?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I think you're correct. Q. Would you agree that NHTSA does not allow compliance based on the test of a vehicle if the parts are different than what will be the production vehicle? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Do you agree that Chrysler was not aware of any problem locating the tank in the rear and, therefore, no problems of location were ever taken into consideration? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. Q. Do you agree that the number one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ZJ or WJ be located mid-ship but for a pretty significant tear-up. Frame rails would have to move, the body would have to change. It could be done but it would not be the ZJ anymore. That's essentially what we did on the WK, we extended the wheel base and moved the frame rails, made room for it. Q. Why did you do that? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: Why did we move it? Q. Yes. A. We wanted a more contemporary location and we needed more real estate underneath the vehicle for a spare tire. Q. But what's more contemporary? What does that mean?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I think you're correct. Q. Would you agree that NHTSA does not allow compliance based on the test of a vehicle if the parts are different than what will be the production vehicle? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Do you agree that Chrysler was not aware of any problem locating the tank in the rear and, therefore, no problems of location were ever taken into consideration? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. Q. Do you agree that the number one competitor of the ZJ back in the nineties	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ZJ or WJ be located mid-ship but for a pretty significant tear-up. Frame rails would have to move, the body would have to change. It could be done but it would not be the ZJ anymore. That's essentially what we did on the WK, we extended the wheel base and moved the frame rails, made room for it. Q. Why did you do that? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: Why did we move it? Q. Yes. A. We wanted a more contemporary location and we needed more real estate underneath the vehicle for a spare tire. Q. But what's more contemporary? What does that mean? A. Oh, the general trend in the auto
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I think you're correct. Q. Would you agree that NHTSA does not allow compliance based on the test of a vehicle if the parts are different than what will be the production vehicle? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Do you agree that Chrysler was not aware of any problem locating the tank in the rear and, therefore, no problems of location were ever taken into consideration? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. Q. Do you agree that the number one competitor of the ZJ back in the nineties was the Ford Explorer?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ZJ or WJ be located mid-ship but for a pretty significant tear-up. Frame rails would have to move, the body would have to change. It could be done but it would not be the ZJ anymore. That's essentially what we did on the WK, we extended the wheel base and moved the frame rails, made room for it. Q. Why did you do that? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: Why did we move it? Q. Yes. A. We wanted a more contemporary location and we needed more real estate underneath the vehicle for a spare tire. Q. But what's more contemporary? What does that mean? A. Oh, the general trend in the auto industry is to try to make things uniform
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I think you're correct. Q. Would you agree that NHTSA does not allow compliance based on the test of a vehicle if the parts are different than what will be the production vehicle? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Do you agree that Chrysler was not aware of any problem locating the tank in the rear and, therefore, no problems of location were ever taken into consideration? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. Q. Do you agree that the number one competitor of the ZJ back in the nineties was the Ford Explorer? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ZJ or WJ be located mid-ship but for a pretty significant tear-up. Frame rails would have to move, the body would have to change. It could be done but it would not be the ZJ anymore. That's essentially what we did on the WK, we extended the wheel base and moved the frame rails, made room for it. Q. Why did you do that? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: Why did we move it? Q. Yes. A. We wanted a more contemporary location and we needed more real estate underneath the vehicle for a spare tire. Q. But what's more contemporary? What does that mean? A. Oh, the general trend in the auto industry is to try to make things uniform in their production facilities. With the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I think you're correct. Q. Would you agree that NHTSA does not allow compliance based on the test of a vehicle if the parts are different than what will be the production vehicle? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Do you agree that Chrysler was not aware of any problem locating the tank in the rear and, therefore, no problems of location were ever taken into consideration? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. Q. Do you agree that the number one competitor of the ZJ back in the nineties was the Ford Explorer? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: One of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ZJ or WJ be located mid-ship but for a pretty significant tear-up. Frame rails would have to move, the body would have to change. It could be done but it would not be the ZJ anymore. That's essentially what we did on the WK, we extended the wheel base and moved the frame rails, made room for it. Q. Why did you do that? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: Why did we move it? Q. Yes. A. We wanted a more contemporary location and we needed more real estate underneath the vehicle for a spare tire. Q. But what's more contemporary? What does that mean? A. Oh, the general trend in the auto industry is to try to make things uniform in their production facilities. With the exception of the Jeep line everything else
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I think you're correct. Q. Would you agree that NHTSA does not allow compliance based on the test of a vehicle if the parts are different than what will be the production vehicle? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Do you agree that Chrysler was not aware of any problem locating the tank in the rear and, therefore, no problems of location were ever taken into consideration? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. Q. Do you agree that the number one competitor of the ZJ back in the nineties was the Ford Explorer? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: One of the competitors.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ZJ or WJ be located mid-ship but for a pretty significant tear-up. Frame rails would have to move, the body would have to change. It could be done but it would not be the ZJ anymore. That's essentially what we did on the WK, we extended the wheel base and moved the frame rails, made room for it. Q. Why did you do that? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: Why did we move it? Q. Yes. A. We wanted a more contemporary location and we needed more real estate underneath the vehicle for a spare tire. Q. But what's more contemporary? What does that mean? A. Oh, the general trend in the auto industry is to try to make things uniform in their production facilities. With the exception of the Jeep line everything else had moved up to middle or saddle tanks.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I think you're correct. Q. Would you agree that NHTSA does not allow compliance based on the test of a vehicle if the parts are different than what will be the production vehicle? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Do you agree that Chrysler was not aware of any problem locating the tank in the rear and, therefore, no problems of location were ever taken into consideration? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. Q. Do you agree that the number one competitor of the ZJ back in the nineties was the Ford Explorer? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: One of the competitors. Q. Do you agree with the number one	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ZJ or WJ be located mid-ship but for a pretty significant tear-up. Frame rails would have to move, the body would have to change. It could be done but it would not be the ZJ anymore. That's essentially what we did on the WK, we extended the wheel base and moved the frame rails, made room for it. Q. Why did you do that? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: Why did we move it? Q. Yes. A. We wanted a more contemporary location and we needed more real estate underneath the vehicle for a spare tire. Q. But what's more contemporary? What does that mean? A. Oh, the general trend in the auto industry is to try to make things uniform in their production facilities. With the exception of the Jeep line everything else had moved up to middle or saddle tanks. That seemed to be the contemporary way to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I think you're correct. Q. Would you agree that NHTSA does not allow compliance based on the test of a vehicle if the parts are different than what will be the production vehicle? A. That's right. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Do you agree that Chrysler was not aware of any problem locating the tank in the rear and, therefore, no problems of location were ever taken into consideration? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Yes. Q. Do you agree that the number one competitor of the ZJ back in the nineties was the Ford Explorer? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: One of the competitors.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ZJ or WJ be located mid-ship but for a pretty significant tear-up. Frame rails would have to move, the body would have to change. It could be done but it would not be the ZJ anymore. That's essentially what we did on the WK, we extended the wheel base and moved the frame rails, made room for it. Q. Why did you do that? MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: Why did we move it? Q. Yes. A. We wanted a more contemporary location and we needed more real estate underneath the vehicle for a spare tire. Q. But what's more contemporary? What does that mean? A. Oh, the general trend in the auto industry is to try to make things uniform in their production facilities. With the exception of the Jeep line everything else had moved up to middle or saddle tanks.

	Page 258	.,,	Page 260
1	or anyone, did any kind of high speed	1	Q. What was it in the year 1990?
2	vehicle-to-vehicle crash testing to	2	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
3	determine the effectiveness of the skid	3	objection.
4	plate or lack of effectiveness in the ZJ?	4	THE WITNESS: Twenty.
5	A. No.	5	Q. Twenty into the side with a
6	MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.	6	nondeformable flat barrier?
7	Q. Does FMVSS 301 require the	7	A. Yes. Essentially it's the same
8	manufacturer to do side impact testing?	8	kind of barrier to hit with the rear, just
9	A. Yes.	9	different weight.
10	Q. 301 does?	10	Q. I want to go back to the tests.
11	A. Yes.	11	We talked about 5208 and 5380. Correct?
12	Q. So I want to talk to you about	12	Do you remember? Well, the record will
13	the Dodge Durango. That had a mid ship	13	bear me out.
14	tank. Correct?	14	The next test I want you to look
15	A. It did, yes.	15	at is 5441.
16	Q. And it did not hang below the	16	MR. BRADLEY: Are you going to
17	frame rails, however. It was tucked up	17	mark this? Do you want the cover page?
18	into, as high as the frame rails. Is that	18	MS. DE FILIPPO: Yes. Just mark
19	accurate?	19	it.
20	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	20	
21	objection to this line of questioning.	21	(Safety Test Vehicle Crash Test
22	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure of	22	Letter is received and marked Banta-38 for
23	that.	23	identification.)
24	Q. You're not sure if it did or not?	24	
25	A. I'm not sure, no.	25	Q. By the way, do you disagree
2.5	Page 259		Page 261
			have you read the Teets deposition in this
1	Q. I just want to go back	1	case?
2	A. We have 15 minutes and I need a	2 3	A. Yes.
3	cup of coffee.	4	Q. Do you disagree with Mr. Teets
4	Q. When you said 301 required side	5	when he indicates that he met you in the
5	impact testing, what were you referring		nineties when he was at Jeep and Truck
6	to? What has to be tested regarding side	6 7	Engineering and that you didn't work in
7	impact?		design of the fuel tanks on the Jeep or on
8	A. The side 301 requires frontal,	8	the testing?
9	side and rear, all three, and followed by	9	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
10	rollover in each case.	10 11	
11	I I IN MOTIO THA CLOSE 1999 MARCH TACTING /	: 1 1	
117	Q. What's the side impact testing?	1	objection. THE WITNESS: No. that's correct
12	A. The side impact testing at that	12	THE WITNESS: No, that's correct.
13	A. The side impact testing at that time was a moving barrier, moving rigid	12 13	THE WITNESS: No, that's correct. Q. You agree with him?
13 14	A. The side impact testing at that time was a moving barrier, moving rigid barrier similar to the rear.	12 13 14	THE WITNESS: No, that's correct. Q. You agree with him? A. Um-hum.
13 14 15	A. The side impact testing at that time was a moving barrier, moving rigid barrier similar to the rear. Q. Was that to test fuel system	12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: No, that's correct. Q. You agree with him? A. Um-hum. Q. And he said
13 14 15 16	A. The side impact testing at that time was a moving barrier, moving rigid barrier similar to the rear. Q. Was that to test fuel system integrity?	12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: No, that's correct. Q. You agree with him? A. Um-hum. Q. And he said A. Wait a minute. I met him before
13 14 15 16 17	A. The side impact testing at that time was a moving barrier, moving rigid barrier similar to the rear. Q. Was that to test fuel system integrity? A. Yes. Front, side and rear are	12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: No, that's correct. Q. You agree with him? A. Um-hum. Q. And he said A. Wait a minute. I met him before the nineties.
13 14 15 16 17 18	A. The side impact testing at that time was a moving barrier, moving rigid barrier similar to the rear. Q. Was that to test fuel system integrity? A. Yes. Front, side and rear are the three elements of 301. In the case of	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: No, that's correct. Q. You agree with him? A. Um-hum. Q. And he said A. Wait a minute. I met him before the nineties. Q. He said he met you in the mid
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. The side impact testing at that time was a moving barrier, moving rigid barrier similar to the rear. Q. Was that to test fuel system integrity? A. Yes. Front, side and rear are the three elements of 301. In the case of front, the vehicle goes into a fixed	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: No, that's correct. Q. You agree with him? A. Um-hum. Q. And he said A. Wait a minute. I met him before the nineties. Q. He said he met you in the mid nineties.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. The side impact testing at that time was a moving barrier, moving rigid barrier similar to the rear. Q. Was that to test fuel system integrity? A. Yes. Front, side and rear are the three elements of 301. In the case of front, the vehicle goes into a fixed barrier. In the case of the side and the	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: No, that's correct. Q. You agree with him? A. Um-hum. Q. And he said A. Wait a minute. I met him before the nineties. Q. He said he met you in the mid nineties. A. No. I met him in the eighties
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. The side impact testing at that time was a moving barrier, moving rigid barrier similar to the rear. Q. Was that to test fuel system integrity? A. Yes. Front, side and rear are the three elements of 301. In the case of front, the vehicle goes into a fixed barrier. In the case of the side and the rear, it's a moving rigid barrier end of	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: No, that's correct. Q. You agree with him? A. Um-hum. Q. And he said A. Wait a minute. I met him before the nineties. Q. He said he met you in the mid nineties. A. No. I met him in the eighties when he first started at Chrysler. He
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. The side impact testing at that time was a moving barrier, moving rigid barrier similar to the rear. Q. Was that to test fuel system integrity? A. Yes. Front, side and rear are the three elements of 301. In the case of front, the vehicle goes into a fixed barrier. In the case of the side and the rear, it's a moving rigid barrier end of the vehicle.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: No, that's correct. Q. You agree with him? A. Um-hum. Q. And he said A. Wait a minute. I met him before the nineties. Q. He said he met you in the mid nineties. A. No. I met him in the eighties when he first started at Chrysler. He just doesn't remember.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. The side impact testing at that time was a moving barrier, moving rigid barrier similar to the rear. Q. Was that to test fuel system integrity? A. Yes. Front, side and rear are the three elements of 301. In the case of front, the vehicle goes into a fixed barrier. In the case of the side and the rear, it's a moving rigid barrier end of the vehicle. Q. What's the speed on the side	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: No, that's correct. Q. You agree with him? A. Um-hum. Q. And he said A. Wait a minute. I met him before the nineties. Q. He said he met you in the mid nineties. A. No. I met him in the eighties when he first started at Chrysler. He just doesn't remember. Q. So you disagree with him on that?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. The side impact testing at that time was a moving barrier, moving rigid barrier similar to the rear. Q. Was that to test fuel system integrity? A. Yes. Front, side and rear are the three elements of 301. In the case of front, the vehicle goes into a fixed barrier. In the case of the side and the rear, it's a moving rigid barrier end of the vehicle.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: No, that's correct. Q. You agree with him? A. Um-hum. Q. And he said A. Wait a minute. I met him before the nineties. Q. He said he met you in the mid nineties. A. No. I met him in the eighties when he first started at Chrysler. He just doesn't remember.

	Page 262		Page 264
1	office and we gave information to him. If	1	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
2	something came from the government that	2	objection.
3	you needed information for, they, meaning	3	THE WITNESS: Read that again.
4	Teets and his office, gave information to	4	I'm sorry.
5	you. Is that accurate?	5	Q. Stabilizing the architecture
6	A. Yeah, that's true.	6	A. Do that again.
7	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	7	Q. The major function of the rear
8	objection.	8	crossmember is to stabilize the
9	THE WITNESS: If we were doing an	9	architecture of the crash and a little bit
10	investigation on, say, one of these EAs or	10	of energy absorption?
11	PEs, I would go to Mike Teets or his	11	A. Yes, not a lot of energy
12	contemporaries and say I need the answer	12	absorption. Generally what the goal is is
13	to this issue or what do you know about	13	to get that box structure around the tank
14	this.	14	to move as a unit so you don't want to
15	Q. So he's accurate in that?	15	deform it too much.
16	A. Yeah.	16	Q. When you look at 5441, it's a
17	Q. Do you agree with Mr. Castaing	17	validation test.
18	that it's feasible to test for under-ride	18	A. Yes.
19	and it was back in the nineties and late	19	Q. Done on 4-12-95. It is a
20	eighties, early nineties?	20	production, 1994 production build, Grand
21	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	21	Cherokee.
22	objection.	22	A. Yes, to represent '96 production.
23	THE WITNESS: I think it's	23	Q. Okay. It's a failed test?
24	feasible but I think it would be extremely	24	A. So it had a '96 fuel tank. It
25	difficult. Under-ride doesn't have a	25	had '96 steel fuel rails.
	Page 263	MATTER THE PROPERTY OF STREET	Page 265
	1490 200	į	1490 200
1 1	alaccia definition	1	O Dight
1	classic definition.	1	Q. Right.
2	Q. But he said it was feasible to	2	A. And a '96 rear lift gate and a
2 3	Q. But he said it was feasible to test for under-ride. Do you agree with	2 3	A. And a '96 rear lift gate and a '96 reinforced bumper bar, fascia and
2 3 4	Q. But he said it was feasible to test for under-ride. Do you agree with that?	2 3 4	A. And a '96 rear lift gate and a '96 reinforced bumper bar, fascia and brackets. And it leaked.
2 3 4 5	Q. But he said it was feasible to test for under-ride. Do you agree with that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	2 3 4 5	A. And a '96 rear lift gate and a '96 reinforced bumper bar, fascia and brackets. And it leaked. Q. It's a failed test. Right?
2 3 4 5 6	Q. But he said it was feasible to test for under-ride. Do you agree with that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection.	2 3 4 5 6	 A. And a '96 rear lift gate and a '96 reinforced bumper bar, fascia and brackets. And it leaked. Q. It's a failed test. Right? A. It failed in the rollover.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. But he said it was feasible to test for under-ride. Do you agree with that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I think it would be	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. And a '96 rear lift gate and a '96 reinforced bumper bar, fascia and brackets. And it leaked. Q. It's a failed test. Right? A. It failed in the rollover. Q. Do you know why it failed?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. But he said it was feasible to test for under-ride. Do you agree with that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I think it would be difficult.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. And a '96 rear lift gate and a '96 reinforced bumper bar, fascia and brackets. And it leaked. Q. It's a failed test. Right? A. It failed in the rollover. Q. Do you know why it failed? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. But he said it was feasible to test for under-ride. Do you agree with that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I think it would be difficult. Q. I understand. But we're talking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. And a '96 rear lift gate and a '96 reinforced bumper bar, fascia and brackets. And it leaked. Q. It's a failed test. Right? A. It failed in the rollover. Q. Do you know why it failed? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. But he said it was feasible to test for under-ride. Do you agree with that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I think it would be difficult. Q. I understand. But we're talking feasible.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. And a '96 rear lift gate and a '96 reinforced bumper bar, fascia and brackets. And it leaked. Q. It's a failed test. Right? A. It failed in the rollover. Q. Do you know why it failed? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. But he said it was feasible to test for under-ride. Do you agree with that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I think it would be difficult. Q. I understand. But we're talking feasible. A. No. I think it's difficult.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. And a '96 rear lift gate and a '96 reinforced bumper bar, fascia and brackets. And it leaked. Q. It's a failed test. Right? A. It failed in the rollover. Q. Do you know why it failed? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: No. Q. You don't know why?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. But he said it was feasible to test for under-ride. Do you agree with that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I think it would be difficult. Q. I understand. But we're talking feasible. A. No. I think it's difficult. Q. So you disagree with Mr.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. And a '96 rear lift gate and a '96 reinforced bumper bar, fascia and brackets. And it leaked. Q. It's a failed test. Right? A. It failed in the rollover. Q. Do you know why it failed? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: No. Q. You don't know why? A. I do not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. But he said it was feasible to test for under-ride. Do you agree with that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I think it would be difficult. Q. I understand. But we're talking feasible. A. No. I think it's difficult. Q. So you disagree with Mr. Castaing?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. And a '96 rear lift gate and a '96 reinforced bumper bar, fascia and brackets. And it leaked. Q. It's a failed test. Right? A. It failed in the rollover. Q. Do you know why it failed? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: No. Q. You don't know why? A. I do not. Q. Do you know what was done as a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. But he said it was feasible to test for under-ride. Do you agree with that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I think it would be difficult. Q. I understand. But we're talking feasible. A. No. I think it's difficult. Q. So you disagree with Mr. Castaing? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. And a '96 rear lift gate and a '96 reinforced bumper bar, fascia and brackets. And it leaked. Q. It's a failed test. Right? A. It failed in the rollover. Q. Do you know why it failed? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: No. Q. You don't know why? A. I do not. Q. Do you know what was done as a result?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. But he said it was feasible to test for under-ride. Do you agree with that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I think it would be difficult. Q. I understand. But we're talking feasible. A. No. I think it's difficult. Q. So you disagree with Mr. Castaing? A. Yes. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. And a '96 rear lift gate and a '96 reinforced bumper bar, fascia and brackets. And it leaked. Q. It's a failed test. Right? A. It failed in the rollover. Q. Do you know why it failed? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: No. Q. You don't know why? A. I do not. Q. Do you know what was done as a result? A. I do not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. But he said it was feasible to test for under-ride. Do you agree with that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I think it would be difficult. Q. I understand. But we're talking feasible. A. No. I think it's difficult. Q. So you disagree with Mr. Castaing? A. Yes. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: My characterization	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. And a '96 rear lift gate and a '96 reinforced bumper bar, fascia and brackets. And it leaked. Q. It's a failed test. Right? A. It failed in the rollover. Q. Do you know why it failed? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: No. Q. You don't know why? A. I do not. Q. Do you know what was done as a result? A. I do not. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. But he said it was feasible to test for under-ride. Do you agree with that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I think it would be difficult. Q. I understand. But we're talking feasible. A. No. I think it's difficult. Q. So you disagree with Mr. Castaing? A. Yes. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: My characterization would be it would be very difficult.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. And a '96 rear lift gate and a '96 reinforced bumper bar, fascia and brackets. And it leaked. Q. It's a failed test. Right? A. It failed in the rollover. Q. Do you know why it failed? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: No. Q. You don't know why? A. I do not. Q. Do you know what was done as a result? A. I do not. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. Q. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. But he said it was feasible to test for under-ride. Do you agree with that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I think it would be difficult. Q. I understand. But we're talking feasible. A. No. I think it's difficult. Q. So you disagree with Mr. Castaing? A. Yes. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: My characterization would be it would be very difficult. Q. But it could be done?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. And a '96 rear lift gate and a '96 reinforced bumper bar, fascia and brackets. And it leaked. Q. It's a failed test. Right? A. It failed in the rollover. Q. Do you know why it failed? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: No. Q. You don't know why? A. I do not. Q. Do you know what was done as a result? A. I do not. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. Q. So A. I assume this file doesn't tell
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. But he said it was feasible to test for under-ride. Do you agree with that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I think it would be difficult. Q. I understand. But we're talking feasible. A. No. I think it's difficult. Q. So you disagree with Mr. Castaing? A. Yes. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: My characterization would be it would be very difficult. Q. But it could be done? A. I'm sure given enough resources	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. And a '96 rear lift gate and a '96 reinforced bumper bar, fascia and brackets. And it leaked. Q. It's a failed test. Right? A. It failed in the rollover. Q. Do you know why it failed? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: No. Q. You don't know why? A. I do not. Q. Do you know what was done as a result? A. I do not. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. Q. So A. I assume this file doesn't tell us why it failed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. But he said it was feasible to test for under-ride. Do you agree with that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I think it would be difficult. Q. I understand. But we're talking feasible. A. No. I think it's difficult. Q. So you disagree with Mr. Castaing? A. Yes. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: My characterization would be it would be very difficult. Q. But it could be done? A. I'm sure given enough resources it could be done.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. And a '96 rear lift gate and a '96 reinforced bumper bar, fascia and brackets. And it leaked. Q. It's a failed test. Right? A. It failed in the rollover. Q. Do you know why it failed? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: No. Q. You don't know why? A. I do not. Q. Do you know what was done as a result? A. I do not. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. Q. So A. I assume this file doesn't tell us why it failed. Q. And you don't nothing about it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. But he said it was feasible to test for under-ride. Do you agree with that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I think it would be difficult. Q. I understand. But we're talking feasible. A. No. I think it's difficult. Q. So you disagree with Mr. Castaing? A. Yes. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: My characterization would be it would be very difficult. Q. But it could be done? A. I'm sure given enough resources it could be done. Q. Do you agree with Mr. Zylik that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. And a '96 rear lift gate and a '96 reinforced bumper bar, fascia and brackets. And it leaked. Q. It's a failed test. Right? A. It failed in the rollover. Q. Do you know why it failed? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: No. Q. You don't know why? A. I do not. Q. Do you know what was done as a result? A. I do not. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. Q. So A. I assume this file doesn't tell us why it failed. Q. And you don't nothing about it jars your memory?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. But he said it was feasible to test for under-ride. Do you agree with that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I think it would be difficult. Q. I understand. But we're talking feasible. A. No. I think it's difficult. Q. So you disagree with Mr. Castaing? A. Yes. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: My characterization would be it would be very difficult. Q. But it could be done? A. I'm sure given enough resources it could be done. Q. Do you agree with Mr. Zylik that the major function of the rear crossmember	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. And a '96 rear lift gate and a '96 reinforced bumper bar, fascia and brackets. And it leaked. Q. It's a failed test. Right? A. It failed in the rollover. Q. Do you know why it failed? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: No. Q. You don't know why? A. I do not. Q. Do you know what was done as a result? A. I do not. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. Q. So A. I assume this file doesn't tell us why it failed. Q. And you don't nothing about it jars your memory? A. I don't remember this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. But he said it was feasible to test for under-ride. Do you agree with that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I think it would be difficult. Q. I understand. But we're talking feasible. A. No. I think it's difficult. Q. So you disagree with Mr. Castaing? A. Yes. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: My characterization would be it would be very difficult. Q. But it could be done? A. I'm sure given enough resources it could be done. Q. Do you agree with Mr. Zylik that the major function of the rear crossmember was in stablizing the architecture in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. And a '96 rear lift gate and a '96 reinforced bumper bar, fascia and brackets. And it leaked. Q. It's a failed test. Right? A. It failed in the rollover. Q. Do you know why it failed? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: No. Q. You don't know why? A. I do not. Q. Do you know what was done as a result? A. I do not. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. Q. So A. I assume this file doesn't tell us why it failed. Q. And you don't nothing about it jars your memory? A. I don't remember this. Q. As to what was done?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. But he said it was feasible to test for under-ride. Do you agree with that? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I think it would be difficult. Q. I understand. But we're talking feasible. A. No. I think it's difficult. Q. So you disagree with Mr. Castaing? A. Yes. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. THE WITNESS: My characterization would be it would be very difficult. Q. But it could be done? A. I'm sure given enough resources it could be done. Q. Do you agree with Mr. Zylik that the major function of the rear crossmember	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. And a '96 rear lift gate and a '96 reinforced bumper bar, fascia and brackets. And it leaked. Q. It's a failed test. Right? A. It failed in the rollover. Q. Do you know why it failed? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: No. Q. You don't know why? A. I do not. Q. Do you know what was done as a result? A. I do not. MR. BRADLEY: Note my objection. Q. So A. I assume this file doesn't tell us why it failed. Q. And you don't nothing about it jars your memory? A. I don't remember this.

Page 268 Page 268 Page 268	ı			
been marked at the Ditlow deposition. It's a September first letter of Mr. Ditlow to Chrysler and it is Exhibit 7. And you see I was looking for the pictures and it happens to be here. So I'm showing you a picture of the Sierra. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. O. That's the Sierra. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. O. Did you ever see that picture before? A. No. O. But you were involved in that case and I was the 30 V6 PMK witness. O. What does that mean? A. Person most knowledgeable for did isoevery purposes. O. For Chrysler? O. But you were not the fire cause and origin guy? A. Yea. O. But you were not the fire cause and origin guy? A. I don't think we got than far. O. The case was settled as far as you know? A. I don't think we don't think it was settled. O. Is it still pending? A. I flinght. That's the same and I was the 20. V6 PMK withink it was settled? O. A. I fight. That's the vehicle you saw hat vehicle in Jarmon. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. D. What does that mean? D. What does that mean? A. Yea. O. But you were not the fire cause and origin guy? D. The withink we got that far. O. The case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. D. What that's the case. THE WITNESS: I was a failed test. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I'm trying to get there. Fuel leak at I's written probably in pencil and I cannot read beyond that. So I don't know what happened there. O. That's all we have. That's 5681. Correct? A. Yes. O. No, I don't even have that Page 269 Portion of it. Between 1993 — well, actually from 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until 1994, let's just and the while the say of the probably in pencil and I cannot read beyond that. So I don't know while the probably in pencil and I cannot read beyond that. So I don't know while the probably in pencil and I cannot read beyond that. So I don't kn		Page 266		Page 268
been marked at the Ditlow deposition. It's a September first letter of Mr. Ditlow to Chrysler and it is Exhibit 7. And you see I was looking for the pictures and it happens to be here. So I'm showing you a picture of the Sierra. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. O. That's the Sierra. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. O. Did you ever see that picture before. A. No. O. But you were involved in that case and I was the 30 V6 PMK withess. O. What does that mean? O. What does that mean? O. But you were not the fire cause and origin guy? A. Yeah. O. But you were not the fire cause and origin guy? A. Yes. O. But you were not the fire cause and origin guy? A. I don't think we got that far. O. The case was settled as far as you know? A. I dim't think we don't think it was settled. O. Is it still pending? A. I flink it was dismissed in the bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure of the fleet page four of the Ditlow exhibit. Just note my objection. O. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. It's a September first letter of Mr. A. I don't think it was dismissed in the bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure of the fleet page four of the Ditlow exhibit. Just note my objection. O. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. O. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. D. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. D. O. Kay, Stat 568I. That's a development test, '97' A. Yes, a '97 development. O. Okay, That was also a failed test, Yes, a '97 development. O. Okay. That was also a failed test, Yes, a '97 development. O. Okay. That was also a failed test, Yes, a '97 development. O. Okay. That was also a failed test, Yes, a '97 development. O. Okay. That was also a failed test, Yes, a '97 development. O. Okay. That was also a failed test, Yes, a '97 development. O. Okay. That was also a failed test, Yes, a '97 development test, '97' MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: 'Mr typing to get there. Fuel leak at MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I'm trying to get there. Fuel leak at MR. BRA	1	looking for that I want to show you what's	1	
3 It's a September first letter of Mr. 3 development test, 97? A. Yes, a '97 development. And you see! was looking for the pictures and it happens to be here. So I'm showing you a picture of the Sierra. 6 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. 9 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. 9 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. 11 A. I've never seen that before. 11 A. No. Q. Did you ever see that picture 12 a failed test. 13 MR. BRADLEY: She asked if it was a failed test. 14 A. No. 14 MR. BRADLEY: She asked if it was a failed test. 15 MR. BRADLEY: She asked if it was a failed test. 16 MR. BRADLEY: She asked if it was a failed test. 16 MR. BRADLEY: She asked if it was a failed test. 17 MR. BRADLEY: She asked if it was a failed test. 18 MR. BRADLEY: World and I cannot read beyond that. So I doin't know what happened there. 19 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. 19 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. 19 MR. BRADLEY:	2			O Look at 5681 That's a
Ditlow to Chrysler and it is Exhibit 7. And you see I was looking for the pictures and it happens to be here. So I'm showing you a picture of the Sierra. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. D. Did you ever see that before. D. Did you ever see that picture before? A. No. 14 A. No. 14 MR. BRADLEY: She asked if it was a failed test. THE WITNESS: 96 production ZJ modified to represent '97. MR. BRADLEY: She asked if it was a failed test. THE WITNESS: 1 mutying to get there. Fuel leak at MR. BRADLEY: You can't look at me. MR. BRADLEY: You can't look at me. THE WITNESS: Intere's a notation here. Do you see this page? It says "fitel leak at." If swritten probably in pencil and I cannot read beyond that. So I don't know what happened there. D. Dut you were not the fire cause and origin guy? A. I don't think we got that far. Q. Dit you were not the fire cause and origin guy? Page 267 A. I don't think we got that far. Q. Dit you see this page? It says "fitel leak at." If swritten probably in pencil and I cannot read beyond that. So I don't know what happened there. D. Dut you were not the fire cause and origin guy? Page 267 A. I don't think we got that far. Q. In the case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: 1 don't think it was settled. THE WITNESS: 1 don't think it was settled. THE WITNESS: 1 don't think it was settled. THE WITNESS: 1 don't think it was dismissed in the bank tuptey action. I think. I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case. Q. All right. That's the vehicle you saw? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: 1 don't think it was dismissed in the bank and they be developed there. D. O'Sey till July of '94. A. How many? Q. Six tests. And then the following test on July 31st was 5210, it was a validation test, 1995. It was a pass and the vehicle had a skid plate on the and they be consulted. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: 10 or the was a failed test. T	1			•
And you see I was looking for the pictures and it happens to be here. So I'm showing you a picture of the Sierra. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. O. That's the Sierra. A. I've never seen that before. O. Did you ever see that picture before? A. No. Before? A. I did the discovery in that case and I was the 30 V6 PMK witness. O. What does that mean? A. Person most knowledgeable for discovery purposes. O. For Chrysler? A. Yeah. O. But you were not the fire cause and origin guy? Page 267 A. I don't think we got that far. O. The case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: Sidon't think it was a stelled. The Witness of the was and origin guy? Page 267 A. I don't think that's the case. THE WITNESS: I don't think it was a was settled. O. Is it still pending? A. I think it was dismissed in the bankruptey action. I think. I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case. Jammon vehicle? That's the vehicle you saw? A. I did, yes. O. Okay. That was also a failed test. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: 96 production ZJ modified to represent 97. MR. BRADLEY: She asked if it was a failed test. THE WITNESS: I'm trying to get there. Fuel leak at THE WITNESS: I'm trying to get there. Fuel leak at THE WITNESS: I'm trying to get there. Fuel leak at THE WITNESS: I'm trying to get there. Fuel leak at THE WITNESS: I'm trying to get there. Fuel leak at THE WITNESS: I'm trying to get there. Fuel leak at THE WITNESS: I'm trying to get there. Fuel leak at THE WITNESS: I'm trying to get there. Fuel leak at THE WITNESS: I'm trying to get there. Fuel leak at THE WITNESS: I'm trying to get there. Fuel leak at THE WITNESS: I'm trying to get there. Fuel leak at THE WITNESS: I'm trying to get there. Fuel leak at THE WITNESS: I'm trying to get there. Fuel leak at THE WITNESS: I'm trying to get there. Fuel leak at THE WITNESS: I'm trying to get there. Fuel leak at THE WITNESS: I'm trying to get t		*		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
and it happens to be here. So I'm showing you a picture of the Sierra. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. O. That's the Sierra. 10 Q. That's the Sierra. 11 A. I've never seen that before. 12 Q. Did you ever see that picture before? 13 before? 14 A. No. 15 Q. But you were involved in that case of an I was the 30 V6 PMK witness. 16 Q. What does that mean? 17 A. I did the discovery in that case of discovery purposes. 18 Q. What does that mean? 19 Q. What does that mean? 20 A. Person most knowledgeable for discovery purposes. 21 Q. For Chrysler? 22 Q. For Chrysler? 23 A. Yeah. 24 Q. But you were not the fire cause and origin guy? Page 267 A. I don't think we got that far. 2 Q. The case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just not			1	•
7	1			•
objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. That's the Sierra. A. I've never seen that before. Did you ever see that picture before? A. No. Q. But you were involved in that case? A. I did the discovery in that case Q. What does that mean? A. Person most knowledgeable for discovery purposes. Q. But you were not the fire cause and origin guy? A. Yeah. Q. But you were not the fire cause and origin guy? A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as yobjection. THE WITNESS: There's a notation here. Do you see this page? It says "fuel leak at." It's written probably in pencil and I cannot read beyond that. So I don't know what happened there. Q. That's all we have. That's 5681. Correct? A. Yeah. Q. But you were not the fire cause and origin guy? A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as yobjection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it was settled. A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as yobjection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it objection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it objection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it objection. Page 267 A. Yes. Q. No, I don't even have that Page 269 Page 269 A. I think it was dismissed in the bankruptey action. I think. I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case. Jamon vehicle? That's the vehicle you saw? MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. MR. BRADL				
9 Objection. 9 Objection. 9 Objection. 9 Objection. 9 Objection. 9 Objection. 9 Objection. 11 Objection. 12 Objection. 12 Objection. 13 Objection. 14 Objection. 15 Objection. 16 Objection. 17 Objection. 18 Objection. 19 Objection. 19 Objection. 10 Objection. 17 Objection. 18 Objection. 19 Objection. 19 Objection. 19 Objection. 19 Objection. 10 Objection.		· ·	-	
10	ĺ	5	1	
A. I've never seen that before. Q. Did you ever see that picture before? 14 A. No. 15 Q. But you were involved in that 16 case? 17 A. I did the discovery in that case and I was the 30 V6 PMK witness. 18 and I was the 30 V6 PMK witness. 19 Q. What does that mean? 20 A. Person most knowledgeable for discovery purposes. 21 discovery purposes. 22 Q. For Chrysler? 23 A. Yeah. 24 Q. But you were not the fire cause and origin guy? 25 A. I don't think we got that far. 2 Q. The case was settled as far as 3 you know? 4 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. 5 MR. BRADLEY: She asked if it was a failed test. MR. BRADLEY: You can't look at me. THE WITNESS: There's a notation here. Do you see this page? It says "fuel leak at." It's written probably in pencil and I cannot read beyond that. So I don't know what happened there. Q. That's all we have. That's 5681. Correct? A. Yes. Q. No, I don't even have that Page 269 A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as you know? 4 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. 5 MR. BRADLEY: She asked if it was a failed test. MR. BRADLEY: You can't look at me. THE WITNESS: Threr's a notation here. Do you see this page? It says "fuel leak at." It's written probably in pencil and I cannot read beyond that. So I don't know what happened there. Q. That's all we have. That's 5681. Correct? A. Yes. Q. No, I don't even have that Page 269 Page 269 A. I don't think it was dismissed in the say between May of 1992 until July of 1994 every test on the list was a failure. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Says "fuel leak at." It's written probably in pencil and I cannot read beyond that. So I don't know what happened there. Q. That's all we have. That's 5681. Correct? A. Yes. Q. No, I don't even have that Page 269 Q. Siy Syz till July of 1994 every test on the list was a failure. MR. BRADLEY: Sust note my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Sust note my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Wast a failure. M	1 -	•	-	THE WITNESS: '96 production ZJ
12 Q. Did you ever see that picture 12 before?	10	Q. That's the Sierra.	10	modified to represent '97.
before? 14 A. No. 2 But you were involved in that case? 16 case? 17 A. I did the discovery in that case and I was the 30 V6 PMK witness. 18 here. Do you see this page? It says "fuel leak at." It's written probably in pencil and I cannot read beyond that. So I don't know what happened there. 20 A. Person most knowledgeable for discovery purposes. 21 Q. For Chrysler? 22 Q. For Chrysler? 23 A. Yeah. 24 Q. But you were not the fire cause and origin guy? 25 and origin guy? 26 A. I don't think we got that far. 27 Q. The case was settled as far as you know? 38 you know? 39 A. I think it was dismissed in the bankruptcy action. I think I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case. 40 Q. Is it still pending? 41 A. I don't think. I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case. 41 Q. All right. Thar's the same 42 Q. All right. Thar's the vehicle you saw? 43 MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. 44 Just note my objection. 45 Q. Val right. Thar's the vehicle in Jarmon. 46 Correct? 47 A. How many? 48 A. How many? 49 A. I did, the discovery in that case and it cannot read beyond that. So I don't know what happened there. 40 Q. Is it still pending and I cannot read beyond that. So I don't know what happened there. 40 Q. Is it still pending and right pending and I cannot read beyond that. So I don't know what happened there. 41 Q. The case was settled as far as you know? 42 A. Yea. 43 you know? 44 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. 55 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. 66 THE WITNESS: I don't think it was dismissed in the bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case. 40 Q. All right. Thar's the vehicle you saw? 41 A. How many? 42 A. How many? 43 A. How many? 44 A. How many? 45 A. How many? 46 A. How many? 47 A. How many? 48 A. How many? 49 A. How many? 40 A. I'm sorry? 40 A. I'm sorry? 41 A. I'm sorry? 42 A. I'm sorry? 43 A. I'm sorry? 44 P. C. Para that the ead a full size spare. 45 A. I'm sorry? 46	11	A. I've never seen that before.	11	MR. BRADLEY: She asked if it was
14 A. No. 15 Q. But you were involved in that 16 case? 17 A. I did the discovery in that case 18 and I was the 30 V6 PMK witness. 19 Q. What does that mean? 20 A. Person most knowledgeable for 21 discovery purposes. 22 Q. For Chrysler? 23 A. Yeah. 24 Q. But you were not the fire cause 25 and origin guy? 26 Description. 27 A. I don't think we got that far. 28 Q. The case was settled as far as 29 you know? 20 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 20 objection. 21 don't think it was dismissed in the 22 Description. 23 A. I think it was dismissed in the 24 Description. 25 Description. 26 The WiTNESS: I don't think it of that but I think that's the case. 27 Description of the but I think that's the case. 28 Description. 29 Description. 30 Description of the but I think that's the case. 31 Just note my objection. 32 Description of the but I think that's the case. 33 Description of the but I think that's the case. 34 Description of the but I think that's the case. 35 Description of the but I think that's the case. 36 Description of the but I think that's the case. 37 Description of the but I think that's the case. 39 Description of the but I think that's the case. 30 Description of the but I think that's the case. 31 Description of the but I think that's the case. 32 Description of the but I think that's the case. 33 Description of the but I think that's the case. 34 Description of the but I think that's the case. 35 Description of the but I think that's the case. 36 Description of the but I think that's the case. 37 Description of the but I think that's the case. 38 Description of the but I think that's the case. 39 Description of the but I think that's the case. 40 Description of the but I think that's the case. 41 Description of the but I think that's the case. 41 Description of the but I think that's the case. 42 Description of the but I think that's the case. 43 Description of the but I think that's the case. 44 Description of the but I think that's the case. 45 Description of the but I think that's the case. 46 Description	12	Q. Did you ever see that picture	12	a failed test.
14 A. No. 15 Q. But you were involved in that 16 case? 17 A. I did the discovery in that case 18 and I was the 30 V6 PMK witness. 19 Q. What does that mean? 20 A. Person most knowledgeable for 21 discovery purposes. 22 Q. For Chrysler? 23 A. Yeah. 24 Q. But you were not the fire cause 25 and origin guy? 26 Page 267 27 A. I don't think we got that far. 29 Q. The case was settled as far as 29 you know? 20 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 20 objection. 21 THE WITNESS: There's a notation here. Do you see this page? It says "fitel leak at." It's written probably in pencil and I cannot read beyond that. So I don't know what happened there. 29 Q. For Chrysler? 20 A. Yeah. 21 Q. But you were not the fire cause and origin guy? 22 A. Yes. 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. But you know got that far. 24 Q. The case was settled as far as you know? 35 you know? 36 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my 37 objection. 38 Q. Is it still pending? 39 A. I think it was dismissed in the plankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case. 39 Jammon vehicle? That's the same Jammon vehicle? That's the same Jammon vehicle? That's the vehicle you saw? 30 MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. Just note my objection. 30 Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. 31 Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. 32 Q. Okay. 33 You know may of 1992 until July of '94. 34 A. How many? 45 A. I m sorry? 46 A. I'm sorry? 47 Q. Six tests. And then the following test on July 31st was 5210, it was a validation test, 1995. It was a pass and the vehicle had a skid plate on it and full size spare. 34 A. I'm sorry? 35 A. Yes. 36 Q. Okay. 37 O. A skid plate and a full size spare and it passed. Let me ask you one other question, Mr. Banta. I have looked through these tests at length and I know I'we asked you to do that for me also and I will list the tests for you. Actually, I'll give you the numbers before we leave today. But when testing vehicles like this and there is no - not every test	13	before?	13	THE WITNESS: I'm trying to get
16 case? A. I did the discovery in that case and I was the 30 V6 PMK witness. 18 and I was the 30 V6 PMK witness. 19 Q. What does that mean? 20 A. Person most knowledgeable for discovery purposes. 21 discovery purposes. 22 Q. For Chrysler? 23 A. Yeah. 24 Q. But you were not the fire cause and origin guy? 25 and origin guy? 26 The case was settled as far as you know? 4 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. 6 THE WITNESS: I don't think it was dismissed in the of that but I think that's the case. 10 Dyou see this page? It says "fuel leak at." It's written probably in pencil and I cannot read beyond that. So I don't know what happened there. 20 Crorect? A. Yeah. 21 Q. That's all we have. That's 5681. 22 Correct? A. Yes. 23 Q. No, I don't even have that 24 Page 269 25 Page 267 26 Page 267 27 Page 269 28 Page 269 29 A. I think it was dismissed in the of that but I think that's the case. 20 I sit still pending? 31 A. I think it was dismissed in the of that but I think that's the case. 32 A. Yeah. 33 Page 267 44 A. Yes. 45 Page 269 46 Page 267 47 Page 269 48 Page 269 49 A. I think it was dismissed in the of that but I think that's the case. 40 La I right. That's the same 41 Jarmon vehicle? That's the vehicle you saw? 41 Just note my objection. 41 Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. 42 Q. All right. That's the same 43 Jarmon vehicle? That's the vehicle you saw? 44 A. I did, yes. 45 Page 269 46 A. I did, yes. 47 Just note my objection. 48 Q. Is it still pending? 49 A. I think it was dismissed in the of that but I think that's the case. 40 A. How many? 41 Q. Siy2 till July of '94. 42 A. How many? 43 Q. Six tests. And then the following test on July 31st was 5210, it was a validation test, 1995. It was a pass and the vehicle had a skid plate on it and full size spare. 40 A. I did, yes. 41 Just note my objection. 41 Just note my objection. 42 Q. A skid plate and a full size spare and it passed. Let me ask you one other question, Mr. Banta. I have looked through these test	14	A. No.	14	
16	15	Q. But you were involved in that	15	MR. BRADLEY: You can't look at
A. I did the discovery in that case and I was the 30 V6 PMK witness. Q. What does that mean? A. Person most knowledgeable for discovery purposes. Q. For Chrysler? Q. But you were not the fire cause and origin guy? Page 267 A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: There's a notation here. Do you see this page? It says "fitel leak at." It's written probably in pencil and I cannot read beyond that. So I don't know what happened there. Q. That's all we have. That's 5681. Correct? A. Yes. Q. No, I don't even have that Page 269 A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it was dismissed in the bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case. Q. All right. That's the same 12 Jarmon vehicle? That's the vehicle you saw? MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. Just note my objection. Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. Correct? A. I did, yes. Q. Okay. THE WITNESS: And then the following test on July 31st was 5210, it was a validation test, 1995. It was a pass and the vehicle had a skid plate on it and full size spare. A. I did, yes. Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. Correct? A. I'm sorry? Q. A skid plate and a full size spare and it passed. Let me ask you one other question, Mr. Banta. I have looked through these tests at length and I know I've asked you to do that for me also and I've asked you to do that for me also and I've asked you to do that for me also and I've asked you to do that for me also and I've asked you to the numbers before we leave today. But when testing vehicles like this and there is no not every test	16			
and I was the 30 V6 PMK witness. Q. What does that mean? A. Person most knowledgeable for discovery purposes. Q. For Chrysler? A. Yeah. Q. But you were not the fire cause 24 A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it was dismissed in the bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case. Q. All right. That's the same Jarmon vehicle? That's the vehicle you saw? MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. Just note my objection. Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. Q. Okay. A. I did, yes. Q. Okay. A. Person most knowledgeable for 20 and I cannot read beyond that. So I don't know what happened there. Q. That's all we have. That's 5681. Correct? A. Yes. Q. That's all we have. That's 5681. Correct? A. Yes. Q. No, I don't even have that Page 269 Page 269 Page 269 Page 269 A. Yes. Q. No, I don't even have that Page 269 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: 5/92 utill July of 1994. A. How many? Q. Six tests. And then the following test on July 31st was 5210, it was a validation test, 1995. It was a pass and the vehicle had a skid plate on it and full size spare. A. I'm sorry? Q. A skid plate and a full size spare and it passed. Let me ask you one other question, Mr. Banta. I have looked through these tests at length and I know have. That's all we have. That's they whole. That's the same 12 wery test on the list was a failure. Q. No, I don't even have that A. Yes. Q. No, I don't even have that Romonwill have have. That's 5681. Correct? A. Yes. Q. No, I don't even have that Fage 269 A. Yes. Q. No, I don't even have that Fage 269 A. Jet's in the star of t	i			
Q. What does that mean? A. Person most knowledgeable for discovery purposes. Q. For Chrysler? 22 Q. For Chrysler? 23 A. Yeah. 24 Q. But you were not the fire cause and origin guy? 25 and origin guy? 26 Page 267 Page 267 Page 268 A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as you know? 3 say between May of 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until July of 1994 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. 4 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. 5 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my of that but I think it was dismissed in the of that but I think that's the case. 11 Gollowing test on July 31st was 5210, it was a validation test, 1995. It was a pass and the vehicle had a skid plate on the reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. 17 Just note my objection. 4 MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. 17 Just note my objection. 4 MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. 18 Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. 20 A. I did, yes. 21 (Safety Test Vehicle Crash Test 23 (Safety Test Vehicle Crash Test 24 Letter is received and marked Banta-39 for 24 leak at." It's written probably in pencil and I cannot read beyond that. So I don't know what happened there. 22 Q. That's all we have. That's So I don't know what happened there. 24 C. That's all we have. That's 5681. 26 Q. No, I don't even have that 27 Page 269 Page 269 Page 269 Page 269 Page 269 Page 269 A. Yes. Q. No, I don't even have that Page 269 MR. Between 1993 well, MR. Between 1993 well, MR. Between 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until 1994 let's just say between May of 1992 until 1994 let's just say between May of 1992 until 1994 let's just say between May of 1992 until 1994 let's just say between May of 1992 until 1994 let's just say between May of 1992 until 1994 let's just say between May of 1992 until 1994 l		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
A. Person most knowledgeable for discovery purposes. 2 Q. For Chrysler? 2 Q. For Chrysler? 2 Q. But you were not the fire cause and origin guy? 2 A. Yesh. 2 Q. But you were not the fire cause and origin guy? 2 A. Yes. 2 Description of it. Between 1993 well, actually from 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until July of 1994 every test on the list was a failure. 3 Description of it. Between 1993 well, actually from 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until July of 1994 every test on the list was a failure. 4 Description of it. Between 1993 well, actually from 1992 until July of 1994 every test on the list was a failure. 5 Description of it. Between 1993 well, actually from 1992 until July of 1994 every test on the list was a failure. 6 THE WITNESS: I don't think it was dismissed in the sharkuptcy action. I think. I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case. 9 A. I think it was dismissed in the pass and the vehicle had a skid plate on it and full size spare. 10 A. I did, yes. 11 G. Size tist all end a full size spare and it passed. Let me ask you one other question, Mr. Banta. I have looked through these tests at length and I know I've asked you to do that for me also and I will list the tests for you. Actually, I've asked you to do that for me also and I will list and there is no not every test this and there is no not every test this and there is no not every test this and there is no not every test one.				
discovery purposes. Q. For Chrysler? A. Yeah. Q. But you were not the fire cause and origin guy? Page 267 Page 269 A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my MR. BRADLEY: Just note my Mass settled. Q. Is it still pending? A. I think it was dismissed in the bankruptey action. I think. I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case. Q. All right. That's the same MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record RR. BRADLEY: Just let the recor	1	•		
Q. For Chrysler? A. Yeah. Q. But you were not the fire cause and origin guy? Page 267 Page 267 Page 267 Page 267 A. Yes. Q. No, I don't even have that Page 269 A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Q. Is it still pending? A. I think it was dismissed in the bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case. Q. All right. That's the same Jarmon vehicle? That's the vehicle you saw? MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. Just note my objection. Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. Q. Okay. Q. Safety Test Vehicle Crash Test Q. Safety Test Vehicle Crash Test Letter is received and marked Banta-39 for A. Yes. Q. No, I don't even have that A. Yes. Q. No, I don't even have that Page 269 Page 269 Page 269 Page 269 A. Yes. Q. No, I don't even have that Page 269 Page 269 A. Yes. Q. No, I don't even have that Page 269 Page 269 Page 269 A. Yes. Q. No, I don't even have that Page 269 Page 269 Page 269 A. Yes. Q. No, I don't even have that Page 269 Page 269 A. Yes. Q. No, I don't even have that Page 269 Page 269 Page 269 A. Yes. Q. No, I don't even have that Page 269 Page 269 A. Yes. Q. No, I don't even have that Page 269 Page 269 A. Yes. Q. No, I don't even have that Page 269 Page 269 A. Yes. Q. No, I don't even have that Page 269		_	1	
A. Yeah. Q. But you were not the fire cause and origin guy? Page 267 Page 267 A. Yes. Q. No, I don't even have that Page 269 1 A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it was settled. Q. Is it still pending? A. I think it was dismissed in the bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case. Q. All right. That's the same Jarmon vehicle? That's the vehicle you saw? MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. Q. Okay. Correct? A. Yes. Q. No, I don't even have that Page 269 Page 269 Page 269 Ray Guntil 1994, let's just actually from 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until July of 1994 every test on the list was a failure. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: 5/92 till Q. Six tests. And then the following test on July 31st was 5210, it was a validation test, 1995. It was a pass and the vehicle had a skid plate on it and full size spare. A. I'm sorry? Q. A skid plate and a full size spare and it passed. Let me ask you one other question, Mr. Banta. I have looked through these tests at length and I know I've asked you to do that for me also and I will list the tests for you. Actually, I'll give you the numbers before we leave today. But when testing vehicles like this and there is no not every test				~ *
Q. But you were not the fire cause and origin guy? Page 267 A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it was settled. Q. Is it still pending? A. I think it was dismissed in the bankruptey action. I think it rof that but I think that's the case. Q. All right. That's the same 13 Jarmon vehicle? That's the vehicle you saw? MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. Just note my objection. Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. Correct? Q. Okay. A. I don't think if rease 24 A. Yes. Q. No, I don't even have that Page 269 A. Yes. Q. No, I don't even have that Page 269 A. Yes. Q. No, I don't even have that Page 269 A. Yes. Q. No, I don't even have that Page 269 A. Yes. Q. No, I don't even have that Page 269 A. Yes. Q. No, I don't even have that Page 269 A. Yes. Q. No, I don't even have that Page 269 A. Yes. Q. No, I don't even have that Page 269 A. Yes. Q. No, I don't even have that Page 269 A. Yes. Q. No, I don't even have that Page 269 A. Yes. Q. No, I don't even have that Page 269 A. Yes. Q. No, I don't even have that Page 269 A. Yes just actually from 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until July of 1994 every test on the list was a failure. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. 7 THE WITNESS: 5/92 till Q. Six tests. And then the following test on July 31st was 5210, it was a validation test, 1995. It was a pass and the vehicle had a skid plate on it and full size spare. A. I'm sorry? Q. A skid plate and a full size spare and it passed. Let me ask you one other question, Mr. Banta. I have looked through these tests at length and I know I've asked you to do that for me also and I will list the tests for you. Actually, I'll give you the numbers before we leave today. But when testing vehicles like this and there is no not every test	1			
Page 267 Page 267 A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it was settled. Q. Is it still pending? A. I think it was dismissed in the bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case. Q. All right. That's the vehicle you saw? MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. Just note my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. Q. Okay. Page 269 Radical Page 269 Page 269 Radical Page 269 Page 269 Return for it. Between 1993 well, actually from 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until July of 1994 every test on the list was a failure. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: 5/92 till Q. Sy2 till July of '94. A. How many? Q. Six tests. And then the following test on July 31st was 5210, it was a validation test, 1995. It was a pass and the vehicle had a skid plate on it and full size spare. A. I'm sorry? Q. A skid plate and a full size spare and it passed. Let me ask you one other question, Mr. Banta. I have looked through these tests at length and I know I've asked you to do that for me also and I will list the tests for you. Actually, I'll give you the numbers before we leave today. But when testing vehicles like this and there is no not every test				
Page 267 A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it was settled. Q. Is it still pending? A. I think it was dismissed in the bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case. Q. All right. That's the same Jarmon vehicle? That's the vehicle you saw? MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. Just note my objection. Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. Q. Okay. Page 269 Page 269 Page 269 A. I don't think we got that far. portion of it. Between 1993 well, actually from 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until July of 1994 every test on the list was a failure. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: 5/92 till Q. S/92 till July of '94. A. How many? Q. Six tests. And then the following test on July 31st was 5210, it was a validation test, 1995. It was a pass and the vehicle had a skid plate on it and full size spare. A. I'm sorry? Q. A skid plate and a full size spare and it passed. Let me ask you one other question, Mr. Banta. I have looked through these tests at length and I know I've asked you to do that for me also and I will list the tests for you. Actually, I'll give you the numbers before we leave today. But when testing vehicles like this and there is no not every test	1			
A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my Dijection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it MR. BRADLEY: Just note my MR. BRADLEY: Just note my Dijection. THE WITNESS: 5/92 till MR. BRADLEY: Just note my MR. BRADLEY: Just not emy Dijection. THE WITNESS: 5/92 till MR. BRADLEY: Just not emy Dijection. THE WITNESS: 5/92 till MR. BRADLEY: Just not emy Dijection. THE WITNESS: 5/92 till MR. BRADLEY: Just not my Dijection. THE WITNESS: 5/92 till Dijection. THE WITNES	25	and origin guy?	25	Q. No, I don't even have that
Q. The case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it was settled. Q. Is it still pending? A. I think it was dismissed in the bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case. All right. That's the same Jarmon vehicle? That's the vehicle you saw? MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. Just note my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just let me ask you one other question, Mr. Banta. I have looked Correct? A. I did, yes. Q. Okay. Cafety Test Vehicle Crash Test Letter is received and marked Banta-39 for		Dog 267		
Q. The case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it was settled. Q. Is it still pending? A. I think it was dismissed in the bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case. All right. That's the same Jarmon vehicle? That's the vehicle you saw? MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. Just note my objection. MR. BRADLEY: Just let me ask you one other question, Mr. Banta. I have looked Correct? A. I did, yes. Q. Okay. Cafety Test Vehicle Crash Test Letter is received and marked Banta-39 for	ŀ	rage 207		Page 269
you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it objection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it objection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it objection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it objection. THE WITNESS: 5/92 till OLIS it still pending? A. I think it was dismissed in the bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case. OLIS it still pending? A. I think it was dismissed in the of that but I think that's the case. OLIS it still pending? A. I think it was dismissed in the of that but I think that's the case. OLIS it still pending? A. How many? OLIS it still pending? OLIS it still July of '94. A. How many? OLIS it still July of '94. A. How many? OLIS it still pending? A. How many? OLIS it still pending? A. How many? A.	1	•	1	·
MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it was settled. Q. Is it still pending? A. I think it was dismissed in the bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case. A. All right. That's the same Jarmon vehicle? That's the vehicle you saw? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: 5/92 till Q. Siy tests. And then the following test on July 31st was 5210, it was a validation test, 1995. It was a pass and the vehicle had a skid plate on it and full size spare. MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record freflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. Just note my objection. Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. A. I did, yes. Q. Okay. Casfety Test Vehicle Crash Test Casfe	i	A. I don't think we got that far.		portion of it. Between 1993 well,
objection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it was settled. Q. Is it still pending? A. I think it was dismissed in the bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case. All right. That's the same Jarmon vehicle? That's the vehicle you saw? MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. Just note my objection. WR. BRADLEY: Just let the record Q. A skid plate and a full size spare and it passed. Let me ask you one other question, Mr. Banta. I have looked Correct? D. A I did, yes. O. Okay. Cafety Test Vehicle Crash Test Letter is received and marked Banta-39 for 24 MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. Just note my O. A skid plate and a full size Spare and it passed. Let me ask you one other question, Mr. Banta. I have looked through these tests at length and I know I will list the tests for you. Actually, I'll give you the numbers before we leave today. But when testing vehicles like this and there is no not every test	2	A. I don't think we got that far.Q. The case was settled as far as	2	portion of it. Between 1993 well, actually from 1992 until 1994, let's just
THE WITNESS: I don't think it THE WITNESS: I don't think it was settled. Q. Is it still pending? A. I think it was dismissed in the bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case. Q. All right. That's the same Jarmon vehicle? That's the vehicle you saw? MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. Just note my objection. Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. Q. Okay. Objection. THE WITNESS: 5/92 till C. THE WITNESS: 5/92 till C. A. How many? Q. Six tests. And then the following test on July 31st was 5210, it was a validation test, 1995. It was a pass and the vehicle had a skid plate on it and full size spare. A. I'm sorry? Q. A skid plate and a full size spare and it passed. Let me ask you one other question, Mr. Banta. I have looked through these tests at length and I know I've asked you to do that for me also and Q. Okay. Q. Okay. I've asked you to do that for me also and I will list the tests for you. Actually, I'll give you the numbers before we leave today. But when testing vehicles like this and there is no not every test	2 3	A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as you know?	2 3	portion of it. Between 1993 well, actually from 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until July of 1994
7 THE WITNESS: 5/92 till 8 Q. Is it still pending? 8 Q. 5/92 till July of '94. 9 A. I think it was dismissed in the 9 A. How many? 10 bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure 10 Q. Six tests. And then the 11 of that but I think that's the case. 11 following test on July 31st was 5210, it was a validation test, 1995. It was a 13 Jarmon vehicle? That's the vehicle you 13 pass and the vehicle had a skid plate on 14 saw? 14 it and full size spare. 15 MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record 15 A. I'm sorry? Q. A skid plate and a full size 17 Just note my objection. 17 spare and it passed. Let me ask you one 18 Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. 18 other question, Mr. Banta. I have looked 19 Correct? 19 through these tests at length and I know 19 Q. Okay. 20 I've asked you to do that for me also and 21 Q. Okay. 21 I will list the tests for you. Actually, 22 I'll give you the numbers before we leave 23 (Safety Test Vehicle Crash Test 23 today. But when testing vehicles like 24 Letter is received and marked Banta-39 for 24 this and there is no not every test	2 3 4	A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	2 3 4	portion of it. Between 1993 well, actually from 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until July of 1994 every test on the list was a failure.
Q. Is it still pending? A. I think it was dismissed in the bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure loft bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure loft bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure loft bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure loft bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure loft bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure loft bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure loft bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure loft bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure loft bankruptcy action. I loft bankruptcy action. I loft bankruptcy action. I loft bankruptcy action. I loft bankruptcy action. I loft bankruptcy action. I loft bankruptcy action. I loft bankruptcy action. I loft bankruptcy action. I loft bankruptcy action. I loft bankruptcy action. I loft bankruptcy action loft bankruptcy action. I loft bankruptcy action loft bankruptcy action. I loft bankruptcy action loft bankruptcy action. I loft bankruptcy action loft bankruptcy action loft bankruptcy action loft bankruptcy action. I loft bankruptcy action loft bankruptcy action. I loft bankruptcy action loft bankruptcy action. I loft bankruptcy	2 3 4 5	A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection.	2 3 4 5	portion of it. Between 1993 well, actually from 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until July of 1994 every test on the list was a failure. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
A. I think it was dismissed in the bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case. Q. All right. That's the same 12 was a validation test, 1995. It was a 13 Jarmon vehicle? That's the vehicle you 14 saw? 15 MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record 16 reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. 17 Just note my objection. 18 Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. 18 Correct? 19 through these tests at length and I know 20 A. I did, yes. 20 I've asked you to do that for me also and 21 Q. Okay. 21 I will list the tests for you. Actually, 22 23 (Safety Test Vehicle Crash Test 24 Letter is received and marked Banta-39 for 24 Letter is received and marked Banta-39 for 24 Six tests. And then the 20 Q. Six tests. And then the 60 Q. Six tests. And then the 610 Q. Six tests. And then the 611 Q. Six tests. And then the 612 Q. Six tests. And then the 613 Policy as test on July 31st was 5210, it 614 was a validation test, 1995. It was a 615 pass and the vehicle had a skid plate on 616 it and full size spare. 617 A. I'm sorry? 618 Q. A skid plate and a full size 619 Spare and it passed. Let me ask you one 619 other question, Mr. Banta. I have looked 620 through these tests at length and I know 621 I will list the tests for you. Actually, 622 I'll give you the numbers before we leave 623 today. But when testing vehicles like 624 this and there is no not every test	2 3 4 5 6	A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it	2 3 4 5 6	portion of it. Between 1993 well, actually from 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until July of 1994 every test on the list was a failure. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection.
bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case. Q. All right. That's the same 12 was a validation test, 1995. It was a 13 Jarmon vehicle? That's the vehicle you 14 saw? 15 MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record 16 reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. 17 Just note my objection. 18 Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. 19 Correct? 19 through these tests at length and I know 20 A. I did, yes. 21 I will list the tests for you. Actually, 22 23 (Safety Test Vehicle Crash Test 24 Letter is received and marked Banta-39 for 24 I will sand there is no not every test	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it was settled.	2 3 4 5 6 7	portion of it. Between 1993 well, actually from 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until July of 1994 every test on the list was a failure. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: 5/92 till
of that but I think that's the case. Q. All right. That's the same 12 was a validation test, 1995. It was a 13 Jarmon vehicle? That's the vehicle you 14 saw? 15 MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record 16 reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. 17 Just note my objection. 18 Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. 19 Correct? 19 through these tests at length and I know 20 A. I did, yes. 20 I've asked you to do that for me also and 21 Q. Okay. 21 I will list the tests for you. Actually, 22 23 (Safety Test Vehicle Crash Test 24 Letter is received and marked Banta-39 for 24 I did, wes tests and there is no not every test	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it was settled. Q. Is it still pending?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	portion of it. Between 1993 well, actually from 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until July of 1994 every test on the list was a failure. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: 5/92 till Q. 5/92 till July of '94.
Q. All right. That's the same 12 was a validation test, 1995. It was a 13 Jarmon vehicle? That's the vehicle you 14 saw? 15 MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record 16 reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. 17 Just note my objection. 18 Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. 19 Correct? 19 through these tests at length and I know 20 A. I did, yes. 20 I've asked you to do that for me also and 21 Q. Okay. 21 I will list the tests for you. Actually, 22 I'll give you the numbers before we leave 23 (Safety Test Vehicle Crash Test 24 Letter is received and marked Banta-39 for 24 this and there is no not every test	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it was settled. Q. Is it still pending? A. I think it was dismissed in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	portion of it. Between 1993 well, actually from 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until July of 1994 every test on the list was a failure. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: 5/92 till Q. 5/92 till July of '94. A. How many?
Jarmon vehicle? That's the vehicle you saw? MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. Just note my objection. Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. Correct? A. I'm sorry? Q. A skid plate and a full size spare and it passed. Let me ask you one other question, Mr. Banta. I have looked through these tests at length and I know I vehicle in Jarmon. Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. If will list the tests for you. Actually, I'll give you the numbers before we leave today. But when testing vehicles like this and there is no not every test	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it was settled. Q. Is it still pending? A. I think it was dismissed in the bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	portion of it. Between 1993 well, actually from 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until July of 1994 every test on the list was a failure. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: 5/92 till Q. 5/92 till July of '94. A. How many? Q. Six tests. And then the
saw? MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. Just note my objection. Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. Correct? A. I'm sorry? Q. A skid plate and a full size spare and it passed. Let me ask you one other question, Mr. Banta. I have looked through these tests at length and I know live asked you to do that for me also and I will list the tests for you. Actually, lill give you the numbers before we leave (Safety Test Vehicle Crash Test Letter is received and marked Banta-39 for it and full size spare. A. I'm sorry? Q. A skid plate and a full size spare and it passed. Let me ask you one other question, Mr. Banta. I have looked through these tests at length and I know I've asked you to do that for me also and I will list the tests for you. Actually, I'll give you the numbers before we leave today. But when testing vehicles like this and there is no not every test	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it was settled. Q. Is it still pending? A. I think it was dismissed in the bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	portion of it. Between 1993 well, actually from 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until July of 1994 every test on the list was a failure. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: 5/92 till Q. 5/92 till July of '94. A. How many? Q. Six tests. And then the following test on July 31st was 5210, it
14 saw? 15 MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record 16 reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. 17 Just note my objection. 18 Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. 19 Correct? 19 through these tests at length and I know 20 A. I did, yes. 20 I've asked you to do that for me also and 21 Q. Okay. 21 I will list the tests for you. Actually, 22 I'll give you the numbers before we leave 23 (Safety Test Vehicle Crash Test 24 Letter is received and marked Banta-39 for 24 it and full size spare. A. I'm sorry? Q. A skid plate and a full size spare and it passed. Let me ask you one other question, Mr. Banta. I have looked through these tests at length and I know I've asked you to do that for me also and I will list the tests for you. Actually, I'll give you the numbers before we leave today. But when testing vehicles like this and there is no not every test	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it was settled. Q. Is it still pending? A. I think it was dismissed in the bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case. Q. All right. That's the same	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	portion of it. Between 1993 well, actually from 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until July of 1994 every test on the list was a failure. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: 5/92 till Q. 5/92 till July of '94. A. How many? Q. Six tests. And then the following test on July 31st was 5210, it was a validation test, 1995. It was a
MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. Just note my objection. Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. Correct? A. I'm sorry? Q. A skid plate and a full size spare and it passed. Let me ask you one other question, Mr. Banta. I have looked through these tests at length and I know I've asked you to do that for me also and Q. Okay. Q. Okay. I will list the tests for you. Actually, I'll give you the numbers before we leave today. But when testing vehicles like this and there is no not every test	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it was settled. Q. Is it still pending? A. I think it was dismissed in the bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case. Q. All right. That's the same	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	portion of it. Between 1993 well, actually from 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until July of 1994 every test on the list was a failure. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: 5/92 till Q. 5/92 till July of '94. A. How many? Q. Six tests. And then the following test on July 31st was 5210, it was a validation test, 1995. It was a
reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. Just note my objection. Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. Correct? A. I did, yes. Q. Okay. Cafety Test Vehicle Crash Test Let me ask you one other question, Mr. Banta. I have looked through these tests at length and I know I've asked you to do that for me also and I will list the tests for you. Actually, I'll give you the numbers before we leave today. But when testing vehicles like this and there is no not every test	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it was settled. Q. Is it still pending? A. I think it was dismissed in the bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case. Q. All right. That's the same Jarmon vehicle? That's the vehicle you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	portion of it. Between 1993 well, actually from 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until July of 1994 every test on the list was a failure. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: 5/92 till Q. 5/92 till July of '94. A. How many? Q. Six tests. And then the following test on July 31st was 5210, it was a validation test, 1995. It was a pass and the vehicle had a skid plate on
Just note my objection. Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. Correct? A. I did, yes. Q. Okay. Cafety Test Vehicle Crash Test Letter is received and marked Banta-39 for James and it passed. Let me ask you one other question, Mr. Banta. I have looked through these tests at length and I know I've asked you to do that for me also and I will list the tests for you. Actually, I'll give you the numbers before we leave today. But when testing vehicles like this and there is no not every test	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it was settled. Q. Is it still pending? A. I think it was dismissed in the bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case. Q. All right. That's the same Jarmon vehicle? That's the vehicle you saw?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	portion of it. Between 1993 well, actually from 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until July of 1994 every test on the list was a failure. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: 5/92 till Q. 5/92 till July of '94. A. How many? Q. Six tests. And then the following test on July 31st was 5210, it was a validation test, 1995. It was a pass and the vehicle had a skid plate on it and full size spare.
Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. 18 other question, Mr. Banta. I have looked through these tests at length and I know 20 A. I did, yes. Q. Okay. 21 I will list the tests for you. Actually, 22 I'll give you the numbers before we leave 23 (Safety Test Vehicle Crash Test 23 today. But when testing vehicles like 24 Letter is received and marked Banta-39 for 24 this and there is no not every test	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it was settled. Q. Is it still pending? A. I think it was dismissed in the bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case. Q. All right. That's the same Jarmon vehicle? That's the vehicle you saw? MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	portion of it. Between 1993 well, actually from 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until July of 1994 every test on the list was a failure. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: 5/92 till Q. 5/92 till July of '94. A. How many? Q. Six tests. And then the following test on July 31st was 5210, it was a validation test, 1995. It was a pass and the vehicle had a skid plate on it and full size spare. A. I'm sorry?
Correct? A. I did, yes. Q. Okay. Safety Test Vehicle Crash Test Letter is received and marked Banta-39 for 4	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it was settled. Q. Is it still pending? A. I think it was dismissed in the bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case. Q. All right. That's the same Jarmon vehicle? That's the vehicle you saw? MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	portion of it. Between 1993 well, actually from 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until July of 1994 every test on the list was a failure. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: 5/92 till Q. 5/92 till July of '94. A. How many? Q. Six tests. And then the following test on July 31st was 5210, it was a validation test, 1995. It was a pass and the vehicle had a skid plate on it and full size spare. A. I'm sorry? Q. A skid plate and a full size
A. I did, yes. Q. Okay. 20 I've asked you to do that for me also and I will list the tests for you. Actually, I'll give you the numbers before we leave today. But when testing vehicles like this and there is no not every test	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it was settled. Q. Is it still pending? A. I think it was dismissed in the bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case. Q. All right. That's the same Jarmon vehicle? That's the vehicle you saw? MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. Just note my objection.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	portion of it. Between 1993 well, actually from 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until July of 1994 every test on the list was a failure. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: 5/92 till Q. 5/92 till July of '94. A. How many? Q. Six tests. And then the following test on July 31st was 5210, it was a validation test, 1995. It was a pass and the vehicle had a skid plate on it and full size spare. A. I'm sorry? Q. A skid plate and a full size spare and it passed. Let me ask you one
Q. Okay. 21 I will list the tests for you. Actually, 22 I'll give you the numbers before we leave 23 (Safety Test Vehicle Crash Test 23 today. But when testing vehicles like 24 Letter is received and marked Banta-39 for 24 this and there is no not every test	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it was settled. Q. Is it still pending? A. I think it was dismissed in the bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case. Q. All right. That's the same Jarmon vehicle? That's the vehicle you saw? MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. Just note my objection. Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	portion of it. Between 1993 well, actually from 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until July of 1994 every test on the list was a failure. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: 5/92 till Q. 5/92 till July of '94. A. How many? Q. Six tests. And then the following test on July 31st was 5210, it was a validation test, 1995. It was a pass and the vehicle had a skid plate on it and full size spare. A. I'm sorry? Q. A skid plate and a full size spare and it passed. Let me ask you one other question, Mr. Banta. I have looked
22 I'll give you the numbers before we leave 23 (Safety Test Vehicle Crash Test 23 today. But when testing vehicles like 24 Letter is received and marked Banta-39 for 24 this and there is no not every test	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it was settled. Q. Is it still pending? A. I think it was dismissed in the bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case. Q. All right. That's the same Jarmon vehicle? That's the vehicle you saw? MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. Just note my objection. Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. Correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	portion of it. Between 1993 well, actually from 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until July of 1994 every test on the list was a failure. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: 5/92 till Q. 5/92 till July of '94. A. How many? Q. Six tests. And then the following test on July 31st was 5210, it was a validation test, 1995. It was a pass and the vehicle had a skid plate on it and full size spare. A. I'm sorry? Q. A skid plate and a full size spare and it passed. Let me ask you one other question, Mr. Banta. I have looked through these tests at length and I know
23 (Safety Test Vehicle Crash Test 23 today. But when testing vehicles like 24 Letter is received and marked Banta-39 for 24 this and there is no not every test	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it was settled. Q. Is it still pending? A. I think it was dismissed in the bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case. Q. All right. That's the same Jarmon vehicle? That's the vehicle you saw? MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. Just note my objection. Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. Correct? A. I did, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	portion of it. Between 1993 well, actually from 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until July of 1994 every test on the list was a failure. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: 5/92 till Q. 5/92 till July of '94. A. How many? Q. Six tests. And then the following test on July 31st was 5210, it was a validation test, 1995. It was a pass and the vehicle had a skid plate on it and full size spare. A. I'm sorry? Q. A skid plate and a full size spare and it passed. Let me ask you one other question, Mr. Banta. I have looked through these tests at length and I know I've asked you to do that for me also and
Letter is received and marked Banta-39 for 24 this and there is no not every test	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it was settled. Q. Is it still pending? A. I think it was dismissed in the bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case. Q. All right. That's the same Jarmon vehicle? That's the vehicle you saw? MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. Just note my objection. Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. Correct? A. I did, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	portion of it. Between 1993 well, actually from 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until July of 1994 every test on the list was a failure. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: 5/92 till Q. 5/92 till July of '94. A. How many? Q. Six tests. And then the following test on July 31st was 5210, it was a validation test, 1995. It was a pass and the vehicle had a skid plate on it and full size spare. A. I'm sorry? Q. A skid plate and a full size spare and it passed. Let me ask you one other question, Mr. Banta. I have looked through these tests at length and I know I've asked you to do that for me also and I will list the tests for you. Actually,
la companya di managan di managan di managan di managan di managan di managan di managan di managan di managan	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it was settled. Q. Is it still pending? A. I think it was dismissed in the bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case. Q. All right. That's the same Jarmon vehicle? That's the vehicle you saw? MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. Just note my objection. Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. Correct? A. I did, yes. Q. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	portion of it. Between 1993 well, actually from 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until July of 1994 every test on the list was a failure. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: 5/92 till Q. 5/92 till July of '94. A. How many? Q. Six tests. And then the following test on July 31st was 5210, it was a validation test, 1995. It was a pass and the vehicle had a skid plate on it and full size spare. A. I'm sorry? Q. A skid plate and a full size spare and it passed. Let me ask you one other question, Mr. Banta. I have looked through these tests at length and I know I've asked you to do that for me also and I will list the tests for you. Actually, I'll give you the numbers before we leave
tells you what is on the vehicle, for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it was settled. Q. Is it still pending? A. I think it was dismissed in the bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case. Q. All right. That's the same Jarmon vehicle? That's the vehicle you saw? MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. Just note my objection. Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. Correct? A. I did, yes. Q. Okay. (Safety Test Vehicle Crash Test	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	portion of it. Between 1993 well, actually from 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until July of 1994 every test on the list was a failure. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: 5/92 till Q. 5/92 till July of '94. A. How many? Q. Six tests. And then the following test on July 31st was 5210, it was a validation test, 1995. It was a pass and the vehicle had a skid plate on it and full size spare. A. I'm sorry? Q. A skid plate and a full size spare and it passed. Let me ask you one other question, Mr. Banta. I have looked through these tests at length and I know I've asked you to do that for me also and I will list the tests for you. Actually, I'll give you the numbers before we leave today. But when testing vehicles like
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I don't think we got that far. Q. The case was settled as far as you know? MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: I don't think it was settled. Q. Is it still pending? A. I think it was dismissed in the bankruptcy action. I think. I'm not sure of that but I think that's the case. Q. All right. That's the same Jarmon vehicle? That's the vehicle you saw? MR. BRADLEY: Just let the record reflect page four of the Ditlow exhibit. Just note my objection. Q. You saw that vehicle in Jarmon. Correct? A. I did, yes. Q. Okay. (Safety Test Vehicle Crash Test Letter is received and marked Banta-39 for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	portion of it. Between 1993 well, actually from 1992 until 1994, let's just say between May of 1992 until July of 1994 every test on the list was a failure. MR. BRADLEY: Just note my objection. THE WITNESS: 5/92 till Q. 5/92 till July of '94. A. How many? Q. Six tests. And then the following test on July 31st was 5210, it was a validation test, 1995. It was a pass and the vehicle had a skid plate on it and full size spare. A. I'm sorry? Q. A skid plate and a full size spare and it passed. Let me ask you one other question, Mr. Banta. I have looked through these tests at length and I know I've asked you to do that for me also and I will list the tests for you. Actually, I'll give you the numbers before we leave today. But when testing vehicles like this and there is no not every test

			69 (Pages 270 to 273)
	Page 270		Page 272
1	instance, I'm just going to give you an	1	A. No.
2	example, I might know that a skid plate is	2	Q. Nothing?
3	on a vehicle or I might know it's not	3	A. No. The photos this test
4	because it's either listed no or yes and	4	jacket, photos and the video.
5	sometimes it's silent. What am I supposed	5	MR. BRADLEY: It's 5 o'clock.
6	to take from that, if you know?	6	Q. There were six rear impact tests
7	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	7	that all resulted in test failure and no
8	objection.	8	passing tests over a two-year period. Is
9	THE WITNESS: If you want to know	9	that significant in your mind?
10	that kind of detail and it's not on the	10	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my
11	test report then you would have to look at	11	objection.
12	the photos or the video.	12	THE WITNESS: It's of interest.
13	Q. And if it's not indicated in the	13	It's worth looking into.
14	photos or video, I can't tell from the	14	MR. BRADLEY: It's 5 o'clock.
15	photo or video	15	THE WITNESS: I can't draw a
16	A. Oh, you can.	16	conclusion based on that but it's worth
17	Q. What if I can't?	17	studying further.
18	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	18	MS. DE FILIPPO: Well, I do have
19	objection.	19	more questions and, for the record, I'm
20	THE WITNESS: A skid plate? Sure	20	just going to indicate that, you know, we
21	you can.	21	can deal with this later and you might be
22	Q. Probably a skid plate I could.	22	back.
23	But, for instance, on the full size spare	23	* * *
24	or I don't know if on every test I	24	
25	could see a bracket. You think I can on	25	(Deposition concluded. Time
APPARAMENTAL AND THE RESIDENCE AND THE	Page 271		Page 273
1	every test see a bracket?	1	noted 5:05 p.m.)
2	A. Yes.	2	noted 5.05 p.m.)
3	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	3	
4	objection.	4	
5	Q. And I can see a trailer hitch?	5	
6	A. Yes.	6	
7	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	7	
8	objection.	8	
9	THE WITNESS: You can see the	9	
10	spare, the trailer hitch, the bracket, the	10	
11	skid plate.	11	
12	Q. What about the tests that have no	12	
13	videos and no photos? There are tests with	13	
14	no photos. Then what do I do?	14	
15	MR. BRADLEY: Just note my	15	
16	objection.	16	
17	THE WITNESS: If there are no	17	
18	photos you have to look at the video.	18	
19	Q. If there's no photos and no	19	
20	videos?	20	
21	MR. BRADLEY: Objection.	21	
22	THE WITNESS: I don't know what	22	
23	to do.	23	
24	Q. Is there another place is what	24	
25	I'm asking you.	25	
<u> </u>			

(Page 274) Page 274 1 CERTIFICATE 2 I, SUSAN DE PALMA, a Notary 3 Public and Certified Court Reporter of the 4 State of New Jersey, certify that prior to 5 the commencement of the Examination, 6 ROBERT BANTA was duly sworn by me to 7 testify the truth, the whole truth and 8 nothing but the truth. 9 I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that the 10 foregoing is a true and accurate 11 transcript of the testimony as taken 12 stenographically by and before me at the 13 time, place and on the date hereinbefore 14 set forth, to the best of my ability. 15 I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am 16 neither a relative nor employee nor 17 attorney nor counsel of any of the parties 18 to this action, and that I am neither a 19 relative nor employee of such attorney or 20 counsel, and that I am not financially 21 interested in the action. 22 23 Susan DePalma 24 Notary Public of the State of New Jersey 25 Certificate No. XI01024.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			I	
A	267:10 274:18,21	242:18 253:6,12	and/or 155:5	127:3 129:23
ABC 1:12	active 118:23	255:2,9,17,23	Angel 2:5 158:22	181:6,9,11,15
ability 32:19	226:8	256:20 261:13	160:24 162:2	182:13,14,19
274:14	activities 44:3	262:17 263:3,21	anomaly 186:20	184:10 214:11
able 21:20 40:14	actual 25:1 53:19	agreed 63:13	187:1,6 218:21	applies 112:10,12
105:8,15 106:7	254:24	113:11 141:24	answer 32:17,18	166:14
112:7 117:11	AD 1:3	agreement 69:2	34:9 38:9,12 42:4	apply 6:18 112:13
123:10	added 164:22	agrees 242:9	43:15,16,25	140:11 175:5
absence 232:25	239:2 250:9	ahead 7:21 70:10	45:12 53:4 66:20	appreciate 34:7
233:1,7,20	addition 28:10	71:3 99:10,14	66:21 67:12 68:7	apprised 213:19
absorb 210:24	56:8 105:21	100:15,23 101:14	72:1 73:3 108:1	appropriately 73:1
absorbed 147:7	175:8	101:19,21	110:21 111:23	approximately
absorbing 103:7	additional 143:25	air 197:7,8 200:25	113:7 121:15	80:12 109:24
238:24	167:4 212:12	201:3 202:9	124:17,18 129:5	123:22
absorbs 103:21	214:25 251:13	Alcala 1:9 2:12	130:21,24 135:17	April 13:8 15:8
absorption 263:25	additionally 103:6	141:6,12	154:7,17 155:11	18:17 19:16,17
264:10,12	address 187:24,24	allegation 8:14	157:25 158:3,19	19:23,24 20:11
accepted 175:9	addressing 66:22	50:11	158:20,21,23	60:20,24 61:2,5
accessories 168:11	ADMINISTRA	allegations 22:11	159:5,8,12,17	70:24 72:8 119:4
168:14 169:11	1:3,4	22:13,15,17 23:5	160:4,6,12,13,17	136:12,13
accessory 168:1	admitted 178:9	62:6,23 172:14	161:15,21,22	architecture
accident 22:6 24:1	adopt 63:21	allow 30:4,14 37:8	162:8,10 163:12	263:23 264:5,9
24:9 25:3,14 26:3	advise 64:6 226:10	54:8 255:3	163:14 164:5	area 29:22 31:16
27:3,19,23 28:5,8	234:13	allowed 54:7 153:7	208:3,17,23	61:23 77:16
29:4,13 31:13,22	advisement 64:18	153:12,16 191:13	209:5 216:12	100:7 118:15,17
32:10 36:1 72:3	85:8 102:3	250:25	221:17 236:9	197:16 201:17
74:16 98:15,23	226:17 244:22	allowing 161:5	238:15 239:16,24	205:18 208:5
143:13	advising 169:3	allows 32:7 40:7	262:12	209:13,21 210:3
accidents 32:22	advisory 43:7,19	ALTERED 2:24	answered 9:12	210:16 211:4
81:15	affixed 97:14	alternative 71:13	147:3 174:16	223:10,11
accommodate	101:7,9	71:15	answering 118:18	areas 23:1 40:17
177:23	aft 99:9	amended 4:7 12:19	answers 51:14,22	45:8 203:8
Accompanied	Agency 56:7	13:9,13 18:18,23	55:1 162:24	208:20,20 210:11
174:22	Agency's 56:8	19:15,17,24,25	163:8,11 207:2	210:21 253:12,20
accordion 78:16	ago 10:7 31:19	20:4,11,21 61:3	Anthropomorphic	argue 157:12
78:17	216:19,21 224:7	amount 86:4 199:6	195:13	arguments 48:18
account 140:20	agree 23:16 42:24	199:13 202:2	anybody 18:4	arm 82:10 83:10
accurate 15:20	57:17 63:13,24	amounts 74:22	68:24	83:12,17
180:20 258:19	64:1,7,12 66:12	analysis 5:12 43:9	anymore 93:22	arms 83:3,7,15
262:5,15 274:10	69:6 90:22 96:4	44:14 45:20 51:4	234:4 257:5	arrive 25:14
accurately 180:15	118:22 134:21,24	54:5,10,16,20	apart 37:1 46:15	arrow 94:14
181:17 182:8	136:4 140:25	56:9,16,18 69:10	47:7 224:12	asked 7:1 21:13
achieve 135:5	146:3 148:3,12	163:3 171:2	225:2,13 226:13	52:3 58:17,20
achieving 135:6	174:5 180:14	172:17,22 174:21	apparent 80:25	105:12 106:1
act 144:3 220:1	189:3 203:12	174:24 175:15,21	apparently 54:22	160:22 238:7
acted 227:25 228:4	205:2 206:1,10	177:2 224:5	116:8	268:11 269:20
acting 227:22	209:20 229:12	analyze 61:13	appear 122:5	asking 65:21,22
action 57:4 215:2,4	230:14 239:23	analyzing 213:6	182:16 195:15	66:17 79:17 89:1
215:8,15,17	241:8,12,17,21	anchorage 179:3	appears 48:11	106:2 111:10

130:16 139:9	automotive 176:2	231:11 245:8,14	Banta-25 4:15	basically 23:13
157:7 163:23	available 150:6	251:19 252:22	115:19 116:2	51:21,24 63:18
196:22 233:6	155:1 213:3,6,7	255:18 256:15,17	118:11,19	169:3
271:25	Avenue 1:23 2:4,9	259:1 260:10	Banta-26 4:16	basing 30:17
aspect 11:13	2:14	262:19 272:22	115:19 118:14	137:18 144:6
assembled 218:3	aware 37:12 50:4	background 73:14	Banta-27 4:17	234:21
assembly 41:1	51:13 155:9	181:5	Banta-28 131:10	basis 25:1 27:15
218:9	168:15 185:24	backup 84:24	Banta-28-33 4:18	30:8 32:2 40:18
assessment 55:23	186:6 243:5,7,10	bad 223:20 230:9	Banta-29 131:14	40:20,24 108:13
associated 67:25	255:10	Baker 240:9,19,20	Banta-31 134:5	227:16
assume 216:2	awful 103:17	241:6	Banta-33 131:10	beam 77:14 252:11
231:18 265:18	awfully 39:18	balance 236:11	Banta-34 4:19	bear 11:10 260:13
assumed 25:5	awhile 222:11	ball 201:24 203:24	153:23	began 156:17
assuming 76:2	226:15	bankruptcy	Banta-35 4:20	beginning 15:13
assure 215:23	axle 71:21 100:7	267:10	180:2 192:18	131:5
as-built 80:18	100:12,16,20,21	Banta 1:16 3:5 6:9	Banta-36 4:21	beginnings 195:24
attached 72:10,13	100:23,24 101:5	11:1 13:1 14:5	211:25	behalf 7:4,15 9:1
72:23 101:9,11	101:5,15,20,21	16:1 21:9 26:20	Banta-37 4:22	behaved 32:24
attaches 77:17	102:6 144:23	37:10 40:11	219:7,10	behavior 22:22
89:6	150:6,23 169:18	49:13 53:13 58:9	Banta-38 4:23	26:13 28:14
attachment 29:10	170:3 201:18	60:7 92:25 93:9	260:22	29:10,21 63:7,8
220:24	204:4	115:22 117:4	Banta-39 4:24	251:24 252:1
attack 233:16	A-10 152:5,11	119:10 121:1,4	267:24	belabor 34:6
attacks 233:15	155:23 157:4	130:4 131:15	Banta-4 183:19	belief 251:20
attempt 170:17	164:4,24 165:8	154:1 160:9	Banta-8 79:6	believe 6:12 7:22
attention 174:7	165:21,22	162:22 173:7	bar 95:24 231:8	8:6 9:4 13:20
237:22	a.m 1:19	182:23 183:18	265:3	15:9 29:3 50:13
attorney 2:11	A/K/A 1:10	191:21 212:3	barrier 109:20,25	53:17 54:20
15:23 16:2 17:3		219:9 226:24	110:1 135:25	55:11 60:19 72:1
18:1,3 84:6 85:1	<u>B</u>	238:4 239:5	136:1 208:15	72:18 73:25
85:2 245:5	B 4:1 6:1,1	248:12 269:18	251:22 252:20	75:12 81:3 85:4
274:17,19	back 8:24 11:11	274:6	259:13,14,20,21	87:9 101:16
attorneys 2:6,17	16:20 18:7 27:5,7	Banta-16 4:6 12:17	260:6,8	114:10 133:15
161:9	43:15,17 44:16	Banta-17 4:7 12:20	base 24:13 27:24	136:12 138:7
Austin 247:12,17	45:14 52:5 59:25	61:9	29:8 39:20,24	139:7 143:17,22
248:6	64:20 67:19,22	Banta-18 4:8 12:24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	144:2 150:24
author 11:1 61:12	68:10,19 70:7	Banta-19 4:9 19:6	113:20 142:18	152:10,13 167:15
authored 11:17	83:18 91:2 92:7	19:10	175:3 257:7	171:4 176:22
17:20 21:1	93:14,15 96:20	Banta-20 4:10	based 24:10 27:1	177:4 207:4
auto 1:11 2:17	97:6 100:1	53:10,13 55:16	27:20 31:22	235:15 240:15
16:3 22:4 35:16	104:13 115:22	Banta-21 4:11 58:6	32:20 42:3 73:6	242:24
35:19 36:25 37:5	118:8,24 119:2	58:10 59:11	76:5,23 117:9	believed 8:15
37:12,24 49:19	119:25 126:23	Banta-22 4:12	126:9 143:12	107:13 179:1
50:3,12,22 51:16	131:19 133:9	92:22 93:12	234:23 253:25	189:9 250:16
57:19,25 102:17	150:2 158:4	Banta-23 4:13 93:2	1	believes 188:25
140:17 161:12	170:12 180:8	93:6,14 125:7,13	baseless 22:13	belt 179:2
173:17 254:4	201:17 209:18	132:23 133:1,20	bases 172:10	belts 178:24,25
257:18	212:15 213:4	Banta-24 4:14 93:3	basic 48:3 134:10	bend 89:4,5 103:24
automobile 135:15	223:25 225:1	93:6,25 128:12	134:11	252:13 253:5
	I	1		

bending 35:3	79:12 95:20	128:18,25 129:5	203:19 204:8,24	115:25 116:24
103:9,13	97:15,22 98:2	130:2,13,23	205:6,12,20	BRIDE 2:9
benefits 11:10	118:8 124:9,11	132:15 133:14,24	206:3,20 207:15	bring 14:10 44:16
benign 49:10	125:18 131:24	135:1,16,22	208:6 209:8,23	45:14
bent 26:11 83:8,9	145:18 218:14	136:7,12 137:3	210:4 211:1,9	broad 34:1
83:12,16 88:9,21	bound 185:14	137:21 138:10,25	212:25 213:15,20	broader 33:24
104:2 117:12,13	box 97:3 99:11,12	139:5 140:4,22	214:3,23 215:11	brochure 168:19
117:14	99:20 133:16	141:7,18 142:1	215:19 216:8	brought 213:4
Benz 7:15,23 9:18	134:4,7,7,8,9,11	142:15 143:19	217:7,16,21	brush 154:22
71:22 144:21	134:15 207:19	144:4 145:10,13	218:10 220:4,16	155:13 156:17
145:4 150:4,15	238:22 264:13	145:23 146:6,16	221:15 222:9,22	166:15
150:22	boy 158:9	147:2,18 148:1	223:4,22 224:14	Buglione 8:5
best 11:6,8 112:1,5	bracket 28:18	148:15,19 149:15	225:4,14 226:3	build 112:24
274:14	29:10 155:25	150:18 151:13	226:16 227:3	177:21 220:19
better 71:15	228:10,20 229:21	152:9,13,22	228:2,12 229:3,8	257:24 264:20
135:12	230:7,15 231:5	153:2,7,12,19	230:2,18 231:3	built 111:20
beyond 69:25	232:9 250:9,25	154:14,18 157:2	231:16,25 232:3	217:10 226:6
87:24 121:11	251:8,13 252:11	157:5,9,14,17,23	232:19 234:1,8	bullet 129:9
124:15 129:3	252:12,23 253:2	158:13,16,22	234:16,25 235:20	181:10 182:12
136:25 137:15	270:25 271:1,10	159:2,4,11,15,22	235:24 236:18	185:21 194:15
138:8 140:23	brackets 265:4	160:1,5,12,19,24	237:14 238:1,13	197:20 198:8
149:17 152:24	BRADLEY 2:15	161:4,8,12,16,20	239:19 240:5,10	199:6,14,16
153:4 179:13	7:12 8:2 10:2,18	162:10,15,19	240:22 241:15,25	200:24
221:16 230:3	10:22 12:3,8,12	163:15,21 164:2	242:20 243:3,18	bumper 77:13,14
238:14 247:2	14:18 16:12 17:4	164:23 165:11,14	244:21 245:20	77:15 93:21,22
268:20	17:11 27:8 32:11	165:19 167:6,14	246:16,19 247:1	94:2,4,8 104:1
bias 81:1	33:6,13,17,21	167:24 168:7,18	248:16,21 249:24	113:1 120:10
big 14:11 81:14	34:2,10,14,18	169:8,21,23	250:11 251:2,10	123:5 128:13,16
158:8	36:13 43:23	171:11,23 172:2	252:6,25 253:10	130:11 131:24
bit 8:25 263:24	45:23 47:18	172:20,24 173:5	253:16 254:9,12	132:24 133:9,11
264:9	53:16 55:16	174:10,16 176:9	254:20 255:7,14	133:19 134:2
black 129:15	58:16,24 59:9	177:5,11 178:3	255:20,25 256:11	265:3
184:12	60:4,8,19 64:15	178:10,19 179:17	256:23 257:10	bunch 45:15
Blazer 71:22 145:3	65:1,6 70:23	180:11,13,17	258:6,20 260:2	burn 82:11
145:20,22 256:3	72:11 73:22 75:9	181:2 182:5	260:16 261:10	burned 9:9 82:12
block 168:12	75:12 80:5 84:10	183:8,22,25	262:7,21 263:5	88:4
board 252:19	85:7,13 87:12	184:6 185:8,17	263:15 264:1	buses 149:18
Bob 163:22 261:25	92:16 96:10	186:15,21 187:16	265:8,16 266:8	Bush 63:19,23
body 100:11,13	100:3 102:2,9	187:25 188:10,20	267:4,15 268:7	64:25 65:13,19
103:23 104:10,12	106:22,25 110:20	189:6,21,24	268:11,15 269:5	66:1,4,6,18,23
145:18 181:6,10	111:22 116:4	190:9,24 192:8	270:7,18 271:3,7	67:3,14,14,16,18
181:11 182:22	117:24 118:11,18	194:4,8,17 195:7	271:15,21 272:5	bushings 82:11,18
238:24 257:3	119:15,17 120:4	196:6,14,21	272:10,14	Bush's 63:10,22
bolt 179:2,2,8	120:17 121:8	197:15,22 198:4	brains 158:9	64:12,17 65:9
Bolts 176:16,20	122:1,17,23	198:16,22 199:8	brakes 140:11	67:2,5
book 185:15	123:24 124:2,7	199:18,24 200:8	braking 118:23,23	BUTLER 1:11
bother 174:2	124:12,17,25	200:14,18 201:5	breach 248:19	butt 182:25
bottom 23:25	125:9,14 126:7	201:21 202:6,10	breached 249:20	buy 168:2,2
70:13,19,22 79:8	126:17 127:25	202:17 203:5,9	break 52:5 115:12	
		I	j	I

· C	191:24 192:21	category 23:17	change 45:2 60:11	107:9,11,12
C 2:1 274:1,1	204:24 249:13	38:24	60:18 78:21	108:24 135:8
C2.1 2/4.1,1 Cagliano's 116:16	career 49:16	caught 246:14	81:15 138:22	151:19 152:18
calculate 79:19	careful 186:19,25	causation 69:11	139:10 188:5,13	154:17,24 155:8
80:16	Carigliano's	cause 47:22,25	188:15,18 189:1	169:14 177:19
calculations 25:6	116:17	48:13,20 49:1	189:9 251:14	178:7,25 179:3,4
43:4 80:22	Carlos 1:9 2:12	61:14,16,19,21	257:4	179:5,10 181:20
calendar 155:19	cars 47:15 149:19	63:1,6,9,14,18	changed 16:9 20:1	190:21 211:16
call 45:25 46:9	178:23	64:13 65:2 66:24	42:3 180:24	216:2 219:5
77:13 102:10	case 6:11,13 9:2,3	67:4,9 68:5,11,17	212:11 251:24	221:9 228:8
110:15,19 135:10	9:14,20,23 10:7,8	68:21 118:24	changes 60:12	238:10,18,21
149:10 169:14	10:10,25 11:17	147:24 175:21	111:2 189:3,5	239:8 242:24
Callahan 1:23 2:14	16:2 17:20 21:11	213:23,25 215:1	237:8 252:13	244:24 253:7
15:24	21:15 22:2,12,18	215:4,8 221:19	changing 20:7	255:9 257:25
called 13:4,7,9	23:2 30:6 36:7,14	232:12 233:21,24	108:17	261:21 266:4,22
18:17 43:8 49:24	50:5 51:13,23	266:24	characterization	Chrysler's 106:9
50:15 94:8 96:16	52:20 54:18	caused 63:24	263:16	179:14
109:4 125:15	57:21 58:1,15	233:17 246:18,22	characterize	Chuck 41:20
204:17 205:4	59:1,7,16,18 62:7	246:22	149:20	Cirrus 178:22
calling 196:13	62:9 76:6 78:19	causes 233:15	characterized	claim 9:8 50:14
camera 192:20,23	81:21 82:11	causing 147:24	151:20 156:22,25	71:11
194:5,23 195:20	103:16 108:23	233:14 237:17	Cherokee 22:2	claims 50:11 68:3
196:3 197:1	110:16,19,22	caution 227:18	23:19 38:22	clamp 218:3
198:10 199:5,12	111:16,21,25	Cedar 2:10	39:21 54:11	clarification 34:3
202:2,8,19	112:1,8,9,10,15	Center 50:3 51:16	55:14 56:3 57:5	clarify 115:23
Canadian 226:6	113:7,13,18,19	243:23	73:16 74:6,12	117:20 150:12
cap 218:4,17	114:20 115:6	certain 26:8,14	90:16 110:23	clarifying 165:15
capable 104:25	122:8 137:20	39:16 49:19 51:7	111:18,25 112:16	clarity 92:25 93:10
106:14	142:24 143:5	69:12,15 80:11	113:2,3 121:17	class 186:5
capacity 7:12,13	152:7 154:10	207:11	122:11 123:11	classes 175:18
8:18,19 43:18	175:3 178:6	certainly 39:9	124:23 125:24,25	classic 183:10
49:14	180:5 206:14,15	66:14 160:16	131:20 145:5,5	196:17 197:3,6
caption 121:16	207:3 216:15	certainty 176:3	145:19 149:21	263:1
car 39:15 41:5,9,10	229:25 230:6,9	Certificate 274:25	154:11 155:12,17	classification
48:6,11 91:24	230:10 232:6	certification	155:24 156:4	149:11
92:7 94:17 95:2	235:25 239:7	107:11 109:1,2	181:6,9 193:8	classified 149:5,8
95:12,16 97:13	244:23 245:3	250:17	256:5 264:21	classify 150:13
97:20 99:17	246:18 247:6,12	certified 1:21 2:22	Cherokees 33:18	clean 92:4
103:15 122:21,22	247:17,22,25	104:17 106:11	156:19	clear 15:3 38:8
123:4 128:8	248:3,6 249:8	119:9 212:9	Cherokee's 77:25	118:20 124:2
132:9,11 179:7,8	259:10,18,20	274:3	83:19	239:4
182:12 193:8,21	261:2 266:16,17	certify 108:20	chest 218:12	clearer 183:21
200:12 205:18	267:2,11	274:4,9,15	choices 111:5	close 44:25 124:6
209:18 213:18	cases 75:3 250:5	cetera 108:17	Chrysler 1:10,10	closer 199:14
219:22 231:12	Castaing 177:9	168:13	1:11 7:4,4,9,10	Cloud 182:1
232:1,14 233:9	185:13,18 218:25	chain 241:13	7:11 8:7 9:17	Club 42:6
250:10	262:17 263:13	challenge 74:23	10:7,8,10,15	clubs 42:9
CARCO 122:10,15	casual 188:15	75:8,11	49:14 51:15,23	code 166:16,17
129:8 131:19	catalogue 168:1,25	chances 200:2	54:25 55:3,4,7,11	coffee 259:3

collected 55:24	completion 173:16	condition 166:24	153:20	04.1 2 17 22
collectively 40:7	a -	229:25 232:14		84:1,3,17,23 89:25 93:15
•	173:19,22 174:12		continuing 6:10	
colliding 196:12 collision 8:10 26:8	compliance 108:7	conditions 135:25	122:2 125:1	94:18 95:4,12,16
	108:13,16,19,21	230:5	130:14 152:23	96:16 97:1,14
29:5,6 30:22	189:10 212:9	conduct 50:20	164:24 165:20	98:5 99:17
32:24 71:6 98:25	227:7,8,13,15,16	conducted 155:18	179:18 213:16	102:12 104:20
103:19 164:9	227:18,24 234:19	155:23	216:9 220:5,17	107:15 109:9,18
190:13,17 197:10	234:20,21 251:6	conferred 15:4	227:4 228:2,13	117:18,23 118:2
210:22 241:13,19	255:3	confidence 247:10	237:15 255:25	118:19 119:23,24
242:17 245:17,18	complicate 14:17	confident 44:9	contract 50:19	120:3,10,12
collisions 156:10	complied 36:8	166:19	contributed	123:7 125:24
242:14	106:21	configuration	232:10,11	128:14 129:12
color 184:11,17	comply 38:5 75:8	57:24 110:5,9	contributes 147:13	131:21,25 133:7
column 254:18	104:18 106:11	111:1,19 124:24	238:8	134:17,22 135:15
columns 254:23	113:17 119:9	220:20 229:2	control 71:7 82:10	137:2 138:9
combination	component 25:22	232:2	83:3,16 155:21	139:4 141:3
133:18	196:17,19 197:1	configurations	156:1,3,14,16	142:25 143:10
come 65:15 103:8	202:13,15 239:2	57:21 58:1 59:2	converts 103:9	144:17,24 146:15
186:11 203:7,7	240:1	111:3 112:1,3,24	convey 37:23	151:12,23 154:8
212:14 246:17	components	confine 224:8	copies 13:10 85:2	154:12 162:24
comes 24:14 32:15	146:19 239:14	confined 59:14,19	copy 2:22 12:4,7,9	163:5,14 165:25
coming 16:20	composed 99:12	confining 39:22	12:11,11 13:11	166:23 168:5,16
21:13 25:4 42:2	compress 201:23	connecting 96:25	14:3,5,18 15:14	168:21 169:7
194:21 245:14	compressed 87:18	consensus 42:12	60:4 65:19 79:11	174:2 176:17,24
252:19	compression	42:19	84:15 115:7	183:2 185:6,24
commencement	203:25	consequence 89:5	180:1 191:4	193:9 194:2,11
274:5	compromise	consider 74:18	244:6	194:19 195:1,17
comment 180:7	105:23	75:25 140:1	corner 121:18	196:4,11 197:2
commenting 171:3	compromised	148:22 218:20	corners 134:6,7	197:14,17,20
common 59:23	100:8,10	consideration	corporation 1:10	198:3,20,21
communications	computer 84:23	112:15 255:13	1:10 213:13	199:3,4,17,21,23
17:8	221:21	considerations	CORPORATIO	201:4,15 202:21
compact 228:11,21	conceived 120:2	69:13,16,21 72:2	1:12	203:1,4,10,23
228:22 235:16	concept 120:7	238:11,19 239:13	correct 6:14,23	204:7,21 211:8
236:7 237:13	180:15 181:14	considered 2:22	17:1,23 18:1,5	212:4 219:11,20
company 175:18	183:24 242:13	210:21	20:21 21:3,7,11	219:23 220:2
comparative 35:6	conceptually 66:5	consistently 37:7	21:18 23:14 24:2	221:7 222:21
35:10	concern 45:8 46:12	consoles 168:12	24:13 25:7 29:15	223:2 228:1
compare 112:22	188:3,3	constant 70:1	31:20 35:1,12,13	229:12,19 231:2
compared 35:12	concerned 20:24	137:1,16,25	35:18 36:22	234:24 237:3
142:5	28:23 51:25	138:9	37:21 38:20,24	240:16,21 248:1
comparing 256:12	141:11 213:4	contain 84:13	39:4,17 41:6	249:17 250:5
competitive 71:19	250:10	contained 53:21	45:22 46:16,19	252:2 254:8
competitor 255:18	concluded 272:25	56:20	47:2 48:7,16 49:5	255:1 258:14
255:24	conclusion 32:15	contemporaries	49:20,24 51:10	260:11 261:12
competitors	69:1 246:17	262:12	52:1 54:5 55:2	267:19 268:23
255:22 256:4	272:16	contemporary	56:6,22 57:1,15	corrected 19:18
completely 90:9,24	conclusions 26:15	257:13,16,23	59:3,22 63:11	44:6
188:14	31:8 32:23 73:7	continue 12:6	73:5 74:1 76:3,25	correcting 164:14
	!			

	3	I	•	
correction 167:10	110:11 129:8	209:11,15,20,22	114:14 152:18	dealer 168:5,15
correctly 55:15	139:24 144:5,11	210:10,15,17,24	Davidson 239:7	169:1,4,5
56:5 86:23	144:12 155:18	211:10 246:11,13	Dawkins 176:24	dealers 168:20
137:10	174:24 193:25	251:25	176:25 177:20	DECEASED 1:4
Cosmos 41:20	203:16 204:11,12	crushed 208:4	days 155:10	December 155:14
cost 173:20	205:1 208:9	209:6	DBW 111:1	156:13 177:21
costs 243:25 244:1	209:11,13 210:16	crushing 88:2	De 1:20 2:3,5 3:6	decide 51:8
254:2	210:18 211:24	cull 40:17	6:7 10:4,20,24	decides 154:4
counsel 5:13 15:4	216:12 219:6	cup 259:3	12:1,5,10,13	decision 54:25
15:17 16:10,14	246:22 252:9	current 75:7	13:21,24 14:2,13	113:15
17:7,12,13 19:2	258:2 260:21	customary 22:4	17:9,12 19:1	decisions 42:18
60:11 157:20	263:24 264:9	customer 166:7	20:10,19 27:11	deeper 184:11
160:3 171:18	267:23	169:4	32:16 33:7,15,19	201:10,12
191:19 225:9	crashed 45:14 49:8	customers 168:21	33:23 34:5,12,15	defamation 197:13
274:17,20	109:15	173:24	34:19 43:14 53:6	defect 49:24,25
counsels 16:8	crashes 30:20 31:2	cycle 148:24	53:20 55:17 58:2	50:1,3,9 51:15
county 1:1 7:24	56:12 144:7	cycles 149:3,19	58:19 59:3,12	52:7,12 56:17,20
couple 7:14,16,19	174:22	Č1 214:14	60:16,23 64:19	154:3
45:11 115:23	crashings 109:11		65:3 67:19 72:14	defective 22:20
course 50:21 71:21	create 53:1	D	73:23 85:9,14	40:23 139:19
198:18 234:18	created 18:12	D 3:1	92:18 95:23 96:6	defectively 22:3
court 1:1,21 40:14	credibility 142:10	Daimler 1:9 239:7	96:12 102:7	23:19 38:23
65:15 241:22	criteria 52:11 54:8	Dale 176:25	107:3 115:11,16	39:21,25
242:6 243:1	54:13	177:20	119:16,19 120:19	defects 22:11
274:3	critique 65:20	damage 9:8,23	121:14 122:25	defendant 2:17 8:3
cover 38:2 77:15	CROSS 3:3	25:20 26:7 27:4	124:16 129:4	Defendants 2:11
120:22 253:14	crossmember	28:4 35:7 75:20	130:19 131:2	Defendant(s) 1:14
260:17	77:22 88:7,12,14	82:9 87:25	136:13 137:5,7	defense 8:4 25:4
covered 11:12 39:7	88:16,18 89:15	147:17 194:9	152:12,15,25	defer 207:12
132:6 253:15	90:2,3,6,16,23	246:10	153:4,9,15 157:7	DeFilippo 13:18
254:10	91:4,16,24 93:18	damaged 147:15	157:11,15,20	75:13
covering 125:12	94:11,24 97:1,18	dangerously 44:25	158:2,14,18,25	define 28:8 78:13
covers 125:17	97:19,23 98:1,6	data 24:13 55:8,23	159:3,7,13,21,24	112:4
co-extruded	99:13,14 104:7	56:9 141:9	160:3,7,13,21	defined 206:18,22
250:14	116:21 117:5	142:18 170:13	161:2,6,10,13,17	209:12
crane 179:6 193:17	132:25 133:4,5,8	212:12 243:25	161:24 162:17	defines 207:11
193:20,22 194:2	133:12 134:1	date 1:17 13:18	165:13 179:23	defining 209:19
crash 4:21,22,23	263:22 264:8	14:8,12 120:22	180:12 184:3,8	definition 73:19
4:24 22:9,22,24	crossmembers	191:11 212:20	185:9,19 189:23	74:14 76:4 263:1
26:10 28:13,15	99:16 100:1	274:13	190:1 191:3,8,12	definitive 70:14
29:10 31:9,12,17	crush 30:11 78:14	dated 4:11,19	191:15,18 192:10	deflection 144:3
32:21 33:1,10,16	78:15,17 197:14	12:16,19,23 13:3	192:20 204:25	deform 83:4
36:4 40:9 44:15	197:16 199:2	13:4,5,8 18:13,17	211:21 219:3	103:17 264:15
47:23 68:8 78:2	205:4,4,7,11,18	19:5,10,16,21	232:5 236:1	deformation
83:21 92:12	205:24 206:2,18	58:5 120:21	238:5 260:18	252:16
98:16 100:10	206:22 207:1,11	153:22 191:10	272:18 274:2	deformed 100:12
103:17 105:1	207:18 208:2,3	dates 11:18 14:9	deal 14:12 17:15	100:16 205:15
106:15 107:19	208:14,17,18,20	15:6	24:15 144:16	210:17
108:5 109:6,7,13	208:20 209:4,5	David 113:24,25	272:21	deforming 103:14
100.5 105.0,7,15	200.20 207.7,3	.,	~ 1 ~ . ~ 1	actorning 105.17
•		_	-	-

		l		
deforms 211:4	206:12,24 207:5	39:15 41:10	237:1	discussing 23:22
252:14	207:22 224:9	140:2,18	different 44:23	discussion 14:23
degree 176:2	235:22 238:3	desire 177:24	45:4 67:2 91:3	96:8,10,13
256:25	239:6,11 261:1	desk 221:24	105:19 118:16	116:20 138:19
degrees 89:22 90:7	266:2 272:25	destruction 98:16	129:23 135:7	165:17 172:4
91:6,9,14 92:14	depositions 6:22	detail 247:4 270:10	138:6 140:7,16	discussions 41:15
Delta 28:21 139:12	7:8,15 158:11	details 245:22	151:5 167:12	172:7
demonstrate	206:14	determination	172:19 173:8	dismiss 187:8
104:23 106:13	derived 24:23 74:8	51:6 105:16	186:3 207:2	dismissed 267:9
108:7 179:8	137:23	233:3,7	209:12 236:11	dispute 229:6
density 126:20,24	describe 69:12,15	determine 26:2	253:24 255:4	distance 252:12
127:5	111:12	28:20 51:2 52:12	260:9	distorted 251:15
dep 185:13,18	described 69:20	54:14 56:19	difficult 175:4	District 242:7
218:25	187:19 244:4	61:13,16 69:10	262:25 263:8,11	Ditlow 266:2,4
Department 171:3	describes 70:5	78:8 138:7	263:17	267:16
171:6	DESCRIPTION	232:23 249:10	Dillon 113:24,24	DIVISION 1:1
departments	4:4	258:3	113:25 114:14,17	DOCKET 1:2
170:25	design 38:4 39:3,5	determined 156:3	152:19	document 4:11
depend 239:17	39:10,11,22	250:20	dime 158:4	13:22 17:5 53:7,7
254:4	40:21,25 41:5,24	determines 54:19	dimensions 27:21	53:12,14,19,21
depended 141:8	42:2 43:10,22	108:12	97:10 180:25	54:2,23 58:3,5,12
dependent 24:23	44:19 46:7 69:12	determining	dinner 171:22	58:18 59:5
depending 174:3	69:16,20 70:1	139:18	direct 3:3 6:6	120:13,15 152:20
Depends 188:23	71:5,13,15 72:2	developed 156:15	157:25 158:2	153:2 157:18,24
213:22,25	103:15 112:15	164:12	159:7 160:4,5	158:24 159:6,18
depict 85:4 182:9	137:1,15,25	development 108:8	168:9 254:15	160:10,20,22
depicted 122:23	138:8 139:19	108:11,16 216:24	directed 62:5	161:1,5,22 162:3
123:18 125:13	146:10,12,25	226:25 227:1,5	147:6	162:4,11,13,20
126:14 127:17	147:23 188:5,13	227:12,13,24	directing 159:4,11	165:25 166:13
128:24 129:6	188:15,18 189:1	268:3,4	159:17	177:8,10,16
130:17 132:25	189:2,8 210:20	developmental	direction 36:7 45:3	179:24 185:10,12
134:5,12 204:3	210:21 218:7	155:18	directly 147:6	186:18 190:20,21
depicting 83:22	235:14 237:5	deviated 67:3	director 177:1,2,20	216:13 221:11
181:18	238:10,18 239:12	device 70:17 144:3	disagree 235:18	documentation
depictive 130:15	253:4 261:8	151:11,21 156:23	260:25 261:4,23	222:6
depicts 129:1	designation 227:9	157:1 239:2	263:12	documents 4:17
131:19 180:15,19	designed 22:3,20	diagram 4:12	disagreement	5:5 152:6,18
deposed 115:6	23:20 38:23	92:21 93:11	48:22	214:21 221:22
deposition 1:16	39:16,22,25	dialogue 87:15	disagrees 242:25	224:2 225:3
6:10,11,14,19 7:3	40:22 41:16	difference 19:14	discarded 216:19	233:25 234:4
7:5 8:25 11:5,7	98:18 99:5	40:12 60:17 61:4	disciplines 108:10	Dodge 42:7 59:10
13:11 73:24 79:7	102:12,15 104:16	82:6 87:11 91:20	discount 186:20,25	59:13,14 258:13
84:7 114:20,22	106:10 119:8	107:23,25 108:3	discovered 155:20	doing 46:12,23
114:25 115:8	120:2 134:16	108:4,15 142:23	discovery 108:22	47:5,8 135:7
120:21 141:14	238:21	143:8 235:9,10	266:17,21	158:10 174:2
142:4 165:7	designer 113:14	235:11,15 236:5	discuss 30:5,9	179:12 187:10
167:21 176:9,15	designers 41:9	236:10 237:12,16	114:25	204:19 209:16
177:9 180:4	205:16	237:25	discussed 17:25	262:9
184:23 186:3	designing 38:1	differences 13:15	73:18,22 115:2	domestic 220:1
		<u> </u>		

D 41.00	122 - 16 22 22		231:14	example 25:19
Donna 41:20	editing 16:22,23	energies 103:9	error 37:8,9 38:3	28:17,19 173:19
doubt 178:13	educated 217:19	energy 74:22 75:22	ESQ 2:5,6,10,15	206:24 224:4
doucment 157:6	effect 78:17,18	103:7,14,19,21	2:16	256:8 270:2
downward 89:23	147:11 189:9 232:12	104:3 147:4,6,9	essentially 99:11	exceed 177:25
117:14 118:6		147:11,21 148:6	145:18 239:21	exception 20:7
dozens 45:9	effective 104:25	148:7,10 203:25 211:6 238:24	243:23 257:5	131:6 256:6
DR 166:4,7,8	106:14 167:9	239:17 263:24	243.23 237.3 260:7	257:21
draft 15:16 17:21	effectively 103:18 effectiveness 258:3		established 238:3	excess 155:22
drain 218:15	258:4	264:10,11	established 238.3 estate 1:5 210:18	214:10
drained 218:12		engaged 72:15,16	257:14	excessive 61:22
draw 26:15 31:7 32:22 43:12 92:2	eight 44:18 80:21 81:5 149:23,25	engagement 184:11 200:6	estimate 90:18,20	68:11 220:8
272:15	151:10 169:17,22	201:9	99:3,22 100:5	exchange 244:10
drawn 92:21	169:24 170:21	engages 203:16	173:20,21	exchanged 120:17
drive 84:10,11	171:8 174:18	engine 168:12	et 108:16 168:13	120:20
drive 84.10,11 driven 77:10 87:16	eighties 261:20	engineer 39:5	evaluate 31:5	exclude 20:17
87:17,19 88:8,24	262:20	108:12 113:6	50:10 87:22	excursion 200:23
87:17,19 88:8,24 driver 73:15 74:5	202:20 either 7:3 11:9	188:24,25 207:9	187:2	execution 146:20
74:11 141:12	27:1,20 29:13,25	207:13 209:14	evaluated 28:10	exemplar 125:15
driving 140:9	53:5 64:10 66:3	210:20 237:6	62:19 63:17,19	exercising 57:20
241:13	81:22 98:4	engineering 43:10	evaluating 31:25	exhibit 4:4 5:12
duly 6:2 274:6	108:18 111:4	43:20,24 44:2	32:2 47:23 62:1	52:6 159:19
duny 0.2 274.0 dummies 195:11	123:6 127:14	51:4 54:5,9,15	evaluation 40:4	165:7,15 167:24
Durango 258:13	130:6 144:17	56:18 57:20	50:16,17,25 52:9	177:12 185:14,17
duty 148:18,23,23	149:5 154:25	58:14 163:2	52:14,23 54:4,15	266:4 267:16
148:24 149:2,6	175:2 225:24	171:5,6 172:9,19	55:25 64:17	Exhibits 5:13
149:19,21 150:4	247:7 270:4	172:23 176:2	154:3,6	exist 85:20 234:4
150:9,15	electrical 8:16	223:25 235:13	evaluations 35:7	expect 31:3 88:2
150.5,15	248:10	236:4,4 261:7	35:10	183:5 193:5
E	electronic 2:24	engineering-wise	event 22:8 24:21	218:1 230:4
E 2:1,1,19,19 3:1	14:5 171:17	243:17	24:22 25:1 28:25	expected 246:10
4:1 6:1 274:1,1	191:9	engineers 210:21	49:10 71:7	246:14
EA 4:10 53:2,3,9	electronically	223:16	136:15 241:14	expects 57:25
54:6	191:6 244:20	engineer's 44:6	events 22:7 241:9	experience 74:21
Eagle 1:23 2:4,14	elements 54:18	enhanced 241:18	eventually 51:6	75:20 87:20
earlier 9:16 45:7	99:8,20 102:10	entire 103:6,10	evidence 87:2,24	156:5
117:23 128:13	259:18	120:14 172:12	88:4,4 142:4,19	expert 7:13 8:18
154:1 192:2	elevated 53:2,3	179:7	172:13	8:18 10:1 17:7
early 186:8,9,13	Eleven 120:25	entirety 63:22	exact 107:6 194:6	24:5,20 27:2,10
262:20	eliminate 230:13	159:9	194:6 245:22	40:14,18,18
EAs 262:10	eliminated 98:16	entities 7:10	exactly 20:5 30:24	63:20 87:7
easier 85:15	else's 24:11	entity 10:9,16	31:10 42:22 91:8	124:14,15 129:3
easily 250:22	elucidate 38:19	envelope 32:1,19	163:4 192:3	149:17 152:24
East 1:24 2:15	empirical 30:18,24	32:23,25 42:10	examination 6:6	221:17 238:15
echoed 66:6	40:2	84:7,9 238:22	25:17 76:10	247:2
Ed 41:21 42:1	employee 49:14	equating 138:19	274:5	expertise 25:24
44:18	243:22 274:16,19	equipment 133:6	examined 26:9	30:3 40:16
edge 95:20	encompasses 125:5	155:1,2,16 172:8	55:8 101:4,8	experts 23:13 25:4
Edison 8:6	134:5	equipped 166:15	246:8	62:6,11,16,23
			į.	

			-	
66:7 67:24 68:4	117:13,14	104:1 132:6	92:18 95:23 96:6	46:8,10 47:14,17
235:14,14,15	faceplate 95:21	183:11 199:15	96:12 102:7	56:11 61:14,17
explain 215:1	facilities 257:20	265:3	107:3 115:11,16	61:20,21 63:1,2,6
explained 71:12,16	facing 94:21,23	fashion 204:2	119:16,19 120:19	63:9,14,18,24
228:6	FACSIMILE 2:24	fast 176:13	121:14 122:25	64:14 66:25 67:4
explanation	fact 20:17 27:13	fatal 56:11 174:22	124:16 129:4	67:10 68:6,8,11
215:14,16,25	56:24 58:21 59:5	fatality 242:16	130:19 131:2	68:13,17,22
Explorer 71:15	113:15 135:8,9	feasible 262:18,24	136:13 137:5,7	69:11 71:6,8,11
248:14 255:19	144:8 156:24	263:2,10	150:13 157:5,7	136:15 147:25
256:3	190:20 216:20	feature 37:6	153:4,9,15 157:7	174:6,14,22
exponential 75:23	233:5 241:5	236:21	157:11,15,20	175:21 204:19
express 21:22	253:6 254:6	February 219:11	158:2,14,18,25	235:12 246:15,18
expressed 64:25	factors 69:11	219:12,13,15	159:3,7,13,21,24	246:22,23 249:6
65:18 68:14,25	facts 29:11,16	federal 22:6 35:17	160:3,7,13,21	254:13 256:10
176:1	40:12	35:22,25 36:17	161:2,6,10,13,17	266:24
expresses 59:5	fail 166:25 214:2	57:18 107:14	161:24 162:17	fires 55:13,21 56:2
expressing 39:10	214:17 232:16	134:19 149:11	165:13 179:23	163:4,5 242:17
39:14	233:18 237:18	214:11 248:13	180:12 184:3,8	firm 8:1,5 16:7
expression 68:16	251:1	feds 166:6	185:9,19 189:23	18:4
extended 257:6	failed 179:1 215:1	feel 66:25	190:1 191:3,8,12	first 6:2 13:19
extensively 159:20	216:6 217:1	feet 79:13,14 80:1	191:15,18 192:10	15:11 17:19
160:25 161:3	220:6,7 232:1	80:2	192:20 204:25	18:20 20:23
extent 22:10 26:6	264:23 265:5,6,7	fictitious 1:12,13	211:21 219:3	38:22 45:6 77:8
27:4 62:22 63:23	265:19 268:5,12	field 24:5 40:3,4	232:5 236:1	86:13,18 121:5,6
105:12,15 146:9	failing 231:19	41:1 42:6,6 45:6	238:5 260:18	136:14 143:2
175:14 210:11	fails 213:18,18	46:2 50:21 78:25	272:18	151:16,17,18
extra 60:4 231:7	failure 213:19,23	79:5 82:15 89:20	filler 128:6 246:25	176:15 193:15
extraneous 38:18	214:6 215:13,24	Fifty 75:10	249:21	240:8 245:2
extrapolate 26:1	221:19 223:8,9	figure 16:15 113:6	film 194:20	248:24 261:21
extrapolating	225:22 232:24	189:18	films 250:1,3	266:3
24:12	238:9 269:4	filaments 136:20	final 18:10	Fischbach 184:23
extreme 74:22	272:7	file 214:25 216:17	finally 128:21	244:10
extremely 74:1	failures 55:12,20	221:14,23 265:18	financial 243:25	fit 246:6
76:22 98:15,23	56:1 217:24	filed 49:23 50:3,9	financially 274:20	fitting 220:11
262:24	232:23 233:21,24	files 224:3	find 52:4 88:3	223:19
eyes 30:16 48:16	fair 10:11 17:18	Filippo 2:3,5 3:6	105:17 168:25	five 69:22 70:13,18
e-mailed 115:8	24:12 45:22 47:2	6:7 10:4,20,24	170:7,17 186:1	70:19,22 73:20
	57:8 89:13	12:1,5,10,13	187:19 191:2	74:6 76:20,21
F	113:18 215:7	13:21,24 14:2,13	215:21 216:5,6	80:22 86:3 98:19
F 274:1	familiar 49:18	17:9,12 19:1	224:25	98:21 99:7 119:1
facebar 77:2,9,12	family 105:19	20:10,19 27:11	findings 56:16	119:5 136:10
77:17,18,21	far 20:23 69:25	32:16 33:7,15,19	fine 28:6 64:19	176:8,10 191:19
87:15 88:8,17	136:25 137:14	33:23 34:5,12,15	124:16 129:4	216:19
89:3,9,11,22 90:1	179:19 250:10	34:19 43:14 53:6	160:3,7 191:17	fix 166:21
91:11,19 92:6,9	267:1,2	53:20 55:17 58:2	finished 70:8	fixed 259:19
92:10,12 93:20	Farm 9:4,5,6,19,23	58:19 59:3,12	fire 8:11,13,18 9:2	flange 45:2
93:22 94:1,4,8,9	10:3,5	60:16,23 64:19	9:14 22:8 24:1,22	Flash 84:10
94:24 98:11	FARS 56:9	65:3 67:19 72:14	24:22 25:2 27:17	flat 251:22 252:19
116:21 117:4,11	fascia 77:14,15	73:23 85:9,14	28:23,25 40:10	252:20,22,24
	,	1	<u> </u>	j

	T	1	1	1
260:6	formulating 82:4	100:1 101:4	237:7,13 269:14	Ginny 184:23
flipping 97:10	forth 274:14	190:14 198:7	269:16 270:23	give 13:10 21:10
floating 15:6	forties 139:22	210:23 242:12	function 75:21,23	24:4 28:17 35:15
floor 124:10	Forty-five 74:20	259:17,19	151:22 263:22	38:15 40:14,18
floorpan 100:14,15	75:19	frontal 212:14	264:7	40:21 65:19
100:20,22,25	forward 34:20	259:8	functioned 44:1	87:14 162:16
103:3,4 104:1,7	77:10 87:16,17	Frye 244:4,10	fundamental 31:8	163:17,18 171:15
Florida 141:2	87:19 88:7,9,24	fuel 22:21 25:21	32:22 40:8 42:18	191:3 198:10
244:24 245:3	89:4 97:5 100:7	26:13 28:10,14	further 51:3 52:18	200:21 214:6
FMVSS 38:16	100:11,21,23	28:18,25 29:18	52:21 184:15	216:11 226:21,23
104:19 107:14	101:19 102:6	35:21,24 36:13	249:8 272:17	244:9,18 250:7
110:18 119:9,12	203:22 206:7	44:19 45:16	274:9,15	256:7 269:22
155:22 254:7,10	208:5,25 209:7	46:20,22,24	Fusco 1:23 2:14	270:1
254:13,18 258:7	226:10	47:22,25 48:14	15:24	given 6:22 8:19
focus 24:20,21	found 101:3	61:22,22 62:24	fuzzy 180:7 183:19	9:16 22:25 160:1
27:22 28:16 36:6	170:16 227:22	62:25 63:6,7,23		168:20 208:19
66:8 148:4,5	foundation 11:11	68:11,12,22,23	G	242:11 263:19
focusing 29:20	four 23:1 76:19	69:3,4 70:5,16	G 155:4,11	giving 37:19
66:7 173:23	77:4,5,24 83:19	71:20 86:14,21	garage 9:3	157:18 160:19
folder 221:23	86:3,12,17 98:13	87:16 98:17,25	gas 123:2,7,18,20	231:7
follow 49:20	99:8,23,24,25	102:18,20,23	128:7 131:25	glad 65:20
followed 259:9	100:5 102:10,25	104:18,25 106:11	gasoline 71:7	GM 145:20,22
following 15:6	103:3 119:6	106:14 127:4	129:25 136:16	146:1 243:11
22:9 28:5,11 57:3	214:15 267:16	129:24 136:14	gate 265:2	GM's 242:25
135:20 136:1	fracturing 136:19	140:3,19 142:21	gather 44:18	go 7:21 17:14
155:4 172:10	frame 25:20 26:11	143:6,23,25	212:12	30:14 32:8 34:20
269:11	29:21,23 30:1,2,3	146:14 150:6,23	general 6:19 15:11	45:9,15 49:7
follows 6:4	30:6,10,12,22	154:25 155:19	21:23 22:1 23:1	52:18,21 54:14
follow-up 223:15	31:5,16,23 32:3,8	156:9 163:9	35:19 37:1,5 65:4	57:12 60:14,25
224:3	42:17 77:16,19	164:8 166:15	75:15 118:17	64:20 68:10,19
forces 76:22 98:14	83:9,11 88:19	169:17 170:2	144:21 145:3	70:7,10 71:3
98:22 103:8	94:13 96:17,18	185:5 213:24	205:19 236:3	74:10 96:6
164:14 242:15	97:15 99:9	214:8,9 216:24	241:21 242:8,10	104:22 118:24
Ford 144:21	101:10,12,14,18	217:4 218:2,3,12	243:22 257:18	125:22 126:23
203:14,18 248:14	102:11 103:4	220:8 238:23	generally 62:5,8	150:2 163:19
255:19 256:7	127:11 134:13	240:2 242:11	63:16 69:1 71:24	164:14 170:6,11
fore 99:9	196:3 207:19	246:25 248:18,25	99:8 100:14	170:12 172:2
foregoing 274:10	210:12 251:14,15	249:1,10,21	103:23 104:9,10	197:8 211:13
foreseeable 241:9	257:2,7 258:17	250:14 256:9	112:12 173:24	213:9,12 215:21
241:14	258:18	259:15 261:8	175:9 207:2	222:20 223:1,3
forever 216:16	frankly 15:14	264:24,25 268:14	264:12	224:25 225:11
form 42:8 105:9	65:10 179:12	268:18	genuine 188:3	259:1 260:10
153:17,18 184:5	freak 245:18,19	fuel-fed 8:11	getting 59:25	262:11
189:7 240:6	246:3	242:17	83:18 CH I 2:10 12:17	goal 47:23 135:5
format 6:25 53:22	free 242:16	full 77:8 86:13,18	GILL 2:10 13:17	147:14 148:8
formed 207:19	frequently 50:19	143:2 201:2,4,8,9	13:23 14:4,11,15	242:9 264:12
formulate 26:25	frictional 136:18	227:7 228:24	14:21 20:13	goes 95:24 154:3
142:11	FRIEDHOFF 2:6	229:22 231:10	95:20 96:4	168:19 172:10
formulated 27:14	front 78:19 96:20	235:6,17 236:7	Gill's 14:6	183:4 259:19
				ı

going 6:16 11:6,7	gotta 171:25	166:16	154:10 171:4	103:12 129:9
11:11 12:5 13:10	government 22:6	guardrail 140:12	179:13 194:10	140:12 189:13,18
16:12 17:4 23:4	35:17,23,25	guards 168:13	217:12,13,15	189:19,24 190:1
24:17,19 25:11	36:12,18 57:19	guess 40:6 80:5	218:21 222:2,5	190:7 197:21
26:24 27:10,19	107:14 135:14	100:2,3 114:17	222:19 226:1	198:15 199:2
27:22 29:24 30:4	154:4,16 165:24	133:23 135:5	250:5,8 268:21	202:16 204:2,2
30:14 31:11,20	178:24 248:13	146:8 148:13	happening 25:2	205:7,10 209:16
32:11 35:3,15	253:13,23 262:2	176:11 217:18	happens 212:23	210:22 212:15
37:19 38:15,18	Grand 22:1 23:19	218:5	266:6	245:24 248:8
39:1,13 40:21	33:17 38:22	guessing 7:6 218:6	happenstance	252:22,24 253:18
43:23 47:1 51:8	39:21 54:10	guessing 7.0 218.0 guy 44:19,20,21	232:7	256:8 260:8
53:12,16 58:16	55:14 56:2 57:4	45:16,17 46:2,5,7		hitch 70:16 142:22
· ·			happy 187:4	
60:8 64:5,15	73:16 74:5,12	46:8,10 47:14	hard 88:14 89:8,12 148:9	143:7,16 230:21
65:15,17 69:5	77:24 83:19	113:23 187:6 204:20 216:25	· ·	271:5,10
72:20 73:4 74:4,6	90:16 110:23		hazard 242:17	hits 140:20 241:9
75:24 84:25	111:25 112:16	235:12 266:25	HDPE 42:16	hitting 251:21
85:10 87:7 92:5	113:1,3 121:17	G55 145:4,8,16	head 31:11 179:2	252:18,21
93:1,13,24 95:15	122:11 123:11	148:18 149:21	225:17 245:9	hit's 194:21
95:15 97:12,21	124:23 125:23,25	150:22 151:6	hear 181:8 238:17	hoist 44:17
113:16 116:1	131:20 145:5,19	H	heart 233:14,16	hold 97:19
117:1 118:7	149:21 154:11	H 4:1	heated 48:18	holding 86:2
120:13,14,15	155:12,17,24	Hale 41:20	heaters 168:12	hole 127:19,24
121:10 150:3	156:4,18 181:5,9	half 82:19 230:16	heavy 149:6	128:5 134:13
152:20,22 154:5	193:8 256:5	hand 16:11 97:11	heed 173:24	home 8:12 9:9
154:15 157:11,23	264:20	97:19	HEIRS 1:3	Honestly 178:5
157:25 158:3	great 170:19	97:19 handed 84:6	held 14:23 96:8	hope 171:18
163:17,18 165:6	201:20		165:17 172:4	hose 128:6 218:4
171:14,16 176:10	greater 76:14,16	hands 13:2 43:3	help 26:6 28:13,24	218:14,16 249:22
176:12 178:17,21	GRIECO 2:3	73:2 106:6,9,19	96:11	hoses 246:25
183:12 185:12	gross 112:25	110:6 120:1	helps 78:12,13	hour 73:20 74:7,19
186:10 191:22	ground 89:25 90:7	handwriting 16:25	Henderson 6:2	74:20 75:1,4
193:11,18 194:13	90:8,25 91:5,7,8	handwritten 16:24	hereinbefore	76:13,17 109:23
194:15 198:24	91:12,15,18,25	hang 258:16	274:13	110:2 122:16
200:20,25 201:10	92:10,11,13	hanging 130:10	he'll 161:7	129:10 139:4
201:11 203:22	94:18,21 95:7,18	hangs 120:9 126:6	high 74:13,15,20	140:10 141:3
204:6,7 219:1	95:21 96:1,3 97:8	240:2	74:22 75:5,6,16	192:22 194:16
221:10 222:14	97:13,16,21,24	Hanlon 8:5,5	76:1,1,5,8,11,18	197:10 201:12
224:8,9 225:11	98:2 117:15,17	Hannemann 66:15	126:20,24 127:5	203:16 204:12
226:9 233:23	117:17 123:23	71:11,14	174:11,13 181:7	248:15 249:13,20
235:3,21 260:16	124:5 179:10,11	Hanover 2:15	181:10 182:13	Hummer 71:22
270:1 272:20	grounds 160:17	Hanover, New 1:24	247:10 258:1,18	145:4
golf 201:23 203:24	group 1:11 2:17	happen 29:12	higher 56:11 75:19	hundred 7:6 79:23
good 42:21 67:6	16:3 42:15,19,20	183:12 187:7	182:16 183:7	174:14
70:11 105:11,14	42:23 43:6,8	194:13	highway 140:1,6,9	hundreds 158:11
115:11 148:8	45:19 161:12	happened 15:1	140:15,20 142:8	158:11
187:5 227:19	groups 42:8	26:3,12 27:3,20	142:13 180:6,24	т
230:9	guard 154:22,22	27:23 29:4 31:9	183:16 241:13	
goodness 240:12	154:23 155:3,5,8	31:12,21 40:9	hit 45:1,4 78:14	ideally 102:19
gotcha 12:12	155:13 156:17	51:22 54:22	81:14 91:8	IDENT 4:4
	l	I .	I	l

identical 113:5	90:1 116:22	122:9 131:23	78:5 84:2 105:16	156;2 215:25
identification	impacting 104:3	133:6 154:16	186:24	221:19,22 249:9
12:18,21,24 19:7	248:14	169:16 190:19	installed 154:25	262:10
53:10 58:7 92:23	impacts 48:2 75:18	221:12 270:13	167:3	
93:7 115:20	107:22 242:12	indicates 54:24	instance 31:23	investigations 50:21
121:2 131:11		55:25 261:5	40:13 42:1 141:1	involve 8:10 39:3
153:24 180:2	implied 107:25 important 236:24			
192:18 212:1	improper 179:1	indicating 26:20 27:13 29:25	270:1,23	involved 8:7,14
219:7 260:23	improperly 22:20	64:11 72:20	Institute 180:6,24 183:15	51:9 57:6 62:2,9 62:17 108:25
267:25		133:21 151:10	instructing 161:21	221:8 242:14
identified 70:15	improves 166:24 inadequately	229:5	instructing 161:21 instruction 166:3	244:23 245:15
136:17	221:1	indication 169:13	instructions 6:17	244.23 243.13 249:7 266:15
identify 116:3		180:22	6:20	involves 121:9
121:5,21 122:22	inappropriate 22:21	indirect 26:16	Insurance 183:15	
123:1,10 128:4	inch 80:15 81:19	INDIVIDUALLY	integrity 35:22,25	involving 16:13
209:22 225:20,23	82:19 235:8	1:6	70:6 104:18	36:1 44:7 54:10 58:12 154:11
ignited 136:15	82:19 233:8 inches 77:10 79:14	individuals 23:9	105:1 106:12,15	38:12 154:11 228:8
ignition 136:17	79:15,21,23,25	23:10	140:3,19 146:14	
II 1:16	80:3,13,17,21	industry 102:18	185:5 217:4	in-depth 51:5 issue 22:2 63:17
ills 187:10	81:5,9,12 87:16	173:18 257:19	249:11 259:16	64:24 65:25
image 248:5	88:7,25 99:23,24	influencing 236:14	intend 21:22 23:23	
impact 28:21 36:7	99:25 123:22	information 24:23	intend 21:22 23:23 intended 154:24	66:14,18 67:10 68:16 73:1
36:22 55:12,20	124:5 126:11	30:13 39:17		158:12 170:22
56:1,11 69:25	incidence 56:11	49:25 50:22	intending 21:10 24:4	218:7,8,9 229:6
70:1 71:10 72:14	incident 69:14,17	51:24 55:10	intent 253:4	253:15 262:13
72:23,24 74:18	69:21	57:11,13 78:4	intent 255:4	issued 54:3 247:5
75:1,9 76:8,11,18	include 168:12	102:15 137:23	intention 21:14	247:15
76:22 78:10				· ·
81:21 82:5 91:12	including 40:1 107:10 169:6,10	154:21 155:4,7 168:24 169:2,5	interchangeably 61:7	issues 38:2 62:1,19 66:8 186:23
98:14,22 103:8	240:19	169:20 175:2	interest 272:12	254:10
105:25 107:24	incorrect 22:14	213:2 214:25	interest 272.12	item 71:18 123:4
108:5 109:7	independent	216:3 221:13	interfacing 49:15	items 103:3
136:2 137:1,15	224:11 226:12	225:2,12 226:12	interiacing 49.13	IV 242:2
137:25 138:8,23	INDEX 5:1	262:1,3,4	163:24 164:9,16	17 242.2
137:23 138:8,23	indicate 13:14	initial 203:15	164:17	J
140:11,14,14	21:17 29:12	initially 9:12 50:12	intermediate 51:1	J2:16
141:13 142:8	61:11,25 64:21	91:12 248:24,25	intermediate 31.1	jacket 216:17,21
144:3 146:10	64:23 65:23 67:1	91.12 248.24,23 initials 19:19	Internaty 52.25	223:24 272:4
200:3,5 203:15	67:7 68:15 72:5,8	injured 8:23 9:7	interrupt 7:17	JAMES 2:10
205:8,8,14	73:13 76:9,11	10:12,19	15:19 158:8,15	Jane 16:6,17,18
212:14 214:8	98:12,14 100:6	injuries 241:19	158:16	18:2,4
212:14 214.8 219:23 220:8	117:11 119:7	injury 10:19,21,23	interrupted 159:16	Japanese 145:6
224:5 236:21,25	128:23 130:8	INK 2:22	interrupted 139:16 interview 177:20	Jarmon 239:7
239:18 242:15	136:14,23 142:21	inordinate 86:4	introducing 14:16	267:13,18
246:1,22 248:7	189:12 250:4	input 41:14,24	invaded 100:20	jars 265:21
250:19 251:16	272:20	input 41:14,24 inside 77:15 99:11	invaded 100:20 invasion 87:25	Jeep 1:11 33:17
258:8 259:5,7,11	indicated 35:14	175:17		38:22 39:20 42:7
259:12,24 272:6	66:1 71:25 85:5		investigating 57:1	54:10 55:13,14
•		inspect 248:25	investigation	56:2,3,10,12 57:4
impacted 78:20	93:18 117:5	inspection 40:5	56:17,18 69:9	20.4,2,10,14 27.4
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	.		

72 0 0 22 00 16	40 10 10 45 5	104 11 107 0		177.16041.6
72:9,9,22 90:16	42:12,18 45:5	104:11 107:9	knowledgeable	175:16 241:6
93:14,16 102:16	101:18 127:24	108:23 112:3,10	266:20	LEARY 2:9
109:21 118:23,24	132:10 165:3	113:10,23,25	known 70:1,3,4	leave 269:22
122:11 123:10	198:18 228:20	114:5,6,19 116:6	120:3,6 136:25	leaving 176:8
124:23 125:23	229:17,21 231:6	116:7,9 117:21	137:15 138:8	234:22
131:19 145:4	234:11 247:4	122:17 126:17	207:18	led 26:25 29:3
155:12,16,24	258:1 260:8	129:1,6 130:2	knows 158:9	55:11 63:23
156:4,18 171:5	270:10	131:4 139:6,14	162:18	left 28:18 29:21
172:18 181:18	kinematic 203:25	141:5 142:2,3,17	T	30:12 31:6 79:14
182:9,11 189:13	kissing 195:1	145:20 148:19	L	80:1 81:1,1,2
189:19 193:8,25	Kline 1:3,4,5,5	152:15 157:14	L 2:19	83:9 92:8 106:5,8
194:16,25 195:5	34:22,25 36:2	162:15,22,23	lab 35:4	106:18 110:6
195:5,11 196:3	37:20 56:25 57:5	163:1,12,17	labeled 108:10	119:25 121:17
197:11,12,13	72:3,9 105:3,10	166:17 169:19	212:23	127:12,13 183:1
198:12,19 199:7	105:19 106:8	170:8 171:20,21	lack 134:10 258:4	230:20 250:21
199:17 200:11,17	107:2,4 110:5,15	176:14 177:13	ladder 96:22,23	251:14,15
200:22,24 203:15	111:7,11,13,17	178:12,15,17	lamp 136:19	Legacy 72:24
205:4 207:23	111:18 113:20	179:12,19 180:20	Laredo 110:25	length 90:15
209:1 228:8,19	117:10 119:21	181:4,12,22,22	111:4 113:3	117:22 134:8
230:9,16 257:21	120:9 121:10	181:24 183:10,11	large 24:22	269:19
261:6,8	124:24 126:22	183:13 186:21	late 241:2 262:19	Leopold 245:12
Jersey 1:1,21,24	127:1,8 128:17	189:15 191:12	lateral 83:7 99:9	lessen 238:11,19
2:4,10,15,23 7:23	130:15,18 232:16	193:10 194:13,19	laterals 103:5	239:14
274:4,24	232:17 234:6,14	194:21 196:2	latest 169:2	lesser 246:9
Jim 20:10	234:17,22	197:25 198:8	latitudes 31:4	letter 4:19,21,23
Jimenez 178:7	Kline's 102:23	210:20 214:5,18	LAUGHLIN 2:16	4:24 61:6 120:22
JOHN 1:11	knew 185:4	215:6,23 217:1	law 1:1,4 6:3 8:1,4	153:22 166:7,7,8
JTE 172:7,9	Knolls 2:10	217:12,18 218:19	177:17,18 179:15	211:25 243:24
judge 152:10	know 6:25 9:22	220:15 221:5,9	lawsuit 8:8,11 23:8	260:22 266:3
160:15	11:3 13:1 14:14	222:1,3 223:3,7	lay 11:11	267:24
judgement 188:24	14:19,25 15:14	223:17 224:24	lead 227:6,12	let's 23:24 24:15
judgment 53:1	16:9 17:17 26:11	225:12 226:1	leak 28:25 36:5	34:18,19 41:4,17
57:20	26:17 27:14,24	228:7,14 229:10	48:20 49:1	43:21 45:1,2 53:6
judgments 40:8	28:24 31:3 36:3	229:11,13 231:17	135:19 136:1	60:23 63:8 68:10
July 120:21 165:8	37:11 38:14	233:5,13,23	155:19 156:3,5	70:7 75:18 131:5
165:12,14 212:21	39:11 41:3 42:22	235:2 237:19	268:14,19	131:13 132:11
239:6 269:3,8,11	43:1,5 49:22	240:24 243:16	leakage 46:20,22	153:19 187:23
June 6:12 167:21	52:10,10,19,20	245:11 247:8	46:24 47:22,25	192:12,12 211:21
185:14 219:1	52:24 53:4 54:7	248:23 249:1	48:14 61:22	214:14 216:2
	54:17 57:9 60:2	252:13 257:25	63:24 68:12,22	219:3 220:12
<u>K</u>	60:12 64:19	262:13 265:7,11	69:3 70:16 71:7	269:2
KAS 16:16,16,19	65:15 66:20	265:13 267:3	147:24 163:9	level 51:3 179:21
16:20,20 18:4	67:12 68:7 69:4	268:21 269:19	213:24 214:8,10	237:17 242:10,16
keep 164:18	78:11 82:6 83:6,8	270:2,3,6,9,24	220:8	247:11 250:15
170:15	83:10,13,15,16	271:22 272:20	leaked 218:17	LICENSED 2:23
keeping 163:3	86:1 88:13 89:14	knowledge 27:1	265:4	life 181:18,18,19
kept 183:12 216:13	89:18 90:17	29:17 30:19,24	leaking 129:24	182:17,20
key 146:13,19,24	93:11 99:1,21	40:1,3,24 143:14	leap 32:9	lift 197:8 265:2
kind 22:22 37:9	100:4 102:24	217:19	learned 115:5	lifted 116:13,14
				,.

1.6.1 1.70 7	004 (000 1	044.17.050.1	M 2:5	14.10.20.15.7.7
lifting 179:7	224:6 232:1	244:17 250:1		14:19,20 15:7,7
lifts 197:6	233:8 243:10,12	260:14 264:16	magnitude 78:14	15:13,13,18
light 148:18,22	243:15	268:2,15 270:11	magnitudes 71:11	16:21,24 17:19
149:5,21 150:14	longer 91:7	271:18	major 263:22	18:13,15,21,22
245:23	longitudinal	looked 31:1 33:11	264:7	18:25 19:5,10,22
lightweight 65:10	134:12	33:16 55:6,7	majority 111:18	60:1,9,10,20 61:5
limit 33:24 135:6	longitudinals	89:14,15 117:8	111:19	72:6,7
limitations 253:21	207:20 210:13	122:12 144:7,12	makers 57:19	margin 177:22
limited 110:24	look 12:2 13:12	146:2 152:5	making 34:24 178:1	mark 2:15 12:5,13
111:4 113:4	20:15 32:8 45:15	159:23 166:10		19:1,2 53:6 58:2
256:18	47:8,12,15,24	180:8 191:1	malfunction 8:15	92:5,17,19 93:2
limits 140:21	48:6 54:23 58:9	211:18 224:21	man 158:15 176:23	115:16 120:15
line 75:21 216:9	58:20 60:6,24	229:1,14 237:21	manage 147:5	131:2,3,5 152:20 179:23 185:10
220:10,23 223:19	61:1,9 65:6,22	249:14 269:18	148:6,10	
242:1 257:21	66:13,19 69:7	looking 19:10	management 71:6	192:8,11,11,12
258:21	73:8 76:19 78:25	30:19 46:14,18	147:5,9,21 148:6	211:21 218:24
lines 42:14 43:12	79:7,22 80:18	46:20 47:16,21	171:6 172:9	219:1,3 260:17 260:18
list 174:21,23	83:6,10 89:11,17	48:10,11,24	240:1	
226:22,23 269:4	89:21 90:13 97:7	58:19 61:8 117:2	manager 114:7	marked 11:4 12:9
269:21	101:17 103:17	121:21 124:22	managing 147:10	12:17,20,23 19:6
listed 138:13	116:23 118:14	125:7,8 128:5	239:17	19:10 53:9,13
169:11,12 217:2	119:1,5 121:4,19	131:4 132:2,5	mangled 88:10	58:6,10 64:22
240:19 270:4	121:24 122:4,10	144:16 157:5	manner 178:25	79:6 92:22 93:6
liter 214:15	122:13,15,20,21	180:23 184:14	manual 214:15	93:14,25 115:19
literally 197:7	123:15 125:5,13	185:25 207:10	manufacture	117:3 121:1
literature 170:15	126:14 127:10,16	212:19 266:1,5	119:18	125:6 131:7,10
litigation 5:1 57:6	127:23 128:10	272:13	manufactured	131:14 132:23
221:8 222:1	130:4,7,25	looks 127:7 182:1	104:17 106:10 119:8 154:23	153:23 159:18
244:1	131:15 132:22	197:19 200:5,24		165:7 167:20
little 8:25 123:25	139:15 151:3	loose 28:19 29:3	manufacturer 22:5	180:2,10 183:18
168:9 183:6,19	157:19,21,24	losing 10:22	50:12 51:9 58:14	185:13 192:18
253:24 263:24	158:23 159:19	loss 10:17	59:22 106:6,19	211:25 218:25
264:9	160:9 161:23,25	lost 160:14	110:6 135:15	219:7,10 226:25
LLC 1:23 2:3,14	162:12 163:19,21	lot 17:16 39:11	140:1 234:23	260:22 266:2
load 77:19 238:23	165:10 170:12	65:9 116:15	258:8	267:24
loading 201:18	172:25 177:7,16	142:9 197:14	manufacturers	marketed 154:23
located 144:22	182:21 183:6	206:13 264:11	35:17,19 36:25	marking 19:3
170:2 256:21	185:16 186:10	lots 31:1,1	37:5,13,25 49:19	153:3 248:9
257:1	187:3,4,20 191:8	love 191:5	50:23 51:10 55:6	material 126:15,24
locating 255:10	191:16 192:5,23	low 74:1 181:11	57:25 140:18	132:7,20
location 1:23 22:21	193:6,7,7,14,17	182:14 245:17,24	154:7 253:22	Matt 114:10,13,15
44:23 62:24 63:5	193:20 194:22	lower 82:10 83:3	254:5	matter 2:23 37:24
71:16 146:2,20	195:3,19 196:8	132:10 140:15	manufacturer's	82:3,8 139:17
146:20 255:12	196:23 197:9,10	182:19	120:1	146:10 148:9
257:14	197:12 198:11	LUCINDA 2:16	manufacturing	190:11 227:23
Loman 1:10 2:17	200:16 204:20	lunch 115:25	41:1 44:20,21	238:6
16:3 161:12	211:14,20 219:9	luncheon 115:14	105:23 218:7	MC 2:16
long 82:5 90:24	219:18,25 224:4	M	March 11:22 12:16	mean 7:19 10:18
146:5 216:21	236:17 237:21		13:3,6,19 14:1,6	23:7,7,9 30:23
I				-

20 10 25 2 52 15	(7 (7	1.00 1.100 5 5	l reapproximate l	
32:13 35:2 52:15	memory 67:6	160:14 225:7	MORRIS 1:1,4,5	named 113:24
53:19 54:12 59:1	70:11 72:19	272:9	Mosley 242:3	176:24 184:23
67:24 72:12	145:15 226:8	Mindy 16:6,17,18	motor 65:11	names 1:12,13
76:15 86:25	265:21	16:18 18:2,4	134:20 241:17	NATALIE 1:9
94:23 103:10	mental 248:5	mine 12:6 60:1	Motors 144:21	nature 25:22 26:19
107:1,5 109:5	Mercedes 7:15,23	138:1 200:21	145:3 219:5	28:24 30:11
110:7 125:18	9:18 71:22	minimal 203:13	241:22 242:8,10	39:17 50:25 63:1
139:12 173:15	144:21 145:4,8	minimum 134:21	243:22	87:25 174:4
183:16 184:13	148:17,18 150:4	134:24 135:6,10	mounting 28:11	188:23 210:14
187:1,18 188:17	150:10,15,21	135:11,13,21	91:1	nearly 73:16,19
197:19 212:6,7	151:1 mere 232:7	136:2 141:3	move 27:12 44:22	necessarily 25:18
233:15,17 242:2 257:17 266:19		minor 246:1 256:8	45:1 89:9,10	108:6 130:15
	merely 173:8 merit 50:14	256:12	101:14 102:20	188:22 233:12
meaning 15:23 262:3		minus 192:24 193:24	152:16 161:3	251:23
means 2:24 30:16	met 22:4,5 35:16	minute 31:19 96:7	200:11,12 226:10 252:9 253:4	necessary 215:18
	36:8,17 37:20			need 27:24 51:2
33:19 102:24 227:1,6	38:16 135:12	150:2 171:15	257:3,11 264:14	66:19,25 67:5
meant 169:23	261:5,16,18,20 metal 30:3 32:6	198:10 214:7	moved 101:19	130:7 148:7
188:8		221:7 247:16	102:6 116:22	158:15 160:9,15
measure 78:17	101:1,3 103:14 103:24 127:18	261:16	195:4,6 196:4	162:4 163:20
143:25 156:11	136:18	minutes 214:9	198:13,19 200:10 200:22 202:12	166:21 172:25
163:24 164:10,16		220:9 259:2		173:2 185:15
164:18 208:17,22	metallurgical 35:3 method 136:17	mislead 29:18	257:7,22	188:18 198:8,9
208:23 209:2		misread 171:19	movement 199:3	218:19 230:6
210:15,16	methodology 174:19 175:6	missing 134:3	201:20 203:13	259:2 262:12
measured 78:5	methods 175:16	214:21 233:9	249:23 252:1	needed 57:9,13
79:24 80:1 99:1	Mexico 10:9	Mississippi 242:7	moves 89:7 252:16	159:25 250:20
207:24	Michael 41:20	misspoke 14:12 mistake 150:17	moving 54:3 109:25 110:1	257:14 262:3
measurement 32:4	mid 258:13 261:18		203:17 252:20	needs 12:10 160:23
32:13 78:22	middle 94:15	Misty 16:16		161:6
79:18 81:18,19		mitigate 239:3	259:13,13,21 MPV 149:12	negligible 188:6
measurements	177:18 193:17 257:22	mitigates 237:8 mitigation 70:16	MPVs 149:19	246:11
27:21 30:1 79:13	midsize 178:22	mockup 43:3	MRS-L-3575-08	neighborhood 80:10
measures 209:21	mid-ship 256:22	model 110:23	1:2	neither 235:10
measuring 78:18	257:1	113:12 155:17,24	multi 250:14	
209:15,17	Mike 262:11	models 56:10	multilayer 250:15	274:16,18 Nevada 6:2
mechanic 166:4,9	miles 73:20 74:6	113:3 195:13	multiplicity 22:7	never 18:14 72:17
mechanism 250:24	74:19,20,25 75:4	modified 155:7	multipurpose	105:12 119:22
254:25	76:13,17 109:23	268:10	149:2,13 240:16	151:11,19,24
MEDIA 2:24	110:2 129:10	208.10 moment 75:1	multitude 112:24	151.11,19,24
medium 148:23	139:4 140:10	money 10:23	112:25	203:4 231:13
149:5 150:4,9,14	141:2 192:21	Mopar 151:19	MVSS 36:3,8	240:18 247:24
150:15	194:16 197:10	165:1 167:11,17	212:4	253:7 266:11
meet 37:7 114:1	201:12 248:15	167:25 168:2,11	∠1∠. ⊤	new 1:1,21 2:4,10
135:14,19,19	milliseconds	168:14 169:2	N	2:15,23 7:23
member 94:12	192:25 193:1,24	MORAN 2:9	N 2:1,19 3:1 6:1	155:7 216:15
memo 240:9,19,20	200:20 202:1	Morgan-Alcala	name 16:16 176:20	233:14 247:18
240:25 241:6	mind 26:23 82:1,3	1:9 2:11	182:2 245:2	250:13,17 251:3
				200.13,17 231.3

051 7 074 4 04	100 4 101 0			102.0 22 104 1 7
251:7 274:4,24	120:4 121:8	223:4,22 224:14	numbers 76:7 79:1	183:8,23 184:1,7
NHTSA 4:10,11	122:1 124:7,12	225:4,14 226:3	269:22	185:8 186:16
49:15,20,23	124:25 125:14	227:3 228:2,12	numerous 6:22	187:17 188:1,11
50:18 51:14,24	126:7 127:25	229:3,8 230:2,18	144:20	188:21 189:7
51:25 52:3,8,11	128:18,25 130:13	232:3,19 234:1,8	0	190:24 194:4,8
52:16,17,21,25	130:19 132:15	234:16,25 235:20	O 2:19 6:1	194:18 195:8
53:9 54:3,8,12,19	133:14 135:1,16	236:18 237:14	OATES 2:3	196:7,15 197:15
54:24 55:1,9,23	135:22 136:7	238:1,13 239:19	object 16:12 17:5	197:23 198:5,17
57:3,11,12,18,18	137:3 138:10,25	240:5,10,22	17:14 27:10	198:23 199:9,19
57:24 58:5,11,12	139:5 140:4,22	241:15,25 242:20	32:11,16 34:8,11	199:25 200:9,15
58:13 59:17	141:7,18 142:1	243:3,18 245:20	43:23 58:16 60:9	201:6,21 202:7
113:12,17 152:19	142:15 143:19	246:19 247:1	121:11 152:16	202:10,17 203:5
179:9 213:9	144:4 145:10,23	248:16,18,21	153:10,16 231:16	203:9,20 204:9
255:2	146:6,16 147:2	249:24 250:11	objecting 17:10	205:6,13,21 206:4,21 207:16
NHTSA's 50:8	147:18 148:1,15	251:2,10 252:6 252:25 253:10,16	34:3 153:16,17	208:7 209:9,24
59:5	149:15 150:2,18	,	184:4,5	210:5 211:2,9
nice 129:16	151:13 152:9	254:9,12,20 255:7,14,20,25	objection 33:22	210:3 211:2,9
night 171:21 nine 19:21 20:1,2,7	154:14,18 157:2 163:15 164:2,23	255:7,14,20,25	34:4 45:24 47:19	214:4,23 215:12
79:23 136:11	165:19 166:12,13	258:6,20 260:2	53:17 64:16	215:20 216:9
154:20 174:19	167:6,14 168:7	261:10 262:7,21	72:12 87:13	217:8,17,22
175:7	168:18 169:8	263:5,15 264:1	106:23 110:21	218:10 220:5,17
nineties 176:24	171:11 172:20,24	265:8,16 266:8	111:23 117:25	221:16 222:10,22
186:8,9,14	174:10 177:5	267:4,17 268:7	120:4 121:9	223:5,22 224:15
255:18 261:6,17	174.10 177.3	269:5 270:7,18	122:2 124:8,13	225:5,14 226:4
261:19 262:19,20	179:17 180:17	271:3,7,15	125:1,15 126:8	227:4 228:3,13
non 46:1 55:14	181:2 182:5	272:10	128:1,19 129:1	229:4,9 230:3,18
56:3 187:9 235:7	183:8,22,25	noted 223:10 273:1	130:14,20 132:16	231:3,25 232:4
nondeformable	184:6 185:8	notes 60:25 79:1,5	133:15 135:2,17	232:20 234:2,9
109:20 110:1	186:15 187:16,25	82:15 89:20	135:23 136:8	234:17 235:1,20
252:20 260:6	188:10,20 189:6	90:12 185:25	137:4,21 138:11	236:19 237:15
normal 91:1	194:17 195:7	191:1 222:23	139:1,5 140:4,23	238:2,14 239:20
normally 89:24	196:6,14 197:15	224:6	141:7,19 142:2	240:6,11,23
nose 118:25 182:25	197:22 198:4,16	noticed 28:11	142:16 143:20	241:15 242:1,21
198:2	198:22 199:8,18	186:2	144:4 145:11,24	243:4,19 245:20
Notary 1:20 274:2	199:24 200:8,14	notification 166:6	146:7,17 147:3	246:16,20 247:2
274:24	201:5,21 202:6	number 23:18	147:19 148:2,16	248:16,22 249:25
notation 82:16	202:10,17 203:5	61:11 69:8 71:23	149:15 150:19	250:12 251:2,11
89:19 186:19	203:9,19 204:8	73:14 76:21	151:14 152:10,23	252:6 253:1,11
268:17	205:6,12,20	83:18 85:6,16	153:8,11,13	253:17 254:9,12
notations 17:6	206:3,20 207:15	98:19,20 99:6	154:14,19 157:3	254:21 255:8,15
18:5 89:21	208:6 209:8,23	100:6,19 104:15	163:15 164:3,24	255:20 256:1,12
note 33:21 34:4	210:4 211:1	119:6,7 120:16	165:20 167:6,14	256:23 257:10
45:23 47:18	212:25 213:15,20	130:6 138:6	168:7,18 169:9	258:6,21 260:3
53:17 64:16	214:3,23 215:11	152:21 154:20	171:12 172:21,25	261:11 262:8,22
72:11 82:14	215:19 216:8,23	170:18 211:22	174:10 177:6	263:6,15 264:2
87:12 100:19	217:7,16,21	217:24 225:24	178:4,11,20	265:9,16 266:9
106:22 110:20	218:10 220:4,16	255:17,23	179:18 180:18	267:5,17 268:8
111:22 117:24	221:15 222:9	numbered 136:11	181:3 182:6	269:6 270:8,19
]	1	1

271.4.9.16.21	92-24 96-20	214:12	175.21 266.25	196.10 22 207.22
271:4,8,16,21	83:24 86:20		175:21 266:25 original 6:10 13:25	186:10,22 207:22 235:22 260:17
272:11	99:18 126:11	opening 84:8 206:1	79:17 155:1	267:16 268:18
objections 184:9	138:24 143:24 177:13 187:22	206:8 208:1,25 209:3	originally 118:19	pages 120:19,25
objective 70:2 71:5	202:9 215:10	opens 52:8	ORVR 156:14,16	Page-Line 5:6
137:1,16,25 138:9	231:22 240:12	opens 32.8 operation 42:13	164:12,15 216:25	painted 123:3,5,21
observation 29:9	241:5,11 250:2	opinion 21:16 24:8	216:25	PALMA 1:20
observations 25:16	257:18 270:16	24:10,11 25:3	ought 131:3	274:2
25:25 26:5,25	okay 7:21 10:24	30:16,17 32:9	outcome 142:24	panel 104:8
29:2 31:22 34:24	11:21 12:12	37:19 39:20,24	143:8	panel 104.3 panels 103:25
observe 26:22	15:12 20:19 21:9	40:18 42:3 67:2	outside 175:17	paners 103.23 paper 42:15 92:4
40:13	21:25 24:25	73:6 74:5 75:4	207:19 210:12	191:7
observed 25:19	34:14,15 42:21	81:12 87:9	oval 127:19,24	papers 223:12
30:11	62:15 63:8 64:8	136:21 142:5,11	overall 38:24	paragraph 61:11
obvious 46:14,19	65:14 70:7 79:3	143:10 176:1	208:18	69:8,24 70:3 71:5
46:22 47:16,19	80:24 83:14	201:2 235:12	oversight 43:8,19	71:12,17 73:14
47:21 48:4,5,9,18	84:15 86:11,20	237:2 250:7	44:2 45:19 46:13	77:7,8 85:6 86:13
48:21 192:14	86:25 92:15	opinions 21:10,16	O&C-1 169:25	86:18 119:6
253:19	93:24 98:9 105:8	21:21 23:2,17,23	O&C-2 170:19,21	136:10 137:6,7
obviously 17:6	113:23 118:10	24:5,15,18 25:6	171:8,16 172:6	138:14 143:2,3
134:2 246:21	121:14 127:2,16	25:12,14 27:1,14	173:1,3	151:12,16
253:3	129:14 131:17	27:15 35:15	o'clock 272:5,14	paragraphs 69:23
occasion 44:5 49:9	137:9 149:23,25	38:15 39:3,10,14		151:17,18
occasions 6:23	153:19 173:11	40:20 42:1 72:21	P	parallel 89:24 90:8
occupant 242:15	175:23,25 187:8	73:5 82:4 144:6	P 2:1,1,19	91:5,15 92:12
occupants 242:13	190:4 191:8,16	175:3	package 228:10,19	94:18 95:6,18,21
occupied 98:17,24	191:20 193:13	opinion-based	229:20 230:14,17	96:1 97:8,13,16
occur 208:14	197:5 214:19	40:15	231:6	97:21,23 98:1
occurred 40:10	218:1 222:14	opportunity 122:7	packet 120:20	parameter 229:18
194:9 232:23	229:16 235:5	157:19 160:2	121:7 122:14	parameters 109:16
occurs 193:25	237:2 244:6	211:17	130:7 192:12,13	Pardon 80:14
October 153:22	247:5 264:23	opposed 10:22	192:17	82:21 90:19
odd 225:21	265:25 267:21	27:3,16 174:8	page 19:20 20:1,2	112:20 114:12
offer 27:22 73:4	268:5	227:2	20:7 60:6,13,13	170:20 207:7
143:24 162:2	old 171:20 251:6	opposite 45:3	61:1,9,10,25 69:7	parked 8:13
offered 155:9	256:7 259:25	117:22 118:4	69:22 70:13,18	part 24:23 25:8
170:2 172:7,13	onboard 155:20	optional 151:20	70:19,22 73:8,13	42:20,23 64:11
offhand 245:7	156:1	155:2 156:20	74:17 76:9,19	65:24 78:18
office 15:23 56:16	once 156:16	Orange 2:4	77:4,5,24 79:8,12	97:25 116:11
114:7 171:2	187:23 189:16	order 163:25	83:19 86:12,17 94:15 98:13	125:11 132:3,4
172:16,19 176:19	203:4 222:11	164:18	119:1,5,6 136:10	133:22 198:7
245:8 262:1,4	ones 35:11 86:7,8,9	ordered 179:10	142:20 144:19	216:17 221:1
offices 173:9	131:6 253:19	orientation 96:14	149:23,25 150:2	223:8 236:13
office's 176:20	ongoing 154:12	origin 27:17 61:14	150:3 151:9	partial 220:10 223:18
offset 81:21,22	163:2	61:17,19,23 63:2	169:16,22,23	
82:5	open 50:14 52:13	63:6,9,14,18 64:13 65:2 66:25	170:21 171:8	participated 43:11
oh 30:18 41:11,13	52:22 241:22	67:4,10 68:5,12	174:18,19,23	participation 21:15
49:2 59:21 62:22	243:1	68:17,22 69:10	175:7 177:18	particular 10:25
65:12 75:6,14	opened 84:8	00.17,44 07.10		particular 10.23

	•	•		
21:11 33:4 40:16	pending 171:24	photograph 93:1	168:8,10 170:6	185:21 193:16,16
50:2 51:23 106:7	267:8	93:15,25 121:5,6	271:24 274:13	194:10 195:4
106:13,18 110:17	people 7:10 41:15	121:20,23,24	placed 64:10	197:24 198:12
212:19 214:1	41:24 42:7 43:13	122:5,14,20,24	plain 160:18	199:1 200:17
249:8	44:13 66:10	123:9,16,17	plaintiff 2:6 8:2,20	201:4,20 208:13
particularly 29:22	142:7 170:16	124:22 125:6,22	8:23 9:2,5,6,7,14	210:8 211:10
36:6 45:6 112:23	174:1 175:18	126:3,10,12,15	9:25 10:8,12,19	234:5
parties 22:12,16,18	206:23,25 213:4	127:11,23 128:2	62:12,13,17 67:9	pointed 179:4
23:6,7 62:2,3,9	240:19 250:19	128:11,12,22,22	67:24 68:1,1,4	pointing 48:25
62:18 274:17	percent 173:21	128:24 129:8,20	245:5	94:15 187:10
partner 187:5	174:15	130:6 131:1,13	plaintiffs 9:22	points 94:7 208:19
parts 25:22 43:12	percentage 174:1	131:15 132:5,23	plaintiff's 8:14 9:3	208:19,21 252:13
221:3 255:4	performance 30:5	132:25 180:1,5,9	22:18 23:13 62:6	253:5
party 23:8	30:9 31:25 32:3	180:15,23 181:1	62:23 63:20	pole 248:8
pass 42:17 177:19	36:4 40:3 41:2	181:17,20,25	67:24 68:16,24	policy 59:17
177:24 179:16,20	62:25 105:1,25	182:8 193:15	Plaintiff(s) 1:7	polyethylene
230:8 232:16,18	106:15 174:25	194:24 196:22,24	planning 177:4	126:20,25 127:6
233:10,19 237:18	242:11 250:19	photographs 83:6	plate 70:15 111:6,8	polypropylene
238:9 250:19	performed 26:10	83:11 84:13,16	112:4 113:8,8,21	145:16
254:2 269:13	29:19 32:9 175:8	84:19 85:18	127:15 142:22	portion 36:23
passed 228:18	period 155:15	89:18 93:5	143:7,16,17,22	65:23 100:22
229:1,23 230:1	156:13 164:11	101:17,23 102:5	145:17 151:9,11	120:8 130:10
234:6,15 250:22	272:8	102:8 115:18	151:20,22 152:20	132:13 205:3
269:17	perpendicular	116:2,12,24	155:16 156:5,9	269:1
passenger 149:19	90:9,25 91:6,11	117:2,3,6,9 130:5	156:11,20 163:24	portions 16:24
210:25	91:17,24 92:10	130:9 131:4,9	164:8,10,13,22	position 59:6 91:5
passengers 147:1	92:13 94:19,20	191:22,25 192:6	165:1,3,4,5	179:14 194:1,6
211:7 241:18,23	94:22 95:8,10,14	photos 4:18,20	166:20,21,23	243:11
passes 128:6	95:19 96:2	85:15 86:5 90:14	167:3,5,9,12,13	possible 86:15,22
passing 228:9	person 42:13 44:20	116:19 192:17	167:16,17 169:6	87:2,4,8,21
231:13 251:16	45:21 66:8	270:12,14 271:13	172:8 182:2	253:14
272:8	137:20 174:6	271:14,18,19	228:10.20 229:22	possibly 176:13
pass-through	216:6 249:6,7	272:3,4	230:7 231:10	post 8:10 29:10
29:22 127:20	266:20	physical 10:18	232:9 233:1	71:6 105:23
pattern 246:6	personal 10:20	28:4 30:21 49:2,4	249:16 258:4	129:7 186:24
Paul 2:19 96:4	pertains 74:15	87:24 142:4,19	269:13,16 270:2	187:2 242:17
170:23	PEs 262:11	physically 31:16	270:20,22 271:11	potential 48:13
pause 7:18	petition 50:4,9	^ 47:7	plates 168:13,15	187:22 238:12,20
pay 174:7 237:22	51:16 52:8,13,18	pickup 111:2	play 50:17	239:15
PC 2:9	52:22 154:4	picture 93:19	please 43:15	potentially 47:25
PDOF 26:18 28:21	petitions 49:24	248:2 266:7,12	163:21 179:17,24	potentials 46:21,22
137:11	50:1	pictures 83:22,25	219:9	pounds 236:23,23
PDOL 45:4	pgs 4:17	84:4 85:3 266:5	plus 80:22 196:10	power 185:2,5
PE 50:15 51:4 53:1	phone 160:15	piece 42:14 92:4	plywood 252:19	practical 37:24
54:6,9,20	phonetic 116:16,17	97:9 127:18	PMK 266:18	148:9
peer 55:7,14 56:3,9	1 76:17	132:9 133:6	point 38:7 64:6	prefer 130:5 191:7
pencil 268:19	photo 4:13,14,15	Pilot 214:14	86:9 88:15 89:8	preliminary 50:15
pendency 57:5	4:16 129:19	Pinto 256:7	89:12 116:19	50:16 52:8,13,22
122:8	132:2 270:15	place 74:21 128:7	146:12 185:2,3,5	54:4,15 55:24
			, ,-	,

			100 0 100 0	120 21 22 24
154:2,5	180:4 209:13	products 56:12	103:2 109:3	130:21,22,24
premarked 177:8	210:18 234:21	169:6	211:15,16 216:3	140:16,17 154:15
premised 242:13	239:23 274:4	Program 171:6	216:4 229:24	157:8 158:1,3
prepared 65:16	privileged 16:14	172:23	prudent 57:20	159:5,17 160:16
212:17	17:8	Programs 172:9	58:14	160:18 161:22
preproduction	probabilities 87:6	prompted 52:16	Public 1:20 274:3	162:8 171:23
44:15	probable 87:1,3,9	52:17,21	274:24	173:7 174:17
presence 142:21	87:23	prop 235:7	publications 166:5	177:15 183:9
143:5,6	probably 14:11	proper 87:8	published 241:1,1	207:23 208:12,13
present 171:1	33:2 81:8 82:12	properly 188:14	pull 246:25	208:21 209:1
172:15	114:18 130:3	218:3,16,17	pulling 249:22	214:16 234:7,12
presentation 185:3	186:13 193:2	properties 30:21	puncture 86:14,21	236:2 237:10,11
185:6,22 243:21	197:21 201:1,9	property 9:8,23	87:20	238:8,17 239:12
244:5	204:10 216:19	10:17	pure 202:14	256:16 269:18
presenting 39:2	217:15 218:11	proportion 246:9	purpose 69:9	questioned 178:8
presents 56:21	225:18 231:24	proposition 146:4	156:8 164:7	questioning
preserved 184:9	239:9 243:13	PROSEQUEND	purposes 34:4	160:25 165:20
pressing 225:9	268:19 270:22	1:3	92:25 96:14	179:18 216:10
pressure 218:1	problem 46:25	protect 132:13	138:19 144:15	242:1 258:21
pretty 114:16	47:4,17 48:12	133:13 134:16	227:21 266:21	questions 9:16
139:23 166:19	156:25 158:5,7	143:18,23 146:15	pursuant 107:12	11:9 45:11 51:10
171:19 247:10	159:10 164:19	146:25 147:23	157:4 164:4	51:14,22 52:3
257:2	167:10 187:19,21	155:25 156:9	pushed 88:7 211:7	55:1 154:6,7
prevalent 55:13,21	187:22 223:21	163:25 164:8	pushing 118:8	162:11,23 163:7 163:10 191:13
56:2	227:22 228:5	210:24 241:18	put 44:17,21,22 95:3 97:11 99:11	193:18 225:15
previous 27:6	249:21 255:10	protected 102:24	128:7 138:21	272:19
43:16 67:21	problems 255:11	130:9,17 133:16	142:9 146:11,13	quite 116:25 152:7
256:16	procedure 50:8	145:21 146:5 148:11 251:9	147:8 153:12	183:3 225:22
previously 125:6	procedures 49:19		218:13,15,16,17	quoted 156:7
131:7 133:7	process 184:18	protecting 147:11 147:16	250:21 252:11	quoting 156:6
167:20 218:25	produced 144:20	protection 98:18	putting 42:14	quoting 150.0
227:20	150:5 152:6	99:5 102:12,16	179:6	R
primary 156:8	186:2 242:14 produces 75:19	133:21,25 143:25	P-21 165:15	R 2:1,19 6:1,1
164:7 212:4	product 43:9 44:14	145:8 146:1,22	p.m 273:1	274:1
217:3,5 219:19	45:20 169:2	146:24 147:8,13	p.m. 275.1	rack 224:5
Princeton 7:25	171:2 172:16,22	148:5,7 210:13	Q	radio 174:9
principles 175:10	•	241:23	qualify 230:5	rail 25:20 26:11
175:13,16	177:2,4 production 5:5	protective 32:1,25	Quality 176:21	29:21,23 30:1,2,4
print 12:3 printed 53:18	79:20 110:12,15	154:22	quarter 82:25 83:1	30:6,10,12,22
84:20	111:12 127:4,5	protects 132:4	103:25 104:8	31:5,16,23 32:3,8
printing 168:24	155:5,13 156:12	133:7	quarters 169:18	42:17 77:19 83:9
printing 108.24 prior 16:14 34:25	156:18 164:11	prototype 45:7,7	question 9:12,21	83:11 89:4,6,6,10
60:11 72:23	165:4 182:12	220:19,19 221:1	15:9 27:6 33:24	97:6,9,11,16 99:9
73:23 79:6 98:25	214:15 217:9	221:2 223:8	34:9,11 37:10	101:18 102:11,11
109:1 119:17	226:6 235:7	provide 85:1	38:6,11,13 64:20	127:11,12,13,17
131:20 140:8,14	236:12 255:5	154:20 155:3,6	67:17,20,21 69:2	127:17 134:13
141:13 160:24	257:20 264:20,20	221:10	75:15 105:11,13	250:21 251:14,15
165:7 177:3	264:22 268:9	provided 76:24	105:14 125:10	251:25 252:2,24
105.7 177.5	201.22 200.7	P. 011404 70.27		

253:3	157:17 163:14	190:17 201:18	2000 aniging 100:14	179:22
rails 77:17 88:19	239:10	204:3 205:7,10	recognizing 108:14 recollection 224:12	
A .	real 30:20 120:3	204:3 203:7,10		reenforcement
89:3 96:16,17,17	142:7 181:18,18	′ '	recommended 242:10	230:13,19
96:18,25 97:5	,	206:7 207:24,25		refer 60:24 77:11 90:2 106:17
99:13,25 101:10	181:19 182:16,19	208:23,25 209:2	reconstruct 25:13	
101:12,14 102:25 103:4 104:7	188:2 210:18	209:3,15,19 210:22 212:15	reconstructing	205:17 reference 57:11
	239:1 241:9,14		31:13,21,24	
210:12 257:2,7	257:14	219:23 235:7	reconstruction	66:10 89:8 151:9
258:17,18 264:25	really 23:6 24:9	241:8 242:12	24:6,17,24 25:10	153:6 236:3
raise 51:3	29:20 34:6 37:11	245:23 255:11	25:12,15,19 27:2	references 152:19
raised 62:2	38:12 44:13	256:8,19 259:9	27:9,16 28:13	referencing 158:24
Ram 59:13,14	46:18 113:13	259:14,17,21	31:15,17 33:1,1	referring 11:19
Rams 59:11	147:4 198:12	260:8 263:22	40:6 72:25 74:9	23:12 37:2 62:10
range 70:6 139:21	222:19 252:4	264:7 265:2	76:5 137:20	70:25 99:6 105:3
rare 22:8	real-world 31:3	272:6	142:19	118:12,13 138:3
rate 36:5 135:20	32:21 174:24	rearmost 94:12	reconstructionist	171:9 172:18
136:1 173:16,19	rear 31:2 36:7,22	rearward 144:22	24:14 26:3,17	238:2 259:5
RAWLS 1:9	36:23 55:12,20	reason 15:21	28:8,16,20 81:25	reflect 59:10,13
react 235:16	55:25 56:11	116:18 164:1	137:24 141:24	90:13 267:16
reacted 73:16	61:23 68:13 70:1	178:13 232:24	reconstructionists	reflected 185:25
reaction 66:5	71:20 74:12,19	reasonable 176:1	76:3,24 141:9	refueling 155:20
236:6	75:9,17 76:11,18	reasons 39:15	reconstructionist's	156:1
reactivate 216:15	77:9,21 78:14,15	recall 6:12 11:23	139:16	regard 49:20 65:1
read 11:6 16:20	78:21 81:14	16:1 44:5 122:18	reconstructor's	regarding 15:4
27:5,7 43:14,17	82:13 87:15,18	141:21 155:22	73:2	25:10,12,14 30:3
55:15,22 56:5	88:6,11,13,17,18	164:25 165:9,21	record 13:17 14:22	45:12 49:15
61:12 63:10 64:2	89:14 90:6,15,23	166:1,3,14 170:4	14:24 15:3 38:8	51:15 54:3 72:21
64:5 65:12 67:5	91:4,16 93:18	171:9 173:12,14	59:10,12 61:8	107:12 146:14
67:19,22 70:12	94:11 97:19	173:20 174:8	96:7,9,11,13	169:5 240:20
86:23 91:2	99:13 100:7,12	178:1 179:4,10	115:23 118:20	254:11 259:6
114:22 115:9,10	100:15,20,20,21	206:17,18 245:4	153:13 160:8	regardless 146:13
137:10 141:14	100:23,24 101:5	recalling 222:4	165:11,16,18	reinforced 156:14
142:3 143:9	101:15,20,21	recalls 173:25	172:3,5 173:5	156:16,17 164:12
150:4 154:15	102:6 103:6,10	174:1	209:9 224:12	230:16 265:3
157:6,10,12,21	103:16,23,25	receive 18:19,25	226:13 260:12	reinforcement
158:5 159:8,20	107:22,24 108:5	20:6	267:15 272:19	250:20,22
160:10,25 161:2	109:21 110:11	received 12:17,20	RECORDED 2:23	reinforcing 250:25
162:17,20 163:16	113:4 116:8,20	12:23 17:13	recovery 155:21	rejected 172:8
164:5 165:13	118:25 121:17	18:14,16,20,22	156:1	relate 22:24
171:16,17,20	125:18 129:9	18:24 19:6,15	recreational	related 33:2 55:12
190:20 206:12,24	133:4,5,8,12	20:4 53:9 58:6	132:12	55:20 56:1 67:14
207:21 214:7	134:1 137:15,25	92:22 93:5	reduce 71:8	218:11
219:15 220:7	138:8 140:2,19	115:18 121:1	reduced 78:1,24	relation 193:21
235:21 238:4	144:23 150:5,6	131:9 153:23	83:20	relationship 26:16
241:23 242:5	150:23,23 155:18	180:1 192:17	reduction 78:9,23	232:13
256:14,17 261:1	156:10 164:9	211:25 219:6	79:16 80:7,8,15	relative 36:1 47:17
264:3 268:20	170:3 189:13,22	260:22 267:24	81:6,10,13 85:4	69:13,16,21 72:3
reading 55:17	189:23,25 190:2	recess 49:11	85:18,24 86:5	94:2 110:10
62:20 70:9 153:1	190:9,13,14,15	115:14	redundancy 37:6	201:19 249:23
			1	J

274:16,19	18:23,24 19:2,5,9	represents 15:11	78:1,10 83:21	83:11 93:16
released 74:23	19:14,15,17,21	58:21	86:15,22 87:21	94:25 95:17,25
relevant 129:2	19:25 20:4,6,11	request 4:22 5:5	164:21 208:9,15	97:25 98:12
149:16	20:21,23 21:1,7	84:25 117:2	209:25 237:9	102:6 109:13
Reliability 176:22	59:25 60:7,10,10	219:6 226:9	243:21 265:14	110:3 117:19
reluctant 99:4	60:13,21 61:2,4	requested 61:13	resultant 28:4	124:19 125:7,20
remained 203:3,6	63:10,22 64:1,2	requests 50:22	30:19 35:7 78:13	125:22 127:24
remains 87:22	64:12,17 65:9,12	require 58:13	82:8 209:11	1,35:4,18 137:14
remember 7:24 8:1	65:13,20 66:14	119:12 167:4	resulted 256:9	137:17 138:4
41:22 44:11	66:20,22 67:5,13	250:17 258:7	272:7	147:16 152:25
68:20 79:20 83:5	68:2 70:23 72:6	required 54:21	resulting 223:18	157:12 166:10
90:12 139:22	73:11 74:17	259:4	251:14	167:7 170:24
141:16,20,22,23	98:13 116:11	requirement 59:17	results 47:23	174:3,9 187:12
151:3 154:1	119:2,2,3 120:18	requirements 22:4	104:23 106:12	187:15 192:3,25
170:4 171:13	121:1,12 124:14	22:5 35:16,21,24	143:15 146:23	193:3,23 195:9
184:24 190:3	129:3 136:11	36:17,25 37:21	187:20 204:20	195:12,21,25
206:19 222:7,12	139:16 140:24	38:16 70:5	212:24	198:1,14 199:10
222:12,15 223:7	142:20 149:17,24	104:19 135:20	retained 5:13	200:13 201:22
224:16,18 225:17	151:8 152:24	136:3,5,6 155:22	retention 216:14	210:2 211:12
225:19,21 226:1	153:1,1,5 170:10	238:25	216:18	212:15 217:6,11
243:12 245:6,21	171:10 173:6	requires 57:18,19	retested 189:10	219:21 220:13,22
247:3,4,7 249:3	175:7 189:11,19	113:12 135:14	retired 114:9,13,17	225:10 239:8
249:18,19 260:12	221:17 225:1	259:8	177:21	243:8,14 246:11
261:22 265:22	238:15 247:2,5	reread 68:20	retrofit 151:21	249:5,14 250:23
remembered 226:7	247:15 270:11	rereading 64:16	156:23	251:22 252:15
romoved 122.24	D 1 01 0 00	1 70 14	/ M// 1010 00	
removed 132:24	Reporter 1:21 2:23	research 70:14	retrofitted 219:22	253:9 254:6,14
render 18:10	274:3	175:10,12	219:25	255:6 265:1,5
render 18:10 rendered 8:20 9:13	274:3 reports 8:20 11:1	175:10,12 researched 183:17	219:25 returned 156:20	255:6 265:1,5 267:12
render 18:10 rendered 8:20 9:13 9:24	274:3 reports 8:20 11:1 11:16,18 13:2,3	175:10,12 researched 183:17 reshaped 250:16	219:25 returned 156:20 revealed 45:8	255:6 265:1,5 267:12 rigid 240:3 259:13
render 18:10 rendered 8:20 9:13 9:24 rendering 10:13	274:3 reports 8:20 11:1 11:16,18 13:2,3 14:9 15:5,5,10	175:10,12 researched 183:17 reshaped 250:16 251:4	219:25 returned 156:20 revealed 45:8 review 107:17	255:6 265:1,5 267:12 rigid 240:3 259:13 259:21
render 18:10 rendered 8:20 9:13 9:24 rendering 10:13 renew 117:1	274:3 reports 8:20 11:1 11:16,18 13:2,3 14:9 15:5,5,10 21:17 49:25	175:10,12 researched 183:17 reshaped 250:16 251:4 resolved 164:20	219:25 returned 156:20 revealed 45:8 review 107:17 160:10 161:5	255:6 265:1,5 267:12 rigid 240:3 259:13 259:21 rigidity 103:2
render 18:10 rendered 8:20 9:13 9:24 rendering 10:13 renew 117:1 repair 155:23	274:3 reports 8:20 11:1 11:16,18 13:2,3 14:9 15:5,5,10 21:17 49:25 57:10 61:5 64:11	175:10,12 researched 183:17 reshaped 250:16 251:4 resolved 164:20 resources 253:13	219:25 returned 156:20 revealed 45:8 review 107:17 160:10 161:5 248:12	255:6 265:1,5 267:12 rigid 240:3 259:13 259:21 rigidity 103:2 231:1,7 251:19
render 18:10 rendered 8:20 9:13 9:24 rendering 10:13 renew 117:1 repair 155:23 166:23 167:5	274:3 reports 8:20 11:1 11:16,18 13:2,3 14:9 15:5,5,10 21:17 49:25 57:10 61:5 64:11 64:22 65:22,24	175:10,12 researched 183:17 reshaped 250:16 251:4 resolved 164:20 resources 253:13 253:23 263:19	219:25 returned 156:20 revealed 45:8 review 107:17 160:10 161:5 248:12 reviewed 55:10	255:6 265:1,5 267:12 rigid 240:3 259:13 259:21 rigidity 103:2 231:1,7 251:19 251:24 252:4
render 18:10 rendered 8:20 9:13 9:24 rendering 10:13 renew 117:1 repair 155:23 166:23 167:5 repaired 167:2	274:3 reports 8:20 11:1 11:16,18 13:2,3 14:9 15:5,5,10 21:17 49:25 57:10 61:5 64:11 64:22 65:22,24 66:2,4,10,12 67:1	175:10,12 researched 183:17 reshaped 250:16 251:4 resolved 164:20 resources 253:13 253:23 263:19 respect 36:11	219:25 returned 156:20 revealed 45:8 review 107:17 160:10 161:5 248:12 reviewed 55:10 Reynolds 114:10	255:6 265:1,5 267:12 rigid 240:3 259:13 259:21 rigidity 103:2 231:1,7 251:19 251:24 252:4 rise 237:17
render 18:10 rendered 8:20 9:13 9:24 rendering 10:13 renew 117:1 repair 155:23 166:23 167:5 repaired 167:2 repeat 6:19 11:8	274:3 reports 8:20 11:1 11:16,18 13:2,3 14:9 15:5,5,10 21:17 49:25 57:10 61:5 64:11 64:22 65:22,24 66:2,4,10,12 67:1 67:8 68:3,15,20	175:10,12 researched 183:17 reshaped 250:16 251:4 resolved 164:20 resources 253:13 253:23 263:19 respect 36:11 43:21 57:4 59:6	219:25 returned 156:20 revealed 45:8 review 107:17 160:10 161:5 248:12 reviewed 55:10 Reynolds 114:10 114:14,15	255:6 265:1,5 267:12 rigid 240:3 259:13 259:21 rigidity 103:2 231:1,7 251:19 251:24 252:4 rise 237:17 risk 56:21 71:8
render 18:10 rendered 8:20 9:13 9:24 rendering 10:13 renew 117:1 repair 155:23 166:23 167:5 repaired 167:2 repeat 6:19 11:8 125:9	274:3 reports 8:20 11:1 11:16,18 13:2,3 14:9 15:5,5,10 21:17 49:25 57:10 61:5 64:11 64:22 65:22,24 66:2,4,10,12 67:1 67:8 68:3,15,20 122:10 189:12	175:10,12 researched 183:17 reshaped 250:16 251:4 resolved 164:20 resources 253:13 253:23 263:19 respect 36:11 43:21 57:4 59:6 59:15 64:13	219:25 returned 156:20 revealed 45:8 review 107:17 160:10 161:5 248:12 reviewed 55:10 Reynolds 114:10 114:14,15 re-answer 126:23	255:6 265:1,5 267:12 rigid 240:3 259:13 259:21 rigidity 103:2 231:1,7 251:19 251:24 252:4 rise 237:17 risk 56:21 71:8 ROBERT 1:16 3:5
render 18:10 rendered 8:20 9:13 9:24 rendering 10:13 renew 117:1 repair 155:23 166:23 167:5 repaired 167:2 repeat 6:19 11:8 125:9 repeatability 37:9	274:3 reports 8:20 11:1 11:16,18 13:2,3 14:9 15:5,5,10 21:17 49:25 57:10 61:5 64:11 64:22 65:22,24 66:2,4,10,12 67:1 67:8 68:3,15,20 122:10 189:12 213:7,8,11	175:10,12 researched 183:17 reshaped 250:16 251:4 resolved 164:20 resources 253:13 253:23 263:19 respect 36:11 43:21 57:4 59:6 59:15 64:13 66:24 73:5	219:25 returned 156:20 revealed 45:8 review 107:17 160:10 161:5 248:12 reviewed 55:10 Reynolds 114:10 114:14,15 re-answer 126:23 re-looked 250:3	255:6 265:1,5 267:12 rigid 240:3 259:13 259:21 rigidity 103:2 231:1,7 251:19 251:24 252:4 rise 237:17 risk 56:21 71:8 ROBERT 1:16 3:5 274:6
render 18:10 rendered 8:20 9:13 9:24 rendering 10:13 renew 117:1 repair 155:23 166:23 167:5 repaired 167:2 repeat 6:19 11:8 125:9 repeatability 37:9 38:3	274:3 reports 8:20 11:1 11:16,18 13:2,3 14:9 15:5,5,10 21:17 49:25 57:10 61:5 64:11 64:22 65:22,24 66:2,4,10,12 67:1 67:8 68:3,15,20 122:10 189:12 213:7,8,11 234:19,20	175:10,12 researched 183:17 reshaped 250:16 251:4 resolved 164:20 resources 253:13 253:23 263:19 respect 36:11 43:21 57:4 59:6 59:15 64:13 66:24 73:5 102:22 105:10	219:25 returned 156:20 revealed 45:8 review 107:17 160:10 161:5 248:12 reviewed 55:10 Reynolds 114:10 114:14,15 re-answer 126:23 re-looked 250:3 Ridgedale 2:9	255:6 265:1,5 267:12 rigid 240:3 259:13 259:21 rigidity 103:2 231:1,7 251:19 251:24 252:4 rise 237:17 risk 56:21 71:8 ROBERT 1:16 3:5 274:6 Robertson 167:21
render 18:10 rendered 8:20 9:13 9:24 rendering 10:13 renew 117:1 repair 155:23 166:23 167:5 repaired 167:2 repeat 6:19 11:8 125:9 repeatability 37:9 38:3 repeatable 187:9	274:3 reports 8:20 11:1 11:16,18 13:2,3 14:9 15:5,5,10 21:17 49:25 57:10 61:5 64:11 64:22 65:22,24 66:2,4,10,12 67:1 67:8 68:3,15,20 122:10 189:12 213:7,8,11 234:19,20 represent 116:10	175:10,12 researched 183:17 reshaped 250:16 251:4 resolved 164:20 resources 253:13 253:23 263:19 respect 36:11 43:21 57:4 59:6 59:15 64:13 66:24 73:5 102:22 105:10 106:5 134:19	219:25 returned 156:20 revealed 45:8 review 107:17 160:10 161:5 248:12 reviewed 55:10 Reynolds 114:10 114:14,15 re-answer 126:23 re-looked 250:3 Ridgedale 2:9 right 11:14 17:2	255:6 265:1,5 267:12 rigid 240:3 259:13 259:21 rigidity 103:2 231:1,7 251:19 251:24 252:4 rise 237:17 risk 56:21 71:8 ROBERT 1:16 3:5 274:6 Robertson 167:21 Rock 1:23 2:4,14
render 18:10 rendered 8:20 9:13 9:24 rendering 10:13 renew 117:1 repair 155:23 166:23 167:5 repaired 167:2 repeat 6:19 11:8 125:9 repeatability 37:9 38:3 repeatable 187:9 rephrase 27:18	274:3 reports 8:20 11:1 11:16,18 13:2,3 14:9 15:5,5,10 21:17 49:25 57:10 61:5 64:11 64:22 65:22,24 66:2,4,10,12 67:1 67:8 68:3,15,20 122:10 189:12 213:7,8,11 234:19,20 represent 116:10 191:23 193:11	175:10,12 researched 183:17 reshaped 250:16 251:4 resolved 164:20 resources 253:13 253:23 263:19 respect 36:11 43:21 57:4 59:6 59:15 64:13 66:24 73:5 102:22 105:10 106:5 134:19 141:25 148:17	219:25 returned 156:20 revealed 45:8 review 107:17 160:10 161:5 248:12 reviewed 55:10 Reynolds 114:10 114:14,15 re-answer 126:23 re-looked 250:3 Ridgedale 2:9 right 11:14 17:2 20:8,9 21:4,8	255:6 265:1,5 267:12 rigid 240:3 259:13 259:21 rigidity 103:2 231:1,7 251:19 251:24 252:4 rise 237:17 risk 56:21 71:8 ROBERT 1:16 3:5 274:6 Robertson 167:21 Rock 1:23 2:4,14 Rodgers 16:18
render 18:10 rendered 8:20 9:13 9:24 rendering 10:13 renew 117:1 repair 155:23 166:23 167:5 repaired 167:2 repeat 6:19 11:8 125:9 repeatability 37:9 38:3 repeatable 187:9 rephrase 27:18 report 4:6,7,8,9	274:3 reports 8:20 11:1 11:16,18 13:2,3 14:9 15:5,5,10 21:17 49:25 57:10 61:5 64:11 64:22 65:22,24 66:2,4,10,12 67:1 67:8 68:3,15,20 122:10 189:12 213:7,8,11 234:19,20 represent 116:10 191:23 193:11 236:12 264:22	175:10,12 researched 183:17 reshaped 250:16 251:4 resolved 164:20 resources 253:13 253:23 263:19 respect 36:11 43:21 57:4 59:6 59:15 64:13 66:24 73:5 102:22 105:10 106:5 134:19 141:25 148:17 149:23 216:4	219:25 returned 156:20 revealed 45:8 review 107:17 160:10 161:5 248:12 reviewed 55:10 Reynolds 114:10 114:14,15 re-answer 126:23 re-looked 250:3 Ridgedale 2:9 right 11:14 17:2 20:8,9 21:4,8 23:8 29:20 31:7	255:6 265:1,5 267:12 rigid 240:3 259:13 259:21 rigidity 103:2 231:1,7 251:19 251:24 252:4 rise 237:17 risk 56:21 71:8 ROBERT 1:16 3:5 274:6 Robertson 167:21 Rock 1:23 2:4,14 Rodgers 16:18 role 43:7 151:22
render 18:10 rendered 8:20 9:13 9:24 rendering 10:13 renew 117:1 repair 155:23 166:23 167:5 repaired 167:2 repeat 6:19 11:8 125:9 repeatability 37:9 38:3 repeatable 187:9 rephrase 27:18 report 4:6,7,8,9 9:13,25 10:13	274:3 reports 8:20 11:1 11:16,18 13:2,3 14:9 15:5,5,10 21:17 49:25 57:10 61:5 64:11 64:22 65:22,24 66:2,4,10,12 67:1 67:8 68:3,15,20 122:10 189:12 213:7,8,11 234:19,20 represent 116:10 191:23 193:11 236:12 264:22 268:10	175:10,12 researched 183:17 reshaped 250:16 251:4 resolved 164:20 resources 253:13 253:23 263:19 respect 36:11 43:21 57:4 59:6 59:15 64:13 66:24 73:5 102:22 105:10 106:5 134:19 141:25 148:17 149:23 216:4 250:8	219:25 returned 156:20 revealed 45:8 review 107:17 160:10 161:5 248:12 reviewed 55:10 Reynolds 114:10 114:14,15 re-answer 126:23 re-looked 250:3 Ridgedale 2:9 right 11:14 17:2 20:8,9 21:4,8 23:8 29:20 31:7 34:7,11,16,19	255:6 265:1,5 267:12 rigid 240:3 259:13 259:21 rigidity 103:2 231:1,7 251:19 251:24 252:4 rise 237:17 risk 56:21 71:8 ROBERT 1:16 3:5 274:6 Robertson 167:21 Rock 1:23 2:4,14 Rodgers 16:18 role 43:7 151:22 161:14 164:13
render 18:10 rendered 8:20 9:13 9:24 rendering 10:13 renew 117:1 repair 155:23 166:23 167:5 repaired 167:2 repeat 6:19 11:8 125:9 repeatability 37:9 38:3 repeatable 187:9 rephrase 27:18 report 4:6,7,8,9 9:13,25 10:13 12:16,19,22 13:5	274:3 reports 8:20 11:1 11:16,18 13:2,3 14:9 15:5,5,10 21:17 49:25 57:10 61:5 64:11 64:22 65:22,24 66:2,4,10,12 67:1 67:8 68:3,15,20 122:10 189:12 213:7,8,11 234:19,20 represent 116:10 191:23 193:11 236:12 264:22 268:10 representation	175:10,12 researched 183:17 reshaped 250:16 251:4 resolved 164:20 resources 253:13 253:23 263:19 respect 36:11 43:21 57:4 59:6 59:15 64:13 66:24 73:5 102:22 105:10 106:5 134:19 141:25 148:17 149:23 216:4 250:8 response 51:25	219:25 returned 156:20 revealed 45:8 review 107:17 160:10 161:5 248:12 reviewed 55:10 Reynolds 114:10 114:14,15 re-answer 126:23 re-looked 250:3 Ridgedale 2:9 right 11:14 17:2 20:8,9 21:4,8 23:8 29:20 31:7 34:7,11,16,19 35:1,20 36:24	255:6 265:1,5 267:12 rigid 240:3 259:13 259:21 rigidity 103:2 231:1,7 251:19 251:24 252:4 rise 237:17 risk 56:21 71:8 ROBERT 1:16 3:5 274:6 Robertson 167:21 Rock 1:23 2:4,14 Rodgers 16:18 role 43:7 151:22 161:14 164:13 214:10
render 18:10 rendered 8:20 9:13 9:24 rendering 10:13 renew 117:1 repair 155:23 166:23 167:5 repaired 167:2 repeat 6:19 11:8 125:9 repeatability 37:9 38:3 repeatable 187:9 rephrase 27:18 report 4:6,7,8,9 9:13,25 10:13 12:16,19,22 13:5 13:7,9,13,13,19	274:3 reports 8:20 11:1 11:16,18 13:2,3 14:9 15:5,5,10 21:17 49:25 57:10 61:5 64:11 64:22 65:22,24 66:2,4,10,12 67:1 67:8 68:3,15,20 122:10 189:12 213:7,8,11 234:19,20 represent 116:10 191:23 193:11 236:12 264:22 268:10 representation 117:9	175:10,12 researched 183:17 reshaped 250:16 251:4 resolved 164:20 resources 253:13 253:23 263:19 respect 36:11 43:21 57:4 59:6 59:15 64:13 66:24 73:5 102:22 105:10 106:5 134:19 141:25 148:17 149:23 216:4 250:8 response 51:25 52:2	219:25 returned 156:20 revealed 45:8 review 107:17 160:10 161:5 248:12 reviewed 55:10 Reynolds 114:10 114:14,15 re-answer 126:23 re-looked 250:3 Ridgedale 2:9 right 11:14 17:2 20:8,9 21:4,8 23:8 29:20 31:7 34:7,11,16,19 35:1,20 36:24 38:14,19 46:3,4,6	255:6 265:1,5 267:12 rigid 240:3 259:13 259:21 rigidity 103:2 231:1,7 251:19 251:24 252:4 rise 237:17 risk 56:21 71:8 ROBERT 1:16 3:5 274:6 Robertson 167:21 Rock 1:23 2:4,14 Rodgers 16:18 role 43:7 151:22 161:14 164:13 214:10 rollover 217:24
render 18:10 rendered 8:20 9:13 9:24 rendering 10:13 renew 117:1 repair 155:23 166:23 167:5 repaired 167:2 repeat 6:19 11:8 125:9 repeatability 37:9 38:3 repeatable 187:9 rephrase 27:18 report 4:6,7,8,9 9:13,25 10:13 12:16,19,22 13:5 13:7,9,13,13,19 13:25 14:6,7	274:3 reports 8:20 11:1 11:16,18 13:2,3 14:9 15:5,5,10 21:17 49:25 57:10 61:5 64:11 64:22 65:22,24 66:2,4,10,12 67:1 67:8 68:3,15,20 122:10 189:12 213:7,8,11 234:19,20 represent 116:10 191:23 193:11 236:12 264:22 268:10 representation 117:9 represented	175:10,12 researched 183:17 reshaped 250:16 251:4 resolved 164:20 resources 253:13 253:23 263:19 respect 36:11 43:21 57:4 59:6 59:15 64:13 66:24 73:5 102:22 105:10 106:5 134:19 141:25 148:17 149:23 216:4 250:8 response 51:25 52:2 responses 68:3	219:25 returned 156:20 revealed 45:8 review 107:17 160:10 161:5 248:12 reviewed 55:10 Reynolds 114:10 114:14,15 re-answer 126:23 re-looked 250:3 Ridgedale 2:9 right 11:14 17:2 20:8,9 21:4,8 23:8 29:20 31:7 34:7,11,16,19 35:1,20 36:24 38:14,19 46:3,4,6 46:17 48:8 49:6	255:6 265:1,5 267:12 rigid 240:3 259:13 259:21 rigidity 103:2 231:1,7 251:19 251:24 252:4 rise 237:17 risk 56:21 71:8 ROBERT 1:16 3:5 274:6 Robertson 167:21 Rock 1:23 2:4,14 Rodgers 16:18 role 43:7 151:22 161:14 164:13 214:10 rollover 217:24 218:18 242:12
render 18:10 rendered 8:20 9:13 9:24 rendering 10:13 renew 117:1 repair 155:23 166:23 167:5 repaired 167:2 repeat 6:19 11:8 125:9 repeatability 37:9 38:3 repeatable 187:9 rephrase 27:18 report 4:6,7,8,9 9:13,25 10:13 12:16,19,22 13:5 13:7,9,13,13,19 13:25 14:6,7 15:16 16:6,10,21	274:3 reports 8:20 11:1 11:16,18 13:2,3 14:9 15:5,5,10 21:17 49:25 57:10 61:5 64:11 64:22 65:22,24 66:2,4,10,12 67:1 67:8 68:3,15,20 122:10 189:12 213:7,8,11 234:19,20 represent 116:10 191:23 193:11 236:12 264:22 268:10 representation 117:9 represented 108:24 111:17	175:10,12 researched 183:17 reshaped 250:16 251:4 resolved 164:20 resources 253:13 253:23 263:19 respect 36:11 43:21 57:4 59:6 59:15 64:13 66:24 73:5 102:22 105:10 106:5 134:19 141:25 148:17 149:23 216:4 250:8 response 51:25 52:2 responses 68:3 responsible 223:16	219:25 returned 156:20 revealed 45:8 review 107:17 160:10 161:5 248:12 reviewed 55:10 Reynolds 114:10 114:14,15 re-answer 126:23 re-looked 250:3 Ridgedale 2:9 right 11:14 17:2 20:8,9 21:4,8 23:8 29:20 31:7 34:7,11,16,19 35:1,20 36:24 38:14,19 46:3,4,6 46:17 48:8 49:6 56:23 57:23	255:6 265:1,5 267:12 rigid 240:3 259:13 259:21 rigidity 103:2 231:1,7 251:19 251:24 252:4 rise 237:17 risk 56:21 71:8 ROBERT 1:16 3:5 274:6 Robertson 167:21 Rock 1:23 2:4,14 Rodgers 16:18 role 43:7 151:22 161:14 164:13 214:10 rollover 217:24 218:18 242:12 259:10 265:6
render 18:10 rendered 8:20 9:13 9:24 rendering 10:13 renew 117:1 repair 155:23 166:23 167:5 repaired 167:2 repeat 6:19 11:8 125:9 repeatability 37:9 38:3 repeatable 187:9 rephrase 27:18 report 4:6,7,8,9 9:13,25 10:13 12:16,19,22 13:5 13:7,9,13,13,19 13:25 14:6,7 15:16 16:6,10,21 16:22,25 17:18	274:3 reports 8:20 11:1 11:16,18 13:2,3 14:9 15:5,5,10 21:17 49:25 57:10 61:5 64:11 64:22 65:22,24 66:2,4,10,12 67:1 67:8 68:3,15,20 122:10 189:12 213:7,8,11 234:19,20 represent 116:10 191:23 193:11 236:12 264:22 268:10 representation 117:9 represented 108:24 111:17 129:18	175:10,12 researched 183:17 reshaped 250:16 251:4 resolved 164:20 resources 253:13 253:23 263:19 respect 36:11 43:21 57:4 59:6 59:15 64:13 66:24 73:5 102:22 105:10 106:5 134:19 141:25 148:17 149:23 216:4 250:8 response 51:25 52:2 responses 68:3 responsible 223:16 rest 203:7,7	219:25 returned 156:20 revealed 45:8 review 107:17 160:10 161:5 248:12 reviewed 55:10 Reynolds 114:10 114:14,15 re-answer 126:23 re-looked 250:3 Ridgedale 2:9 right 11:14 17:2 20:8,9 21:4,8 23:8 29:20 31:7 34:7,11,16,19 35:1,20 36:24 38:14,19 46:3,4,6 46:17 48:8 49:6 56:23 57:23 59:23 60:22	255:6 265:1,5 267:12 rigid 240:3 259:13 259:21 rigidity 103:2 231:1,7 251:19 251:24 252:4 rise 237:17 risk 56:21 71:8 ROBERT 1:16 3:5 274:6 Robertson 167:21 Rock 1:23 2:4,14 Rodgers 16:18 role 43:7 151:22 161:14 164:13 214:10 rollover 217:24 218:18 242:12 259:10 265:6 room 15:17 44:17
render 18:10 rendered 8:20 9:13 9:24 rendering 10:13 renew 117:1 repair 155:23 166:23 167:5 repaired 167:2 repeat 6:19 11:8 125:9 repeatability 37:9 38:3 repeatable 187:9 rephrase 27:18 report 4:6,7,8,9 9:13,25 10:13 12:16,19,22 13:5 13:7,9,13,13,19 13:25 14:6,7 15:16 16:6,10,21 16:22,25 17:18 17:18,19,24 18:5	274:3 reports 8:20 11:1 11:16,18 13:2,3 14:9 15:5,5,10 21:17 49:25 57:10 61:5 64:11 64:22 65:22,24 66:2,4,10,12 67:1 67:8 68:3,15,20 122:10 189:12 213:7,8,11 234:19,20 represent 116:10 191:23 193:11 236:12 264:22 268:10 representation 117:9 represented 108:24 111:17 129:18 representing 16:3	175:10,12 researched 183:17 reshaped 250:16 251:4 resolved 164:20 resources 253:13 253:23 263:19 respect 36:11 43:21 57:4 59:6 59:15 64:13 66:24 73:5 102:22 105:10 106:5 134:19 141:25 148:17 149:23 216:4 250:8 response 51:25 52:2 responses 68:3 responsible 223:16 rest 203:7,7 result 26:7 29:5,6	219:25 returned 156:20 revealed 45:8 review 107:17 160:10 161:5 248:12 reviewed 55:10 Reynolds 114:10 114:14,15 re-answer 126:23 re-looked 250:3 Ridgedale 2:9 right 11:14 17:2 20:8,9 21:4,8 23:8 29:20 31:7 34:7,11,16,19 35:1,20 36:24 38:14,19 46:3,4,6 46:17 48:8 49:6 56:23 57:23 59:23 60:22 63:15 77:1 79:15	255:6 265:1,5 267:12 rigid 240:3 259:13 259:21 rigidity 103:2 231:1,7 251:19 251:24 252:4 rise 237:17 risk 56:21 71:8 ROBERT 1:16 3:5 274:6 Robertson 167:21 Rock 1:23 2:4,14 Rodgers 16:18 role 43:7 151:22 161:14 164:13 214:10 rollover 217:24 218:18 242:12 259:10 265:6 room 15:17 44:17 213:5 257:7
render 18:10 rendered 8:20 9:13 9:24 rendering 10:13 renew 117:1 repair 155:23 166:23 167:5 repaired 167:2 repeat 6:19 11:8 125:9 repeatability 37:9 38:3 repeatable 187:9 rephrase 27:18 report 4:6,7,8,9 9:13,25 10:13 12:16,19,22 13:5 13:7,9,13,13,19 13:25 14:6,7 15:16 16:6,10,21 16:22,25 17:18	274:3 reports 8:20 11:1 11:16,18 13:2,3 14:9 15:5,5,10 21:17 49:25 57:10 61:5 64:11 64:22 65:22,24 66:2,4,10,12 67:1 67:8 68:3,15,20 122:10 189:12 213:7,8,11 234:19,20 represent 116:10 191:23 193:11 236:12 264:22 268:10 representation 117:9 represented 108:24 111:17 129:18	175:10,12 researched 183:17 reshaped 250:16 251:4 resolved 164:20 resources 253:13 253:23 263:19 respect 36:11 43:21 57:4 59:6 59:15 64:13 66:24 73:5 102:22 105:10 106:5 134:19 141:25 148:17 149:23 216:4 250:8 response 51:25 52:2 responses 68:3 responsible 223:16 rest 203:7,7	219:25 returned 156:20 revealed 45:8 review 107:17 160:10 161:5 248:12 reviewed 55:10 Reynolds 114:10 114:14,15 re-answer 126:23 re-looked 250:3 Ridgedale 2:9 right 11:14 17:2 20:8,9 21:4,8 23:8 29:20 31:7 34:7,11,16,19 35:1,20 36:24 38:14,19 46:3,4,6 46:17 48:8 49:6 56:23 57:23 59:23 60:22	255:6 265:1,5 267:12 rigid 240:3 259:13 259:21 rigidity 103:2 231:1,7 251:19 251:24 252:4 rise 237:17 risk 56:21 71:8 ROBERT 1:16 3:5 274:6 Robertson 167:21 Rock 1:23 2:4,14 Rodgers 16:18 role 43:7 151:22 161:14 164:13 214:10 rollover 217:24 218:18 242:12 259:10 265:6 room 15:17 44:17

	1			
91:13 92:14	48:5,9 49:1 67:14	230:3 238:14	177:10 194:14	76:22 98:15,23
118:4,7	75:3 79:24 81:4,5	screw 44:22,24	217:23 232:4	139:23
rotating 117:15,16	81:9 87:10 88:6	45:2	266:11	severity 24:9 71:10
rotation 81:1,23	91:23 105:2	scrub 140:8,13	select 57:21	143:13
82:5	112:13 113:16	se 254:14,14	selling 254:3	shaft 235:7
routinely 45:6	133:12,19 139:25	search 229:18	semantics 148:13	shape 101:19
rule 59:24	140:17 151:5	seat 178:23,25	send 18:7 50:22	105:9
ruled 152:11	152:3 158:7,22	179:2	154:6 223:23,24	sheet 101:1,3
rules 186:10	159:2 167:13	second 11:23 18:11	244:19	103:24
run 96:20 212:8,10	182:7,10 196:25	37:18 71:12	sense 21:23 22:1	Sheridan 2:19 4:17
212:20,22	209:17 212:18	72:24 73:15 77:7	31:18 33:8	66:15 71:18
running 97:5	216:23 221:12	122:13 137:7	229:15	120:20 169:25
rupture 147:12	222:1,18 225:8	147:8 151:12	sent 17:10 20:14	170:23 171:3
204:6,7 246:24	225:10 231:1,9	190:5,13	20:18 51:15,24	Sheridan's 116:11
rupturing 147:23	231:12 233:8	section 31:5	sentence 55:22	120:18,25 171:10
rusty 127:24	says 13:23 14:6	119:10 172:12,15	62:10,21 69:8	173:6
	23:18 33:24	175:7 188:4	70:20,21 86:14	shield 145:16,17
<u>S</u>	42:15 44:21 55:5	secured 218:4	86:16,19 137:8	shielding 125:12
S 2:1,19,19 4:1	55:9 56:13 69:9	see 15:14 16:21	137:13 150:15	ship 258:13
saddle 257:22	77:24 100:19	19:23 20:13	156:6,7	shoots 203:14
safe 164:19 204:5	113:17 121:16	30:18 41:17	separate 26:21	short 49:11 164:11
safety 50:3 51:16	150:15 161:17	46:13,23,25	41:2 203:1,8	216:12 235:8
56:21 114:7	166:13 167:1	47:16 49:5 77:3	221:20	shot 118:16
134:20 136:6	168:10,23 172:6	79:10 82:16	separated 72:17,22	show 53:12 69:19
146:14 147:1	172:6 177:17,17	86:16 88:1 94:6,7	202:24 203:3,6	85:18,23 86:5,7
151:11,21,21	177:17,18 179:15	98:19 119:10	separation 203:21	93:1,13,24
156:23,23 157:1	186:19 188:5	123:16 124:13	220:10 223:18	101:25 116:1
165:8 171:2	189:1 207:21,22	126:2,9 129:2	September 1:17	120:13,14 130:8
172:16 176:21	212:3 223:10	132:14 133:20	13:5,6 15:8 20:15	152:17 154:19
177:1,19 180:24	235:23 242:8	138:13 149:16	21:2 66:13	165:6 167:19
183:16 211:24	268:18	158:6 169:1	155:14 156:13,19	185:12 191:21
219:5 253:15	scanned-in 221:22	173:2 182:2	266:3	218:23 266:1
254:17,18 260:21	scenario 110:16,19	187:21 193:15,21	serve 164:13	showing 102:5
261:25 267:23	204:1	194:5,23,24	server 84:24,24	116:18 180:9
sale 155:6,9 170:2	scenarios 59:7	195:12,16 196:19	service 167:4	266:6
sales 166:16,17	scene 83:25	197:13 198:19	set 109:16 194:23	shown 168:11
168:1	schedule 216:14,18	199:5,7,13,14	274:14	180:5
samples 35:3	schedules 216:14	200:17 202:4,24	sets 50:17	shows 56:10
Sandra 245:10	scheme 146:1	214:14 220:12	settled 267:2,7	195:20
sat 15:17 42:5	schooled 65:11	247:17,20,21	seven 81:9,12	sic 184:19
save 85:11 168:9	scientific 26:2	248:2 266:5,12	98:20 104:15	side 22:19 30:12
saw 25:25 26:8	27:20 30:8,13	268:18 270:25	119:6,7 126:11	68:24 79:14,15
30:15 35:11	32:4,5,6,12 43:4	271:1,5,9	144:19 150:2,3	80:23 81:17,19
88:20,22 129:15	175:9,12	seeing 48:15	seventies 150:7	82:7,20,22 92:8,9
187:23 226:5	scientifically 30:5	seen 50:24 53:14	241:2,3	96:16,17,18,25
240:9 247:8,11	scope 121:11	53:23 54:1 58:18	Seventy-nine	97:5,6,7,8 99:13
247:24 267:14,18	124:15 129:3	116:4 128:11	241:2	99:25 102:11
saying 16:5 25:23	140:23 152:24	129:11,16 162:13	severe 22:7 24:1	104:6 123:6
29:1 34:17 41:25	153:5 221:16	162:20 163:7,10	75:20 76:11,18	125:23 127:11,12
		<u> </u>	l	j

127:13,17,17	99:25 100:5,6	172:22 174:18	140:15 141:2,3	53:20 54:3 58:11
134:9,11,13,15	131:9 142:20	180:12 181:8	142:9	59:15 64:10
230:20 231:11	269:10 272:6	190:12 201:8	spend 65:8 244:2	76:23 105:9
242:12 258:8	Sixty-eight 138:15	238:16 247:23	spill 248:19,25	137:19,24 142:10
259:4,6,8,9,11,12	size 228:24 229:22	264:4 269:15	249:1	156:21 170:10
259:17,20,23	231:10 235:6,17	sort 132:13	spite 98:17	176:4 229:7
260:5	236:8 237:8,13	source 170:18	spoke 240:18	243:24
sides 82:24,25 83:1	269:14,16 270:23	Southern 242:7	spoken 159:16	statements 58:22
231:8	skid 70:15 111:6,7	space 98:16,24	sport 71:19 112:11	58:25 64:23
sidewalks 233:14	112:4 113:7,8,21	99:19 100:21	148:20 149:1,4	178:2,8,14
sideways 140:12	127:15 142:22	spacing 182:21	149:12 150:5,9	static 214:10
252:21	143:6,15,17,22	spare 228:11,21,24	150:11,13,16,21	stay 216:16
Sienna 74:11	145:17 151:9,10	229:22 230:7	170:1 240:15	stayed 72:9,12,15
189:14	151:20,22 152:19	231:11 232:9	stabilize 264:8	72:16,23
Sierra 247:12,17	154:21 155:15	235:7,17 236:8	Stabilizing 264:5	staying 73:11
266:7,10	156:5,9,11,20	236:21 237:8,13	stablizing 263:23	steel 264:25
SIGNED 2:22	163:24 164:8,10	238:8 257:15	staff 172:7	steering 254:8,11
significant 79:4	164:13,22 165:1	269:14,17 270:23	stages 51:8	254:17,18,24
80:7 81:6,6,10,13	165:3,4 166:20	271:10	stand 178:9	stenographically
85:4 189:1,2	166:21,23 167:3	sparking 136:18	standard 36:9 37:7	274:12
237:23 249:4	167:5,9,12,12,16	248:9	37:12,24 38:18	step 51:1
256:24 257:2	167:17 168:13,15	speak 184:19	75:7 134:20	stick 84:22 85:21
272:9	169:6 172:7	speaking 208:8	135:4,11 155:12	stills 192:20
significantly 78:1	228:10,20 229:22	speaks 142:5	155:16 156:11	stipulation 241:22
78:24 83:20	230:7 231:10	specific 35:9 54:17	164:10 172:8	242:4,6,25 243:7
silent 270:5	232:9 233:1	62:8 222:8	187:13 214:11	243:16
silhouettes 195:16	249:16 258:3	223:11 236:2	standards 36:12	stoddard 130:1
sill 205:25 206:7	269:13,16 270:2	239:2,25	37:16 134:21,23	218:13,15
207:25 208:24	270:20,22 271:11	specifically 54:24	134:25 135:10	stone 145:16,17
209:3,18	slide 140:12	59:1 66:22 100:9	177:25	stood 179:9,11
similar 128:16	slight 81:22 196:16	105:3 177:11	standing 90:24	stop 147:15 153:10
259:14	196:18,25	189:22	231:11	184:3 245:23
similarly 53:4	slightly 37:25	specifies 36:4	standpoint 27:16	250:23
87:17	Smith 244:24,25	specify 36:5	236:5	stopped 44:6 _. 74:2
simple 28:17	245:1,10 246:8	speculating 217:14	start 15:12 23:4	stopping 73:17,19
216:22	soft 77:14 198:7	sped 142:12	103:13 131:13	storage 168:13
simulator 109:7	sold 150:24 154:23	speed 74:1,13,15	210:9	stored 221:21
Sinclair 240:20	256:4	74:20 75:1,5,6,16	started 6:13 84:7	story 227:11
single 177:22	solely 138:1 142:18	76:1,2,5,8,11,18	208:12 261:21	straight 75:21
sit 15:22 16:5 20:3	solvent 129:25	135:19,20,25	starting 33:25 65:4	160:18
42:10 225:24	218:13,15	138:16,18,21	71:9 184:21	strap 28:18 29:2,3
228:17	somebody 24:10	139:7 140:6,7,8	starts 203:17	29:19
site 49:7 84:2	93:21 221:23	140:13,15,21	state 1:21 2:23 9:4	straps 25:21 26:14
sits 90:9 95:2	sorry 7:17 8:24	141:5,9,13,25	9:5,6,19,22 10:2	123:6
sitting 42:13,23,25	22:15 53:25	142:8,13,18	10:5 15:2 21:15	Stratus 178:22
95:11 113:14	62:22 70:8 72:7	245:17,24 258:1	106:7 153:7	182:4,9,25
situation 50:2	79:12 81:11	259:23,25	274:4,24	streetlight 248:11
six 4:18 44:17	82:23 129:18	speeds 26:18 28:21	stated 23:1	strength 251:13
71:18 99:23,24	143:21 171:7	31:4 70:6 140:2	statement 15:3	strengthened
1				

252:23 253:3	subsequent 29:13	97:15,22 98:2	226:14,16 229:6	tank 22:21 25:21
strike 132:8	214:9 220:9	124:9,11 132:18	244:21 270:6	26:14 28:10,18
194:15	substance 126:21	214:13	taken 6:11 25:5	29:19 31:6,7 32:1
strikes 109:21	substantial 69:3	surfaces 28:11	49:12 65:25	32:20,24,25
stringent 38:1	substantiat 69.3	125:19 136:19	105:22 114:20	42:10,16 45:1,4
stripping 230:11	172:14	surprised 246:8	115:15 116:12	55:12,20 56:1
strong 179:9 256:3	subsumed 23:18	surveys 50:20	121:17 175:18	61:22 62:24,25
stronger 252:12	successor 114:6,8	Susan 1:4,5,20	191:23 192:21	63:6 68:12,23
struck 74:7,12	114:10,11	34:22 36:1 37:20	215:2,5,9 239:6	71:16,20 86:14
91:25	sued 10:8	56:25 72:9	255:12 274:11	86:21 87:17,22
structural 230:12	Summary 69:22	102:23 103:22	takes 103:14 108:9	98:17,25 99:10
230:19 231:1,7	136:23 137:5	105:3,10,18	201:24	99:11,14,20
251:18,23	sunshine 233:13	106:7 107:1,3	talk 23:24 24:17	102:18,20,23
structure 8:12	233:15	120:9 126:22,25	24:19 27:19	103:5 120:8,11
25:21 26:13 46:5	SUPERIOR 1:1	120:9 120:22,23	34:16 41:4 48:4	122:22 123:2,7
71:4 94:13	supervisor 16:19	130:15,18 232:15	50:12 63:8 68:9	123:18,20 124:10
100:11,13 102:5	supplement 28:9	232:17 234:6,14	69:24 76:22 87:5	125:10,20 124:10
102:19,20 103:7	supplement 28.9 supplemental 4:8	234:17,22 274:2	204:15 258:12	126:2,5,14,16,22
103:11,24 104:10	12:22 13:7 21:5,6	suspect 216:21	talked 34:24 36:16	120.2,5,14,10,22
104:12 113:4	190:6	SUVs 169:17,19	42:9 58:13,22,23	129:24 130:10,12
123:21 125:21	supplied 107:10	sworn 6:3 274:6	58:25 117:21	131:25 132:4,14
126:6 134:3	108:24	system 8:16 28:14	128:13 134:4	131:23 132:4,14
145:19 238:22	supplier 221:2	35:22,25 42:6,7	154:2 162:24	132:17,20 133:7
240:3 264:13	support 5:1 85:16	44:19 63:7,23	184:22 185:4	134:16 136:16
structures 44:19	87:24 89:5	69:4 70:5 98:18	211:16 260:11	142:22 143:6,18
45:17 103:20		99:6 102:12,16	talking 8:22 19:20	143:23 144:1
238:24 239:13	supporting 26:12 243:25	103:7 104:18	30:25 35:9,11	
			•	145:9,21 146:2,4 146:15 147:1,7
struggling 48:17 struts 83:7	supports 77:2,9,16 77:20 87:15 88:8	105:1 106:12,14	36:11 37:3,4,15 38:17 43:19	*
struts 83:7 stuck 131:20	88:14,25 89:10	136:16 140:3,19 146:14 155:21		147:12,14,15,23
stuck 151:20 studies 174:21	89:11	185:5 217:4	44:12,13 47:13 62:3,16 67:16	148:11 150:6,24 154:25 155:19
175:2	· ·	218:2 242:11	74:25 75:17	156:9 163:25
study 32:19 40:5	suppose 119:5	249:10 259:15	76:12 87:6 88:11	164:9,19 166:15
51:3,5 54:21	supposed 270:5 sure 11:4 12:3 16:8	systems 36:13	91:17 95:23 97:9	197:17,21 198:1
61:13 70:14	16:9 21:13 41:11	45:16 46:2	103:20 109:12,20	199:22 200:3,7
145:25 175:5	44:8 79:22 80:4,9	45.10 40.2	110:10 113:13	202:16 203:16
234:11	90:5 92:3 104:5	Τ	115:24 135:9	204:1,6 210:2
studying 272:17	104:11 110:7	T 2:10,19 4:1 6:1,1	138:12 142:8	216:24 218:14
studying 272:17 stuff 37:9 40:7	114:16 139:15	274:1,1	145:2 164:17	220:11,25 223:19
104:2 168:2	142:7 145:3	take 17:16 32:4	165:9,22,23	238:23 240:2
223:15	158:13 162:9	47:7,12 52:12	170:24 173:8	246:24,25 248:19
stunt 179:6	170:17,24 182:2	64:17,24 66:17	175:20 182:15	249:19,22 250:14
subject 56:20	184:1 185:10	68:16 79:7 85:7	185:11,20 192:2	250:15,15 251:1
119:18 130:16	200:25 212:11	97:6,11,18 102:2	208:11 209:10	251:4,4,7,9 252:2
154:24	218:19 231:22	115:12 116:25	230:15 233:22	255:10 256:9,21
submissions	258:22,24,25	138:20 140:20	242:3 252:5	258:14 264:13,24
165:24	263:19 267:10	165:10 175:4	263:9	tanks 132:21
submitted 54:25	270:20	185:15 191:7	talks 71:14,18	144:22 169:18
152:18	surface 44:25	193:4 222:14	tangent 38:14	170:2 257:22
132.10	Sul lace 44.23	ا 1. سخسسد ، ب ر د	тапусит 30.14	170.2 237.22
			• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	я

taped 239:5	226:24 227:11	218:24 219:5,6	testing 30:20 31:2	91:21,22 94:4,5
target 183:6 197:7	228:17 235:3,13	219:18,20 220:6	32:21 33:3,11	138:17 148:14
240:4	236:16 250:24	220:15 221:6	34:21,23 35:2	156:7 185:11
taught 186:5	265:18 270:14	222:3,8 223:24	36:4 38:1 40:2	188:16 216:17
190:22	telling 91:13	225:25 226:25	43:5,10 46:16	233:16 236:15
Taurus 194:25	153:10 157:15	227:1,23 228:7,9	50:18,20 57:22	242:3
248:14	161:20 184:4	228:18,25 229:20	59:6,18 69:13,16	things 22:19,23
tax 253:24	228:24 231:18	231:13 236:14,14	69:20 72:2	25:13,21 26:8,18
tearing 86:14,21	tells 166:8 248:6	237:9 238:25	107:13 110:11	26:22 28:12 40:1
87:20 88:3	269:25	249:14,14,16	113:17 122:10	42:3,11 45:5
tear-off 256:25	ten 100:19 206:25	250:17 251:6,17	144:11,12 146:21	47:22,24 49:23
tear-up 257:2	207:1	251:21 255:3	177:23 185:4	50:17,25 51:25
tech 42:6,9 243:22	tend 65:12 89:11	259:15 260:14,21	204:16,19 208:15	66:11,22 67:13
technical 4:6,7,8,9	216:16	260:21 262:18	209:16 219:11,13	96:15 115:24
12:16,19,22 13:4	term 9:10 32:12	263:3 264:17,23	221:10,20 235:14	178:23 206:23
13:9,12,13 18:23	43:24 90:4 93:21	265:5 267:23,23	236:6 237:5,12	222:12 231:15
19:5,9,15,21,25	111:11 134:10,11	268:3,6,12 269:4	253:8 254:5	233:7,9,17,20
20:4,5,11,21 21:7	150:8 173:12,17	269:11,12,24	258:2,8 259:5,11	252:9 257:19
45:21 46:1 62:1	terms 11:16 25:2	270:11,24 271:1	259:12 261:9	think 9:15 11:6,13
62:11,16,19	35:8,9 41:9 48:9	272:3,7	269:23	16:19,19 21:12
175:15,20	87:5,11 173:24	tested 30:1 45:14	tests 33:10,16 45:8	23:3 38:9,12 39:7
technician 216:23	185:21 195:5	104:17 105:20	107:10,11,17,18	47:9,9 48:21 55:5
technicians 47:11	236:24	106:11,20 107:1	107:19,20,24	57:10 58:17
Ted 245:12	test 4:21,22,23,24	110:17,18 111:3	108:23,25 109:8	60:14,16 63:4
Teets 41:21 42:1	32:5 37:7,8,8	111:15 112:5	109:13,17,19	66:9,12 68:23
204:18 205:17	38:3 44:15 45:7	113:12,19,19	112:8 155:18	69:1,5 71:23 73:1
206:24 207:4	47:23 48:1,6 49:7	119:8,22 178:24	177:22 211:14,15	73:18 75:15
261:1,4 262:4,11	57:24,25 58:15	179:5 189:4	216:13 224:3,10	79:21,21 80:10
tell 6:16 9:19 11:13	70:4,4 104:22	190:21 217:25	224:11,22,22	81:7 85:16 87:3
11:17 14:21	106:12 108:5,8,9	227:20 230:1	225:1,12,15,23	89:2 91:7 96:22
15:10 19:13	108:9,11,15,15	250:18 259:6	226:11 227:6,10	101:17,18 104:14
21:20,21 32:7	109:25 110:16,19	testers 205:17	227:12 228:25	105:25 111:24
40:11,20 50:7	113:10 119:13	223:13,17	229:23 231:19	112:5,14,18,19
62:18 68:21 94:1	122:16 129:24	testified 7:3 27:9	232:4 234:23	113:11 114:15
100:9 102:22	144:13 186:23,24	32:14 131:18	235:16 237:17	115:7 121:9,12
105:13 110:4,8	187:2,9,20,20	133:15 145:14	248:13,20,23	122:9 124:1,3,4
115:1,2 123:24	188:19,24,25	176:14 240:14	249:2,9 260:10	124:19 129:13,15
124:21 126:15	190:22 191:24	testifies 6:3	269:10,19,21	140:5 142:12
128:23 129:21	192:21 204:17,20	testify 23:23 274:7	271:12,13 272:6	143:24 145:5,13
132:3 145:1,7	204:24 205:1	testifying 25:11	272:8	147:3 158:15
151:2 160:17	207:9,12 208:9	26:24 28:3,3	Thank 84:12 162:6	161:7,13 163:13
161:7,14,18	209:14 210:1	31:11 74:4 75:25	162:7 211:13	163:16 170:22
167:11,22 171:25	211:14,20,24,24	testimony 7:9 8:19	222:17 226:20	171:18 173:3
173:11 175:11	212:3,7,8,10,12	9:1,13,17,25	thankfully 140:13	174:23 180:7
178:6,21 182:11	212:19,24 213:6	15:20 21:16	theory 68:25	181:13,16,16
184:16 188:7	213:8,9,11,12,17	27:22 39:2 40:15	203:25	183:15 186:17
192:14 205:23	214:1,2 215:13	73:4 74:3 87:7,11	thing 7:1 19:25	188:7 189:17
214:20 217:14	215:24 216:4,6	114:23 115:1	26:16 38:21 49:3	190:5,7 192:6
223:6 224:9	216:23 217:4	274:11	49:4 66:6 88:9	195:22 199:20
	 			I

200 10 201 1 7	(4 ((5 2) (7)	107.15.000.0	TOD 157 4 166 0	147.14
200:18 201:1,7	64:6 65:3,9 67:9	tow 127:15 228:9	TSB 157:4 166:2	ultimate 147:14
201:10,11 202:23	85:10,12 101:8	228:19 229:20	tucked 258:17	ultrasonically
204:18 206:16	106:8,18 107:13	230:6,13,17,21	Tullio 6:1	220:25
221:13 233:2	108:11 112:17	231:6,8,8 232:8	turn 45:3	Um-hum 144:25
234:3 243:20	115:12 116:12,19	232:25	turned 220:21	201:16 261:14
244:4,8,11,12	119:15,16,19	Toyota 72:10,22	Twenty 260:4,5	uncrushed 210:9
245:16,25 249:4	127:15 132:20	74:7	twice 75:22 189:13	underlining
250:8 252:10	138:23 139:3	traditional 31:18	189:20,24 190:1	104:24
253:4 254:22	141:6 142:12	trailer 70:16	190:8	underneath 123:4
255:1 256:2	155:15 156:12	127:14 142:22	twisted 90:11	199:7 257:15
262:23,24 263:7	168:9,24 178:18	143:7,16 230:6	two 7:19,19,20	understand 11:14
263:11 267:1,6,9	185:1,3 187:7	230:21 232:8,25	11:2,16,18 13:2	26:6,22 27:11
267:10,11 270:25	189:18 194:7	271:5,10	13:15 19:18 60:6	28:14,24 32:12
thinking 9:11	196:3 199:13	trailing 83:7,9,12	60:13,14 61:1,9	33:25 34:2,5 35:8
131:3	202:3 210:19	83:15	61:10,25 69:7,23	47:3,13 53:2
thinks 174:6	211:18,19 212:10	training 27:2	69:24 71:5 72:16	75:18 85:13
third 23:24 74:11	212:10 216:15,21	transcript 2:22	73:8,13 74:17	87:10 93:11
123:9 143:3	224:7 225:10	274:11	79:8,13 83:18	125:10 130:23
147:9	226:11 234:13	transfer 238:23	85:6,16 89:3 93:5	142:6 164:17
thirty-fives 139:22	240:8 241:6	transfers 77:18	99:12 102:11	173:12 181:14
THOMAS 1:3,5	243:12,15 259:13	transition 30:15	103:4 110:24	211:5 218:22
thoroughly 188:14	272:25 274:13	translate 173:15	112:2 113:3	221:25 222:19
thought 7:18 14:12	times 7:2,6,7	transport 29:14	115:16,18 123:6	223:14 224:19
106:3 117:23	102:11	travel 141:1	134:6,7 166:4	230:25 231:9
118:4 138:16	TINKER 2:9	treat 188:15	173:8 180:21	232:22 251:5
183:20 251:12	tire 199:17,23	trend 257:18	189:17 190:15	263:9
thoughts 26:23	201:14 230:7	trial 7:4,7,9,22	192:7 194:5	understanding
three 7:19,20 13:3	233:2 236:21	21:11,18,22	195:20 199:5	50:8 116:25
23:22 56:10	238:8 257:15	72:21	202:2,19 208:19	176:7
61:12 69:8,23	tires 204:5	trick 15:22	225:18 234:23	understands 34:8
70:3 71:17 73:14	title 59:11	triggered 226:7	248:12,19,23	130:22
76:9 151:17,18	today 20:3 51:19	truck 42:8 111:3	two-year 272:8	understood 59:24
166:5 169:18	64:22 73:22	171:5 172:18	type 22:24 25:20	undertaken
199:12 202:8	152:3 176:4	261:6	30:2 108:8	234:11
259:9,18	219:2 224:9	trucks 112:12,23	112:23 125:3	under-ride 119:13
three-fourths	225:24 228:18	112:23 149:18	182:12 218:7	119:22 120:2,5,7
169:17 170:1	236:15 269:23	true 23:6 25:5	types 113:1	180:16,20 181:15
threshold 136:5,6	told 9:18 26:9 42:1	71:21 97:17	typical 86:8 110:12	183:10,24 184:17
242:15 244:3,3	104:12 137:19	98:10 146:9	110:14 111:11	184:20 195:25
threw 224:6	138:5 139:23	156:24 233:11	126:24 201:23	196:13,17,18,19
throw 134:1	232:15	262:6 274:10	205:1	197:1,4,6 201:4,8
Thumb 84:11	top 117:16,17	truth 274:7,7,8	typically 50:10	202:11,13,14,15
tied 133:10	118:7 144:19	try 250:6 257:19	54:19 140:14	238:12,20 239:3
till 269:7,8	174:21 245:8	trying 15:21 18:15	216:13 227:6,7	239:15 240:1
time 1:19 6:14 9:24	torn 28:18	26:21 37:22	227:17	252:21 262:18,25
17:16 18:1 21:18	total 107:19	40:16 85:11	typo 19:18 20:2,7	263:3
21:22 29:14	touching 195:2,3	113:6,14 128:4	150:17	under-riding
38:19 39:8 49:16	195:21,22,23	150:12 189:18	U	182:24 183:2
49:22,23 57:15	196:1 199:16	224:24 268:13	<u> </u>	240:4
		I	1	

	010 17 017 4 5	110 12 17 111 7	060 01 067 10 10	071 10 00
under-rision	213:17 217:4,5	110:13,17 111:7	260:21 267:13,13	271:13,20
184:18	219:19,20 227:2	111:11,12,13,17	267:18,23 269:13	view 32:25 65:10
unexpected 22:9	227:7,24 264:17	111:20 113:1,20	269:25 270:3	72:25 92:8,9
24:2	269:12	114:7 116:8,9,13	vehicles 33:12,13	93:23 125:23
uniform 257:19	validations 227:17	117:10 119:8,18	34:23 38:4 49:8	129:23 146:12
unintended 164:21 unique 154:21	227:19 valve 155:21 156:2	119:21,22,25	55:7,15 56:4,10	192:23 194:5
155:2	156:3,14,17	120:9 121:10,20 122:15 123:10	56:20,24 65:11 71:23 72:16	195:20 196:3
unit 102:21 264:14	164:12,15	124:24 125:3,11	109:15 112:23	197:1 198:11
universal 102:17	VANESSA 2:6	124:24 123:3,11	144:20 145:1	199:5,12 202:2,3 202:8,19
unnecessarily	vantage 193:16,16	127:3,8,14	149:2,4 155:25	viewed 47:1,4 84:1
14:16	195:4 198:11	127.3,8,14	156:4,12 166:14	116:13
unreasonable	200:17 201:19	129:22 130:16,17	167:2 180:21,25	viewing 35:5 44:17
56:21	vapor 155:21	130:18,18 132:3	181:5 182:12	213:5
unseated 91:4	156:1	130:18,18 132:3	202:20,24 212:13	views 194:23
untrue 22:23	variation 38:3	134:20 140:3	227:19,20 233:18	vis-à-vis 140:19
172:13	variation 38.3 variations 177:23	141:6 143:14	256:13 257:24	147:1 194:1
unusual 246:4	variations 177.23 variety 40:1	144:13 148:18	269:23	252:2
unwrecked 210:10	various 31:4 68:4	149:13,22 154:25	vehicle's 136:18,19	voiced 243:1
updated 169:1	vary 48:2	168:3 171:1	vehicle-to-vehicle	Volume 1:16
upgrade 54:9	vast 111:18,19	172:16 176:21	253:7 258:2	volumes 142:5
upgraded 56:17	VC 109:5,7 237:8	177:22 181:11,20	velocities 69:25	Vs 28:21
Upgrading 54:6	VCs 109:4 216:16	181:24 182:1	136:24,25 137:12	vulnerable 210:14
USA 219:19	vehicle 4:21,22,23	183:1,4,5,6 187:3	137:14	V6 266:18
use 12:9 28:20	4:24 8:13 9:2	189:4 192:1	velocity 138:2,5,7	
37:5 61:6 76:6	22:2,3,19 25:17	194:15 197:21	138:12,14,18,21	<u>W</u>
93:22 134:10	26:1,7,9,10 28:5	198:2 199:6,15	138:22 139:2,10	wait 15:2 174:20
150:8 154:24	32:20 33:4 34:22	199:16 203:14,17	139:11	221:7 247:16
173:18,18 175:1	34:25 36:8 39:4	204:23 205:25	vent 214:12 220:10	261:16
uses 103:8 216:15	39:12,25 40:2,4,9	206:6 207:10,25	220:23 223:19	waitress 171:22
usually 140:7,10	40:22,25 41:25	208:14,18,24	verbally 50:13	wall 98:3
208:24	44:16 45:13 47:6	209:2 210:9,10	51:11	want 6:18 12:8
utilities 112:11	48:19 55:13,21	210:23 211:24	verbatim 157:22	13:14 14:15
170:1	56:1,25 59:19,20	212:9,16 213:3	verify 14:8 58:10	15:19,20 17:14
utility 71:19	61:14,17,20,24	216:12 217:10,25	58:21 59:4 170:9	17:15,17 24:19
148:21 149:1,4	68:13 69:12,15	219:6,24 220:18	222:16 223:1	27:14 28:23
149:12 150:5,9	69:20 71:4,20	223:24,25 224:4	version 146:1	29:18 31:10 34:6
150:11,14,16,21	72:2 73:15 74:11	229:24 230:8,11	154:21 155:3,8	37:11 38:14
240:15 248:8	74:24 76:10	230:24 231:2,6	versus 178:7 239:7	42:15,16,17,21
U-shape 89:9	78:15 88:1 89:16	231:13 232:16,18	244:24	43:1,5 47:12
U.S 150:25	89:25 96:15,21	234:6,14,17,22	vertical 98:3	56:19 58:2 59:4,9
V	96:24 101:4	236:6,10,12	viable 190:21	62:8 63:21 65:16
	102:23 103:12	238:21 240:4,16	vice-president	78:11 85:17 86:9
v 1:8 139:12	104:4,16,23	241:17 246:8,14	177:3	89:14 102:4,19
vague 39:18 valid 251:7	105:4,10,17,18	247:8,11,18,20	Victoria 1:9 2:11	104:5,11 105:13
valid 251:7 validation 108:16	105:19,21,24	247:21,24 248:3	video 191:24 239:5	115:12,23 117:20
	106:4,5,8,9,13,17	248:7 254:3	270:12,14,15	158:10 165:19
212:4,5,7,16,23 212:24 213:9,12	106:20 107:1,4,6	255:4,5 256:9	271:18 272:4	166:12 169:19
414.44 413.9,14	109:6,7,13 110:5	257:15 259:19,22	videos 213:5	170:9,23 177:7

	1		1	1
185:9 191:21	100:23 176:16	wheelbases 112:25	190:3,10,25	104:24 138:20
192:5,23 193:6	180:8 184:15	wheels 78:19,20,21	191:5,10,14,17	148:5,5 222:15
193:14,16 196:23	189:15 204:16	whichever 130:5	191:20 196:16	words 28:7 77:18
197:9 198:11	217:19 223:10	white 129:16	198:6,24 199:20	88:1 101:9
204:15 211:5,6	224:4	184:12	200:1,10 201:7	105:20 132:19
211:13,20 218:23	weren't 81:24	windshield 179:7	201:22 202:18	134:9 166:20
221:9 222:16	241:4	wires 248:10	204:10 205:1,22	173:25 182:24
225:12 234:13	West 2:4	withdrawn 155:5	206:5,22 208:8	228:23
258:12 259:1	we'll 12:6 24:25	155:13	209:10,25 210:6	work 20:20 41:3,4
260:10,14,17	52:5 64:17 85:7	witness 3:3 8:4	211:3 213:2,22	41:8 43:21,24
264:14 266:1	102:2 157:12	10:6 13:25 14:25	214:5,24 215:13	44:7 45:9 114:3
270:9	218:24 226:16	16:15 32:18 33:9	216:11 217:9,23	174:9 238:24
wanted 92:16	244:21	33:14 44:1 45:25	218:11 220:18	261:7
162:1 179:3	we're 36:11 37:4	47:20 53:23	221:18 222:11	worked 10:9 15:17
215:3 257:13	37:15 38:17 61:8	55:19 59:16	223:6,23 224:16	43:8 175:19
wants 191:19	62:16 65:14 69:1	60:22 65:5,8	225:6,16 226:5	176:16
wasn't 9:7 38:11	75:17 87:6 91:16	67:23 72:15	226:18 227:5	working 40:24
42:13 105:21,22	92:5 109:12,19	73:25 75:10,14	228:14 229:10	119:4 241:7
139:3 150:8	115:22 120:15	80:6 84:11 85:17	230:4,20 231:4	world 30:21 48:2
218:2,4 230:1	128:5 131:4	87:14 92:21	231:17 232:6,21	120:3 150:7
237:10 241:1	132:4 139:21	95:25 100:4	234:3,10,18	239:1 241:10,14
251:6	142:7 145:2	102:4 106:24	235:2 236:20	worse 113:9
watched 43:9	158:12 164:17	107:5 110:22	237:16 238:7,16	worst 57:21 58:1
watching 44:2,4	165:9 170:24	111:24 116:6	239:21 240:7,12	58:15 59:1,6,15
way 6:1 14:8 15:11	176:12 179:11	118:1,21 119:20	240:24 241:16	59:18 110:15,19
26:2,11 38:1	185:11 201:14,17	120:5 122:3	242:2 243:20	111:16,21 112:1
39:16 63:19	201:18 204:4	123:1 124:3,9	245:21 246:21	112:5,8,10,15
78:16 91:3	219:1 221:8	125:2,17 126:18	247:3 248:17	113:7,13,18,19
100:18 105:9	224:8 230:15	128:20 129:7	250:13 251:3,12	229:25 230:6
116:22 117:11	239:4 252:4	130:3,25 132:17	252:7 253:2,18	worth 272:13,16
118:5 143:18,23	263:9	133:17,25 135:3	254:13,22 255:16	wouldn't 11:9
144:17 147:20	we've 48:18 64:22	135:18,24 136:9	255:21 256:2,14	148:3,11 161:23
178:15 180:25	73:11 80:25	137:6,22 139:7	256:18 257:11	161:25 174:5
182:8 191:7	119:3 202:16	140:6 141:8,20	258:22 260:4	183:5 207:12,17
207:11 229:13	204:2 233:2	142:3,17 143:21	261:12 262:9,23	209:20 223:9
251:15 257:23	whatsoever 222:6	144:5 145:15,25	263:7,16 264:3	230:14 232:17
260:25	wheel 182:22	146:8,18 147:4	265:10 266:18	237:23 246:12
website 57:12	206:1,8 207:25	147:20 148:20	267:6 268:9,13	253:25
weighs 236:23,23	208:25 209:3,19	149:18 150:20	268:17 269:7	wrap 102:18
weight 236:10,13	257:6	151:15 157:19	270:9,20 271:9	write 16:6 66:21
236:22,24 260:9	wheelbase 77:25	162:2 167:7,15	271:17,22 272:12	226:18
weights 113:1	78:6,9,12,13,19	167:25 169:10,22	272:15	written 51:11,12
weld 223:7,9,20	78:23 79:13,18	171:13,25 173:2	WJ 19:19 20:2,8	51:14,21 74:16
225:22	79:20 80:3,19	174:11 176:11	60:18 155:12,17	170:15 242:9
welded 103:4	81:7,13,15,18,20	177:13 178:5,12	257:1	268:19
220:24,25 221:2	82:7,17 83:20	180:19 181:4	WK 19:19 20:1,8	wrong 11:14 31:20
welding 223:21	85:5,19,20,24	183:9 184:10	60:18 257:6	47:9,10 91:10
went 16:10 38:13	86:6 102:8	186:17,23 188:2	woman 184:23	118:3,3 179:5
52:25 56:7 90:8	110:25	188:12,22 189:8	word 48:4,18	197:20 221:8
		,		

wrote 57:10 224:5	131:24 132:9,14	1 1:12	219:10 222:5,8	260 4:23
Wrote 57.10 224.5	yield 173:12,14,17	10 114:18	236:3 269:12	267 4:24
X	York 233:14	10-114.16 10-031 55:25	1996 219:19	27 120:21 121:1
X 1:14 3:1 4:1	247:18	10-031 33:23 10-15-10 4:19	1990 219.19 1997 58:5	28th 6:12
XEE 166:16,17	247.18	10-13-10 4.19 10:10 1:19	1997 38.3 1998 178:7	29 107:10,18,19
167:12	Z	10:10 1:19 100 1:12		108:23 109:3
XI01024 274:25	$\overline{\mathbf{Z}}$ 1:11 101:18		1999 155:11	
XJ 256:7	ZJ 35:16 36:16	101 80:20,23 102 5:8	2	211:15 224:10,11
AU 250.7	37:20 38:16		2 130:6	224:22 226:11,21
Y	41:16,17 44:7	108 80:3,9 81:8	20 7:7 124:6	228:25 229:23
yardstick 123:17	90:16 103:21,22	109 79:21 80:3,9	165:12 236:23	3
123:18,23	107:12 108:25	11 4:17 20:14,15	259:25	3 130:6
yeah 19:24 25:8	121:16,21,25	79:14 80:1	2000 242:8 243:13	3-24-11 4:9
29:15 36:19,23	123:13 193:14	120:19 219:1	2000 242:8 243:13 2001 155:19	30 75:22 109:23
46:11 49:2 50:6	207:23 208:16	115 4:15,16	156:13	110:2 214:9
52:2 55:5 59:21	209:1 210:7	119 200:16,20,21	2002 155:15,24	220:9 236:23
62:14 65:13 74:1	219:23 220:1,1	201:25 202:11	156:4,14,19	266:18
75:6,10 76:4 79:8	226:6 228:8	12 4:6,7,8 13:5,6 20:15 21:2 80:17	2003 155:17	301 36:3,9,10,20
79:9 80:23 82:2	229:20 238:11,19	20:15 21:2 80:17 12th 15:8	2004 155:12	36:23 37:2,3,4,15
83:2,24 90:10	239:14 250:13	12th 15:8 120 4:17 155:10	2007 239:6	37:21,23 38:5,16
92:8 101:6	255:14 256:13	120 4:17 133:10 131 4:18	2010 153:22	48:1 104:19
104:14 111:14	257:1,5 258:4	13 1 4:18 14 12:16 13:6,23	2010 133:22 2011 6:12 11:22	107:14,18,20,24
118:16 123:14	268:9	14:1 17:19 219:1	12:17 13:5,6,7,9	108:7,9,10,18,18
124:6,19 127:20	zone 205:4,5,7,11	14.1 17.19 219.1 14th 13:3,20,21	13:19 14:1,7 15:7	108:21 109:16
129:13 134:6	205:18,24 206:2	14:20 15:7,13,13	15:7,8,8 17:19	110:16,18,18
141:4 143:4	206:18,22 207:1	15:18 16:21,24	19:6,11,16,17,22	119:9 134:19,20
146:9 150:10	207:11,18 208:2	18:21,22 60:2,9	19:23 20:12 21:2	143:15 155:22
151:23 157:9	208:3,4 209:4,5,6	72:6 155:14	70:24 72:6,8	208:15 209:16
166:1 184:10,20	209:12,20,22	156:13 185:14	119:4 165:8,12	212:4,5 217:5
186:4,12 188:9	210:10,17 211:11	15 4:20 153:22	167:22	219:19 232:18
190:10 192:10	Zylik 41:21 44:18	259:2	2012 1:17 120:22	236:6 238:25
198:6 200:22	204:17 205:17,23	15th 167:21 219:11	222:7	251:16,21 254:16
202:5,9,25 203:2	206:13 207:6	219:13	211 4:21	258:7,10 259:4,8
204:22 207:4	263:21	153 4:19	219 4:22	259:18
210:6,8 212:5	Zylik's 235:22	1598 203:10,10	226 5:9	302 254:16
215:10 219:24		166 235:22	24 13:19 14:6	303 254:16
239:9,25 241:5	0	17 123:22,25 124:5	15:18 19:5,10	304 254:16
242:5 250:2	00 196:19	124:20	20:14	31st 239:6 269:11
253:18 254:15	000 194:22	18 124:20	24th 14:19 15:7	3196 6:1
262:6,16 266:23	05 193:24	18-gallon 42:16	18:13,15,25	35 180:11,13
year 9:1 110:23	052 196:8,10 197:1	180 4:20	19:22 60:3,5,10	36 77:10 87:16
111:20 155:17,19	199:12	19 4:9	60:15,20 61:4	88:7,25
155:24 186:7	06 114:17	1984 240:12	72:7	
222:7 242:8	07052 2:4	1990 260:1	244 5:10	4
260:1	07927 2:10	1992 269:2,3	245 202:19	4 19:17,23 20:11
years 10:7 175:17	07936 1:24 2:15	1993 269:1	25 5:7 116:1 117:3	70:24 119:4
216:19 224:23	09 114:18	1994 212:21	118:13	130:6 156:13,19
240:25	1	264:20 269:2,3	26 116:1,2 117:4	4th 13:8 15:8
yellow 123:3,5,21	1	1995 212:5 217:5	212:21	18:17 19:16,24
			<u> </u>	

72:8 136:12,13	7		
155:14	7		
4-12-95 264:19	7 1:17 2:9 79:13		
4-4-11 12:20	80:1 266:4		
4-8 5:8	7-foot-11 81:3,18		
40 129:10 192:21	70 140:10,11 141:2		
	248:15		
194:16 197:10 201:12	72 1:23 2:14		
	75 173:21 248:15		
40-mile 203:16	77 137:22 138:15		
204:12 249:20	139:4,8 141:17		
4142:4	l ———		
4472 234:24	8		
45 74:19,24,25	8 79:14 80:2		
75:4,11 76:13,17	8-foot-5 80:20,21		
139:23	81:19		
45-mile 122:16	80 173:22		
4561 234:23 235:6	84 5:7		
5	845 202:23		
5 79:15 80:2	85 173:22		
240:13 272:5,14	88 41:21		
5-2-97 4:11	89 41:22		
5/92 269:7,8	9		
5:05 273:1	9 5:9 185:14,17		
50 7:6 75:7 141:3	9-12-11 12:23		
158:10 192:24	90 89:22 90:7 91:6		
50-mile 249:13	91:9,14 92:14		
52 207:22	173:22		
5208 211:20 212:3	92 4:12		
212:19 260:11	93 4:13,14 41:17		
5210 269:11	219:24 220:20		
53 4:10	219.24 220.20		
5380 218:23,24	94 212:22 216:20		
219:13,16 260:11	269:8		
5441 260:15	95 81:3 141:1		
264:16	173:22 212:23		
5681 265:25 268:2	219:11 222:24		
268:22	96 80:22 193:9,12		
58 4:11	219:23 220:1,21		
	264:22,24,25		
6	265:2,3 268:9		
6 3:6 5:10 167:24	97 186:12 230:23		
177:12	231:4 250:9,13		
6-14-11 177:9	268:3,4,10		
6-28-12 183:18	<i>2</i> 00.2, 1,10		
60 75:22			
68 137:22 139:3,8			
141:17			
	i	ı I	